

MATH562: Continuous Optimisation
Homework 5

Name: Keegan Gyoery

UM-ID: 31799451

1. Consider the function $f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + 3(x_2 - 2)^2$.

a) Let $\mathbf{x}^0 = (4, 1)^T$. Firstly, the gradient of $f(\mathbf{x})$ is,

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2(x_1 - 3) \\ 6(x_2 - 2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the given \mathbf{x}^0 , the gradient of $f(\mathbf{x})$ at \mathbf{x}^0 is

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, the steepest descent direction is

$$\mathbf{d}^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so

$$\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \mathbf{d}^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \theta \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 - 2\theta \\ 1 + 6\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, considering $f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \mathbf{d}^0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \mathbf{d}^0) &= (4 - 2\theta - 3)^2 + 3(1 + 6\theta - 2)^2 \\ &= (1 - 2\theta)^2 + 3(6\theta - 1)^2 \\ &= 1 - 4\theta + 4\theta^2 + 108\theta^2 - 36\theta + 3 \\ &= 112\theta^2 - 40\theta + 4. \end{aligned}$$

Setting the derivative equal to 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \mathbf{d}^0)}{d\theta} &= 0 \\ \therefore 224\theta - 40 &= 0 \\ \therefore \theta_0 &= \frac{5}{23}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the vector \mathbf{x}^1 as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^1 &= \mathbf{x}^0 + \theta_0 \mathbf{d}^0 \\ \therefore \mathbf{x}^1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{5}{23} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 - 10/23 \\ 1 + 30/23 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{23} \begin{bmatrix} 82 \\ 53 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

b) To show that $\bar{\mathbf{d}} = (1, 1)^T$ is a descent direction, we need to show that the dot product of the gradient of f at \mathbf{x}^0 and the descent direction $\bar{\mathbf{d}}$ is less than 0. Considering the dot

product, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^0)^T \bar{\mathbf{d}} &= [2 \ 6] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 2 - 6 \\ \therefore \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^0)^T \bar{\mathbf{d}} &= -4.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the descent method, with an exact line search, we first calculate

$$\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \bar{\mathbf{d}} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \theta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 + \theta \\ 1 + \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, considering $f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \bar{\mathbf{d}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \bar{\mathbf{d}}) &= (4 + \theta - 3)^2 + 3(1 + \theta - 2)^2 \\ &= (1 + \theta)^2 + 3(\theta - 1)^2 \\ &= 1 + 2\theta + \theta^2 + 3\theta^2 - 6\theta + 3 \\ &= 4\theta^2 - 4\theta + 4.\end{aligned}$$

Setting the derivative equal to 0,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{df(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \bar{\mathbf{d}})}{d\theta} &= 0 \\ \therefore 8\theta - 4 &= 0 \\ \therefore \theta_0 &= \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the vector \mathbf{x}^1 as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^1 &= \mathbf{x}^0 + \theta_0 \bar{\mathbf{d}} \\ \therefore \mathbf{x}^1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 + 1/2 \\ 1 + 1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

c) Setting $a(\theta) = f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \bar{\mathbf{d}})$, we get the derivative of $a(\theta)$ as,

$$\begin{aligned}a(\theta) &= f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \theta \bar{\mathbf{d}}) \\ &= 4\theta^2 - 4\theta + 4 \\ \therefore a'(\theta) &= 8\theta - 4 \\ \therefore a(0) &= 4 \\ \therefore a'(0) &= -4.\end{aligned}$$

Using $\rho = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\sigma = \frac{3}{4}$, and applying Armijo's first condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}a(0) + \rho\theta a'(0) &\geq a(\theta) \\ \therefore 4 + \frac{1}{4}\theta(-4) &\geq 4\theta^2 - 4\theta + 4 \\ \therefore 4\theta^2 - 3\theta &\leq 0 \\ \therefore 0 \leq \theta &\leq \frac{3}{4} \dots (1).\end{aligned}$$

Applying Armijo's second condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a'(\theta) &\geq \sigma a'(0) \\
 \therefore 8\theta - 4 &\geq \frac{3}{4}(-4) \\
 \therefore 8\theta - 1 &\geq 0 \\
 \therefore \theta &\geq \frac{1}{8} \dots (2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1) and (2), we have the range $\frac{1}{8} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3}{4}$ for Armijo's stopping conditions. The length of this interval is $\frac{5}{8}$. So for three uniformly distributed points in the interval $[0, 1]$, the probability that one point is not in Armijo's interval is $\frac{3}{8}$, and so the probability that all three points are not in Armijo's interval is $\frac{27}{512}$.

