

Unravelling higher order genome organisation [working title]

Introduction

Benjamin L. Moore

May 28, 2015

1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENOME ORGANISATION

It's oft-stated that the DNA within each human cell would extend for two metres fully straightened. Instead that same length of DNA packs into a cell nucleus with a diameter in the order of micrometers (μm).

1.1.1 C-methods and Hi-C

Classical studies of chromosome conformation relied on microscopy techniques to visualise nuclear architecture. These techniques led to the discovery of "chromosome territories", regions of the nucleus wherein distinct chromosomes were thought to occupy. Finer details of chromatin organisation, such as the proposed 30 nm fibre, were also introduced through microscopy-based techniques.

With the advent DNA sequencing technology, new experimental methods emerged. Chromosome conformation capture (3C), introduced by Dekker *et al.*^[1] was the first sequencing-based method of measuring chromosome conformation. The method uses formaldehyde to cross-link nuclear proteins in place, trapping genomic regions that were physically co-located through bound proteins, then to apply a frequent restriction enzyme to shear the sample into fragments. Next, under dilute conditions, DNA fragments are ligated together. The dilute conditions favour ligations between fixed fragments, with the aim of generating hybrid fragments from two genomic regions which were close together in the original preparation. Cross-linking can then be reversed and, in the case of the original 3C method, measured by quantitative PCR using pre-designed primers for your fragments of interest. The end result is a relative measure of interaction frequency between any two regions of interest, in theory directly proportional to their distance in three-dimensional space.

The rapid advancement of sequencing, allowed the original 3C method to be further developed, first through microarray technology, then using high-throughput sequencing. Two protocols were proposed for a 3C-inspired one-to-many assay^[2,3] (both named 4C), whereby interactions were measured for a specific viewpoint fragment against all other restriction fragments genome-wide. The same year a many-to-many assay (5C) allowed measurements for all restriction fragments within a specified region.^[4]

The final step was an all-versus-all assay, capable of assaying pairwise interaction frequencies between all restriction fragments of a genome. This assay was published by Lieberman Aiden *et al.*^[5] and named Hi-C. The Hi-C method added biotin tagging to pull-down only ligated fragments for sequencing. At the time of publication, resolution of Hi-C data for analysis was limited by sequencing depth, given the huge number of restriction fragments produced by a 6-cutter enzyme (HindIII and NcoI were used in^[5]) but the falling costs of sequencing and proven utility of the assay meant subsequent Hi-C papers incrementally increased their sequencing depth, to a point where analysis could be performed at the level of individual restriction fragments, genome-wide.^[6-9]

1.1.2 Hi-C variants

The interaction maps produced by Hi-C were noticed to exhibit several inherent biases. Fragment properties, such as their length, GC content and

mappability, were confounding interaction frequency estimates and therefore needed to be normalised-away before subsequent analysis.^[10] A range of statistical techniques were developed to correct for these latent variables^[11–14]

Tethered chromosome capture (TCC)^[15] was the first attempt to increase the signal to noise ratio of Hi-C contacts. In this method, ligations take place on a fixed surface, with the aim of preventing spurious ligations between fragments in solution which were not cross-linked.

Hi-C is a population-level assay, as the retrieved interaction counts are from a huge number of different cells. As well as building population-averaged models of genome structure, it is also of interest to probe cell-to-cell variability through single-cell approaches. The first single-cell Hi-C study^[16] ... An obvious limitation of single-cell Hi-C assays is that a single restriction fragment can ligate to at most a single other fragment, meaning even if 100% yield were to be achieved, any $n \times n$ interaction matrix could at most contain $\frac{n}{2}$ cells with data.

Capture-C is another recent Hi-C derivative which attempts to address resolution problems associated with the genome-wide pairwise assay by enriching for promoter-enhancer interactions using *a priori* selection.^[17] It could be said that Capture-C is to Hi-C as exome-capture sequencing is to a whole-genome approach.

In-site Hi-C was a recent refinement of the Hi-C method, from the published of the original method.^[9] The principle difference is that fixation and ligation now happen in place, within intact cell nuclei.

1.1.3 Chromosome compartments

At lower resolution, Lieberman-Aiden *et al.*^[5] identified “A” and “B” nuclear compartments, made up of regions of between 1 and 5 megabases which showed properties typical of euchromatin and heterochromatin, respectively. The combination of these two insights has lead to a model of higher order chromatin structure whereby groups of TADs assemble into alternating A and B compartments, reflecting broadly active and inactive chromosomal regions.^[12]

1.1.4 Topological domains

A high-profile example of computational analyses leading to new biological insight can be found in Dixon *et al.*^[6] wherein the authors characterised “topological domains” (also known as topological associating domains or TADs), a megabase-scale feature of genome organisation conserved between human and mice.

