## Judicial Favoritism of Politicians: Evidence from Small Claims Courts

Andre Assumpcao\*

Julio Trecenti

June  $21^{st}$ , 2019

## ${\bf Abstract}$

TBU

**Keywords:** judicial politics; judicial bias; political economy of development; law and social science.

JEL classification: D73; K42; P48; H83.

 $<sup>{\</sup>rm ^*Ph.D.\ Candidate,\ Department\ of\ Public\ Policy,\ The\ University\ of\ North\ Carolina\ at\ Chapel\ Hill.\ Contact\ details: } \\ {\rm aassumpcao@unc.edu.}$ 

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Institutional Background
- 3 Data
- 4 Theory
- 4.1 Application to State Court of São Paulo
- 5 Empirical Strategy
- 5.1 IQR
- 5.2 DID
- 5.3 RD
- 5.4 Machine Predictions
- 6 Results
- 7 Robustness Checks
- 8 Prediction of Court Outcomes
- 9 Cost-Benefit Analysis
- 10 Conclusion

## Tables and Figures

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Max
Case Level					
Case Duration (in days)	5,262	361	433	1	5,416
Amount Claimed (in R\$)	5,262	11,830	10,671	35	40,000
Judge Level					
Male	518	.610	.488	0	1
Tenure (in days)	518	4,378	2,882	13	12,987
Compensation (in R\$)	518	$35{,}110$	10,772	$13,\!156$	$145,\!616$
Candidate Level					
Age	2,969	44	10.3	18	78
Male	2,969	.901	.298	0	1
Political Experience	2,969	.246	.430	0	1
Elected to Office	2,969	.486	.500	0	1
Campaign Expenditures (in R\$)	2,969	54,963	621,757	11	29,958,454