

Proof of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem with Bernstein Polynomials

Fazil AMIRLI

Atilim University, Department of Mathematics

Instructor: Prof. Dr. Mehmet TURAN

January 2024

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Bernstein Polynomials

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Bernstein Polynomials
- 3 Proof of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem with Bernstein Polynomials

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Bernstein Polynomials
- 3 Proof of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem with Bernstein Polynomials
- 4 References

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Bernstein Polynomials
- 3 Proof of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem with Bernstein Polynomials
- 4 References

Introduction

In this presentation, we will state **Weierstrass approximation theorem** and provide some historical information about the theorem. The theorem firstly stated by Karl Theodor Wilhelm Weierstrass, who was a German mathematician often cited as the "father of modern analysis" [1]. Despite leaving university without a degree, he studied mathematics and trained as a school teacher, eventually teaching mathematics, physics, botany, and gymnastics. He later received an honorary doctorate and became professor of mathematics in Berlin.

Introduction

Among many other contributions, Weierstrass formalized the definition of the continuity of a function and complex analysis, proved the intermediate value theorem and the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, and used the later to study the properties of continuous functions on closed bounded intervals. The theorem states that every continuous function defined on a closed interval $[a, b]$ can be uniformly approximated as closely as desired by a polynomial function. The original version of this result was established by Karl Weierstrass himself in 1885, while he was 70, using the Weierstrass transform.

Uniform Convergence

Definition

[4] We say that a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, converges uniformly on E to a function f if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an integer N such that $n \geq N$ implies

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in E$.

Uniform Convergence

Definition

[4] We say that a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, converges uniformly on E to a function f if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an integer N such that $n \geq N$ implies

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in E$.

Example

Let $0 < b < 1$ and consider the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ defined on $[0, b]$ by $f_n(x) = x^n$. Then, $\{f_n\}$ converges to 0 *uniformly* on $[0, b]$. To see this, take any integer $N > \frac{\ln \epsilon}{\ln b}$, then for every $n \geq N$, we have $b^n < \epsilon$. Which implies $|x^n - 0| \leq b^n < \epsilon$.

Example

Now consider, the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ defined on \mathbb{R} such that $f_n(x) = \frac{x^2 + nx}{n}$. This sequence of functions converges to x , but not uniformly. Since for each fixed x , we have

$$|f_n(x) - x| = \left| \frac{x^2}{n} + x - x \right| = \left| \frac{x^2}{n} \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have $\{f_n(x)\} \rightarrow x$. Now, since we cannot find any n such that, $\frac{x^2}{n} < \epsilon$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we do not have a uniform convergence.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Bernstein Polynomials**
- 3 Proof of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem with Bernstein Polynomials
- 4 References

Sergei Natanovich Bernstein

[2]Sergei Natanovich Bernstein, also known as Serguei Bernshtein, was a distinguished Soviet mathematician, whose contributions to the field of mathematics are highly valued. Born on March 5, 1880, in Odessa, Bernstein exhibited a strong inclination towards mathematics from an early age. He pursued higher education in mathematics at the University of Paris (Sorbonne), where he was influenced by the work of the renowned mathematician Henri Poincaré.

Sergei Natanovich Bernstein

He held several positions, including a professorship at the University of Kharkov and at the Institute of Mathematics of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences. Bernstein's research interests were vast, encompassing areas such as **probability, statistics, and function theory**. He is best known for Bernstein polynomials, which are foundational in the field of approximation theory and were key in his proof of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

Sergei Natanovich Bernstein

Despite facing political challenges during the Soviet era, Bernstein maintained his academic pursuits and contributed significantly to the mathematical community until his passing on October 26, 1968, in Moscow. His legacy endures, with Bernstein polynomials continuing to be a fundamental tool in **numerical analysis and approximation theory**, some of which will be discussed later in this presentation.

Bernstein Polynomials

Definition

Given a function f on $[0, 1]$, the Bernstein polynomial of f of degree n is

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{r=0}^n f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} \quad (2)$$

for each positive integer n .

