04 hierarchical clustering

September 29, 2021

1 Hierarchical Clustering

Hierarchical clustering avoids the need to specify a target number of clusters because it assumes that data can successively be merged into increasingly dissimilar clusters. It does not pursue a global objective but decides incrementally how to produce a sequence of nested clusters that range from a single cluster to clusters consisting of the individual data points.

While hierarchical clustering does not have hyperparameters like k-Means, the measure of dissimilarity between clusters (as opposed to individual data points) has an important impact on the clustering result. The options differ as follows:

- Single-link: distance between nearest neighbors of two clusters
- Complete link: maximum distance between respective cluster members
- Group average
- Ward's method: minimize within-cluster variance

1.1 Imports & Settings

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np

from scipy.cluster.hierarchy import dendrogram, linkage, cophenet
from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
from sklearn.cluster import AgglomerativeClustering
from sklearn.metrics import adjusted_mutual_info_score
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.datasets import load_iris
from scipy.spatial.distance import pdist

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from matplotlib.animation import FuncAnimation
from matplotlib.colors import ListedColormap

import seaborn as sns

from IPython.display import HTML
```

```
[2]: cmap = ListedColormap(sns.xkcd_palette(['denim blue',
                                           'medium green',
                                           'pale red']))
    sns.set_style('white')
[3]: # if you have difficulties with ffmpeg to run the simulation, see https://
     →stackoverflow.com/questions/13316397/
     \rightarrow matplotlib-animation-no-moviewriters-available
    # plt.rcParams['animation.ffmpeg_path'] = your_windows_path
    plt.rcParams['animation.ffmpeg_args'] = '-report'
    plt.rcParams['animation.bitrate'] = 2000
    1.2 Load Iris Data
[4]: iris = load_iris()
    iris.keys()
[4]: dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'frame', 'target_names', 'DESCR', 'feature_names',
    'filename'])
[5]: print(iris.DESCR)
    .. _iris_dataset:
    Iris plants dataset
    **Data Set Characteristics:**
        :Number of Instances: 150 (50 in each of three classes)
        :Number of Attributes: 4 numeric, predictive attributes and the class
        :Attribute Information:
            - sepal length in cm
            - sepal width in cm
            - petal length in cm
            - petal width in cm
            - class:
                   - Iris-Setosa
                   - Iris-Versicolour
                   - Iris-Virginica
        :Summary Statistics:
                       Min Max
                                 Mean
                                         SD
                                              Class Correlation
        4.3 7.9
        sepal length:
                                 5.84
                                        0.83
                                                0.7826
```

```
2.0 4.4
                       3.05
                             0.43
                                    -0.4194
sepal width:
petal length:
              1.0 6.9
                       3.76
                             1.76
                                    0.9490 (high!)
                       1.20
                             0.76
                                    0.9565
petal width:
              0.1 2.5
                                            (high!)
   _____ ___ ___
```

:Missing Attribute Values: None

:Class Distribution: 33.3% for each of 3 classes.

:Creator: R.A. Fisher

:Donor: Michael Marshall (MARSHALL%PLU@io.arc.nasa.gov)

:Date: July, 1988

The famous Iris database, first used by Sir R.A. Fisher. The dataset is taken from Fisher's paper. Note that it's the same as in R, but not as in the UCI Machine Learning Repository, which has two wrong data points.

This is perhaps the best known database to be found in the pattern recognition literature. Fisher's paper is a classic in the field and is referenced frequently to this day. (See Duda & Hart, for example.) The data set contains 3 classes of 50 instances each, where each class refers to a type of iris plant. One class is linearly separable from the other 2; the latter are NOT linearly separable from each other.

.. topic:: References

- Fisher, R.A. "The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems" Annual Eugenics, 7, Part II, 179-188 (1936); also in "Contributions to Mathematical Statistics" (John Wiley, NY, 1950).
- Duda, R.O., & Hart, P.E. (1973) Pattern Classification and Scene Analysis. (Q327.D83) John Wiley & Sons. ISBN 0-471-22361-1. See page 218.
- Dasarathy, B.V. (1980) "Nosing Around the Neighborhood: A New System Structure and Classification Rule for Recognition in Partially Exposed Environments". IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. PAMI-2, No. 1, 67-71.
- Gates, G.W. (1972) "The Reduced Nearest Neighbor Rule". IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, May 1972, 431-433.
- See also: 1988 MLC Proceedings, 54-64. Cheeseman et al s AUTOCLASS II conceptual clustering system finds 3 classes in the data.
- Many, many more ...

1.3 Create DataFrame

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>

RangeIndex: 150 entries, 0 to 149 Data columns (total 5 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	sepal length (cm)	150 non-null	float64
1	sepal width (cm)	150 non-null	float64
2	petal length (cm)	150 non-null	float64
3	petal width (cm)	150 non-null	float64
4	label	150 non-null	int64
_		/	

dtypes: float64(4), int64(1)

memory usage: 6.0 KB


1.3.1 Standardize Data

The use of a distance metric makes hierarchical clustering sensitive to scale:

