RBE 595 — Reinforcement Learning $Assignment \ \#6$ Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

Arjan Gupta

What is "planning" in the context of Reinforcement Learning?

Answer

In the context of Reinforcement Learning, planning is the process of using a model of the environment to improve the policy.

A model of the environment is a representation of the environment that is used to predict what next state and reward will be given a current state and action. Once we have a model, we can use it to simulate the environment and produce a simulated experience, in the form of an episode. Then we can use this simulated experience to improve the policy. This is the process of **planning**.

What is the difference between Dyna-Q and Dyna-Q+ algorithms?

Answer

The problem with Dyna-Q is that it does not balance exploration and exploitation well in the planning phase. This is because the planning phase is greedy. Only the learning phase is ϵ -greedy. The reason that we want to also explore in the planning phase is that the model may need to change if the environment changes over time.

Dyna-Q+ is like Dyna-Q, except that it adds an exploration 'bonus' to the planning phase. What this means is that we essentially provide a bonus reward in the planning phase for states that have not been visited in a long time. This encourages the agent to explore in the planning phase. Specifically, the bonus reward is given by:

$$R = r + \kappa \sqrt{\tau(s, a)}$$

Where r is the reward received, κ is a constant of our choice, and $\tau(s, a)$ is the number of time steps since the last visit to state s after taking action a. It is important to note that the bonus reward is only given in the planning phase, and not during regular interaction with the real environment.

In off-policy learning, what are the pros and cons of the Tree-Backup algorithm versus off-policy SARSA (comment on the complexity, exploration, variance, and bias, and others)?

Answer

The pros and cons of the Tree-Backup algorithm versus off-policy SARSA are as follows:

- Complexity: The computational complexity of both the Tree-Backup algorithm and off-policy SARSA over a single episode is $O(n^2)$, where n is the number of steps in the episode. This is because the outer loop of both algorithms iterates over the steps in the episode, and the inner loop of both algorithms are used to calculate iterative sums for the estimate of the return. In the case of off-policy SARSA, one of the inner loops is also used to calculate the importance sampling ratio. For k episodes, the complexity of both algorithms is $O(kn^2)$. Therefore, from a complexity standpoint, neither algorithm is better than the other.
- Exploration: Both the Tree-Backup algorithm and off-policy SARSA are off-policy algorithms. Therefore, both algorithms can be used to explore the environment.
- Variance: The variance of the Tree-Backup algorithm is lower than that of off-policy SARSA. This is because the off-policy SARSA algorithm uses the importance sampling ratio, which can cause the variance of the estimate of the return to increase, especially when control variates are not used. The Tree-Backup algorithm does not use the importance sampling ratio, and therefore does not have this problem.
- Bias: As a trade-off for lower variance, the Tree-Backup algorithm has higher bias than off-policy SARSA.
- Others: One benefit of using Tree-Backup algorithm over off-policy SARSA is that the Tree-Backup algorithm can be used when we have no knowledge of the underlying distribution of the behavior policy. This can be useful depending on the application, for example, if the behavior policy is a human, and we have no knowledge of the human's decision-making process.

(Exercise 7.4) Prove that the n-step return of Sarsa (7.4) can be written exactly in terms of a novel TD error, as

$$G_{t:t+n} = Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t) + \sum_{k=t}^{\min(t+n, T)-1} \gamma^{k-t} [R_{k+1} + \gamma Q_k(S_{k+1}, A_{k+1}) - Q_{k-1}(S_k, A_k)]$$
 (7.6)

Answer

The n-step return of Sarsa (7.4) is as follows:

$$G_{t:t+n} = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \ldots + \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n} + \gamma^n Q_{t+n-1}(S_{t+n}, A_{t+n}), \quad n \ge 1, \quad 0 \le t < T - n$$

We can rewrite this as follows:

$$G_{t:t+n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma^{i-1} R_{t+i} + \gamma^n Q_{t+n-1}(S_{t+n}, A_{t+n})$$
 (Equation 1, Problem 4)

Now we take two cases of equation 7.6 — one where t + n < T, and one where $t + n \ge T$.

Case 1: t + n < T

In this case, we have min(t+n,T)=t+n. Therefore, equation 7.6 becomes:

$$G_{t:t+n} = Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t) + \sum_{k=t}^{t+n-1} \gamma^{k-t} [R_{k+1} + \gamma Q_k(S_{k+1}, A_{k+1}) - Q_{k-1}(S_k, A_k)]$$