It is clear from (2) that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$B_n(f; 0) = f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n(f; 1) = f(1). \quad (3)$$

Bernstein Polynomials

It follows from the binomial expansion that

$$B_n(1; x) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} = (x + (1-x))^n = 1 \quad (4)$$

Bernstein Polynomials

It follows from the binomial expansion that

$$B_n(1; x) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} = (x + (1-x))^n = 1 \quad (4)$$

Since $\frac{r}{n} \binom{n}{r} = \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ for $1 \leq r \leq n$, the Bernstein polynomial for the function x is

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x; x) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{r}{n} \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} = x \sum_{r=1}^n \binom{n-1}{r-1} x^{r-1} (1-x)^{n-r} \\ &= x \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{s} x^s (1-x)^{n-1-s} = x B_{n-1}(1; x) = x. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Example

$$B_n(x^2; x) = x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)}{n}. \quad (6)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x^2; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k^2}{n^2} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= x \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^{k-1} (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{x}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^{k-1} (1-x)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{x}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (s+1) \binom{n-1}{s} x^s (1-x)^{n-s-1} \end{aligned}$$

Example cont'd

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{x}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} s \binom{n-1}{s} x^s (1-x)^{n-s-1} + \frac{x}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{s} x^s (1-x)^{n-s-1} \\
 &= \frac{x}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{s}{n-1} (n-1) \binom{n-1}{s} x^s (1-x)^{n-s-1} + \frac{x}{n} \\
 &= \frac{x^2(n-1)}{n} + \frac{x}{n} \\
 &= x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Bernstein Polynomials

We call B_n the *Bernstein operator*; it maps a function f , defined on $[0, 1]$ to B_nf , where the function B_nf evaluated at x is denoted by $B_n(f; x)$. The Bernstein operator is obviously linear, since it follows from (2) that

$$B_n(\lambda f + \mu g) = \lambda B_nf + \mu B_ng \quad (7)$$

for all functions f and g defined on $[0, 1]$, and all real λ and μ .

Definition

Let L denote a linear operator that maps a function f defined on $[a, b]$ to a function Lf defined on $[c, d]$. Then L is said to be a *monotone* operator or, equivalently, a *positive* operator if

$$f(x) \geq g(x), \quad x \in [a, b] \Rightarrow (Lf)(x) \geq (Lg)(x), \quad Lf(x) \in [c, d], \quad (8)$$

where $(Lf)(x)$ denotes the value of Lf at the point $x \in [a, b]$.

We can see from (2) that B_n is a monotone operator.

Bernstein Polynomials

It then follows from the monotonicity of B_n and (4) that

$$m \leq f(x) \leq M, x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow m \leq B_n(f; x) \leq M, x \in [0, 1]. \quad (9)$$

In particular, if we choose $m = 0$ in (9), we obtain

$$f(x) \geq 0, x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow B_n(f; x) \geq 0, x \in [0, 1]. \quad (10)$$

It follows from (4), (5), and the linear property (7) that

$$B_n(ax + b; x) = ax + b, \quad (11)$$

for all real a and b . We therefore say that the Bernstein operator *reproduces* linear polynomials. The Bernstein operator does not reproduce any polynomial of degree greater than one[3].

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Bernstein Polynomials
- 3 Proof of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem with Bernstein Polynomials**
- 4 References

Weierstrass Approximation Theorem

Theorem

(Weierstrass Approximation Theorem) *Let $f \in C[a, b]$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, one can find a polynomial $p_n(x)$ for which*

$$|f(x) - p_n(x)| \leq \epsilon, \quad a \leq x \leq b. \quad (12)$$

Proof

Notice that the linear transformation $y = (x - a)/(b - a)$ converts the interval $[a, b]$ into $[0, 1]$. For this reason, it will be enough to consider $[0, 1]$ instead of $[a, b]$.

