

JAXtronomy: A JAX port of lenstronomy

Alan Huang¹, Simon Birrer¹, Natalie B. Hogg², Aymeric Galan^{3,4},
Daniel Gilman⁵, Anowar J. Shajib^{5,6,7}, and Nan Zhang⁸

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 1794, USA ² Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier, CNRS and Université de Montpellier (UMR-5299), 34095 Montpellier, France ³ Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild Straße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany ⁴ Technical University of Munich, TUM School of Natural Sciences, Physics Department, James-Frank-Straße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany ⁵ Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA ⁶ Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA ⁷ Center for Astronomy, Space Science and Astrophysics, Independent University, Bangladesh, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh ⁸ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, 1110 West Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA

DOI: 10.xxxxxx/draft

Software

- Review
- Repository
- Archive

Editor: Open Journals

Reviewers:

- @openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Summary

Gravitational lensing is a phenomenon where light bends around massive objects, resulting in distorted images seen by an observer. Studying gravitationally lensed objects can give us key insights into cosmology and astrophysics, such as constraints on the expansion rate of the universe and dark matter models.

Thus, we introduce JAXtronomy, a re-implementation of the gravitational lensing software package lenstronomy¹ (Birrer, 2021; Birrer & Amara, 2018) using JAX². JAX is a Python library that uses an accelerated linear algebra (XLA) compiler to improve the performance of computing software. Our core design principle of JAXtronomy is to maintain an identical API to that of lenstronomy.

The main JAX features utilized in JAXtronomy are just-in-time-compilation, which can lead to significant reductions in execution time, and automatic differentiation, which allows for the implementation of gradient-based algorithms that were previously impossible. Additionally, JAX allows code to be run on GPUs or parallelized across CPU cores, further boosting the performance of JAXtronomy.

Statement of need

lenstronomy has been widely applied to numerous science cases, with more than 200 publications making use of the software, and has an increasing number of dependent packages relying on features of lenstronomy. For instance, science cases directly involving lenstronomy include galaxy evolution studies using strong lensing (Anowar J. Shajib et al., 2021; Sheu et al., 2025; Tan et al., 2024) and detailed lens modeling for measuring the Hubble constant using time-delay cosmography by the TDCOSMO collaboration (Birrer, S. et al., 2020; Birrer, Simon & Treu, Tommaso, 2021; Collaboration et al., 2025; Gilman, D. et al., 2020; Millon, M. et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2025; A. J. Shajib et al., 2022; ?).

Examples of packages dependent on lenstronomy for general-purpose lensing computations and image modelling include the dolphin package (Anowar J. Shajib et al., 2025) for automated lens modeling, the galight package (Ding et al., 2020) for galaxy morphology measurements,

¹<https://github.com/lenstronomy/lenstronomy>

²<https://github.com/google/jax>

40 SLSim (Khadka et al, 2026, in prep) for simulating large populations of strong lenses, pyHalo
41 (Gilman et al., 2019) and mejiro (Wedig et al., 2025) for simulating strong lenses with dark
42 matter substructure, and PALTAS (Wagner-Carena et al., 2023) for neural network inference
43 tasks.

44 In many of these applications, computational constraints are the key limiting factor for strong
45 gravitational lensing science. For example, increased data quality and number of lenses to
46 analyze makes lens modeling a computational bottleneck, and expensive ray-tracing through
47 tens of thousands of dark matter substructures limit the amount of images that can be
48 simulated, especially for the training of neural networks and simulation-based inferences. These
49 ever-increasing computational costs have lead to the development of several JAX-accelerated
50 and GPU-accelerated strong-lensing packages, such as gigaLens (Gu et al., 2022), herculens
51 (Galan et al., 2022), paltax (Wagner-Carena et al., 2024), GLaD (Wang et al., 2025), caustics³
52 (Stone et al., 2024) and Google Research's jaxstronomy⁴.