```
[7]: scaler = StandardScaler()
  features_standardized = scaler.fit_transform(data[features])
  n = len(data)
```


1.3.2 Reduce Dimensionality to visualize clusters

```
[8]: pca = PCA(n_components=2) features_2D = pca.fit_transform(features_standardized)
```



1.3.3 Perform agglomerative clustering

```
[10]: Z = linkage(features_standardized, 'ward')
      Z[:5]
[10]: array([[1.01000000e+02, 1.42000000e+02, 0.00000000e+00, 2.00000000e+00],
             [7.00000000e+00, 3.90000000e+01, 1.21167870e-01, 2.00000000e+00],
             [1.00000000e+01, 4.80000000e+01, 1.21167870e-01, 2.00000000e+00],
             [9.00000000e+00, 3.40000000e+01, 1.31632184e-01, 2.00000000e+00],
             [0.00000000e+00, 1.70000000e+01, 1.31632184e-01, 2.00000000e+00]])
[11]: | linkage_matrix = pd.DataFrame(data=Z,
                                    columns=['cluster_1', 'cluster_2',
                                             'distance', 'n_objects'],
                                    index=range(1, n))
      for col in ['cluster_1', 'cluster_2', 'n_objects']:
          linkage_matrix[col] = linkage_matrix[col].astype(int)
      linkage_matrix.info()
     <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
     RangeIndex: 149 entries, 1 to 149
     Data columns (total 4 columns):
                     Non-Null Count Dtype
          Column
          cluster 1 149 non-null
                                     int64
          cluster_2 149 non-null
      1
                                     int64
          distance
                     149 non-null
                                   float64
          n_objects 149 non-null
                                     int64
     dtypes: float64(1), int64(3)
     memory usage: 4.8 KB
[12]: linkage_matrix.head()
[12]:
        cluster_1 cluster_2 distance n_objects
               101
      1
                          142 0.000000
                 7
                                                 2
      2
                           39 0.121168
      3
                10
                           48 0.121168
                                                 2
                           34 0.131632
      4
                 9
                                                 2
      5
                 0
                           17 0.131632
                                                 2
[13]: linkage_matrix[['distance', 'n_objects']].plot(secondary_y=['distance'],
                                                     title='Agglomerative Clustering_
       →Progression',
                                                     figsize=(14, 4))
      plt.tight_layout();
```




1.3.4 Compare linkage types

Hierarchical clustering provides insight into degrees of similarity among observations as it continues to merge data. A significant change in the similarity metric from one merge to the next suggests a natural clustering existed prior to this point. The dendrogram visualizes the successive merges as a binary tree, displaying the individual data points as leaves and the final merge as the root of the tree. It also shows how the similarity monotonically decreases from bottom to top. Hence, it is natural to select a clustering by cutting the dendrogram.

The following figure illustrates the dendrogram for the classic Iris dataset with four classes and three features using the four different distance metrics introduced above. It evaluates the fit of the hierarchical clustering using the cophenetic correlation coefficient that compares the pairwise distances among points and the cluster similarity metric at which a pairwise merge occurred. A coefficient of 1 implies that closer points always merge earlier.