We will give the proof of theorem presented in [3]. Begin with the identity

$$\left(\frac{r}{n} - x\right)^2 = \left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)x + x^2,$$

multiply each term by $\binom{n}{r}x^r(1-x)^{n-r}$, and sum from $r = 0$ to n , to give

Proof cont'd

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r=0}^n \left(\frac{r}{n} - x\right)^2 \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} &= B_n(x^2; x) - 2xB_n(x; x) + x^2 B_n(1; x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} x(1-x).\end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $x \in [0, 1]$, let us estimate the sum of the polynomials $p_{n,r}(x) = \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r}$ over all values of r for which $\frac{r}{n}$ is not close to x . To make this notion precise, we choose a number $\delta > 0$ and let S_δ denote the set of all values of r satisfying $|\frac{r}{n} - x| \geq \delta$. We now consider the sum of the polynomials $p_{n,r}(x)$ over all $r \in S_\delta$. Note that $|\frac{r}{n} - x| \geq \delta$ implies that

Proof cont'd

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{r}{n} - x \right)^2 \geq 1. \quad (13)$$

Then, using (13), we have

$$\sum_{r \in S_\delta} \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{r \in S_\delta} \left(\frac{r}{n} - x \right)^2 \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r}.$$

The latter sum is not greater than the sum of the same expression over all r , so we have

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{r=0}^n \left(\frac{r}{n} - x \right)^2 \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} = \frac{x(1-x)}{n\delta^2}.$$

Proof cont'd

Since $0 \leq x(1-x) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ on $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\sum_{r \in S_\delta} \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} \leq \frac{1}{4n\delta^2}. \quad (14)$$

Let us write

$$\sum_{r=0}^n = \sum_{r \in S_\delta} + \sum_{r \notin S_\delta},$$

where the latter sum is therefore over all r such that $|\frac{r}{n} - x| < \delta$. Having split the summation into these two parts, we are now ready to estimate the difference between $f(x)$ and its *Bernstein polynomial*. Using (4), we have

Proof cont'd

$$f(x) - B_n(f; x) = \sum_{r=0}^n \left(f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right) \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - B_n(f; x) &= \sum_{r \in S_\delta} \left(f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right) \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r \notin S_\delta} \left(f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right) \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - B_n(f; x)| &\leq \sum_{r \in S_\delta} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right| \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r \notin S_\delta} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right| \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof cont'd

Since $f \in C[0, 1]$, it is bounded on $[0, 1]$, and we have $|f(x)| \leq M$, for some $M > 0$. We can therefore write

$$\left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right| \leq 2M$$

for all r and all $x \in [0, 1]$, and so

$$\sum_{r \in S_\delta} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right| \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} \leq 2M \sum_{r \in S_\delta} \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r}.$$

On using (14) we obtain

$$\sum_{r \in S_\delta} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right| \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} \leq \frac{M}{2n\delta^2} \quad (15)$$

Proof cont'd

Since f is continuous, it is also uniformly continuous, on $[0, 1]$. Thus, corresponding to any choice of $\epsilon > 0$ there is a number $\delta > 0$, depending on ϵ and f , such that

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

for all $x, x_0 \in [0, 1]$. Thus, for the sum over $r \notin S_\delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \notin S_\delta} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right| \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{r \notin S_\delta} \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, again using (4), we find that

$$\sum_{r \notin S_\delta} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) \right| \binom{n}{r} x^r (1-x)^{n-r} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (16)$$

Proof cont'd

On combining (14) and (16), we obtain

$$|f(x) - B_n(f; x)| < \frac{M}{2n\delta^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

It follows from the line above that if we choose $N > M/(e\delta^2)$, then

$$|f(x) - B_n(f; x)| < \epsilon$$

for all $n \geq N$, and this completes the proof. \square

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Bernstein Polynomials
- 3 Proof of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem with Bernstein Polynomials
- 4 References

References

- [1] E.T. Bell. *Men of Mathematics*. A Fireside book. Touchstone, 1986. ISBN: 9780671628185.
- [2] Gale. *Bernstein, Sergey Natanovich*. Encyclopedia.com. Available online:
<https://www.encyclopedia.com/science/dictionaries-thesauruses-pictures-and-press-releases/bernstein-sergey-natanovich> (Accessed on January 7, 2024). 2023. (Visited on 01/07/2024).
- [3] G.M. Phillips. *Interpolation and Approximation by Polynomials*. CMS Books in Mathematics. Springer, 2003. ISBN: 9780387002156.
- [4] W. Rudin. *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. International series in pure and applied mathematics. McGraw-Hill, 1976. ISBN: 9780070856134.

Thank you for listening!