

COMP30026 Models of Computation

Review Lecture

Harald Søndergaard

Lecture 24

Semester 2, 2018

Theory and Practice Again

“There is nothing more practical than a good theory.”

Kurt Lewin

“The theory I do gives me the vocabulary and the ways to do practical things that make giant steps instead of small steps when I’m doing a practical problem. The practice I do makes me able to consider better and more robust theories, theories that are richer than if they’re just purely inspired by other theories. There’s this symbiotic relationship . . .”

Donald Knuth

Formalisation and Abstraction

Computing systems are incredibly **complex**.

The best chance we have of understanding a very complex system is to isolate the **essence** of the machinery or system, that is, to **abstract** away from irrelevant detail.

The role of theory is to get to the core of the subject or phenomenon under study.

Mathematics, and mathematical notation, is the result of centuries of work on this sort of activity: extracting the essence of a phenomenon so as to focus the thinking on what matters.

Our Notation Shapes Our Thinking

In 1978, Tony Hoare proposed a formal language, **CSP**, to support reasoning about concurrent processes.

The resulting “process algebra” became an important tool for specifying concurrent behaviour. Practical programming tools started using CSP together with **temporal logic** to verify properties of concurrent software (or find flaws).

Recent programming languages (such as Go) **replace** traditional concurrency primitives (as used in Java, say) by CSP.

This trend towards more high-level, “declarative” notation is common in practical programming languages.

Propositional Logic

Propositional formulas: Syntax and semantics.

Semantics is simple, in principle—just a matter of constructing truth tables.

However, it is useful to develop an understanding of the algebraic rules, how to rewrite formulas to equivalent formulas in normal form, and so on.

Important concepts: **Satisfiability** and **validity** of formulas, **logical consequence** and **equivalence**.

Normal forms: **CNF** and **DNF**.

Mechanical proof: **Propositional resolution**.

Relevance of Propositional Logic

Historically: Use in hardware design, fault finding and verification (model checking).

Boolean modelling is increasingly important because of the availability of powerful SAT solvers.

First-Order Predicate Logic

Syntax and semantics.

Important semantic tools: the concepts of **interpretation**, and of an interpretation **satisfying** a formula (making it true).

Components of an interpretation: **Domain** and mappings giving meaning to relation symbols, function symbols, constants.

To define the meaning of quantifiers we also need to consider **valuations**.

Important concepts: **Models** and **counter-models**, **satisfiability** and **validity**, **logical consequence** and **equivalence**.

First-Order Predicate Logic

Useful to develop an understanding of how formulas can be rewritten, rules of passage for the quantifiers and so on.

Normal forms: **Clausal form**.

Obtaining equi-satisfiable formulas in clausal form: **Skolemization**.

Mechanical proof: **Resolution**, including **unification**.

Relevance of FOPL

Historically: Use in artificial intelligence, proof assistants, automated theorem proving.

Logic programming sprang from this.

First-order predicate logic is a computer scientists' lingua franca.

Constraint solvers for various theories play central roles in tools for software verification, vulnerability detection, test data generation, planning and scheduling, ...

Proof

There is an expectation that you can provide readable and valid proofs for simple assertions (about the material covered in the subject).

The proofs will not call for induction, even though we have discussed important induction techniques in the subject: **Mathematical** and **structural** induction, including more general forms of mathematical induction.

Relevance of Proof

Proof is at the core of clear and rigorous thinking.

Proof is how you conduct the ultimate persuasive argument.

Discrete Mathematics: Sets and Relations

Set operations, algebra of sets.

Binary relations, domains, ranges.

Properties of relations, including
reflexivity, symmetry, anti-symmetry, transitivity.

Total and partial orders.

Equivalence relations.

Well-founded relations.

Discrete Mathematics: Functions

Domain, co-domain, and range of a function.

Image of a set under a function.

Properties of functions, including injectivity, surjectivity, bijectivity.

Inverse functions.

Relevance of Discrete Maths

Discrete maths gives us simple but powerful modelling tools.

A major focus for us has been to understand infinite objects such as functions and languages.

Recursion allows us to define infinite objects with just a few rules.

Induction principles give us tools for reasoning about countably infinite sets.

Wellfoundedness gives us a handle on termination.

And so on ...

Regular Languages

Finite-state automata: **DFAs** and **NFAs**.

Finite-state automata as **recognisers**.

The **regular operations**.

Regular expressions.

Closure properties of regular languages.

Important techniques: Translating NFAs to DFAs, regular expressions to NFAs, and vice versa.