```
[14]: methods = ['single', 'complete', 'average', 'ward']
    pairwise_distance = pdist(features_standardized)

[15]: fig, axes = plt.subplots(figsize=(15, 8), nrows=2, ncols=2, sharex=True)
    axes = axes.flatten()
    for i, method in enumerate(methods):
```



Different linkage methods produce different dendrogram 'looks' so that we can not use this visualization to compare results across methods. In addition, the Ward method that minimizes the within-cluster variance may not properly reflect the change in variance but the total variance that may be misleading. Instead, other quality metrics like the cophenetic correlation or measures like inertia if aligned with the overall goal are more appropriate.

1.3.5 Get Cluster Members

```
[16]: n = len(Z)
    from collections import OrderedDict
    clusters = OrderedDict()

for i, row in enumerate(Z, 1):
        cluster = []
        for c in row[:2]:
            if c <= n:
                  cluster.append(int(c))
        else:
                 cluster += clusters[int(c)]
        clusters[n+i] = cluster</pre>
```

```
[17]: clusters[230]
```

[17]: [144, 124, 120, 143]

1.3.6 Animate Agglomerative Clustering

```
[18]: def get 2D coordinates():
          points = pd.DataFrame(features_2D).assign(n=1)
          return dict(enumerate(points.values.tolist()))
[19]: n_clusters = Z.shape[0]
      points = get_2D_coordinates()
      cluster_states = {0: get_2D_coordinates()}
      for i, cluster in enumerate(Z[:, :2], 1):
          cluster_state = dict(cluster_states[i-1])
          merged_points = np.array([cluster_state.pop(c) for c in cluster])
          cluster_size = merged_points[:, 2]
          new_point = np.average(merged_points[:, :2],
                                 axis=0,
                                 weights=cluster_size).tolist()
          new_point.append(cluster_size.sum())
          cluster_state[n_clusters+i] = new_point
          cluster_states[i] = cluster_state
[20]: cluster_states[100]
[20]: {15: [-2.2622145316010216, 2.686284485110592, 1.0],
       41: [-1.8581224563735717, -2.337415157553348, 1.0],
       60: [-0.11019628000062932, -2.6540728185365645, 1.0],
       62: [0.5621083064431792, -1.7647243806169446, 1.0],
       106: [0.36701768786072436, -1.5615028914765063, 1.0],
       108: [2.006686467676607, -0.7114386535471587, 1.0],
       109: [2.2597773490125017, 1.9210103764598867, 1.0],
       114: [1.4676452010173247, -0.44227158737708266, 1.0],
       176: [0.28711522075373114, -0.24204262329732348, 2.0],
       179: [-2.2058211672986987, -0.09844552008358048, 2.0],
       185: [0.9688104990917799, 0.01907915384081543, 3.0],
       194: [0.7389335833626245, 0.6838170188840107, 2.0],
       197: [2.3691965862739517, 0.33967500639352843, 2.0],
       198: [-2.4657912495858, -0.9936714816693262, 3.0],
       205: [1.9290326043911596, 0.5634757376107679, 3.0],
       206: [-1.9303546578375999, 0.4980362956076001, 3.0],
       208: [-2.3324410024278306, 0.10599232941089061, 3.0],
       209: [2.365628354573734, 2.5914923595139356, 2.0],
       210: [-2.3753778947842483, -0.428476399606261, 5.0],
       211: [2.775321891622194, 0.8286062652623241, 2.0],
       212: [1.3703381593353579, -0.4066353802439459, 3.0],
       214: [1.4771264049002877, 0.6845006301068535, 2.0],
       215: [0.6124063740956357, -0.11263115492758463, 4.0],
       217: [-2.107918266740138, -0.5961767325807753, 7.0],
```

