The PhD Thesis you don't deserve but you need to read

You absolutely don't want to read this!

John Zobolas

Last updated: 03 December, 2019

Contents

Intro						
1	PhD work					
	1.1	Druglogics Pipeline	11			
	1.2	VSM	12			
	1.3	PSICQUIC	12			
	1.4	Others	13			
2	PhD Tasks and Plans					
	2.1	Programming Tasks TODO	15			
	2.2	Papers	15			
	2.3	Synergy Paper Ideas	17			
\mathbf{N}	lisce	ellaneous Stuff	23			
3	PhD ideas					
	3.1	Quantum logic formalism	23			
	3.2	Compare fixpoint tools	23			
	3.3	Reasoning with VSM	24			
	3.4	Use Logical modeling to predict single-drug data	25			
	3.5	Druglogics-Pipeline related	26			
4	Text					
	4.1	Why automated topology?	31			
Papers						

Bookdown useful links	35
References	37

List of Tables

List of Figures

Intro

Chapters are currently split as:

- Work I have done (see Chapter 1)
- Future plans (see Chapter 2). This includes the list of papers for my PhD.
- For more experimental/future ideas see Chapter 3.
- Various text that I have been writing here and there Chapter 4.

Introduction

Is there a plan actually?

Literature => Curation => Causal Statements => Models => Predicting Synergies => Finding mechanisms/optimizing performance

About the title

Original title in my PhD plan was:

Software implementations allowing new approaches toward data analysis, modeling and integration / curation of biological knowledge for Systems Medicine

I am thinking that we may need to change it a little bit. The reason: it started as something very general and abstract and in the end it seems I did some specific things but in various areas which still remain abstractly connected. Alternative titles that I am thinking of currently:

- How to Engineer your way through a Systems Medicine PhD thesis!
- Software Engineering enables optimized Systems Medicine approaches toward data analysis, modeling and curation of biological knowledge

And some for fun:

- Neural transformations and hydrothermal aperture in deterministic radar hydrothermal decompositions
- Hydro-thermal applications and kinematic eigenvalues in exponential reliability inflationary amplitudes
- Non-isothermal trellises of time-varying transmembrane and quantitative poly-and-mono-phonemes in locally capacitive hypermultiplets

To be decided what will be the end title!

Keywords

curation/knowledge management, VSM, causal statements, DrugLogics pipeline (model parameterization/calibration and prediction of synergistic drug combinations, performance optimization), biomarker analysis, synergy assessment



Chapter 1

PhD work

This is a summary of all the work that I have done in my PhD until now. (mainly it's about software implementations related to the core technologies within the group). To include in the thesis text.

Note though that not all of these will be part of the main thesis (maybe include the rest in a section like 'Funny PhD side-quests').

1.1 Druglogics Pipeline

• Lots of refactoring to increase the readability, maintainability and extendability of the source code (complete restructure of classes, addition of others). This has **RRI extensions**, because cleaning and re-structuring software code has a social aspect to it in the sense that other people can now contribute more easily, extend the code, use it (user perspective can bring changes and further improvements to software pipeline even though they may be used for research purposes) - how can you expect users to actually use a piece of code when it's not substantially documented and it's internal logics made obscure because nobody gave attention to detail and structure? How can anybody care for a (software and any) product that you have not cared enough so as to present it in an way that is acceptable, managable and proper?

- Bug fixing
- Enable maven packaging for easier source compilation, testing, installation, management and executing of the code
- Added tests to modules gitsbe and drabme using JUnit5, mockito and assertJ libraries
- Source code documentation + proper README files on gitsbe, drabme and druglogics-synergy modules
- Enabling parallel simulations in Gitsbe (performance optimization)
- Added support for many features (ongoing work see dev_plan_doc)
- druglogics-roc-generator: R shiny app to assess the performance of the Drabme results in the form of a ROC curve
- Export support using BioLQM: the initial model + best generation models can now be exported through configuration options to GINML, SBML-Qual and BoolNet community formats

1.2 VSM

Building VSM-dictionaries in order to connect/translate the data from various databases and ontology providers to proper VSM-terms. Most of this work is done in order to support Vasundra's causalBuilder Tool which is the first application of VSM after SciCura v1.