53 Why JAXtronomy?

54 JAXtronomy inherits a wide range of features from lenstronomy that are not offered by any
55 of the aforementioned JAX-accelerated or GPU-accelerated software. Such features include
56 lenstronomy's linear amplitude solver, which reduces the number of sampled parameters
57 during lens modeling, as well as a variety of log likelihood functions and optional punishment
58 terms to improve robustness during fitting. JAXtronomy aims to maintain an identical API
59 to lenstronomy so that packages dependent on lenstronomy can transition seamlessly to
60 JAXtronomy.

61 Improvements over lenstronomy in image simulation

62 The simulation of a lensed image comes in three main steps. The first step begins with a
63 coordinate grid in the angles seen by the observer. These coordinates are ray-traced through
64 the deflectors back to the source plane. This process requires the calculation of light ray
65 deflection angles at each deflector. Second, the surface brightness of the source is calculated
66 on the ray-traced coordinate grid. This produces a lensed image. Third, the lensed image gets
67 convolved by the point spread function (PSF) originating from diffraction of the telescope
68 optics and atmospheric turbulence. Due to the various choices in deflector mass profiles, light
69 model profiles, grid size, and PSF kernel size, the overall runtime of the pipeline can vary
70 significantly.

71 In the following sections, we outline the improvements in performance that JAXtronomy has
72 over lenstronomy for each step in the pipeline. These performance benchmarks were run using
73 an Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6338 CPU @ 2.00GHz, an NVIDIA A100 GPU, and JAX version
74 0.7.0.

75 Deflection angle calculations

76 Each entry in the table indicates how much faster JAXtronomy is compared to lenstronomy
77 at computing deflection angles for the corresponding deflector profile and grid size. Some
78 comparisons vary significantly with values of function arguments, so a range is given rather
79 than a number.

Deflector Profile	60x60 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (gpu)
CONVERGENCE	0.4x	1.1x	0.5x
CSE	1.6x	2.6x	2.6x

³<https://github.com/Ciela-Institute/caustics>

⁴<https://github.com/google-research/google-research/tree/master/jaxstronomy>

Deflector Profile	60x60 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (gpu)
EPL	5.1x - 15x	9.2x - 17x	37x - 120x
EPL (jax) vs EPL_NUMBA	1.4x	3.0x	13x
EPL_MULTIPOLE_M1M3M4	2.1x - 7x	6.4x - 13x	42x - 108x
HERNQUIST	2.0x	3.4x	5.8x
HERNQUIST_ELLIPSE_CSE	3.8x	5.4x	40x
MULTIPOLE	0.9x	1.0x	8.3x - 14x
MULTIPOLE_ELL	1.5x - 2.1x	2.0x - 2.8x	70x
NIE/SIE	0.5x	0.5x	2.0x
NFW	1.6x	3.3x	4.5x
NFW_ELLIPSE_CSE	4.1x	6.7x	31x
PJAFPE	1.0x	1.2x	2.8x
PJAFPE_ELLIPSE_POTENTIAL	1.4x	1.6x	3.1x
SHEAR	0.7x	2.0x	0.9x
SIS	1.4x	3.3x	2.0x
TNFW	2.4x	5.8x	7.5x

JAXtronomy computes deflection angles slower than lenstronomy when using computationally simple deflector profiles on small enough grids. This is because function call overheads are significantly higher in JAX than in standard Python, so computations that are already fast in Python can end up slower in JAX. However, these deflector profiles have higher gains from parallelization.

Flux calculations

An analogous table for the different light profiles is shown below. The MULTI_GAUSSIAN and MULTI_GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE profiles include five GAUSSIAN and GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE components, respectively, highlighting JAX's improved performance in sequential computations.