2. Consider the function $a(\theta) = 1 - \theta e^{-\theta}$.

a) We get the derivative of $a(\theta)$ as,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a(\theta) &= 1 - \theta e^{-\theta} \\
 \therefore a'(\theta) &= \theta e^{-\theta} - e^{-\theta} \\
 \therefore a(0) &= 1 \\
 \therefore a'(0) &= -1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using $\rho = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\sigma = \frac{3}{4}$, and applying Armijo's first condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a(0) + \rho \theta a'(0) &\geq a(\theta) \\
 \therefore 1 + \frac{1}{4}\theta(-1) &\geq 1 - \theta e^{-\theta} \\
 \therefore \theta \left(e^{-\theta} - \frac{1}{4} \right) &\geq 0 \\
 \therefore 0 &\leq \theta \leq \ln 4 \dots (1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Armijo's second condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a'(\theta) &\geq \sigma a'(0) \\
 \therefore \theta e^{-\theta} - e^{-\theta} &\geq \frac{3}{4}(-1) \\
 \therefore e^{-\theta}(\theta - 1) &\geq -\frac{3}{4} \\
 \therefore \theta &\geq 0.139 \dots (2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1) and (2), we have the range $0.139 \leq \theta \leq \ln 4$ for Armijo's stopping conditions.

b) Applying the bisection method to the interval found above, we set $\alpha_0 = 0$, which violates condition 2, and $\beta_0 = 1$. Take $\gamma_0 = \frac{\alpha_0 + \beta_0}{2} = 0.5$. $\gamma_0 = 0.5$ satisfies conditions 1 and 2, so $\theta = \gamma_0 = 0.5$ is an acceptable value.

3. Consider the function $a(\theta) = \theta^4 - 4\theta^3 + \theta^2 - 10\theta + 12$. We get the derivative of $a(\theta)$ as,

$$\begin{aligned} a(\theta) &= \theta^4 - 4\theta^3 + \theta^2 - 10\theta + 12 \\ \therefore a'(\theta) &= 4\theta^3 - 12\theta^2 + 2\theta - 10 \\ \therefore a(0) &= 12 \\ \therefore a'(0) &= -10. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\rho = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\sigma = \frac{3}{4}$, and applying Armijo's first condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(0) + \rho\theta a'(0) &\geq a(\theta) \\ \therefore 12 + \frac{1}{4}\theta(-10) &\geq \theta^4 - 4\theta^3 + \theta^2 - 10\theta + 12 \\ \therefore \theta \left(\theta^3 - 4\theta^2 + \theta - \frac{15}{2} \right) &\leq 0 \\ \therefore 0 \leq \theta &\leq 4.189 \dots (1). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Armijo's second condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a'(\theta) &\geq \sigma a'(0) \\ \therefore 4\theta^3 - 12\theta^2 + 2\theta - 10 &\geq \frac{3}{4}(-10) \\ \therefore 4\theta^3 - 12\theta^2 + 2\theta - \frac{5}{2} &\geq 0 \\ \therefore \theta &\geq 2.9019 \dots (2). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1) and (2), we have the range $2.9019 \leq \theta \leq 4.189$ for Armijo's stopping conditions. Applying the bisection method to the interval found above, we set $\alpha_0 = 0$, which violates condition 2, and $\beta_0 = 5$, which violates condition 1. Take $\gamma_0 = \frac{\alpha_0 + \beta_0}{2} = 2.5$. $\gamma_0 = 2.5$ violates condition 2, so set $\alpha_1 = \gamma_0 = 2.5$, and $\beta_1 = \beta_0 = 5$. Now take $\gamma_1 = \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}{2} = 3.75$. Clearly, $\gamma_1 = 3.75$ satisfies conditions 1 and 2, so $\theta = \gamma_1 = 3.75$ is an acceptable value.