The vsm-dictionaries (code + documentation) can be found on the VSM Github page. They translate to VSM-terms data from BioPortal, UniProt, Ensembl, EnsemblGenomes, RNACentral, ComplexPortal and Noctua Entity Ontology. We have also released the respective packages on npmjs. See for example the npm package for BioPortal.

1.3 PSICQUIC

My work at the EBI with IntAct and Noemi Del Toro to extend the PSIC-QUIC web service to support the miTab 2.8 data format/standard. See the psicquic doc and the casualTab paper (Perfetto et al. 2019).

I also worked with Noemi on the update of the JAMI library to also support miTab 2.8 - this is the culmination of results from the BioHackathon 2018, in Paris and the Marseille GREEKC hackathon event.

1.4 Others

• Java Client for RSAT tool fetch-sequences

Chapter 2

PhD Tasks and Plans

2.1 Programming Tasks TODO

Tasks that I have promised that I will do to different people within the group. These tasks enable other workflows/collaborations, etc. so they are very important to finish before I move on to other work. You see only what's left of those:

- Pipeline (see the dev_plan_doc for what is left). Most important:
 - Full BioLQM support: stable state calculation and trap spaces
 - Do comparison between Aurelien's BioLQM stable state algorithm and BNReduction using M2 or without (Asmund already says that it BNReductions is faster but it's good to prove it once again)
- VSM
 - Make the vsm-pub-dictionaries module

2.2 Papers

Note that the titles and the details for each paper are liable to change though the core ideas behind should not.

The papers dictate my future work for this PhD (and in that order!).

Paper I: emba - an R package for ensemble boolean model biomarker analysis

Authors

John, Asmund

Idea

This whole thing started when we questioned the predictive performance of the models generated by Gitsbe. What kind of insights can we get from such a dataset by looking at each individual model's boolean equations, stable states and predictive performance? How can we take back such knowledge and use it in order to understand more about how to generate better models in our pipeline? How can we analyse each model's data to find nodes whose activity state or boolean model parameterization affects the manifestation of specific observed synergies? These questions and more of the same kind lead to a large data exploration and analyses, me writing a lot of R code, which I ended up splitting to two packages: (J. Zobolas 2019b) and (J. Zobolas 2019a).

The idea behind the emba R package is to have simple functions that will help us analyse the models produced by Gitsbe in order to find important nodes (biomarkers) responsible for either better performance (based on a metric score like MCC) or for specific synergy(ies) prediction.

What might come of this?

• The R package emba (J. Zobolas 2019a) is publishable by itself as an **application note paper**, but we decided with Asmund that is best to present it with an analysis on some dataset to show its use. For example, the package is used for analyses that will be included in Asmund's paper(s), e.g. AGS Story: Part I among others.

 Another idea is to compare Machine Learning results with my method (on cascade/atopo results of the pipeline paper or other). Paper could be titled something along the lines of "Ensemble model analysis vs Machine Learning for unraveling drug synergy mechanisms".

Another idea here is the results of the project Optimize the predictive performance of the Druglogics pipeline. One of the research questions here is about the identification of optimal training data size and included nodes which are essential for good performance (with Eirini, I am leading it).

Paper II: VSM-dictionaries: common access to biological dictionaries

Authors

John, Steven, Vasundra, Martin

Idea/Implementation

A short **application note** paper for my work on VSM-dictionaries.

2.3 Synergy Paper Ideas

Synergy Paper I

Title

Extending SynergyFinder for the use of multiple reference models for the assement of synergy in screening datasets. A computational/mathematical paper.