Light Profile	60x60 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (gpu)
CORE_SERSIC	2.0x	6.7x	4.2x
GAUSSIAN	1.0x	2.5x	1.3x
GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE	1.5x	3.6x	2.0x
MULTI_GAUSSIAN	3.7x	11x	7.8x
MULTI_GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE	4.0x	13x	6.9x
SERSIC	1.0x	1.7x	3.9x
SERSIC_ELLIPSE	1.9x	5.7x	3.2x
SERSIC_ELLIPSE_Q_PHI	1.7x	5.5x	3.3x
SHAPELETS	6.2x	3.4x	15x
(n_max=6)			
SHAPELETS	6.0x	4.5x	17x
(n_max=10)			

FFT Convolution

We find that FFT convolution using JAX on CPU results in variable performance boosts or slowdowns compared to lenstronomy (which uses scipy's FFT convolution). On a 60x60 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 3 to 45, JAX on CPU ranges from being 1.1x to 2.9x faster than lenstronomy, with no obvious correlation to kernel size. On a 180x180 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 9 to 135, JAXtronomy on CPU ranges from being 0.7x to 2.5x as fast as lenstronomy, with no obvious correlation to kernel size.

96 However, FFT convolution using JAX on GPU is significantly faster than `scipy`. On a 60x60
97 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 3 to 45, JAX on GPU ranges from being 1.5x to 3.5x
98 faster than `lenstronomy`, with JAX performing better at higher kernel sizes. On a 180x180
99 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 9 to 135, JAXtronomy on GPU is about 10x to 20x as fast
100 as `lenstronomy`, again with JAX performing better at higher kernel sizes.

101 Improvements over `lenstronomy` in lens modelling

102 The process of lens modelling involves finding best-fit parameters describing a lensed system from
103 real data. In `lenstronomy`, this typically involves a Particle Swarm Optimizer (PSO) (Kennedy
104 & Eberhart, 1995) for optimization and Monte Carlo Markov Chains for posterior sampling.
105 JAXtronomy retains these lens modelling algorithms from `lenstronomy` while benefitting from
106 the increased performance outlined above.

107 In the following table, we compare JAXtronomy's PSO performance to that of `lenstronomy`
108 when modeling a lens with a singular isothermal ellipsoid (SIE) mass profile, Sersic-ellipse
109 source and lens light profile, and a quadruply-imaged point source. The image is simulated
110 using a 100x100 grid and FFT convolved using a PSF kernel with a size of 13 pixels. These
111 benchmarks were performed using the same hardware as in the previous section.

Device	64 Particles	128 Particles	256 Particles	512 Particles
<code>lenstronomy</code> (baseline)	59s	138s	245s	555s
1 CPU core	3x	3x	3x	4x
2 CPU cores	5x	6x	6x	7x
4 CPU cores	8x	12x	11x	12x
8 CPU cores	11x	17x	17x	24x
16 CPU cores	13x	20x	22x	29x
32 CPU cores	13x	20x	20x	29x
GPU	8x	7x	27x	46x

112 Additionally, using JAX's autodifferentiation, we have implemented the L-BFGS gradient
113 descent algorithm from the `Optax`⁵ library (DeepMind et al., 2020) for optimization. This is
114 a significant improvement over `lenstronomy`'s PSO, which does not have access to gradient
115 information. Due to the stochastic nature of the PSO, we do not present a concrete comparison
116 between `lenstronomy`'s PSO and JAXtronomy's minimizer for the time it takes to find best-fit
117 parameters.

118 References

- 119 Birrer, S. (2021). Gravitational lensing formalism in a curved arc basis: A continuous
120 description of observables and degeneracies from the weak to the strong lensing regime.
121 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 919(1), 38. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac1108>
- 122 Birrer, S., & Amara, A. (2018). `Lenstronomy`: Multi-purpose gravitational lens modelling
123 software package. *Physics of the Dark Universe*, 22, 189–201. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2018.11.002)
124 [dark.2018.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2018.11.002)
- 125 Birrer, Simon, & Treu, Tommaso. (2021). TDCOSMO - v. Strategies for precise and accurate
126 measurements of the hubble constant with strong lensing. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 649,
127 A61. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039179>
- 128 Birrer, S., Shajib, A. J., Galan, A., Millon, M., Treu, T., Agnello, A., Auger, M., Chen, G.
129 C.-F., Christensen, L., Collett, T., Courbin, F., Fassnacht, C. D., Koopmans, L. V. E.,

