## Typesetting Mathematics in LATEX

Petra Harwin

October 29, 2008

# AMS-LATEX

The American Mathematical Society (AMS) have produced a package called  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{E}$ TEX that makes it easier to typeset difficult mathematics in  $\mathcal{E}$ TEX. To use this package you must include the following line in the preamble of your  $\mathcal{E}$ TEX document

 $\usepackage[options]{amsmath}$ 

with options being any particular option you require for amsmath (I don't use any).

# AMS-LATEX

The American Mathematical Society (AMS) have produced a package called  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{E}$ TEX that makes it easier to typeset difficult mathematics in  $\mathcal{E}$ TEX. To use this package you must include the following line in the preamble of your  $\mathcal{E}$ TEX document

```
\usepackage[options]{amsmath}
```

with options being any particular option you require for amsmath (I don't use any).

For the purposes of general mathematical typesetting I would suggest that you extend this by loading one other  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$  package too:

 $\uberrule use package \{amsmath,amssymb\}.$ 

## using \usepackage

Note that I can load N packages at once by using the format  $\label{local_package1} $$ \spackage1, package2, \dots, packageN$$,$ 

### using \usepackage

### using \usepackage

```
Note that I can load N packages at once by using the format
      \usepackage{package1,package2,...,packageN},
which is equivalent to
\usepackage{package1}
\usepackage{package2}
\usepackage{packageN}.
 However, if you need individual options for each package then you
must use the second type of formatting.
```

### Descriptions

amsmath This package is the primary enhancement package of AMS-BTEX. AMS-BTEX provides additional mathematical environments for multiline equations, more symbols in boldface type, easier construction of new symbols and much more. amsmath automatically loads amsgen, amsbsy, amsopn and amstext.

### Descriptions

amsmath This package is the primary enhancement package of AMS-BTEX. AMS-BTEX provides additional mathematical environments for multiline equations, more symbols in boldface type, easier construction of new symbols and much more. amsmath automatically loads amsgen, amsbsy, amsopn and amstext.

amssymb This package makes many mathematical symbols available that are not available in plain LATEX. Look at the Tables on pages 552–554 of Kopka & Daly or §8.9 in Mittlebach & Goossens for a full list. amssymb automatically loads amsfonts.

Mathematical formulæ are generally typeset in one of two ways: *inline*, meaning as part of the current line, or *displayed*, meaning on a separate line. For now we will concentrate on single-line formulæ.

Mathematical formulæ are generally typeset in one of two ways: inline, meaning as part of the current line, or displayed, meaning on a separate line. For now we will concentrate on single-line formulæ. This  $u(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}f((x-z)t^{-1/2})u_0(z)\,\mathrm{d}z$  is an inline formula

Mathematical formulæ are generally typeset in one of two ways: inline, meaning as part of the current line, or displayed, meaning on a separate line. For now we will concentrate on single-line formulæ. This  $u(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}f((x-z)t^{-1/2})u_0(z)\,\mathrm{d}z$  is an inline formula and this

$$u(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f((x-z)t^{-1/2})u_0(z) dz$$
 (1)

is a displayed formula. Notice the difference in typesetting.

Mathematical formulæ are generally typeset in one of two ways: inline, meaning as part of the current line, or displayed, meaning on a separate line. For now we will concentrate on single-line formulæ. This  $u(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}f((x-z)t^{-1/2})u_0(z)\,\mathrm{d}z$  is an inline formula and this

$$u(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f((x-z)t^{-1/2})u_0(z) dz$$
 (1)

is a displayed formula. Notice the difference in typesetting. The first formula is generated by typing the mathematical content (we'll get onto this next) inside a pair of dollar signs: \$mathematics\$

