

Recall from Prev. lecture:

CRT (two congruences case): Let  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$ . For all  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  the system of congruences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ x \equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2} \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution modulo  $m_1 m_2$ .

Proof. By EEA.  $1 = sm_1 + tm_2$  for some  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$sm_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m_2} \Rightarrow b_2 sm_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

$$\text{We also have } b_2 sm_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$\text{By analogy, } b_1 tm_2 \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$b_1 tm_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m_2}$$

Add two numbers together:

$$c := b_2 sm_1 + b_1 tm_2 \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$c := b_2 sm_1 + b_1 tm_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

Uniqueness: Assume we have another solution  $c' = x$ .

$$c \equiv c' \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1} \Rightarrow c - c' \equiv 0 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$c \equiv c' \equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2} \Rightarrow c - c' \equiv 0 \pmod{m_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow c - c' \equiv 0 \pmod{m_1 m_2} \text{ (by Principle 3)}$$

$$\Rightarrow c \equiv c' \pmod{m_1 m_2}$$

Check: any  $x \equiv c \pmod{m_1 m_2}$  is a solution - Ex  $\boxtimes$

The proof provides an algorithm for finding the solution of the system.

Example: 
$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 3 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

(1) Start with the first two congruences.

$$1 = 2 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 5$$

the solution of the first two congruences is

$$x \equiv 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 - 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 5 \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$

(2) Add the third congruence

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 8 \pmod{15} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

$$1 = 1 \cdot 15 - 2 \cdot 7 \quad (\text{by guessing})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } x &\equiv 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 15 - 8 \cdot 2 \cdot 7 \equiv 60 - 112 \equiv -52 \pmod{105} \\ &\equiv 53 \pmod{105}. \end{aligned}$$

Chinese Remainder Theorem (Full version):

Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be pairwise coprime, i.e.  $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . Then for any  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  the following system

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ x \equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2} \\ \vdots \\ x \equiv b_k \pmod{m_k} \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution modulo  $m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$ .

Proof: is based on two congruences version of CRT.

- The first two congruences are equivalent to  $x \equiv c_2 \pmod{m_1 m_2}$  for some  $c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- Add 3rd congruence.

We have  $\gcd(m_1, m_2, m_3) = 1$  (why?). Then

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_2 \pmod{m_1 m_2} \\ x \equiv c_3 \pmod{m_3} \end{cases} \iff x \equiv c_3 \pmod{m_1 m_2 m_3}$$

- Add 4th congruence and so on.  $\square$

Example: 
$$\begin{cases} 3x \equiv 4 \pmod{10} \\ 2x \equiv 5 \pmod{27} \end{cases}$$

Simplify each congruence:

$$3x \equiv 4 \pmod{10} \iff x \equiv 3^{-1} \cdot 4 \pmod{10}$$

$$3^{-1} \equiv 7 \pmod{10} \text{ (since } 3 \cdot 7 = 21 \equiv 1 \pmod{10})$$

$$2^{-1} \equiv 14 \pmod{27}$$

$$x \equiv 3^{-1} \cdot 4 \equiv 7 \cdot 4 \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$$

$$x \equiv 2^{-1} \cdot 5 \equiv 14 \cdot 5 \equiv 16 \pmod{27}$$

Now follow the algorithm from CRT.

Apply EEA:

$$27 = 2 \cdot 10 + 7$$

$$10 = 1 \cdot 7 + 3$$

$$7 = 2 \cdot 3 + 1$$

$$\begin{array}{rrrrr}
 27 & 10 & 7 & 3 & 1 \\
 \cdot & \cdot & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 \\
 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3
 \end{array}$$

Finally,  $1 = 3 \cdot 27 - 8 \cdot 10$ .

$$x \equiv 8 \cdot 3 \cdot 27 - 16 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= 8 \cdot 3 \cdot 27 - 16 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 = 648 - 1280 = -632 \pmod{270} \\
 &= 178 \pmod{270}.
 \end{aligned}$$

§8. Computing powers in modular arithmetics.

Q: ~~How~~ How to compute  $2^{2016} \pmod{1739}$ ?  
 $\quad\quad\quad 37 \cdot 47$

Approach 1 (naive). We start with  $2^1 = 2$ , then compute  $2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, \dots, 2^{2016} \pmod{1739}$ . It requires 2016 multiplications.

Approach 2 (Use Euler-Fermat Theorem):

$$\varphi(1739) = 36 \cdot 46 = 1656.$$

$$\text{So } 2^{2016} = 2^{1656} \cdot 2^{360} \pmod{1739} \equiv 2^{360} \pmod{1739}$$

Then it will require 360 multiplications.