

Solutions to Assignment 1

MATH1902: Linear Algebra (Advanced)

Semester 1, 2017

Web Page: <http://sydney.edu.au/science/maths/u/UG/JM/MATH1902/>

Lecturer: Holger Dullin and Alexander Kersch

1. Given any vector space V we can define a subspace U . A non-empty subset U of V is called subspace, if for any two vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in U$ and any scalar λ we have $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in U$ and $\lambda \mathbf{u} \in U$. So in words, a subset of vectors is a subspace, if the sum of any two vectors in the subset and the scalar multiple of any vector in the subset is also part of the subset. Now let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ be the space of geometric vectors in three dimensions and $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ the standard unit vectors.

- (a) For each of the following subsets U of V , either show that it is a subspace, or give a counterexample that shows that it is not a subspace.

- (i) $U = \{\mathbf{i} - 3\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j} - \mathbf{k}, -2\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{0}\}$, the set of the 4 given vectors

Solution: This subset is not a subspace because for example $(\mathbf{i} - 3\mathbf{j}) + (\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j} - \mathbf{k}) = 2\mathbf{i} - 4\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{k} \notin U$ or $2(\mathbf{i} - 3\mathbf{j}) = 2\mathbf{i} - 6\mathbf{j} \notin U$.

- (ii) $U = \{\alpha \mathbf{j} \mid 0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Solution: This subset is not a subspace because, in particular, the zero-vector is not included. So a counterexample could be either $\mathbf{j} + (-\mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{0} \notin U$ or $0\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0} \notin U$.

- (iii) $U = \{\alpha(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k}) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Solution: Take two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} from U . So there exist real numbers α_1 and α_2 such that $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{v} = \alpha_2(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k})$. Then

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \alpha_1(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k}) + \alpha_2(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k}) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k}) = \alpha(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k}),$$

where we use distributivity of the scalar product and have $\alpha = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in U$.

Similarly, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lambda \mathbf{u} = \lambda(\alpha_1(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k})) = (\lambda\alpha_1)(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k}) = \alpha(\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k}),$$

where we use associativity of the scalar product and have $\alpha = \lambda\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $\lambda \mathbf{u} \in U$.

Both conditions are satisfied and so U is a subspace.

- (iv) $U = \{\alpha \mathbf{i} + \beta \mathbf{k} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Solution: Take two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} from U . So there exist real numbers α_1, β_1 and α_2, β_2 such that $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{i} + \beta_1 \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \alpha_2 \mathbf{i} + \beta_2 \mathbf{k}$. Then

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = (\alpha_1 \mathbf{i} + \beta_1 \mathbf{k}) + (\alpha_2 \mathbf{i} + \beta_2 \mathbf{k}) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \mathbf{i} + (\beta_1 + \beta_2) \mathbf{k} = \alpha \mathbf{i} + \beta \mathbf{k},$$

where we use distributivity of the scalar product and have $\alpha = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1 + \beta_2) \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in U$.

Similarly, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lambda \mathbf{u} = \lambda(\alpha_1 \mathbf{i} + \beta_1 \mathbf{k}) = (\lambda\alpha_1) \mathbf{i} + (\lambda\beta_1) \mathbf{k} = \alpha \mathbf{i} + \beta \mathbf{k},$$

where we use distributivity and associativity of the scalar product and have $\alpha = \lambda\alpha_1, \beta = \lambda\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $\lambda\mathbf{u} \in U$.

Both conditions are satisfied and so U is a subspace.

- (v) $U = \{\mathbf{v} \mid |\mathbf{v}| \leq 1\}$, the set of vectors with length up to 1

Solution: A vector in U is for example the vector \mathbf{i} because it is a unit vector and so $|\mathbf{i}| = 1 \leq 1$ (so in particular any unit vector is an element of the set). But then $\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{i} = 2\mathbf{i}$ is not in the set because

$$|2\mathbf{i}| = |2||\mathbf{i}| = 2 \cdot 1 = 2 \not\leq 1.$$

Similarly, we can argue with the scalar multiple of \mathbf{i} , so take $\lambda = 2$ then for the same reason as above $2\mathbf{i} \notin U$.