Mathematical formulæ are generally typeset in one of two ways: inline, meaning as part of the current line, or displayed, meaning on a separate line. For now we will concentrate on single-line formulæ. This  $u(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}f((x-z)t^{-1/2})u_0(z)\,\mathrm{d}z$  is an inline formula and this

$$u(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f((x-z)t^{-1/2})u_0(z) dz$$
 (1)

is a displayed formula. Notice the difference in typesetting. The first formula is generated by typing the mathematical content (we'll get onto this next) inside a pair of dollar signs: \$mathematics\$, whilst the second formula uses the equation environment:

```
\begin{equation}
mathematics
\end{equation}.
(To suppress the numbering in any environment use
\begin{envname*} mathematics \end{envname*}.)
```

## Numbering and Referencing

LATEX provides a very simple system for numbering and referencing equations. If we wish to refer to an equation we must simply insert a \label command as follows:

```
\begin{equation} $$ \left\{ soln \right\} $$ u(x,t)=\left( \mathbb{R}^N \right) $$ f((x-z)t^{-1/2})u_0(z) \ \end{equation} $$
```

Then we may refer to this equation with the command  $\eqref\{soln\}$ , which produces (1) since this was the equation I typed on the previous slide. The command  $\eqref$  is preferable to the command  $\eqref$  here since it automatically includes the surrounding brackets and uses the correct fonts regardless of the type of font you are using. ( $\eqref$  would simply produce 1.)

## **Customising Equation Numbering**

You can tell LATEX how you want your equations numbered by using the \numberwithin command in the preamble. For example, if an article is to have the equations in each section numbered as sectionnumber.equationnumber use

 $\operatorname{numberwithin}\{\operatorname{equation}\}\{\operatorname{section}\}$ 

to redefine the equation numbers to include the section number.

## Customising Equation Numbering

You can tell LATEX how you want your equations numbered by using the \numberwithin command in the preamble. For example, if an article is to have the equations in each section numbered as sectionnumber.equationnumber use

```
\operatorname{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathrm{numberwithin}}
```

to redefine the equation numbers to include the section number. The general syntax is \numberwithin{ctr1}{ctr2} and it defines ctr1 to be a subcounter of ctr2, meaning that ctr1 is reset every time ctr2 is incremented. Here are a few examples \numberwithin{figure}{subsection} \numberwithin{table}{section}.

When typing mathematics into LATEX you must be inside a mathematical environment of some kind. There are many of these but for now we only know about inline formulæ and the equation environment.

When typing mathematics into LATEX you must be inside a mathematical environment of some kind. There are many of these but for now we only know about inline formulæ and the equation environment. The basic operations are typed as you would expect and LATEX inserts the appropriate space where needed. E.g. x+y produces x+y.

When typing mathematics into LATEX you must be inside a mathematical environment of some kind. There are many of these but for now we only know about inline formulæ and the equation environment. The basic operations are typed as you would expect and LATEX inserts the appropriate space where needed. E.g. x+y produces x+y. Fractions can be achieved in displayed formulæ by using the  $\frac{1}{2}$  command:

$$\frac{a+b}{c} = \frac{a}{c} + \frac{b}{c}.$$

When typing mathematics into LATEX you must be inside a mathematical environment of some kind. There are many of these but for now we only know about inline formulæ and the equation environment. The basic operations are typed as you would expect and LATEX inserts the appropriate space where needed. E.g. \$x+y\$ produces x+y. Fractions can be achieved in displayed formulæ by using the  $\frac{1}{2}$  command:

$$\frac{a+b}{c} = \frac{a}{c} + \frac{b}{c}.$$

You could of course put this equation inline  $\frac{a+b}{c}=\frac{a}{c}+\frac{b}{c}$  but the fractions look small and perhaps reverting to (a+b)/c=a/c+b/c is better for inline formulæ.

#### Mathematical commands

Subscripts are generated by using an underscore \_ and superscripts by the caret symbol ^. For example  $x^y$  is gained by typing  $x^y$ . Only the letter or number immediately after ^ is taken to be a superscript. Thus, to gain  $x^{y+z}$  one must type  $x^{y+z}$  so that LATEX treats y+z as a single object. The same rules apply to subscripts.

#### Mathematical commands

Subscripts are generated by using an underscore \_ and superscripts by the caret symbol ^. For example  $x^y$  is gained by typing  $x^y$ . Only the letter or number immediately after ^ is taken to be a superscript. Thus, to gain  $x^{y+z}$  one must type  $x^{y+z}$  so that LATEX treats y+z as a single object. The same rules apply to subscripts.