Idea

The core idea here is to extend an existing R package (Ianevski et al. 2017) for calculating synergy reference models in order to include Wim's generalized Bliss method and the mean synergy score by Simone Laderer (Lederer, Dijkstra, and Heskes 2018)! Then I will test all the null reference models (Loewe, Bliss, ZIP + others) on dose-response matrix datasets (could be from Ladere's paper, from Asmund's paper, the SINTEF dataset) and see which is best at finding the synergies in each dataset.

Also, I should investigate if my own idea for a mathematical formulation of the volume-based synergy score as general method for describing 3-wise or more combinations as synergistic, could be part of this implementation.

Synergy Paper II

Title

On eye-balling synergy mechanisms: What is a mountain synergy?

Idea

I had the idea of writing a small paper that describes the *eye-balling* or *visual inspection* technique that is used so much in computational Biology and Medicine. It is used pretty much in any paper I have seen but nobody has actually defined or named it.

- A characteristic example is *eye-balling* synergies from dose-response curves, like we did in our group for the SINTEF screen data (Flobak et al. 2019).
- Another example is the thresholds that data analysts put when defining output to classifiers or the parameterization that is used and the general human intuition/engineering that is shared in all these.

As Asmund once said:

What is a mountain? What is a synergy?

• Asmund proposed that we should contact many people to curate large drug combination screens (various datasets) and combine this with Martin's idea of creating curation guidelines for drug combination screening.

Miscellaneous Stuff

Chapter 3

PhD ideas

Several ideas that I may do or not in my PhD but I still keep here for my future investigations!

3.1 Quantum logic formalism

My favourite! Investigate if instead of a logical modeling formalism, the idea of (quantum) logical gates can be used to represent and analyse protein interaction networks. The **core idea** makes sense: you don't know the state of a protein, but when you measure it, only then you really know what it is.

May also be worth to look at a game-theoritic approach to find attractors and such.

3.2 Compare fixpoint tools

Idea: Compare different tools that calculate fixpoints for logical modeling. Faster wins of course:)

Models used for testing could be of different types:

• self-contained

- varying the number of input nodes (1-n)
- small to large number of nodes
- small to large number of edges
- scale-free (boolnet generated) vs random (varying K connectivity)
- play with form of the boolean equations
- others???

Workflow for this includes:

- support BNReduction data format by Veliz-Cuba in BioLQM
- add support for calculating the fixpoints using the Colomoto docker (python interface) + BNReduction
- then comparison between BioLQM, Pint, MABOSS and BNReduction could be done then in a Jupiter colomoto-enabled notebook!

Further extension/comparisons could be:

- (Akutsu, Hayashida, and Tamura 2009) Integer programming method
- (Dubrova and Teslenko 2009) SAT-based

3.3 Reasoning with VSM

The idea here is to use VSM to annotate sentences about some knowledge area, store this information in a format like RDF or something else (graph database?) and then ask questions that will enable you to learn stuff that you didn't know before.

One goal would be to show the superiority of *connection-based reasoning* (humans and what VSM encapsulates) vs *logic reasoning* (OWL).

Another thing I thought was to just translate the VSM-data to PROLOG and then ask questions using that logical language framework. It is a way to show that you *learned* something using the VSM-supported curation but I don't know where to go from there... this whole knowledge semantics and reasoning stuff seem to be a PhD on its own:) There are a lot of things that should be investigated for this idea to materialize properly (lots of reading).

An idea by Steven:

Mapping / inferring different forms of representing interactions (molecular and/vs. causal) using a VSM sentence presentation as a graph diagram. A first step to reasoning would be to make some rules like, if you have VSM-sentences A and B, then you can infer C from that.

