

**MATH3611: Higher Analysis**  
**Assignment 2**

Name: Keegan Gyoery

zID: z5197058

1. i) Let  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , where  $f(x) = |x|$ . Extend  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x+2) = f(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the series

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n f(4^n x).$$

Consider the  $n$ -th term of the series,

$$g_n(x) = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n f(4^n x) \leq \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n.$$

Let  $M_n = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n$ . Therefore  $g_n(x) \leq M_n$ ,  $\forall n$ . Thus,

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n = 4.$$

Thus,  $g_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$ . From lectures, we proved that uniform convergence of a series of functions implies the limit function is continuous. Thus,  $g(x)$  is continuous.

- ii) For any  $x$ , we have the results

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+h) - f(x)| &= h, & \forall 0 \leq h \leq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ or} \\ |f(x-h) - f(x)| &= h, & \forall 0 \leq h \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we also have the results

$$\begin{aligned} |f(4^n x + 4^n h) - f(4^n x)| &= 4^n h, & \forall 0 \leq 4^n h \leq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ or} \\ |f(4^n x - 4^n h) - f(4^n x)| &= 4^n h, & \forall 0 \leq 4^n h \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $h_k = \frac{1}{2}4^{-k}$ , and let  $h = h_k$ , or  $h = -h_k$ . The above results may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} |f(4^n x + 4^n h_k) - f(4^n x)| &= 4^n h_k, & \forall 0 \leq 4^n h_k \leq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ or} \\ |f(4^n x - 4^n h_k) - f(4^n x)| &= 4^n h_k, & \forall 0 \leq 4^n h_k \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two results, we have

$$|f(4^n x + 4^n h) - f(4^n x)| = 4^n |h|, \quad \forall 0 \leq 4^n h_k \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Clearly,  $4^n h_k = \frac{1}{2}4^{n-k} \geq 0$ . Furthermore, when  $n \leq k$ ,  $4^n h_k \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus,

$$|f(4^n x + 4^n h_k) - f(4^n x)| = 4^n |h|, \text{ when } n \leq k.$$

If  $n > k$ , we have  $4^n h_k = \frac{1}{2}4^{n-k} = 2^{2n-2k-1} = 2^m$ , for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $f(4^n x + 4^n h_k) = f(4^n x + 2^m) = f(4^n x)$ . Thus,

$$|f(4^n x + 4^n h_k) - f(4^n x)| = 0, \text{ when } n > k.$$

Clearly, the result follows,

$$|f(4^n(x+h)) - f(4^n x)| = \begin{cases} 0 & n > k \\ 4^n |h| & n \leq k \end{cases}.$$

iii) Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n f(4^n(x+h)) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n f(4^n x)}{h} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n [f(4^n(x+h)) - f(4^n x)]}{h} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=0}^k \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n [f(4^n(x+h)) - f(4^n x)]}{h} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{\left| \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^k [f(4^k(x+h)) - f(4^k x)] \right| - \left| \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n [f(4^n(x+h)) - f(4^n x)] \right|}{h} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{\left| \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^k 4^k |h| \right| - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \left| \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n [f(4^n(x+h)) - f(4^n x)] \right|}{h} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{3^k |h| - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n 4^n |h|}{h} \right| \\ &= \left| 3^k - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} 3^n \right| \\ &= \left| 3^k - \left( \frac{3^k - 1}{2} \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{3^k + 1}{2} \\ \therefore \left| \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} \right| &\geq \frac{3^k + 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

iv) By the definition of the derivative we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g\left(x + \frac{1}{2}4^{-k}\right) - g(x)}{\frac{1}{2}4^{-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality result, we have either

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g\left(x + \frac{1}{2}4^{-k}\right) - g(x)}{\frac{1}{2}4^{-k}} &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3^k + 1}{2}, \text{ or} \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g\left(x + \frac{1}{2}4^{-k}\right) - g(x)}{\frac{1}{2}4^{-k}} &\leq - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3^k + 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

As in either case the RHS diverges as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the limit on the LHS does not exist, and thus the derivative  $g'(x)$  does not exist, and thus  $g(x)$  is not differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

2. i) Consider the set of intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $S = \{(a, b]\}_{a < b \in \mathbb{R}}$ . For  $S$  to be a base for a topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $S$  must be a subset of  $\tau$ , and the following two conditions must be met:

- $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{B \in S} B$ ,
- $\forall B_1, B_2 \in S, \forall x \in B_1 \cap B_2, \exists B \in S$  s.t.  $B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ , and  $x \in B$ .