Greek letters are easily generated by typing \greeklettername for a lowercase letter or \Greeklettername for an uppercase letter. For example  $\del{delta}$  produces  $\delta$  while \Delta produces  $\Delta$ .

#### Mathematical commands

Subscripts are generated by using an underscore \_ and superscripts by the caret symbol ^. For example  $x^y$  is gained by typing  $x^y$ . Only the letter or number immediately after ^ is taken to be a superscript. Thus, to gain  $x^{y+z}$  one must type  $x^{y+z}$  so that LATEX treats y+z as a single object. The same rules apply to subscripts.

Greek letters are easily generated by typing \greeklettername for a lowercase letter or \Greeklettername for an uppercase letter. For example \$\delta\$ produces  $\delta$  while \$\Delta\$ produces  $\Delta$ . A full list of available mathematical commands can be found Chapter 5 of Kopka & Daly or Chapter 8 of Mittlebach & Goossens.

There are a few important conventions in mathematical typesetting that  $\mbox{LTEX}$  does not automatically deal with. The first one is the typesetting of the " $\mbox{d}t$ " at the end of the integral. Contrast the following two examples:

$$\int t dt$$
,  $\int t dt$ .

There are a few important conventions in mathematical typesetting that  $\mbox{LT}_{E}X$  does not automatically deal with. The first one is the typesetting of the " $\mbox{d}t$ " at the end of the integral. Contrast the following two examples:

$$\int t dt$$
,  $\int t dt$ .

The first one is what results if you just type  $\int t dt$ . The problem is that  $\text{LAT}_{EX}$  ignores all white space inside mathematical environments, so tdt just ends up looking like lots of variables clumped together. To fix this you need to tell  $\text{LAT}_{EX}$  that you want a small white space between the t and the dt. This is done by using the  $\$ , command.

There are a few important conventions in mathematical typesetting that  $\mbox{\sc MTE}X$  does not automatically deal with. The first one is the typesetting of the " $\mbox{\sc d}t$ " at the end of the integral. Contrast the following two examples:

$$\int t dt$$
,  $\int t dt$ .

The first one is what results if you just type  $\int t dt$ . The problem is that  $\Delta T_E X$  ignores all white space inside mathematical environments, so tdt just ends up looking like lots of variables clumped together. To fix this you need to tell  $\Delta T_E X$  that you want a small white space between the t and the dt. This is done by using the t, command. To make things clearer still it is conventional to type the t of t in a Roman font. Thus, the integral should be produced by typing t int t, t.

There are a few important conventions in mathematical typesetting that  $\mbox{\sc MT}_{E}\mbox{\sc X}$  does not automatically deal with. The first one is the typesetting of the " $\mbox{\sc d}t$ " at the end of the integral. Contrast the following two examples:

$$\int t dt$$
,  $\int t dt$ .

The first one is what results if you just type  $\int t dt$ . The problem is that  $\Delta T_E X$  ignores all white space inside mathematical environments, so tdt just ends up looking like lots of variables clumped together. To fix this you need to tell  $\Delta T_E X$  that you want a small white space between the t and the dt. This is done by using the t, command. To make things clearer still it is conventional to type the t of t in a Roman font. Thus, the integral should be produced by typing t int t, t also the complex number t and Euler's constant t are conventionally typed in Roman font.

### **Operators**

There are many mathematical operators built into LATEX and you should be aware of these. For example, you may think that  $\sin x$  is produced by typing  $\sin x$  but as I have already explained, this will simply generate sin x.

### **Operators**

There are many mathematical operators built into LATEX and you should be aware of these. For example, you may think that  $\sin x$  is produced by typing  $\sin x$  but as I have already explained, this will simply generate sin x.

To typeset this properly you must use the LATEX command \sin. In doing this it is important to remember to leave a white space at the end of the \sin command because typing \$\sinx\$ rather than \$\sin x\$ will result in an error. LATEX will think that you are asking it for a command called \sinx. This is true of all LATEX commands - leave a white space after them.

