

**RBE 595 — Reinforcement Learning**  
**Chapter #7 Assignment**  
**n-step Bootstrapping**

Arjan Gupta

## Problem 1

The first episode of an agent interacting with an environment under policy  $\pi$  is as follows:

Timestep	Reward	State	Action
0		X	U1
1	16	X	U2
2	12	X	U1
3	24	X	U1
4	16	T	

Assume discount factor,  $\gamma = 0.5$ , step size  $\alpha = 0.1$  and  $q_\pi$  is initially zero. What are the estimates of  $q_\pi(X, U1)$  and  $q_\pi(X, U2)$  using 2-step SARSA?

### Answer

The estimates of  $q_\pi(X, U1)$  and  $q_\pi(X, U2)$  using 2-step SARSA are as follows:

#### Timestep 0

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_\pi(X, U1) &= q_\pi(X, U1) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 q_\pi(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - q_\pi(X, U1)] \\
 &= 0 + 0.1 [16 + 0.5 \cdot 12 + 0.5^2 \cdot 0 - 0] \\
 &= 0 + 0.1 [16 + 6 - 0] \\
 &= 0 + 0.1 [22] \\
 &= 0 + 2.2 \\
 &= 2.2
 \end{aligned}$$

#### Timestep 1

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_\pi(X, U2) &= q_\pi(X, U2) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 q_\pi(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - q_\pi(X, U2)] \\
 &= 0 + 0.1 [12 + 0.5 \cdot 24 + 0.5^2 \cdot q_\pi(X, U1) - 0] \\
 &= 0 + 0.1 [12 + 12 + 0.25 \cdot 2.2] \\
 &= 0 + 0.1 [24 + 0.55] \\
 &= 0 + 0.1 [24.55] \\
 &= 2.455
 \end{aligned}$$

#### Timestep 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_\pi(X, U1) &= q_\pi(X, U1) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 q_\pi(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - q_\pi(X, U1)] \\
 &= 2.2 + 0.1 [24 + 0.5 \cdot 16 + 0.5^2 \cdot q_\pi(T) - 2.2] \\
 &= 2.2 + 0.1 [24 + 8 + 0 - 2.2] \\
 &= 2.2 + 0.1 [29.8] \\
 &= 2.2 + 2.98 \\
 &= 5.18
 \end{aligned}$$

**Timestep 3**

$$\begin{aligned}q_{\pi}(X, U1) &= q_{\pi}(X, U1) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma q_{\pi}(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - q_{\pi}(X, U1)] \\&= 5.18 + 0.1 [16 + 0.5 \cdot q_{\pi}(T) - 5.18] \\&= 5.18 + 0.1 [16 + 0 - 5.18] \\&= 5.18 + 0.1 [10.82] \\&= 6.262\end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2

What is the purpose of introducing Control Variates in per-decision importance sampling?

### Answer

The purpose of introducing Control Variates in per-decision importance sampling is to further reduce the variance of the estimate of the return.

Plain per-decision importance sampling reduces the variance of the estimate of the return by making sure that the estimate of the whole return is not 0 every time the behavior policy takes an action that the target policy would not have taken. However, the variance of the estimate of the return can be decreased even further by using Control Variates. This is done by using a ‘control variate term’ in the equation for  $G_{t:h}$ . Without control variates, the equation for  $G_{t:h}$  is as follows:

$$G_{t:h} = R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1:h}, \quad t < h < T$$

With control variates, the equation for  $G_{t:h}$  is as follows:

$$G_{t:h} \doteq \rho_t [R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1:h}] + (1 - \rho_t) V_{h-1}(S_t), \quad t < h < T$$

Where the control variate term is  $(1 - \rho_t) V_{h-1}(S_t)$ .

This equation uses a ‘convex combination’ of the estimate of the two terms. This way, when  $\rho_t = 0$ , we have  $G_{t:h} = V_{h-1}(S_t)$ , which is the current estimate of the return for the current state. Overall this reduces the ‘jaggedness’ in the plot of the estimate of the return over time, which reduces the variance of the estimate of the return.

It is also possible to prove that the control variate term does not add bias to the estimate of the return.

### Control variates for action-value estimation

With control-estimates, the equation for  $G_{t:h}$  in action-value estimation is as follows:

$$G_{t:h} = R_{t+1} + \gamma \rho_{t+1} (G_{t+1:h} - Q(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1})) + \gamma V_{h-1}(S_{t+1})$$

Where  $V(S) = \sum_a \pi(a|S) Q(S, a)$ .

Here, when  $\rho_t = 0$ , we have  $G_{t:h} = R_{t+1} + \gamma V_{h-1}(S_{t+1})$ , instead of simply  $G_{t:h} = R_{t+1}$ , which would be the case without control variates. This also reduces the variance of the estimate of the return.

## Problem 3

In off-policy learning, what are the pros and cons of the Tree-Backup algorithm versus off-policy SARSA (comment on the complexity, exploration, variance, and bias, and others)?

### Answer

The pros and cons of the Tree-Backup algorithm versus off-policy SARSA are as follows:

- **Complexity:** The complexity of the Tree-Backup algorithm is  $O(n)$ , where  $n$  is the number of steps. The complexity of off-policy SARSA is  $O(1)$ .
- **Exploration:** The Tree-Backup algorithm explores the environment by following the policy  $\pi$  and then following the behavior policy  $\mu$  for the remaining steps. Off-policy SARSA explores the environment by following the behavior policy  $\mu$  for all steps.
- **Variance:** The variance of the Tree-Backup algorithm is lower than that of off-policy SARSA. This is because the Tree-Backup algorithm uses a control variate term to reduce the variance of the estimate.
- **Bias:** The bias of the Tree-Backup algorithm is higher than that of off-policy SARSA. This is because the Tree-Backup algorithm uses a control variate term to reduce the variance of the estimate.
- **Others:** The Tree-Backup algorithm is an on-policy algorithm, while off-policy SARSA is an off-policy algorithm.

## Problem 4

Assume that we have two states  $x$  and  $y$  with the current value of  $V(x) = 10$ ,  $V(y) = 1$ . We run an episode of  $\{x, 3, y, 0, y, 5, T\}$ . What's the new estimate of  $V(x)$ ,  $V(y)$  using TD (assume step size  $\alpha = 0.1$  and discount rate  $\gamma = 0.9$ ).

### Answer

The new estimate of  $V(x)$  is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(x) &= V(x) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(x)] \\
 &= 10 + 0.1 [3 + 0.9 \cdot 1 - 10] \\
 &= 10 + 0.1 [3.9 - 10] \\
 &= 10 + 0.1 [-6.1] \\
 &= 10 - 0.61 \\
 &= 9.39
 \end{aligned}$$

However,  $V(y)$  gets updated twice in this episode. The first update is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(y) &= V(y) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(y)] \\
 &= 1 + 0.1 [0 + 0.9 \cdot 1 - 1] \\
 &= 1 + 0.1 [0.9 - 1] \\
 &= 1 + 0.1 [-0.1] \\
 &= 1 - 0.01 \\
 &= 0.99
 \end{aligned}$$

The second update is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(y) &= V(y) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(y)] \\
 &= 0.99 + 0.1 [5 + 0.9 \cdot 0 - 0.99] \\
 &= 0.99 + 0.1 [5 - 0.99] \\
 &= 0.99 + 0.1 [4.01] \\
 &= 0.99 + 0.401 \\
 &= 1.391
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the new estimate of  $V(x)$  is 9.39 and the new estimate of  $V(y)$  is 1.391.