⁵<https://github.com/google-deeppmind/optax>

- Marshall, P. J., Park, J.-W., Rusu, C. E., Sluse, D., Spiniello, C., Suyu, S. H., ... Van de Vyvere, L. (2020). TDCOSMO - IV. Hierarchical time-delay cosmography – joint inference of the hubble constant and galaxy density profiles*. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 643, A165. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038861>
- Collaboration, T., Birrer, S., Buckley-Geer, E. J., Cappellari, M., Courbin, F., Dux, F., Fassnacht, C. D., Frieman, J. A., Galan, A., Gilman, D., Huang, X.-Y., Knabel, S., Langeroodi, D., Lin, H., Millon, M., Morishita, T., Motta, V., Mozumdar, P., Paic, E., ... Wong, K. C. (2025). *TDCOSMO 2025: Cosmological constraints from strong lensing time delays*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.03023>
- DeepMind, Babuschkin, I., Baumli, K., Bell, A., Bhupatiraju, S., Bruce, J., Buchlovsky, P., Budden, D., Cai, T., Clark, A., Danihelka, I., Dedieu, A., Fantacci, C., Godwin, J., Jones, C., Hemsley, R., Hennigan, T., Hessel, M., Hou, S., ... Viola, F. (2020). *The DeepMind JAX Ecosystem*. <http://github.com/google-deeppmind>
- Ding, X., Silverman, J., Treu, T., Schulze, A., Schramm, M., Birrer, S., Park, D., Jahnke, K., Bennert, V. N., Kartaltepe, J. S., Koekemoer, A. M., Malkan, M. A., & Sanders, D. (2020). The Mass Relations between Supermassive Black Holes and Their Host Galaxies at $1 < z < 2$ HST-WFC3. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 888(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5b90>
- Galan, A., Vernardos, G., Peel, A., Courbin, F., & Starck, J.-L. (2022). Using wavelets to capture deviations from smoothness in galaxy-scale strong lenses. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 668, A155. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202244464>
- Gilman, D., Birrer, S., Nierenberg, A., Treu, T., Du, X., & Benson, A. (2019). Warm dark matter chills out: Constraints on the halo mass function and the free-streaming length of dark matter with eight quadruple-image strong gravitational lenses. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 491(4), 6077–6101. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3480>
- Gilman, D., Birrer, S., & Treu, T. (2020). TDCOSMO - III. Dark matter substructure meets dark energy. The effects of (sub)halos on strong-lensing measurements of H0. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 642, A194. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038829>
- Gu, A., Huang, X., Sheu, W., Aldering, G., Bolton, A. S., Boone, K., Dey, A., Filipp, A., Jullo, E., Perlmutter, S., Rubin, D., Schlafly, E. F., Schlegel, D. J., Shu, Y., & Suyu, S. H. (2022). GIGA-lens: Fast bayesian inference for strong gravitational lens modeling. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 935(1), 49. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac6de4>
- Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, 4, 1942–1948 vol.4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968>
- Millon, M., Galan, A., Courbin, F., Treu, T., Suyu, S. H., Ding, X., Birrer, S., Chen, G. C.-F., Shajib, A. J., Sluse, D., Wong, K. C., Agnello, A., Auger, M. W., Buckley-Geer, E. J., Chan, J. H. H., Collett, T., Fassnacht, C. D., Hilbert, S., Koopmans, L. V. E., ... Van de Vyvere, L. (2020). TDCOSMO - i. An exploration of systematic uncertainties in the inference of H0 from time-delay cosmography. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 639, A101. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937351>
- Schmidt, T., Treu, T., Birrer, S., Millon, M., Sluse, D., Galan, A., Shajib, A., Lemon, C., Dux, F., & Courbin, F. (2025). TDCOSMO. XVIII. Strong lens model and time-delay predictions for J1721+8842, the first einstein zigzag lens. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202449984>
- Shajib, Anowar J., Nihal, N. S., Tan, C. Y., Sahu, V., Birrer, S., Treu, T., & Frieman, J. (2025). *dolphin: A fully automated forward modeling pipeline powered by artificial intelligence for galaxy-scale strong lenses*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.22657>
- Shajib, Anowar J., Treu, T., Birrer, S., & Sonnenfeld, A. (2021). Dark matter haloes of