### **Operators**

There are many mathematical operators built into LATEX and you should be aware of these. For example, you may think that  $\sin x$  is produced by typing  $\sin x$  but as I have already explained, this will simply generate sin x.

To typeset this properly you must use the LATEX command \sin. In doing this it is important to remember to leave a white space at the end of the \sin command because typing  $\pi$  rather than \sin x\$ will result in an error. LATEX will think that you are asking it for a command called \sinx. This is true of all LATEX commands - leave a white space after them.

Some operators have options. For example \int and \lim. Operator options are typed using the superscript and subscript commands. For example  $\pi_b$  produces  $\pi_b$ .

### Long Equations

We have dealt with single line displayed mathematics but what if you have one equation that is simply too long for one line? Thankfully,  $\mathcal{A}_{M}\mathcal{S}$ -PTEX copes very well with this if you use its split or multline environments.

### Long Equations

We have dealt with single line displayed mathematics but what if you have one equation that is simply too long for one line? Thankfully,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$ -PTEX copes very well with this if you use its split or multline environments.

The multline environment is a variant of the equation environment for a *single* formula that is too long for one line. Line breaks occur where the user forces them with the \\ command. By default the first line is left justified, the last line right justified and the lines in between are centred. The equation number will appear at the right of the last line.

## An Example

$$(x+y)^{n} = x^{n} + nx^{n-1}y + \frac{n(n-1)}{1 \cdot 2}x^{n-2}y^{2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}x^{n-3}y^{3} + \cdots + nxy^{n-1} + y^{n}$$
 (2)

#### was produced with the code

```
\begin{multline} \\ label{2long} \\ (x+y)^n=x^n+nx^{n-1}y\\+\frac{n(n-1)}{1\cdot2}x^{n-2}y^2\\+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1\cdot2\cdot3}x^{n-3}y^3+\cdots\\+nxy^{n-1}+y^n\\end{multline} \\ \end{multline}
```

### The multline Environment

To shift individual lines fully to the left or right use the commands \shoveleft{line} and \shoveright{line}. The entire formula text for that line except the \\ is placed within their arguments.

$$(x+y)^{n} = x^{n} + nx^{n-1}y$$

$$+ \frac{n(n-1)}{1 \cdot 2}x^{n-2}y^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}x^{n-3}y^{3} + \cdots$$

$$+ nxy^{n-1} = y^{n} \quad (3)$$

was produced by the code

```
\begin{multline}\label{2long2}(x+y)^n=x^n+nx^{n-1}y\\ \shoveright{+\frac{n(n-1)}{1\cdot 2}x^{n-2}y^2}\\ \shoveleft{+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1\cdot 2\cdot 2\cdot 2}x^{n-3}y^3+\cdots}\\ +nxy^{n-1}=y^n\\ \end{multline}
```

## The split Environment

Like multline, the split environment is meant for a single equation that does not fit on one line. The difference is that in each line there is an alignment marker & such that the lines are horizontally positioned to line up the markers.

The split environment does not automatically change into math mode or produce an equation number. That is because it is designed to be used inside an math environment. The most basic syntax is

```
\begin{mathenv}\begin{split}
maths & maths\\
& maths
\end{split}\end{mathenv}
```

### An Example

The equation

$$\psi_k(\xi_0) = -c_k \frac{(m-3)a}{(m-1)\xi_0} + \frac{\xi_0(\lambda_k - \mu_0)a}{2m|a|^{m-1}} - \frac{2a}{\xi_0},$$
(4)

was generated by using the split environment. Notice that the equation number appears between the two lines, not at the end of the last line. The code used to generate this was

```
\begin{equation}
\begin{split}
\psi_k(\xi_0) =-c_k&\frac{(m-3)a}{(m-1)\xi_0}\\
&+\frac{\xi_0(\lambda_k-\mu_0)a}{2m|a|^{m-1}}
-\frac{2a}{\xi_0},
\end{split}
\end{equation}
```