

1.  $P = P(t_{21} \geq 4.22) = 0.0002$  (4dp). Thus, there is strong evidence that the population mean is greater than 10.

2. Let  $D_i$  denote the difference ( $A - B$ ). Assume  $D_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

(a) Test  $H_0 : \mu = 0$  against  $H_1 : \mu \neq 0$ .

(b)  $\bar{d} = 6.2$ ,  $s_d = 15.51$ . The observed test-statistic is

$$\tau_{\text{obs}} = \bar{d} \times \sqrt{20}/s_d = 1.78.$$

Since we have a two-sided test,

$$P\text{-value} = 2 \times P(t_{19} \geq 1.78) = 0.09 \quad (2dp)$$

Thus,  $0.05 < P\text{-value} < 0.1$  and we conclude that there is not sufficient evidence against the null hypothesis (some marginal evidence). This is a paired experiment where the same cars are used for the two different quotes (self-pairing). The observations are not independent. A two sample test is not appropriate.

(c) The boxplot is roughly symmetric so the normal assumption is not violated.

(d) A test that does not require the normality assumption is the sign-test (here a paired sign-test). Let  $p_+$  denote the probability of a positive difference. If  $X$  denotes the number of positive differences then large values of  $|X - 10|$  argue in favour of  $H_1$ . We test  $H_0 : p_+ = 0.5$  against  $H_1 : p_+ \neq 0.5$ . We observe  $x = 14$  positive differences. If  $H_0$  is true we have  $X \sim \mathcal{B}(20, 0.5)$ . With the normal approximation we obtain,

$$P\text{-value} = P(|X - 10| \geq 4) \simeq P(|Z| \geq 3.5/\sqrt{5}) = 2P(Z \geq 1.565) = 0.12$$

Exact test:  $P$ -value is 0.1153.

3.  $s_p^2 = 7.5637$ ,  $\tau_{\text{obs}} = 2.746$ ,  $P\text{-value} = 2 \times P(t_{19} \geq 2.746) = 0.0128$ , we have sufficient evidence against  $H_0$ .

4. This is a two-sample  $t$  test.  $H_0 : \mu_x = \mu_y$  against  $H_1 : \mu_x \neq \mu_y$ . We observe,

$$s_p^2 = 3.4547, \quad s_p = 1.8587$$

$$\tau_{\text{obs}} = 0.3558, \quad P\text{-value} = 2 \times P(t_{22} \geq 0.3558) = 0.72 \quad (2dp).$$

The data are consistent with  $H_0$ .