3.4 Use Logical modeling to predict singledrug data

Asmund's proposal idea that he sent to my email once. Has to do about mechanistic drug response prediction analysis:

- Automate drug target profile annotation from:
 - (Klaeger et al. 2017)
 - mrc ppu
 - (Davis et al. 2011)
- Omics data (rna, cnv etc)
 - COSMIC
 - CCLE
- Drug screen data
 - Single drug
 - * COSMIC/GDSC
 - * CCLE
 - Combo
 - * (O'Neil et al. 2016)
 - * (Holbeck et al. 2017)

My idea is more like this:

Predict drug-response curves from drug combination datasets (GDSC, CCLE), using logical modeling for singaling network analysis or translation from logical to ODE modeling. Also try to predict drug combinations datasets (dose-response matrices?). Pretty much what is done in this paper (Fröhlich et al. 2018) with help from (Wittmann et al. 2009) for converting boolean

models to continuous.

3.5 Druglogics-Pipeline related

3.5.1 Harmony Search

Nice idea because it's related to music! Investigate if this algorithm could be used for optimizing the boolean equations for gitsbe - thus opening the stage for JazzLogics.

3.5.2 Train models to cell-specific proliferation

Concept is that training models to proliferate provides a wider variance of models than the cell-specific trained ones in gitsbe: main directive is **proliferation**, not just fitting to a steady state pattern. So a hybrid training approach should be way more advantageous.

3.5.3 A bottom-up model building for drug prediction

Start with a model and some observed synergies. Build/train/produce models that predict the first observed synergy (using Harmony Search?), from them the next one, etc. You end up with many models that can predict all the observed synergies or you try to find out why that cannot happen for example (e.g. contrasting synergies?). Do the latest models' stable states or attractors correspond to activity of proteins from literature?

3.5.4 Simulate cancer resistance

For example, you have some models that predict some (observed) synergies or you just find some synergistic drug comibnations for these models or per model. Then, you modify these models in order to be resistant to these drugs, simulating thus the cancer rewiring process! Then, you apply (n+1) drug

combinations to win over the resistance (and you do this procedure at more levels to suggest 3-way, 4-way drug combos and why there might be cancer models that can 'win' over these models and continue the proliferation). You end up with super cancer resistant models and methods to achieve them or reasons why this cannot happen at all.

Causal-JSON or MI-JSON to boolean model converter

Idea

This idea is like a continuation of the causalBuilder tool by Vasundra coupled with the need to have a more proper representation of complexes (and families) in our logical models (better models, better predictions). Asmund had manually changed some logical equations in his paper (Flobak et al. 2015), in order to make the model more compliant with biology knowledge and literature findings. One of them was about the beta-catenin complex and its constituents (connected with AND's instead of OR's) and the rest were about changing the link operators of the logical equations (from $AND\ NOT$ to $OR\ NOT$). The latter is something that is enabled through the mutations introduced by the genetic algorithm of Gitsbe. The former depends on the dataset and the representation of complexes.¹

Only Signor (Licata et al. 2019) has some complexes + interaction data but they are seperate files, making it thus difficult (and non-elegant computationally-wise) to integrate such knowledge/data to boolean models. Also Vasundra's experience with Reactome data in miTab2.8 showed us the difficulty to match binary interactions to a data model flexible enough to represent complexes and their internal components. Causal-JSON and the recursive schema that we thought allows the curator to put both the complex ID and it's constituents in the same data structure.

 $^{^1}$ There is actually a mutation that can change this but not in the way that we want - i.e. all components of a complex should be connected with an AND

Proposed Workflow

- 1. Get interaction + complexes/families data (Signor most probably or a form of CASCADE + complexes). Note that for the reason I explained above miTab 2.8 is out of the question, so the Signor data I am referring to is the .tsv files they offer (interaction data, complexes, families). And most probably I am referring to a **pathway interaction dataset** not the whole Signor data. For example, the Wnt Signaling pathway.
- 2. Build a small module that translates the (Signor) data to Causal-JSON.
- 3. Main: Build a package that translates the causal-JSON data to a logical model with some filtering and parameterization included (e.g. filter based on cell line (so *cell-line* specific topologies), conditions on the biological state: 'by phoshorylation', exp. evidence, assertion/confidence score, species, compartment). So, **causal-JSON to .bnet files (logical equations)**, while substituting/extending nicely the complexes and families.
- 4. Showcase some small application of this logical model end-product:
 - use for example the colomoto notebook, do some small trapspace analysis and show that some results from literature or from previous logical or other models can now be reproduced with a better biological representation in the model itself, AUTOMATICALLY!
 - make many logical models of the pathways in Signor with simple attractor analysis and put them into the GinSim model repository for reference for the logical community.
 - extend atopo module to use the main package (see point No. 3) and use it for finding drug combinations (comparing attractors or prediction results of automated topology building without complexes vs automated topology built from causal-JSON with complexes and families in each case). The main thing here would be of course better prediction performance results based on a better logical model representation. I could tweak atopo to choose actually not all of Signor's data but specific pathways to include in the analysis and this will help I believe to build smaller topologies

