# The Economic Value of Credit Rating Systems

Quantifying the Benefits of Improving an Internal Credit Rating System

Andrija Djurovic

www.linkedin.com/in/andrija-djurovic

# Economic Value of Credit Rating Systems

- Poor statistical power in a bank's internal rating system can negatively impact economic performance due to adverse selection.
- Adverse selection occurs when customers with better credit quality than those assessed by the bank leave, leaving behind a portfolio with lower-than-expected credit quality.
- Enhancing the statistical power of a rating system can positively influence economic performance.
- The magnitude of this positive impact depends on the competitiveness of the market environment.
- Investing in rating system improvements entails organizational, IT, and data management expenses. Therefore, comparing the benefits of enhancement against these costs can be valuable for banks.
- The following slides outline a framework for quantifying the benefits of such an investment. For more details on the proposed framework, refer to this document.

# Modeling Framework - Key Concepts and Assumptions

The following points outline the main concepts and assumptions of the modeling framework for evaluating the benefits of an improved credit rating system:

- Statistical Power: Improved accuracy in estimating individual Probabilities of Default (PD) reduces adverse selection and impacts pricing.
- Adverse Selection: Poor rating systems may misprice risk, leading to higher-quality customers leaving and only riskier clients remaining.
- Market Competitivity (Customer Elasticity): Modeled by the probability that customers switch banks if offered unfavorable credit spreads.
- Customer Response to Spread Errors: If overestimated PD results in a high spread, the customer might leave, modeled by elasticity parameter alpha.

# The Loan Pricing Mechanism

Assuming the bank adopts risk-adjusted pricing, the loan pricing mechanism can be outlined as follows:

- The bank must charge an interest rate r to cover all "general" costs unrelated to credit risk and expected losses.
- Credit risk associated with expected losses is accounted for by adding a credit spread s.
- The credit spread depends on the Probability of Default (PD) and the Loss Given Default (LGD) of the individual exposures. The bank receives 1+r+s if no default occurs. If a default occurs, the bank receives  $(1+r+s) \cdot (1-LGD)$ .
- The expected payoff of the loan must equal the risk-free payoff, leading to the equation:

$$1 + r = (1 - PD) \cdot (1 + r + s) + PD \cdot (1 - LGD) \cdot (1 + r + s)$$

Solving for the credit spread s gives:

$$s = (1 + r) \cdot \frac{PD \cdot LGD}{1 - PD \cdot LGD}$$

## The Adverse Selection Concept

Building on the pricing mechanism outlined in the previous slide, the concept of adverse selection can be explained as follows:

① Customers offered a spread that is too high are likely to leave the bank with a probability that depends on the magnitude m of the deviation from the spread corresponding to their true PD. The magnitude of this deviation is defined as:

$$m = s_{estimated} - s_{true} = (1 + r) \cdot \frac{PD_{estimated} \cdot LGD}{1 - PD_{estimated} \cdot LGD} - (1 + r) \cdot \frac{PD_{true} \cdot LGD}{1 - PD_{true} \cdot LGD}$$

2 Assuming PD<sub>estimated</sub> is available with a given measurement error  $\sigma$ , it is defined as:

$$PD_{estimated} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-}(score_{true} + \sigma)}$$

with the score<sub>true</sub> given by  $In(\frac{1-PD_{true}}{PD_{true}})$ .

Based on customer elasticity  $(\alpha)$  and the magnitude of the spread deviation (m), the probability of leaving can be defined as:

$$P(Leave) = 1 - e^{-\alpha \cdot m}$$

This probability models the impact of adverse selection.

oxineq 0 For customers who remain with the bank after being offered the spread, the individual loan return  $r_i$  is defined as:

$$1 + \mathsf{r}_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 + \mathsf{r} + \mathsf{s} & : \ \textit{default} = 0 \\ (1 + \mathsf{r} + \mathsf{s}) \cdot (1 - \mathsf{LGD}) & : \ \textit{default} = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

Simplify given the individual customer returns, the portfolio return is calculated as:

$$r_{\text{portfolio}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i$$

where N is the number of customers who stayed with the bank.

## Simulation Setup

The following points outline the simulation setup:

- ① Define n = 10,000 as the total number of customers.
- For each customer, simulate the PD using a random beta distribution with parameters shape1 = 0.7 and shape2 = 37.6.
- For each customer, simulate a default indicator equal to 1 if a random number from the uniform distribution (min = 0, max = 1) is less than the PD; otherwise, set it to 0.
- **1** Define measurement errors  $\sigma$  as [2, 0.5, 0.1, 0.01] for rating systems with low, medium, high, and perfect accuracy, respectively.
- Assume a constant LGD value of 45% for all customers.
- **6** Assume medium customer elasticity  $\alpha$  equals 500.
- Define the interest rate r for all "general" costs as 0.03.
- Calculate the change (increase) in portfolio returns from transitioning from a rating system with low accuracy to systems with medium, high, and perfect accuracy.

#### Note:

The above simulation setup is merely designed for simulation purposes. Practitioners are encouraged to test real-world figures and adjust the simulation design to incorporate the effects of other parameters as needed.

### Simulation Results

# ## Accuracy Expected Return ## Low 0.0253 ## Medium 0.0284 ## High 0.0298 ## Perfect 0.0300

#### Portfolio Returns Increase (in bps.)

##	Improvement			Return	Increase
##	Low	->	Medium		31.05
##	Low	->	High		44.85
##	Low	->	Perfect		46.70

