

CALCULUS I LECTURE 9: SEQUENCES, SERIES AND THEIR LIMITS I

1. SEQUENCES

A sequence is a set A equipped with a bijective correspondence between A and \mathbb{N} (or $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$). We usually denote it by

$$(1.1) \quad A = \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Any two sequence $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the sum of these two sequences to be

$$(1.2) \quad \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} + \{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = \{a_i + b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}};$$

and the scalar multiplication of $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be

$$(1.3) \quad c\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = \{ca_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

The limit for sequences can be defined as the following.

Definition 1.1. We call $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$ if and only if there exists a function $N : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad |a_n - L| < \varepsilon$$

when $n > N(\varepsilon)$.

Like the limits of functions, it is not always the case that a function has a limit at a point. Some sequences have no limit. For example, the sequence $\{(-1)^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has no limit. However, some sequences, if they satisfy some conditions, must have limit for sure.

Definition 1.2. We call a sequence $\{a_n\}$ is bounded from above if and only if there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_n \leq M$; We call a sequence is bounded from below if and only if there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_n \geq M$. If a sequence is bounded from both sides, we simply call that sequence bounded.

Definition 1.3. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is non-decreasing if and only if $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is non-increasing if and only if $a_{n+1} \leq a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 1.4. If a sequence $\{a_n\}$ is monotonic increasing and bounded from above, then

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$$

exists.

Proof. (Omit)

The proof involves the property of completeness of \mathbb{R} , which will be explained in an advanced (math) course. So we omit the proof \square

An equivalent way to define a sequence **convergent**, i.e. the limit exists, is the concept of Cauchy sequences.

Definition 1.5. We call a sequence $\{a_n\}$ Cauchy if and only if there exists $N^0 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with

$$(1.6) \quad |a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon$$

when $m, n > N^0(\varepsilon)$.

Proposition 1.6. A sequence is convergent if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Suppose $\{a_n\}$ convergent. Then there exists $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L.$$

By Definition, we have $N : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad |a_n - L| < \varepsilon$$

when $n > N(\varepsilon)$. So, by taking $N^0(\varepsilon) := N(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$, we will have

$$(1.9) \quad |a_m - a_n| \leq |a_m - L| + |L - a_n| < \varepsilon$$

when $m, n > N^0(\varepsilon)$.

Conversely, suppose $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then we have

$$(1.10) \quad \cup_{n=k}^{\infty} (-\infty, a_n) = (-\infty, b_k) \text{ for some } b_k.$$

$\{b_k\}$ is a decreasing function. Meanwhile, since $\{a_n\}$ is Cauchy, we have

$$(1.11) \quad a_n > a_{N^0(1)+1} - 1$$

for all $n > N^0(1)$. So $b_n > a_{N^0(1)+1} - 1$, which means this sequence has a lower bound. Therefore, b_k converges to some number L . We claim that $\{a_n\}$ also converges to this L .

To prove this claim, we notice that, since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = L$, we have

$$(1.12) \quad |b_k - L| < \varepsilon$$

when $k > N(\varepsilon)$ with some function N defined. By the definition of b_k in (1.10), for any k , there is also an $a_{n(k)}$ such that $|a_{n(k)} - b_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Notice that $n(k) > k$ by (1.10). Since $\{a_n\}$ is Cauchy, we can choose $N^{new}(\varepsilon) = \max\{N^0(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}), N(\frac{\varepsilon}{3})\}$, so

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_k - L| &\leq |a_k - a_{n(k)}| + |a_{n(k)} - b_k| + |b_k - L| \\ &< 3 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $k > N^{new}(\varepsilon)$. This proves the proposition. \square

2. SERIES AND THEIR LIMITS

Suppose we have a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We can construct a new sequence from this one by

$$(2.1) \quad s_m := \sum_{i=1}^m a_i.$$

We usually call s_m the **partial sum** of $\{a_n\}$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_m$ be the **series** of $\{a_n\}$. When $\{s_m\}$ is convergent, we call the series of $\{a_n\}$ convergent. Denote by

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$$

or

$$(2.3) \quad a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots$$

the limit of the partial sums, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_m$.

According to Proposition 1.6, we have a equivalent statement as the following.

$$(2.4) \quad \{s_m\} \text{ convergent if and only if } \{s_m\} \text{ Cauchy.}$$

Namely, there exists a function $N^0 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with the following significance. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad |s_k - s_l| < \varepsilon$$

when $k, l > N^0(\varepsilon)$. We can assume $k > l$, so (2.5) tells us that

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \sum_{i=l+1}^k a_i \right| < \varepsilon$$

when $k > l > N^0(\varepsilon)$. Once (2.6) holds for some function N^0 , we have the series $\{s_m\}$ convergent.

Example 2.1. Show that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i}$ exists.

Notice that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{i=l+1}^k \frac{1}{2^i} = \frac{2^{-(l+1)} - 2^{-(k+1)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = 2^{-l} - 2^{-k} < 2^{-l}.$$

So we can check that, by taking $N^0(\varepsilon) := \log_2(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$, (2.6) holds. So $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i}$ exists.

One can prove that, by using Proposition 1.6, a series is convergent only if $a_n \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 2.2. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ exists. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_i = 0$.

Proof. Exercise. □