for specific drug combinations that we want to test.

Chapter 4

Text

Here I have various text the I write at times and I will include most probably in my completed thesis report:

4.1 Why automated topology?

My take on this:

- 1. Yes, one of the reasons is advantage in the simulations in the sense that when you have logical models that have no inputs you are statistically more able to have models with a few/less (even better: 1) stable state(s). See it like this: if a logical model has one input (let's say node X) then it's sure that this logical model will have one attractor with X:0 and one with X:1, be it a stable state or a more complex attractor. Two inputs, 4 attractors, etc. (Denis helped me realise this actually on a talk in Athen's ECCB, great times)
- 2. The second reason that Asmund told me about when I asked him the same thing, was one of the most basic hypothesis behind his modeling which sums up to where cancer comes from. By using a no-inputs topology we adhere to the principle that cancer is something that relates to the system itself and not to the external interactions of the system. It comes from dysregulations within related to "broken"

circuits, etc. It is in contrast with the traditional view on the same thing that experimentalists used to understand cancer: I perturb the system (cell) by inhibiting a specific hormone/receptor (input) and see how it reacts (and where most modeling approaches are based on).

Asmund's take on this: 1. few stable states 2. cancer is a system disease in itself (not related to e.g. external hormones, they are present also for healthy cells, it is something in the cancer cell that allows it to sense the external hormone differently than healthy cells).

In addition (and maybe related to point 1): 3. In 'traditional' modeling a system is defined to respond in a certain way to a set of specified 'inputs' (I mean not here model input nodes but rather a configuration of the model, e.g. ERK is active). A self-contained topology merges the input condition and the output response in a single observable entity: When the system is initialized in its stable state it will remain there. Therefore observation of baseline signaling is both the input and the output, reduces need for perturbations.

4. In addition to few stable states (point 1) I believe a self contained topology also means few possible parmeterizations. I don't have any mathematical proof of this but it seems reasonable to me.

Papers

Papers that are already published and I am in the list of authors:

• (Perfetto et al. 2019)

Papers that will probably be published and I will probably be in the list of authors:

- The Biohackathon 2018 paper
- Asmund's papers (2)
- Vasundra's causalBuilder tool paper

Bookdown useful links

- Bookdown github repo
- Bookdown package reference
- Writing Thesis with Bookdown
- Bookdown workshop slides

References

Akutsu, Tatsuya, Morihiro Hayashida, and Takeyuki Tamura. 2009. "Integer programming-based methods for attractor detection and control of boolean networks." In *Proceedings of the 48h Ieee Conference on Decision and Control (Cdc) Held Jointly with 2009 28th Chinese Control Conference*, 5610–7. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2009.5400017.

Davis, Mindy I, Jeremy P Hunt, Sanna Herrgard, Pietro Ciceri, Lisa M Wodicka, Gabriel Pallares, Michael Hocker, Daniel K Treiber, and Patrick P Zarrinkar. 2011. "Comprehensive analysis of kinase inhibitor selectivity." *Nature Biotechnology* 29 (11): 1046–51. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1990.

Dubrova, Elena, and Maxim Teslenko. 2009. "A SAT-Based Algorithm for Computing Attractors in Synchronous Boolean Networks." https://arxiv.org/pdf/0901.4448.pdf https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5958722/.

