

**MATH2701: Abstract Algebra and Fundamental Analysis****Test**

Name: Keegan Gyoery

zID: z5197058

1. For functions  $f(x), g(x)$ ,  $f(x) = \Theta(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  if  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  and  $g(x) = O(f(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, we break this proof into two parts, firstly proving

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \dots (A),$$

and secondly proving

$$\frac{1}{N^2} = O\left(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3}\right) \dots (B).$$

In order to prove result (A), we must show there exists some  $M_2 \neq 0$ , and  $N_2$ , such that for all  $N > N_2$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} \right| \leq M_2 \left| \frac{1}{N^2} \right|.$$

Working with Riemann Sums, its clear that for  $N > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} &\leq \int_{N-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^3} dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N-1}^k \frac{1}{x^3} dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{-1}{2x^2} \right]_{N-1}^k \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{-1}{2k^2} + \frac{-1}{2(N-1)^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2(N-1)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{10}{N^2} \text{ for } N > 1 \\ \therefore \left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} \right| &\leq 10 \left| \frac{1}{N^2} \right| \text{ as } N > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $M_2 = 10$ ,  $N_2 = 1$ , and so

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right).$$

To prove result (B), it is equivalent to showing there exists some  $M_1 \neq 0$ , and  $N_1$ , such that for all  $N > N_1$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} \right| \geq M_1 \left| \frac{1}{N^2} \right|.$$

Working with Riemann Sums again, its clear that for  $N > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} &\geq \int_N^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^3} dx \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_N^k \frac{1}{x^3} dx \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{-1}{2x^2} \right]_{N-1}^k \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{-1}{2k^2} + \frac{-1}{2N^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2N^2} \\
\therefore \left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} \right| &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{N^2} \right| \text{ as } N > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $M_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $N_1 = 1$ , and so

$$\frac{1}{N^2} = O\left(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right).$$

2. We shall prove that  $\|\cdot\|_X$  is the dual norm of  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ . By definition, for fixed  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the dual norm of  $\|\cdot\|_Y$  is

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_X = \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}|}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_Y}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_X$  is an upper bound for  $\frac{|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}|}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_Y}$  and that equality is attained for some  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By the first property of the norms provided,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}| &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_X \|\mathbf{y}\|_Y \\
\therefore \|\mathbf{x}\|_X &\geq \frac{|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}|}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_Y}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_X$  is an upper bound for  $\frac{|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}|}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_Y}$ . By the second property, there exists a  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}| &= \|\mathbf{x}\|_X \|\mathbf{y}\|_Y \\
\therefore \|\mathbf{x}\|_X &= \frac{|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}|}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_Y}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_X$  is a least upper bound for  $\frac{|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}|}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_Y}$ , and so  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_X$  is the dual norm of  $\|\mathbf{y}\|_Y$ . Recall that the dual norm of a dual norm is the original norm, so  $\|\cdot\|_Y^* = \|\cdot\|_X$  implies that  $\|\cdot\|_X^* = \|\cdot\|_Y$ . If  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then we can apply Hoelders Inequality, and its clear that  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_q$  satisfy the

properties of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ . Thus,  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_q$  are the dual norms of each other.

3. Consider  $\mathbf{y} = (u, v, w) \in K^\circ$ . By definition,  $ux + vy + wz \leq 1$ , for all  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \in K$ . From Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} ux + vy + wz &\leq (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2}(x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} + wz \\ &\leq \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} + wz. \end{aligned}$$

Examining the condition for equality in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we make the claim that  $\sqrt{u^2 + v^2} \leq 1 - wz$ . As  $|z| \leq 1$ , the previous result yields

$$\sqrt{u^2 + v^2} \leq 1 - w \text{ and } \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} \leq 1 + w.$$

Clearly, our polar body is given by

$$K^\circ = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid u^2 + v^2 \leq \min\{(1 - w)^2, (1 + w)^2\}\}.$$

As  $K$  is a cylinder with radius 1 and height 2,  $\text{vol}(K) = 2\pi$ . Considering  $K^\circ$  as concentric circles of radius either  $(1 - w)^2$  or radius  $(1 + w)^2$ , we have

$$\text{vol}(K^\circ) = \int_{-1}^0 \pi(1 + w)^2 dw + \int_0^1 \pi(1 - w)^2 dw = \frac{2\pi}{3}.$$

Thus,  $M(K) = \text{vol}(K)\text{vol}(K^\circ) = \frac{4\pi^2}{3}$ .