Using the **pumping lemma** for regular languages to prove non-regularity.

Relevance of Automata Theory

Fast string search.

Regular expression features in JavaScript, Ruby, Python, C#, Java, ...

Vulnerability detection in string-processing programs.

Context-Free Languages

Context-free grammars, derivations of sentences.

Parse trees, ambiguity.

Push-down automata.

(Lack of) closure properties of context-free languages.

Important techniques: Translating a CFG to an equivalent PDA.

Using the **pumping lemma** for context-free languages to prove languages non-context-free.

Relevance of Formal Language Theory

Much of our technology would be hard to design and impossible to understand without it:

- Parsing algorithms
- Parser generators like bison (for C) and happy (for Haskell)
- Compilers' semantic analysis components
- Natural-language processing and machine translation

Computability, Turing Machines

We based our concept of “computable” on the Turing machine model.

We could have used any other of a large number of equivalent models (partial recursive functions, Markov algorithms, register machines, ...)


The Church-Turing thesis:

Computable is what a Turing machine can compute.

Decidable languages: Those that are recognised by a Turing machines which halts for all input.

Turing recognisable languages: Those that have a Turing machine that acts as a recogniser (and does not necessarily halt).

Models of Computation



Closure Properties

	\cup	\circ	$*$	$R \cap$	\cap	compl
Reg	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
DCFL	N	N	N	Y	N	Y
CFL	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Decidable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Recognisable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N

Here ' \circ ' means concatenation, ' $*$ ' means Kleene star, and ' $R \cap$ ' means "intersection with a regular language".

DCFL is the class of languages that can be recognised by deterministic PDAs (DPDAs).

Decidability of Language Properties

Question	Reg	DCFL	CFL	Decidable	Recognisable
$w \in L$	D	D	D	D	U
$L = \emptyset$	D	D	D	U	U
$L = \Sigma^*$	D	D	U	U	U
$L_1 = L_2$	D	D	U	U	U
$L = \text{given } R$	D	D	U	U	U
$L \text{ regular}$	D	D	U	U	U
$L_1 \subseteq L_2$	D	U	U	U	U

Here 'D' = decidable; 'U' = undecidable.

Proof Techniques for (Un-)Decidability

Diagonalisation.

Reduction.

Simulation.

Exploitation of closure properties.

Relevance of Computability Theory

Knowing the limits of what can be done allows us to focus on decidable problems and functions that can be captured as algorithms.

It tells us to settle for the less-than-perfect when we are up against undecidable properties.

For example, tools for software and protocol verification, optimizing compilers, and program repair are all based on **reasoning with safe approximations** of programs' runtime states.

Basic Haskell programming is examinable.

It is possible that an exam question will ask you to read or write simple Haskell code, for example to implement some discrete maths concept. The Haskell needed will be a subset of what was needed for worksheets and assignments.

Minor syntax errors will be ignored.

Unless otherwise specified, you can assume that you are free to use functions from the Haskell Prelude, but not from other libraries.

Arrangements up until the Exam

Don't forget to take the Student Experience Survey and the QoCT survey.

I have booked the Laby Theatre (Physics South) for Friday 2 November from 15:00 for a catch-up session.

It will probably run for 90 minutes or so, but the room is booked for 2 hours, if needed.

The LMS Discussion Forum will stay open until after the exam.

Preparing for the Exam

A list of **examinable material** is on the COMP30026 LMS site.

After this week, practice! There is a practice exam paper, but also go over the tute questions again, and possibly old exam papers (see the “Exam Information” section on the LMS).

Make sure you know where and when the exam is held.

Get a good night's sleep before the exam.

On the Day of the Exam

The exam is a 3-hour closed book exam; reading time is 15 minutes.

Make sure you bring your student card and writing tools.

Be aware that security is now very strict; make sure you comply with all the rules.

Don't do silly things like forgetting to turn your phone or its alarm off.

Make sure you don't carry unauthorised materials.

The Exam Paper

The format is similar to the practice paper's.

You write answers in designated spaces in the exam paper itself. University assessment rules stipulate that you should use blue or black ink.

(You can use a pencil for rough work on the reverse pages.)

Read the COMP30026 LMS site's 'Exam Information' section for more detail. It includes the [front page of the exam paper](#), with detailed instructions for students.

Arrangements after the Exam

Between the exam and the release of results: Examiners are not allowed to discuss any aspect of the exam.

Also, we are not allowed to provide results via the phone or email.

The Last COMP30026 Slide!

Questions?