Let us expand the summation in the above equation:

$$G_{t:t+n} = Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t) + \gamma^0 [R_{t+1} + \gamma Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t)]$$

$$+ \gamma^1 [R_{t+2} + \gamma Q_{t+1}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1})]$$

$$+ \gamma^2 [R_{t+3} + \gamma Q_{t+2}(S_{t+3}, A_{t+3}) - Q_{t+1}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2})]$$

$$+ \gamma^3 [R_{t+4} + \gamma Q_{t+3}(S_{t+4}, A_{t+4}) - Q_{t+2}(S_{t+3}, A_{t+3})]$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ \gamma^{n-1} [R_{t+n} + \gamma Q_{t+n-1}(S_{t+n}, A_{t+n}) - Q_{t+n-2}(S_{t+n-1}, A_{t+n-1})]$$

$$= Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t)$$

$$+ R_{t+1} + \gamma Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t)$$

$$+ \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 Q_{t+1}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - \gamma Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1})$$

$$+ \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \gamma^3 Q_{t+2}(S_{t+3}, A_{t+3}) - \gamma^2 Q_{t+1}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2})$$

$$+ \gamma^3 R_{t+4} + \gamma^4 Q_{t+3}(S_{t+4}, A_{t+4}) - \gamma^3 Q_{t+2}(S_{t+3}, A_{t+3})$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n} + \gamma^n Q_{t+n-1}(S_{t+n}, A_{t+n}) - \gamma^{n-1} Q_{t+n-2}(S_{t+n-1}, A_{t+n-1})$$

However, we can see that the terms in the above equation cancel out. The cancellation pattern is, $Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t)$ from the first line gets cancelled by the last term in the second line, $\gamma Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1})$ gets cancelled by the last term in the third line, and so on.

Therefore, we are left with the following:

$$G_{t:t+n} = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n} + \gamma^n Q_{t+n-1} (S_{t+n}, A_{t+n})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma^{i-1} R_{t+i} + \gamma^n Q_{t+n-1} (S_{t+n}, A_{t+n})$$

Which is the same as the rewritten form of the n-step return of Sarsa (7.4), as shown in equation 1 of this Problem. Therefore, this case is proven.

Case 2: t + n > T

In this case, we have min(t+n,T)=T. Let us assume that t+n overshoots T by x steps, where $x \ge 0$. So, t+n=T+x, or T=t+n-x. Therefore, equation 7.6 becomes:

$$G_{t:t+n} = Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t) + \sum_{k=t}^{t+n-x-1} \gamma^{k-t} [R_{k+1} + \gamma Q_k(S_{k+1}, A_{k+1}) - Q_{k-1}(S_k, A_k)]$$

Let us expand the summation in the above equation:

$$G_{t:t+n} = Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t) + \gamma^0 [R_{t+1} + \gamma Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t)]$$

$$+ \gamma^1 [R_{t+2} + \gamma Q_{t+1}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1})]$$

$$+ \gamma^2 [R_{t+3} + \gamma Q_{t+2}(S_{t+3}, A_{t+3}) - Q_{t+1}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2})]$$

$$+ \gamma^3 [R_{t+4} + \gamma Q_{t+3}(S_{t+4}, A_{t+4}) - Q_{t+2}(S_{t+3}, A_{t+3})]$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ \gamma^{n-x-1} [R_{t+n-x} + \gamma Q_{t+n-x-1}(S_{t+n-x}, A_{t+n-x}) - Q_{t+n-x-2}(S_{t+n-x-1}, A_{t+n-x-1})]$$

$$= Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t)$$

$$+ R_{t+1} + \gamma Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q_{t-1}(S_t, A_t)$$

$$+ \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 Q_{t+1}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - \gamma Q_t(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1})$$

$$+ \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \gamma^3 Q_{t+2}(S_{t+3}, A_{t+3}) - \gamma^2 Q_{t+1}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2})$$

$$+ \gamma^3 R_{t+4} + \gamma^4 Q_{t+3}(S_{t+4}, A_{t+4}) - \gamma^3 Q_{t+2}(S_{t+3}, A_{t+3})$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ \gamma^{n-x-1} R_{t+n-x} + \gamma^{n-x} Q_{t+n-x-1}(S_{t+n-x}, A_{t+n-x}) - \gamma^{n-x-1} Q_{t+n-x-2}(S_{t+n-x-1}, A_{t+n-x-1})$$

However, we can see that the terms in the above equation cancel out in the same way as in Case 1.

$$G_{t:t+n} = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{n-x-1} R_{t+n-x} + \gamma^{n-x} Q_{t+n-x-1} (S_{t+n-x}, A_{t+n-x})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-x} \gamma^{i-1} R_{t+i} + \gamma^{n-x} Q_{t+n-x-1} (S_{t+n-x}, A_{t+n-x})$$

And as we assumed, x is the number of steps by which t + n overshoots T. Therefore, n - x is the 'reduced horizon' of the n-step return of Sarsa (7.4). So, in general, as the horizon shrinks, we can keep taking n - x = n steps, and thus the equation above can simply be written generally as:

$$G_{t:t+n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma^{i-1} R_{t+i} + \gamma^n Q_{t+n-1}(S_{t+n}, A_{t+n})$$

Which is the same as the rewritten form of the n-step return of Sarsa (7.4), as shown in equation 1 of this Problem. Therefore, this case is also proven.