- 179 massive elliptical galaxies at $z \sim 0.2$ are well described by the Navarro-Frenk-White
180 profile. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 503(2), 2380–2405. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab536>
181
- 182 Shajib, A. J., Wong, K. C., Birrer, S., Suyu, S. H., Treu, T., Buckley-Geer, E. J., Lin, H., Rusu, C.
183 E., Poh, J., Palmese, A., Agnello, A., Auger-Williams, M. W., Galan, A., Schuldt, S., Sluse,
184 D., Courbin, F., Frieman, J., & Millon, M. (2022). TDCOSMO. IX. Systematic comparison
185 between lens modelling software programs: Time-delay prediction for WGD 2038–4008.
186 *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 667, A123. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243401>
- 187 Sheu, W., Shajib, A. J., Treu, T., Sonnenfeld, A., Birrer, S., Cappellari, M., Oldham, L. J., &
188 Tan, C. Y. (2025). Project Dinos II: redshift evolution of dark and luminous matter density
189 profiles in strong-lensing elliptical galaxies across $0.1 < z < 0.9$. *Monthly Notices of the*
190 *Royal Astronomical Society*, 541(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staf976>
- 191 Stone, C., Adam, A., Coogan, A., Yantovski-Barth, M. J., Filipp, A., Setiawan, L., Core,
192 C., Legin, R., Wilson, C., Barco, G. M., Hezaveh, Y., & Perreault-Levasseur, L. (2024).
193 Caustics: A python package for accelerated strong gravitational lensing simulations. *Journal*
194 *of Open Source Software*, 9(103), 7081. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.07081>
- 195 Tan, C. Y., Shajib, A. J., Birrer, S., Sonnenfeld, A., Treu, T., Wells, P., Williams, D.,
196 Buckley-Geer, E. J., Drlica-Wagner, A., & Frieman, J. (2024). Project Dinos I: A joint
197 lensing-dynamics constraint on the deviation from the power law in the mass profile of
198 massive ellipticals. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 530(2), 1474–1505.
199 <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae884>
- 200 Wagner-Carena, S., Aalbers, J., Birrer, S., Nadler, E. O., Darragh-Ford, E., Marshall, P. J., &
201 Wechsler, R. H. (2023). From images to dark matter: End-to-end inference of substructure
202 from hundreds of strong gravitational lenses. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 942(2), 75.
203 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aca525>
- 204 Wagner-Carena, S., Lee, J., Pennington, J., Aalbers, J., Birrer, S., & Wechsler, R. H.
205 (2024). A strong gravitational lens is worth a thousand dark matter halos: Inference on
206 small-scale structure using sequential methods. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 975(2), 297.
207 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad6e70>
- 208 Wang, H., Suyu, S. H., Galan, A., Halkola, A., Cappellari, M., Shajib, A. J., & Cernetic, M.
209 (2025). *GPU-accelerated gravitational lensing & dynamical (GLaD) modeling for cosmology*
210 *and galaxies*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.01302>
- 211 Wedig, B., Daylan, T., Birrer, S., Cyr-Racine, F.-Y., Dvorkin, C., Finkbeiner, D. P., Huang, A.,
212 Huang, X., Karthik, R., Khadka, N., Natarajan, P., Nierenberg, A. M., Peter, A. H. G., Pierel,
213 J. D. R., Tang, X. T., & Wechsler, R. H. (2025). The roman view of strong gravitational
214 lenses. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 986(1), 42. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/adc24f>