- (vi) $U = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, the set just containing the zero vector

Solution: Since there is just one vector in U we have for any two $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in U$ that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. So $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \in U$, where we use the property of the zero-vector as the neutral element. Similarly, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we use the properties of the zero-vector to get $\lambda\mathbf{u} = \lambda\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \in U$. So both conditions are satisfied and U is a subspace. Note that this subspace which just consists of the zero-vector is the smallest possible subspace and the only one with dimension 0.

- (b) Consider U from part (iv). Write down two vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in U$ that are linearly independent. Show that if you take any third vector $\mathbf{w} \in U$, then the three vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ are linearly dependent. (This establishes that the dimension of U is 2.)

Solution: A good choice for \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is $\mathbf{u} = 1\mathbf{i} + 0\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{v} = 0\mathbf{i} + 1\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}$. These two are linearly independent because given any linear combination $\alpha\mathbf{i} + \beta\mathbf{k}$ only adds up to the zero-vector if $\alpha = \beta = 0$. If at least one of these scalars is non-zero the length of the resulting vector is bigger than zero and so it can't be the zero vector.

Now suppose we take any vector \mathbf{w} from U then there exist scalar α and β such that $\mathbf{w} = \alpha\mathbf{i} + \beta\mathbf{k}$. So looking at a linear combination of \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} which adds up to the zero-vector we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1\mathbf{u} + \lambda_2\mathbf{v} + \lambda_3\mathbf{w} &= \lambda_1\mathbf{i} + \lambda_2\mathbf{k} + \lambda_3(\alpha\mathbf{i} + \beta\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{0} \\ (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3\alpha)\mathbf{i} + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3\beta)\mathbf{k} &= \mathbf{0}\end{aligned}$$

Now \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{k} are linearly independent, so the scalar factors need to be zero. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 + \lambda_3\alpha &= 0 \\ \lambda_2 + \lambda_3\beta &= 0\end{aligned}$$

But choosing $\lambda_3 = 1 \neq 0$, $\lambda_1 = -\alpha$, and $\lambda_2 = -\beta$ is a combination which satisfies both equations and has at least one non-zero scalar, no matter what α and β are. So

$$-\alpha\mathbf{u} - \beta\mathbf{v} + 1\mathbf{w} = -\alpha\mathbf{i} - \beta\mathbf{k} + (\alpha\mathbf{i} + \beta\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{0},$$

adds up non-trivially to the zero-vector and so the set of vectors is linearly dependent.

- (c) Show that for any subspace U of V , the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ is part of U .

Solution: Let U be a subspace of V then it is by definition a non-empty subset of V . So there exists at least one vector \mathbf{u} in U . But since U is a subspace $(-1)\mathbf{u}$ also has to be in U . Hence, the sum of both, too. But $\mathbf{u} + (-1)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{0} \in U$.

An even quicker argument is the following. Given that there has to be at least one vector \mathbf{u} in U , there also needs to be the vector $0\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ in U .

2. Recall that the eight axioms of a vector space are

- | | | |
|----|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1) | $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$ | commutative addition |
| 2) | $\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w}$ | associative addition |
| 3) | $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v}$ | existence of zero vector |
| 4) | $\mathbf{v} + (-\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ | existence of additive inverse |
| 5) | $\lambda(\mu\mathbf{v}) = (\lambda\mu)\mathbf{v}$ | associative scalar multiplication |
| 6) | $\lambda(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{u} + \lambda\mathbf{v}$ | distributive I |
| 7) | $(\lambda + \mu)\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} + \mu\mathbf{v}$ | distributive II |
| 8) | $1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ | scalar 1 is neutral element |

where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ are arbitrary vectors and λ, μ are scalars.

- (a) Consider the set of polynomials $a + bx + cx^2$ in the variable x of up to degree 2. Here a, b, c are real numbers and the scalars are real numbers as well. Addition of ‘vectors’ is the usual addition of polynomials, and scalar multiplication is the usual multiplication of a polynomial by a real number. Show that there is a polynomial that acts like the zero vector and satisfies axiom 3. Show that for every polynomial \mathbf{v} in the space there is a polynomial \mathbf{u} such that $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, establishing axiom 4. (In fact all eight axioms hold, so this is a vector space.)

