# RBE 595 — Reinforcement Learning Chapter #7 Assignment n-step Bootstrapping

Arjan Gupta

The first episode of an agent interacting with an environment under policy  $\pi$  is as follows:

Times	tep	Reward	State	Action
0			X	U1
1		16	X	U2
2		12	X	U1
3		24	X	U1
4		16	${ m T}$	

Assume discount factor,  $\gamma = 0.5$ , step size  $\alpha = 0.1$  and  $q_{\pi}$  is initially zero. What are the estimates of  $q_{\pi}(X, U1)$  and  $q_{\pi}(X, U2)$  using 2-step SARSA?

### Answer

The estimates of  $q_{\pi}(X,U1)$  and  $q_{\pi}(X,U2)$  using 2-step SARSA are as follows:

#### Timestep 0

$$q_{\pi}(X, U1) = q_{\pi}(X, U1) + \alpha \left[ R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 q_{\pi}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - q_{\pi}(X, U1) \right]$$

$$= 0 + 0.1 \left[ 16 + 0.5 \cdot 12 + 0.5^2 \cdot 0 - 0 \right]$$

$$= 0 + 0.1 \left[ 16 + 6 - 0 \right]$$

$$= 0 + 0.1 \left[ 22 \right]$$

$$= 0 + 2.2$$

$$= 2.2$$

### Timestep 1

$$q_{\pi}(X, U2) = q_{\pi}(X, U2) + \alpha \left[ R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 q_{\pi}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - q_{\pi}(X, U2) \right]$$

$$= 0 + 0.1 \left[ 12 + 0.5 \cdot 24 + 0.5^2 \cdot q_{\pi}(X, U1) - 0 \right]$$

$$= 0 + 0.1 \left[ 12 + 12 - 2.2 \right]$$

$$= 0 + 0.1 \left[ 24 - 2.2 \right]$$

$$= 0 + 0.1 \left[ 21.8 \right]$$

$$= 2.18$$

### Timestep 2

$$q_{\pi}(X, U1) = q_{\pi}(X, U1) + \alpha \left[ R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 q_{\pi}(S_{t+2}, A_{t+2}) - q_{\pi}(X, U1) \right]$$

$$= 2.2 + 0.1 \left[ 24 + 0.5 \cdot 16 + 0.5^2 \cdot 0 - 2.2 \right]$$

$$= 2.2 + 0.1 \left[ 24 + 8 - 2.2 \right]$$

$$= 2.2 + 0.1 \left[ 30.8 \right]$$

$$= 5.18$$

Therefore, the estimate of  $q_{\pi}(X, U1)$  is 5.18 and the estimate of  $q_{\pi}(X, U2)$  is 2.18.

What is the purpose of introducing Control Variates in per-decision importance sampling?

### Answer

The purpose of introducing Control Variates in per-decision importance sampling is to reduce the variance of G. This is done by using a linear combination of the original estimate and a control variate term. The updated equation is shown below:

$$G_{t:h} = \rho_t(R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1:h}) + (1 - \rho_t)V_{h-1}(S_t)$$

Where the second term is the control variate term.

In off-policy learning, what are the pros and cons of the Tree-Backup algorithm versus off-policy SARSA (comment on the complexity, exploration, variance, and bias, and others)?

### Answer

The pros and cons of the Tree-Backup algorithm versus off-policy SARSA are as follows:

- Complexity: The complexity of the Tree-Backup algorithm is O(n), where n is the number of steps. The complexity of off-policy SARSA is O(1).
- Exploration: The Tree-Backup algorithm explores the environment by following the policy  $\pi$  and then following the behavior policy  $\mu$  for the remaining steps. Off-policy SARSA explores the environment by following the behavior policy  $\mu$  for all steps.
- Variance: The variance of the Tree-Backup algorithm is lower than that of off-policy SARSA. This is because the Tree-Backup algorithm uses a control variate term to reduce the variance of the estimate.
- Bias: The bias of the Tree-Backup algorithm is higher than that of off-policy SARSA. This is because the Tree-Backup algorithm uses a control variate term to reduce the variance of the estimate.
- Others: The Tree-Backup algorithm is an on-policy algorithm, while off-policy SARSA is an off-policy algorithm.

Assume that we have two states x and y with the current value of V(x) = 10, V(y) = 1. We run an episode of  $\{x, 3, y, 0, y, 5, T\}$ . What's the new estimate of V(x), V(y) using TD (assume step size  $\alpha = 0.1$  and discount rate  $\gamma = 0.9$ ).

### Answer

The new estimate of V(x) is as follows:

$$V(x) = V(x) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(x)]$$

$$= 10 + 0.1 [3 + 0.9 \cdot 1 - 10]$$

$$= 10 + 0.1 [3.9 - 10]$$

$$= 10 + 0.1 [-6.1]$$

$$= 10 - 0.61$$

$$= 9.39$$

However, V(y) gets updated twice in this episode. The first update is as follows:

$$V(y) = V(y) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(y)]$$

$$= 1 + 0.1 [0 + 0.9 \cdot 1 - 1]$$

$$= 1 + 0.1 [0.9 - 1]$$

$$= 1 + 0.1 [-0.1]$$

$$= 1 - 0.01$$

$$= 0.99$$

The second update is as follows:

$$V(y) = V(y) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(y)]$$

$$= 0.99 + 0.1 [5 + 0.9 \cdot 0 - 0.99]$$

$$= 0.99 + 0.1 [5 - 0.99]$$

$$= 0.99 + 0.1 [4.01]$$

$$= 0.99 + 0.401$$

$$= 1.391$$

Therefore, the new estimate of V(x) is 9.39 and the new estimate of V(y) is 1.391.