```
218: [-2.2074608511148277, 0.39185352432566406, 7.0],
219: [-2.530562663777726, 1.9721518667486764, 2.0],
222: [-1.9447561950630023, 0.26668291555609785, 3.0],
224: [1.1317839552605031, -0.7225792577873079, 2.0],
225: [0.17415501993333077, -0.9041186723104582, 4.0],
226: [1.9804041815154314, 0.5524627673500003, 3.0],
228: [0.5970487156101139, -0.2629983547610023, 4.0],
229: [1.5978708558106194, 0.9834189460574745, 3.0],
230: [1.944288880741274, 0.9602696562701191, 4.0],
231: [1.066723936158902, 0.6275964565840024, 4.0],
232: [-0.43239104859121186, -1.8051529981646477, 3.0],
233: [1.3993792903437394, -0.9150062688479023, 2.0],
236: [0.20202521219193983, -1.5042170574230862, 5.0],
237: [1.1777972305328468, -1.5706593008861836, 3.0],
238: [1.9089871295906673, 0.7850341610197987, 2.0],
239: [-2.5638395941372223, 0.5658239416152503, 3.0],
240: [0.5834108821437097, 0.6210769014326585, 2.0],
241: [1.8396217867683873, -0.02362196158643326, 3.0],
242: [1.4274899699312786, 0.24628913465740437, 5.0],
243: [0.19303006787186602, -1.003259039619637, 3.0],
244: [3.1041735373340296, 0.2157110044581378, 2.0],
245: [0.16678817742501761, -0.402792363931369, 6.0],
246: [-2.2446551194172795, 1.0593800316735267, 6.0],
247: [1.1384647649646227, -0.7829411177202094, 4.0],
248: [-2.0951328107676597, 1.5594656985593047, 4.0],
249: [1.049661370014735, -0.05911604365815985, 5.0]}
```

1.3.7 Set up Animation

```
[21]: %%capture
fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(14, 6))
ax.axes.get_xaxis().set_visible(False)
ax.axes.get_yaxis().set_visible(False)

sns.despine()
xmin, ymin = np.min(features_2D, axis=0) * 1.1
xmax, ymax = np.max(features_2D, axis=0) * 1.1
ax.set(xlim=(xmin, xmax), ylim=(ymin, ymax))
```

```
[22]: scat = ax.scatter([], [])


def animate(i):
    df = pd.DataFrame(cluster_states[i]).values.T
    scat.set_offsets(df[:, :2])
    scat.set_sizes((df[:, 2] * 2) ** 2)
    return scat,
```

[22]: <IPython.core.display.HTML object>

1.3.8 Scikit-Learn implementation


```
[23]: clusterer = AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=3)
   data['clusters'] = clusterer.fit_predict(features_standardized)
```

```
[24]: fig, axes = plt.subplots(ncols=2, figsize=(14, 6))
      labels, clusters = data.label, data.clusters
      mi = adjusted_mutual_info_score(labels, clusters)
      axes[0].scatter(*features_2D.T,
                      c=data.label,
                      s = 25,
                      cmap=cmap)
      axes[0].set_title('Original Data')
      axes[1].scatter(*features_2D.T,
                      c=data.clusters,
                      s = 25,
                      cmap=cmap)
      axes[1].set_title('Clusters | MI={:.2f}'.format(mi))
      for i in [0, 1]:
          axes[i].axes.get_xaxis().set_visible(False)
          axes[i].axes.get_yaxis().set_visible(False)
      sns.despine()
      fig.tight_layout()
```



1.3.9 Comparing Mutual Information for different Linkage Options

```
[25]: mutual_info = {}
for linkage_method in ['ward', 'complete', 'average']:
    clusterer = AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=3, linkage=linkage_method)
    clusters = clusterer.fit_predict(features_standardized)
    mutual_info[linkage_method] = adjusted_mutual_info_score(clusters, labels)
```



1.4 Strengths and Weaknesses

The strengths of hierarchical clustering include that - you do not need to specify the number of clusters but instead offers insight about potential clustering by means of an intuitive visualization. - It produces a hierarchy of clusters that can serve as a taxonomy. - It can be combined with k-means to reduce the number of items at the start of the agglomerative process.

The weaknesses include - the high cost in terms of computation and memory because of the numerous similarity matrix updates. - Another downside is that all merges are final so that it does not achieve the global optimum. - - Furthermore, the curse of dimensionality leads to difficulties with noisy, high-dimensional data.