4. Suppose  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is an upper bound for  $S$ . Since  $S$  is non-empty, there exists an  $s \in S$  which is itself not empty. Since  $s \subset \alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  is non-empty. Further since  $\alpha \subset \beta$ , (as  $s \subset \beta$  for every  $s \in S$ ),  $\alpha \neq \mathbb{Q}$ . To show that  $\alpha$  satisfies all properties of a cut, we fix  $p \in \alpha$ . Then we must have  $p \in s_0$  for some  $s_0 \in S$  and so for some  $q < p$  we have  $q \in s_0$  and consequently  $q \in \alpha$ . Subsequently, if  $r \in s$  is chosen so that  $p < r$ , which is possible since  $s_0$  has no largest element, then  $r \in \alpha$ . Hence  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is also clear that  $\alpha$  is an upper bound of  $S$  since  $s \leq \alpha$  for every  $s \in S$ . Suppose  $\delta < \alpha$ , that is there exists  $p \in \alpha$  with  $p \notin \delta$ . Since  $p \in \alpha$ ,  $p \in s_0$  for some  $s_0 \in S$ . Hence  $\delta < s_0$  and so  $\delta$  can't be an upper bound of  $S$ . This shows that the cut  $\alpha$  is the least upper bound of the set  $S$ .
5. To find the first four digits to the left of the decimal point of  $(\dots 333.3)^2$ , we perform the long multiplication  $(\dots 333333) \times (\dots 333333)$ , adding the decimal point back in at the end.

$$\begin{array}{r} \dots 333333 \\ \times \dots 333333 \\ \hline \dots 111104 \\ + \dots 111040 \\ + \dots 110400 \\ + \dots 104000 \\ + \dots 040000 \\ + \dots 400000 \\ \hline \dots 432044 \\ = \dots 4320.44 \end{array}$$

Thus, the first four digits to the left of the decimal point are 4, 3, 2, and 0.

6. (a) We can write  $k!$  as a product, which can be rewritten to involve  $p$ ,

$$k! = 1 \times 2 \times \dots \times (k - 1) \times k = 1 \times 2 \times \dots \times p \times \dots \times 2p \times \dots \times kp \times k,$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Clearly, this gives the  $p$ -adic absolute value of  $k!$  as  $|k!|_p = p^{-a}$ . As  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can write  $a = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \right\rfloor$ . Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \right\rfloor &= \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p-1} - \frac{k}{p(p-1)} \right\rfloor \\
&\geq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p-1} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p(p-1)} \right\rfloor \\
&= \frac{k}{p-1} + c - \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p(p-1)} \right\rfloor \text{ for some } c \in [0, 1) \\
\therefore -a &\leq -\frac{k}{p-1} - c + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p(p-1)} \right\rfloor \\
\therefore -a &= -\frac{k}{p-1} + O(\log k) \\
\therefore |k!|_p &= p^{\left(-\frac{k}{p-1} + O(\log k)\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) For  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $x = \frac{p^l b}{c}$ , with  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $p \nmid bc$ . Using the results provided in the question, and the previous part, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{x^k}{k!} \right|_p &= \frac{\left| \frac{p^{kl} b^k}{c^k} \right|_p}{|k!|_p} \\
&= \frac{p^{-kl}}{p^{-\frac{k}{p-1} + O(\log k)}} \\
&= p^{-kl + \frac{k}{p-1} - O(\log k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider first the case where  $p = 2$ . The above result gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{x^k}{k!} \right|_2 &= 2^{-kl + \frac{k}{2-1} - O(\log k)} \\
&= 2^{-kl + k - O(\log k)} \\
&= 2^{-k(l-1) - O(\log k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit of the LHS as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{x^k}{k!} \right|_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k(l-1) - O(\log k)},$$

which is equal to 0 when  $(l-1) \geq 0$ , or equivalently  $l \geq 1$ . Examining the second case, where  $p \geq 3$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{x^k}{k!} \right|_p &= p^{-kl + \frac{k}{p-1} - O(\log k)} \\
&= p^{-k\left(l - \frac{1}{p-1}\right) - O(\log k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit of the LHS as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{x^k}{k!} \right|_p = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p^{-k \left( l - \frac{1}{p-1} \right) - O(\log k)},$$

which is equal to 0 when  $\left( l - \frac{1}{p-1} \right) \geq 0$ . As  $p \geq 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( l - \frac{1}{p-1} \right) &\geq \left( l - \frac{1}{3-1} \right) \\ &= \left( l - \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The condition for the limit to be equal to 0 now becomes  $\left( l - \frac{1}{2} \right) \geq 0$ , or equivalently  $l \geq 1$ , as  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{x^k}{k!} \right|_p = 0$$

iff  $l \geq 1$  for  $p \geq 3$  and  $l \geq 2$  for  $p = 2$ .