# RBE 595 — Reinforcement Learning Week #2 Assignment

Arjan Gupta

# Problem 1

What is the benefit of incremental update for action-value function, versus non-incremental?

#### Answer

The non-incremental implementation of the action-value function requires that we store all of the rewards that have been seen so far in each time-step. The formula for this would be,

$$Q_n = \frac{R_1 + R_2 + \dots + R_{n-1}}{n-1}$$

Where  $Q_n$  is the current estimation of the reward R after n-1 time-steps. The problem with this approach is that, as the number of time-steps increases, the amount of memory required to store all of the rewards increases linearly, i.e. the space complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

Instead, we use the incremental update approach, which uses  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  space complexity (constant memory). The formula for this can be derived as follows,

$$Q_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (R_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} R_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (R_n + (n-1) \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} R_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (R_n + (n-1)Q_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (R_n + nQ_n - Q_n)$$

$$= Q_n + \frac{1}{n} (R_n - Q_n)$$

Computationally, this incremental approach is better as well, because it only requires one addition, one subtraction, and one division per time-step. The non-incremental approach requires n-1 additions, 1 subtraction, and one division per time-step. Therefore, the incremental approach is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  in terms of time complexity, while the non-incremental approach is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

# Problem 4.10

Two frames  $o_0x_0y_0z_0$  and  $o_1x_1y_1z_1$  are related by the homogeneous transformation

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A particle has velocity  $v_1(t) = (3, 1, 0)$  relative to frame  $o_1x_1y_1z_1$ . What is the velocity of the particle in frame  $o_0x_0y_0z_0$ ?

### Solution

The given H is the homogeneous transformation  $H_1^0$ . Therefore,  $R_1^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $o_1^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

At any given point in time, the position of the particle with respect to frame  $o_1x_1y_1z_1$  is given as  $p^1(t)$ . We know that

$$p^0(t) = R_1^0 p^1(t) + o_1^0$$

Taking the derivative of both sides and using the product rule, we get

$$v^{0}(t) = \dot{R}_{1}^{0} p^{1}(t) + R_{1}^{0} v^{1}(t) + 0$$

But,  $\dot{R}_1^0=0$  since  $R_1^0$  is a constant in time. Therefore,

$$v^{0}(t) = R_{1}^{0}v^{1}(t)$$

$$v^{0}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v^{0}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which was calculated by the following MATLAB script

## Problem 4.15

Find the  $6 \times 3$  Jacobian for the three links of the cylindrical manipulator of Figure 3.7. Find the singular configurations for this arm.

#### Solution

Figure 3.7 of our book is the following.

We can see that we have 3 joints, so n=3. Let us also form the table given in the lecture videos:

	Linear component	Angular component	
Revolute joint	$J_{v_i} = z_{i-1}^0 \times (o_n^0 - o_{i-1}^0)$	$J_{v_i} = z_{i-1}^0$	
Prismatic joint	$J_{\omega_i} = z_{i-1}^0$	$J_{\omega_i} = 0$	

Using this table, and the fact that the upper half of the Jacobian contains linear components while the bottom half contains angular components, we have

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} z_0 \times (o_3 - o_0) & z_1 & z_2 \\ z_0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In this Jacobian matrix, we know that  $z_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $o_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . To find  $z_1, z_2$ , and  $o_3$ , we need to find

 $T_n^0 = A_1 \dots A_n$  for n = 1, 2, 3. Following the DH convention (which Figure 3.7 already abides by), we have the following table for quantities  $\alpha_i, a_i, \theta_i, d_i$ .

Link	$\alpha_i$	$a_i$	$\theta_i$	$d_i$
1	0	0	$\theta_1$	$d_1$
2	-90°	0	0	$d_2$
3	0	0	0	$d_3$

Which gives us the following  $A_i$  matrices.

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\theta_1\right) & -\sin\left(\theta_1\right) & 0 & 0 \\ \sin\left(\theta_1\right) & \cos\left(\theta_1\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & d_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & d_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can compute our T matrices using the following MATLAB code,

$$T_1^0 = A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_1) & -\sin(\theta_1) & 0 & 0\\ \sin(\theta_1) & \cos(\theta_1) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & d_1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_2^0 = A_1 A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_1) & 0 & -\sin(\theta_1) & 0\\ \sin(\theta_1) & 0 & \cos(\theta_1) & 0\\ 0 & -1 & 0 & d_1 + d_2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_3^0 = A_1 A_2 A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_1) & 0 & -\sin(\theta_1) & -d_3 \sin(\theta_1) \\ \sin(\theta_1) & 0 & \cos(\theta_1) & d_3 \cos(\theta_1) \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & d_1 + d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

From these T matrices, we get

$$z_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, z_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(\theta_1) \\ \cos(\theta_1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, o_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -d_3\sin(\theta_1) \\ d_3\cos(\theta_1) \\ d_1 + d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we are ready to compute our Jacobian matrix. We do this using the following MATLAB code. Which gives us the following Jacobian,

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} -d_3 \cos(\theta_1) & 0 & -\sin(\theta_1) \\ -d_3 \sin(\theta_1) & 0 & \cos(\theta_1) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = J_P = \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} \\ J_{21} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{21}$  are  $3 \times 3$  matrices. By setting det  $J_{11} = 0$  we can find the singular configurations for the arm portion of the manipulator (first three joints). We calculate the determinant using the following MATLAB code,

Which gives us,

$$J_{11} = d_3 \cos^2(\theta_1) + d_3 \sin^2(\theta_1) = d_3(\cos^2(\theta_1) + \sin^2(\theta_1)) = d_3$$

When we set  $J_{11} = 0$  here, we get  $d_3 = 0$ . Therefore, the only singular configuration for this manipulator will occur when the third joint variable (which is a prismatic joint) goes to 0.