Solution: Axiom 3: We claim that $\mathbf{0} = 0 + 0x + 0x^2$. Consider a general polynomial $\mathbf{v} = a + bx + cx^2$ and verify $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{0} = a + bx + cx^2 + 0 + 0x + 0x^2 = (a+0) + (b+0)x + (c+0)x^2 = a + bx + cx^2 = \mathbf{v}$.

Axiom 4: Again let $\mathbf{v} = a + bx + cx^2$. Now we claim that the polynomial $\mathbf{u} = (-1)\mathbf{v} = -a - bx - cx^2$ is the additive inverse. In fact, $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} = a + bx + cx^2 - a - bx - cx^2 = 0 + 0x + 0x^2 = \mathbf{0}$.

- (b) Consider the set of ordered pairs of real numbers (a, b) . The operation $+$ for ordered pairs is defined by $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$ and scalar multiplication is defined by $\lambda(a, b) = (\lambda a, \lambda b)$. Carefully prove that the axiom 1, 2, and 7 hold. (In fact all eight axioms hold, so this is a vector space.)

Solution: Consider three arbitrary ordered pairs (a, b) , (c, d) , and (e, f) with $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ and scalars $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. For each proof we write a sequence of P, S, R corresponding to whether the corresponding equal sign in the proof uses the definition of plus, scalar multiplication, or properties of the real numbers, respectively.

Axiom 1: $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d) = (c + a, d + b) = (c, d) + (a, b)$. PRP

Axiom 2: $(a, b) + ((c, d) + (e, f)) = (a, b) + (c + e, d + f) = (a + (c + e), b + (d + f)) = ((a + c) + e, (b + d) + f) = (a + c, b + d) + (e, f) = ((a, b) + (c, d)) + (e, f)$. PPRPP

Axiom 7: $(\lambda + \mu)(a, b) = ((\lambda + \mu)a, (\lambda + \mu)b) = (\lambda a + \mu a, \lambda b + \mu b) = (\lambda a, \lambda b) + (\mu a, \mu b) = \lambda(a, b) + \mu(a, b)$. SRPS

- (c) Consider the set of ordered pairs of real numbers (a, b) . The operation $+$ in this case is defined by $(a, b) + (c, d) = ((a + c)/2, (b + d)/2)$ and scalar multiplication is defined by $\lambda(a, b) = (\lambda a, \lambda b)$. Consider axioms 1, 2, 6, and 7. For each one, either prove that the axiom holds, or give an example that shows that it does not hold.

Solution: Axiom 1 holds: $(a, b) + (c, d) = ((a + c)/2, (b + d)/2)$ where on the right the $+$ is the ordinary $+$ between real numbers, which is commutative. Now starting with the right hand side $(c, d) + (a, b) = ((c + a)/2, (d + b)/2) = ((a + c)/2, (b + d)/2)$, which is the same, and hence $(a, b) + (c, d) = (c, d) + (a, b)$.

Axiom 2 does not hold: $(4, 4) + ((2, 2) + (2, 2)) = (4, 4) + (2, 2) = (3, 3)$, while starting on the right hand side gives $((4, 4) + (2, 2)) + (2, 2) = (3, 3) + (2, 2) = (5/2, 5/2)$, which is different.

Axiom 6 holds: $\lambda((a, b) + (c, d)) = \lambda((a + c)/2, (b + d)/2) = (\lambda(a + c)/2, \lambda(b + d)/2)$
Starting from the right hand side gives $\lambda(a, b) + \lambda(c, d) = (\lambda a, \lambda b) + (\lambda c, \lambda d) = (\lambda(a + c)/2, \lambda(b + d)/2)$, which is the same.

Axiom 7 does not hold: $(1 + 2)(3, 4) = 3(3, 4) = (9, 12)$, while starting on the right hand side gives $1(3, 4) + 2(3, 4) = (3, 4) + (6, 8) = (9/2, 6)$, which is different.