034

035

036

038

041

043

044

045

046

047

048

049

051

053

054

Smoothing Variational Objectives with Sequential Monte Carlo for Nonlinear Dynamics

Anonymous Authors¹

Abstract

Conductance based models of excitable cells are widely used in computational neuroscience to characterize the spiking activity of individual neurons. Recovering the multidimensional nonlinear dynamics that govern a cell from a single observation is a challenging problem motivating the development of novel techniques in time series analysis. Sequential Monte Carlo methods have been used to construct objective functions for variational inference on time series to perform simultaneous model inference and learning. We develop smoothed variational objectives analogous to forward-backwards message passing. We demonstrate that the use of information from the full time ordered sequence of observations improves both the state estimation and the dynamics learned. Experiments show that this method compares favorably against state of the art methods for variational inference in nonlinear dynamical systems. TL;DR summary of paper

1. Introduction

motivate the statistical problem with neuroscience question. introduce the method and outline the paper. Conductance based models of excitable cells are widely used in computational neuroscience to characterize the spiking activity of individual neurons. Recovering the multidimensional nonlinear dynamics that govern a cell from a single observation is a challenging problem motivating the development of novel techniques in time series analysis. Recently Sequential Monte Carlo methods have been used to construct objective functions for variational inference on time series to perform simultaneous model inference and learning. We develop smoothed variational objectives analogous to forward-backwards message passing. We demon-

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute.

strate that the use of information from the full time ordered sequence of observations improves both the state estimation and the dynamics learned. Experiments show that this method compares favorably against state of the art methods for variational inference in nonlinear dynamical systems.

talk about dimensionality expansion, dimensionality reduction, prediction.

2. Related Work

Discuss SMC based methods including AESMC, VSMC, FIVO.

Contrast with pure VI methods including VRNN, DKF, LFADS, GfLDS, VIND.

3. Theory

3.1. Sequential Monte Carlo

Give a brief review of SMC and VI. Why is this approach promising as opposed to pure VI?

SMC methods factorize an ordered sequence of observations $\mathbf{X} \equiv \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots \mathbf{x}_T\}$, $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d_X}$ governed by an ordered a sequence of latent variables $\mathbf{Z} \equiv \{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots \mathbf{z}_T\}$, $\mathbf{z}_T \in \mathbb{R}^{d_Z}$ that evolve according to stochastic dynamics. The target distribution $\{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t|\mathbf{x}_t)\}_{t=1}^T$ is assumed intractable due to

SMC factorizes the target distribution $\{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t|\mathbf{x}_t)\}_{t=1}^T$ into a sequence of distributions of increasing spaces.

3.2. Auto-Encoding Sequential Monte Carlo

Recap main idea from AESMC and FIVO.

$$\log p(\mathbf{X}) = \log \int p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}) d\mathbf{Z} = \log \frac{p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z})}{p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X})}.$$
 (1)

$$\log p(\mathbf{X}) \ge \mathcal{L}_{\text{ELBO}}(\mathbf{X}) = \underset{q}{\mathbb{E}}[\log p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z})] - \underset{q}{\mathbb{E}}[\log q(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X})].$$
(2)

$$\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{SMC} \equiv \prod_{t=1}^{T} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_t^{(n)} \right]$$
 (3)

¹Anonymous Institution, Anonymous City, Anonymous Region, Anonymous Country. Correspondence to: Anonymous Author <anon.email@domain.com>.

3.3. Smoothing Variational Objectives

Emphasize novelty of the proposed model, novelty of the experiments and the results. Discuss the implementation details.

The big question to answer is, how is this different from AESMC or FIVO in terms of theory? what is unique with respect to the applications and results?

nonlinear time invariant function learned on a smoothed SMC objective.

4. Results

056

057

058

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

073 074

075

076

077

078

079

081 082

083

084

085

086

087

088

094

095 096

097 098

099

100

104 105

106

109

Define quantitative evaluation metric. Motivate the use of k-step MSE_k and k-step R_k^2 .

$$\text{MSE}_k = \sum_{t=0}^{T-k} \left(\mathbf{x}_{t+k} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+k}\right)^2, \quad R_k^2 = 1 - \frac{k \text{MSE}}{\sum_{t=0}^{T-k} \left(\mathbf{x}_{t+k} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\right)^2} \frac{\text{M., Mnih, A., Doucet, A., and Teh, Y. Filtering variational objectives. In Guyon, I., Luxburg, U. V., Bengio, S., Wallach, H., Fergus, R., Vishwanathan, S., and Garnett, R.$$

4.1. Fitzhugh Nagumo

$$\dot{V} = f(V) - W + I_{ext},$$

$$\dot{W} = a(bV - cW) \tag{5}$$

4.2. Lorenz Attractor

$$\dot{z}_1 = \sigma(z_2 - z_1),
\dot{z}_2 = z_1(\rho - z_3) - z_2,
\dot{z}_3 = z_1 z_2 - \beta z_3.$$
(6)

4.3. Single Cell Recordings

5. Discussion

References

- Anonymous. A novel variational family for hidden nonlinear markov models. In Submitted to International Conference on Learning Representations, 2019. under review.
- Burda, Y., Grosse, R. B., and Salakhutdinov, R. Importance weighted autoencoders. CoRR, abs/1509.00519, 2015.
- Chung, J., Kastner, K., Dinh, L., Goel, K., Courville, A. C., and Bengio, Y. A recurrent latent variable model for sequential data. In Cortes, C., Lawrence, N. D., Lee, D. D., Sugiyama, M., and Garnett, R. (eds.), Advances

- in Neural Information Processing Systems 28, pp. 2980– 2988. Curran Associates, Inc., 2015.
- Gao, Y., Archer, E. W., Paninski, L., and Cunningham, J. P. Linear dynamical neural population models through nonlinear embeddings. In Lee, D. D., Sugiyama, M., Luxburg, U. V., Guyon, I., and Garnett, R. (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 29, pp. 163–171. Curran Associates, Inc., 2016.
- Kingma, D. P. and Welling, M. Auto-encoding variational bayes. CoRR, abs/1312.6114, 2013.
- Le, T. A., Igl, M., Rainforth, T., Jin, T., and Wood, F. Autoencoding sequential monte carlo. In International Conference on Learning Representations, 2018.
- Maddison, C. J., Lawson, J., Tucker, G., Heess, N., Norouzi, (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30, pp. 6573–6583. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.
- Naesseth, C. A., Linderman, S. W., Ranganath, R., and Blei, D. M. Variational sequential monte carlo. In AISTATS, volume 84 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 968-977. PMLR, 2018.
- Salimans, T., Kingma, D. P., and Welling, M. Markov chain monte carlo and variational inference: Bridging the gap. In Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2015, Lille, France, 6-11 July 2015, pp. 1218-1226, 2015.
- Sussillo, D., Józefowicz, R., Abbott, L. F., and Pandarinath, C. LFADS - latent factor analysis via dynamical systems. CoRR, abs/1608.06315, 2016.