Flobak, Åsmund, Anaïs Baudot, Elisabeth Remy, Liv Thommesen, Denis Thieffry, Martin Kuiper, and Astrid Lægreid. 2015. "Discovery of Drug Synergies in Gastric Cancer Cells Predicted by Logical Modeling." Edited by Ioannis Xenarios. *PLOS Computational Biology* 11 (8): e1004426. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004426.

Flobak, Åsmund, Barbara Niederdorfer, Vu To Nakstad, Liv Thommesen, Geir Klinkenberg, and Astrid Lægreid. 2019. "A high-throughput drug combination screen of targeted small molecule inhibitors in cancer cell lines." *Scientific Data* 6 (1): 237. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0255-7.

Fröhlich, Fabian, Thomas Kessler, Daniel Weindl, Alexey Shadrin, Leonard Schmiester, Hendrik Hache, Artur Muradyan, et al. 2018. "Efficient Pa-

rameter Estimation Enables the Prediction of Drug Response Using a Mechanistic Pan-Cancer Pathway Model." *Cell Systems* 7 (6): 567–579.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELS.2018.10.013.

Holbeck, Susan L, Richard Camalier, James A Crowell, Jeevan Prasaad Govindharajulu, Melinda Hollingshead, Lawrence W Anderson, Eric Polley, et al. 2017. "The National Cancer Institute ALMANAC: A Comprehensive Screening Resource for the Detection of Anticancer Drug Pairs with Enhanced Therapeutic Activity." *Cancer Research*. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0489.

Ianevski, Aleksandr, Liye He, Tero Aittokallio, and Jing Tang. 2017. "SynergyFinder: a web application for analyzing drug combination dose–response matrix data." *Bioinformatics* 33 (15): 2413–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx162.

Klaeger, Susan, Stephanie Heinzlmeir, Mathias Wilhelm, Harald Polzer, Binje Vick, Paul-Albert Koenig, Maria Reinecke, et al. 2017. "The target landscape of clinical kinase drugs." *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 358 (6367): eaan4368. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan4368.

Lederer, Simone, Tjeerd M H Dijkstra, and Tom Heskes. 2018. "Additive Dose Response Models: Explicit Formulation and the Loewe Additivity Consistency Condition." *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 9: 31. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.00031.

Licata, Luana, Prisca Lo Surdo, Marta Iannuccelli, Alessandro Palma, Elisa Micarelli, Livia Perfetto, Daniele Peluso, Alberto Calderone, Luisa Castagnoli, and Gianni Cesareni. 2019. "SIGNOR 2.0, the SIGnaling Network Open Resource 2.0: 2019 update." *Nucleic Acids Research*, October. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz949.

O'Neil, Jennifer, Yair Benita, Igor Feldman, Melissa Chenard, Brian Roberts, Yaping Liu, Jing Li, et al. 2016. "An Unbiased Oncology Compound Screen to Identify Novel Combination Strategies." *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 15 (6): 1155–62. https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-15-0843.

Perfetto, L, M L Acencio, G Bradley, G Cesareni, N Del Toro, D Fazekas, H

Hermjakob, et al. 2019. "CausalTAB: the PSI-MITAB 2.8 updated format for signalling data representation and dissemination." Edited by Jonathan Wren. *Bioinformatics*, February. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz132.

Wittmann, Dominik M, Jan Krumsiek, Julio Saez-Rodriguez, Douglas A Lauffenburger, Steffen Klamt, and Fabian J Theis. 2009. "Transforming Boolean models to continuous models: methodology and application to T-cell receptor signaling." *BMC Systems Biology* 3 (1): 98. https://doi.org/10.118 6/1752-0509-3-98.

Zobolas, John. 2019a. Emba: Ensemble Boolean Model Biomarker Analysis. https://github.com/bblodfon/emba.

——. 2019b. Usefun: A Collection of Useful Functions by John. https://github.com/bblodfon/usefun.