Clearly,  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{a < b \in \mathbb{R}} (a, b]$ . For the second condition, let  $B_1, B_2 \in S$  such that  $B_1 = (a, b]$ , and  $B_2 = (c, d]$ . Consider the exhaustive cases for the intersection  $B_1 \cap B_2$ :

**Case 1:**  $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$

Choose  $B = (\max\{a, c\}, \min\{b, d\}] \in S$ . Clearly,  $B = B_1 \cap B_2$ , so  $B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ . Therefore  $\forall x \in B_1 \cap B_2, B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ , and  $x \in B$ .

**Case 2:**  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$

This is vacuously true since there is no  $x \in \emptyset$  for which it fails the second condition.

Thus,  $S$  is a base for the topology  $\tau$ .

- ii) Let some function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with topology  $\tau$  on both domain and codomain.  $f$  is continuous if for every  $V \in \tau$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau$ .

a) Define  $f(x) = x^2$ . Consider the interval  $(-1, 1] \in \tau$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}((-1, 1]) = [-1, 1] \notin \tau$ . Therefore,  $f(x) = x^2$  is not continuous on  $\tau$ .

b) Define  $g(x) = x^3$ . Consider the interval  $(a, b] \in \tau$ . Thus,  $g^{-1}((a, b]) = (\sqrt[3]{a}, \sqrt[3]{b}] \in \tau$ . Therefore  $g(x) = x^3$  is continuous on  $\tau$ .

c) Define  $h(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \leq 1 \\ x + 1 & x > 1 \end{cases}$ . Consider the exhaustive cases for the pre-image of  $(a, b] \in \tau$ :

**Case 1:**  $1 < a < b \implies h^{-1}((a, b]) = (\max\{a - 1, 1\}, \max\{b - 1, 1\}] \in \tau$ .

**Case 2:**  $a < b \leq 2 \implies h^{-1}((a, b]) = (\min\{a, 1\}, \min\{b, 1\}] \in \tau$ .

**Case 3:**  $a \leq 1$  and  $b > 2 \implies h^{-1}((a, b]) = (a, b - 1] \in \tau$ .

Therefore  $h(x)$  is continuous on  $\tau$ .

3. i) Construct the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  defined by

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } n = m^3 \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Clearly, there are infinitely many  $n$  such that  $n = m^3$ , and  $\frac{1}{k} = (k^3)^{-\frac{1}{3}} = n^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ . Thus,  $x_n = n^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  for infinitely many  $n$ . As  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a subsequence of the harmonic series, it converges in  $\ell^2$ .

- ii) Consider the sequence  $\{n^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{e}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , and the sequence defined above. Examining the inner product of the two sequences, we have

$$\left\langle \left\{ n^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{e}_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right\rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 1.$$

However, taking the inner product of  $\mathbf{0}$  and the sequence defined in the previous part, we obviously get 0. Thus,

$$\left\langle \left\{ n^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{e}_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right\rangle = \langle \mathbf{0}, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \rangle.$$

Hence, by definition, the sequence  $\left\{ n^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{e}_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  does not converge weakly to  $\mathbf{0}$ .

iii) Unsure of how to complete this question.

iv) From the previous part, we have for any sequence  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \ell^2$ , the distance from  $\mathbf{0}$  is at most  $\epsilon \cdot k^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ . Let the sequence  $\mathbf{x}_i = \{\mathbf{e}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Examining distances, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\{\mathbf{e}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} - \mathbf{0}| &< \epsilon \cdot k^{-\frac{1}{3}} \\ \therefore \left| \left\{ n^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{e}_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} - \mathbf{0} \right| &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{0}$  is in the weak closure of the set  $\left\{ n^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{e}_n \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ .