

Recall: Liouville function

$$\lambda(p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \dots p_d^{d_d}) = (-1)^{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_d}$$

Definition: Möbius function μ is defined as follows:

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} \lambda(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is square-free} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is called square-free if for any prime p , $p^2 \nmid n$.

Table of $\mu(n)$ for small n :

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
factorization	1	2	3	2^2	5	$2 \cdot 3$	7	2^3	3^2	$2 \cdot 5$
$\mu(n)$	1	-1	-1	0	-1	1	-1	0	0	1

[Not for assessment: $\lambda(n)$, $\mu(n)$ are closely related with distribution of primes.

Known result: $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda(n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$ is

equivalent to Prime Number Theorem:

#primes between 1 and N is $\approx \frac{N}{\log N}$.

is also equivalent to: $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$

Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \frac{1}{N^{1/2+\varepsilon}} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda(n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2+\varepsilon}} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

One can show the following: Let S be the set of all square-free numbers. Then

$$\frac{\#S \cap \{1, 2, \dots, N\}}{N} \longrightarrow \frac{6}{\pi^2}$$

It is (hard!) Ex for advanced students

§ 10.3 Number of divisors and sum of divisors.

Denote by $\tau(n)$ the number of all positive integer divisors of n .

In other words, $\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$.

Examples: $\tau(2) = 2$

$\tau(p) = 2$ for prime p

$\tau(p^k) = k+1$ (divisors are $1, p, p^2, \dots, p^k$)

$\tau(1100) = ?$

Denote by $G(n)$ the sum of all positive integer divisors of n .

$$\text{I.e. } G(n) = \sum_{d|n} d.$$

Examples: $G(2) = 3$

$$G(p) = 1 + p$$

$$G(p^k) = 1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^k = \frac{p^{k+1} - 1}{p - 1}$$

$$G(1100) = ?$$

Proposition: Let $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be multiplicative function. Then $F: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$F(n) := \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

is also multiplicative function.

Proof: is based on the following lemma:

Lemma: The map given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cancel{d_1} \rightarrow \cancel{d_2} & \rightarrow & \cancel{d_1} \cdot \cancel{d_2} \\ (d_1, d_2) & \mapsto & d_1 \cdot d_2 \end{array}$$

from

$$\{d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : d_1 | m, d_2 | m\}$$

to

$$\{d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : d | n \cdot m\}$$

is a bijection (one-to-one correspondence).
Here $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $\gcd(n, m) = 1$.

Proof of Proposition based on Lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} F(n \cdot m) &= \sum_{d|nm} f(d) \stackrel{\text{Lemma}}{=} \sum_{\substack{d_1|m \\ d_2|n}} f(d_1 d_2) \\ &= [\text{multiplicativity}] = \sum_{\substack{d_1|m \\ d_2|n}} f(d_1) f(d_2) \\ &= \sum_{d_1|m} \sum_{d_2|n} f(d_1) f(d_2) = \left(\sum_{d_1|m} f(d_1) \right) \left(\sum_{d_2|n} f(d_2) \right) \\ &= F(m) F(n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of lemma.

Write $m = p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \dots p_d^{d_d}$, $n = q_1^{b_1} \dots q_r^{b_r}$ where p 's and q 's are all distinct primes.

Surjection: (Any $d|mn$ has a preimage (d_1, d_2)).

$$d \mid p_1^{d_1} \dots p_d^{d_d} \cdot q_1^{b_1} \dots q_r^{b_r}$$

Split d into two parts: d_1 contains all powers of p dividing d and d_2 contains all powers of q .

Then $(d_1, d_2) \rightarrow d_1 \cdot d_2 = d$.

Injection: Assume we have $d_1, d_1' \mid m$,
 $d_2, d_2' \mid n$ with $d_1 d_2 = d_1' d_2'$.

$$d_1 \mid d_1' d_2' = d_1 d_2, \gcd(d_1, d_2') = 1 \\ \Rightarrow d_1 \mid d_1'$$

By symmetry $d_1' \mid d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_1'$. Then
also $d_2 = d_2'$. □

Corollary: $\tau(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ are multiplicative

Indeed $\tau(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} 1$ and $f(d) = 1$ is mult.

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} d \text{ and } f(d) = d \text{ is mult.}$$

Example: $\tau(1100) = \tau(2^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11) = \tau(2^2) \cdot \tau(5^2) \cdot \tau(11)$
 $= 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 18$

$$\sigma(1100) = \sigma(2^2) \sigma(5^2) \sigma(11) = 7 \cdot 31 \cdot 12$$
$$= 2604.$$