1.2 MACHINE LEARNING IN GENOMICS

The link between epigenomic features and local chromatin state has been analysed computationally in a number of publications, notably in developing the Hidden Markov Model-based ChromHMM^[18] algorithm which predicts states such as active promoters and enhancers, using a range of histone marks and other underlying features.^[19] Similarly a Random Forest-based algorithm was recently developed to predict enhancers from histone modification data.^[20] At the opposite end of the spectrum, theoretical mechanistic models of chromatin folding such as the “strings and binders switch” model^[21] and the “fractal globule” model^[22, 23] have both produced simulated data that reflects empirical 3C observations and potentially describe the polymer dynamics of chromatin folding. However few studies have spanned all of these levels of chromatin structure and nuclear organisation, and it is not yet

known how locus-level chromatin features may be related to higher order genome organisation.

1.2.1 ENCODE

The recent comprehensive ChIP-seq datasets produced by the ENCODE consortium^[24] combined with Hi-C genome-wide contact maps in a number of human cell types^[6,15] present a remarkable opportunity to investigate the relationships between local chromatin features and higher order structure. In this work, a machine-learning approach was employed to model the compartmental characteristics of large genomic regions based on their aggregate levels of various histone marks and DNA binding proteins. Dissection of the resulting models was then used as a means of gleaning biological insights into the basis of higher order structure and of highlighting important differences between cell types.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dekker J, Rippe K, Dekker M, Kleckner N (2002) Capturing chromosome conformation. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **295**(February): 1306–1311.
- [2] Zhao Z, Tavoosidana G, Sjölander M, Göndör A, Mariano P, Wang S, Kanduri C, Lezcano M, Sandhu KS, *et al.* (2006) Circular chromosome conformation capture (4C) uncovers extensive networks of epigenetically regulated intra- and interchromosomal interactions. *Nature genetics*, **38**(11): 1341–1347.
- [3] Simonis M, Klous P, Splinter E, Moshkin Y, Willemsen R, de Wit E, van Steensel B, de Laat W (2006) Nuclear organization of active and inactive chromatin domains uncovered by chromosome conformation capture-on-chip (4C). *Nature genetics*, **38**(11): 1348–1354.
- [4] Dostie J, Richmond Ta, Arnaout Ra, Selzer RR, Lee WL, Honan Ta, Rubio ED, Krumm A, Lamb J, *et al.* (2006) Chromosome Conformation Capture Carbon Copy (5C): A massively parallel solution for mapping interactions between genomic elements. *Genome Research*, **16**(10): 1299–1309.
- [5] Lieberman-Aiden E, van Berkum NL, Williams L, Imakaev M, Ragoczy T, Telling A, Amit I, Lajoie BR, Sabo PJ, *et al.* (2009) Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome. *Science*, **326**(5950): 289–93.
- [6] Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Yue F, Kim A, Li Y, Shen Y, Hu M, Liu JS, Ren B (2012) Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions. *Nature*, **485**(7398): 376–80.
- [7] Selvaraj S, R Dixon J, Bansal V, Ren B (2013) Whole-genome haplotype reconstruction using proximity-ligation and shotgun sequencing. *Nature biotechnology*, **31**(12): 1111–8.
- [8] Jin F, Li Y, Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Ye Z, Lee AY, Yen Ca, Schmitt AD, Espinoza CA, Ren B (2013) A high-resolution map of the three-dimensional chromatin interactome in human cells. *Nature*, **503**(7475): 290–4.
- [9] Rao S, Huntley M, Durand N, Stamenova E, Bochkov I, Robinson J, Sanborn A, Machol I, Omer A, *et al.* (2014) A 3D Map of the Human Genome at Kilobase Resolution Reveals Principles of Chromatin Looping. *Cell*, **159**(7): 1665–1680.
- [10] Yaffe E, Tanay A (2011) Probabilistic modeling of Hi-C contact maps eliminates systematic biases to characterize global chromosomal architecture. *Nature genetics*, **43**(11): 1059–65.
- [11] Imakaev M, Fudenberg G, McCord RP, Naumova N, Goloborodko A, Lajoie BR, Dekker J, Mirny LA (2012) Iterative correction of Hi-C data reveals hallmarks of chromosome organization. *Nature methods*, **9**(10): 999–1003.
- [12] Dekker J, Marti-Renom Ma, Mirny La (2013) Exploring the three-dimensional organization of genomes: interpreting chromatin interaction data. *Nature reviews. Genetics*, **14**(6): 390–403.
- [13] Hu M, Deng K, Selvaraj S, Qin Z, Ren B, Liu JS (2012) HiCNorm: removing biases in Hi-C data via Poisson regression. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **28**(23): 3131–3.
- [14] Li W, Gong K, Li Q, Alber F, Zhou XJ (2014) Hi-Corrector: a fast, scalable and memory-efficient package for normalizing large-scale Hi-C data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, (November): 1–3.
- [15] Kalhor R, Tjong H, Jayathilaka N, Alber F, Chen L (2012) Genome architectures revealed by tethered chromosome conformation capture and population-based modeling. *Nature biotechnology*, **30**(1): 90–8.

- [16] Nagano T, Lubling Y, Stevens TJ, Schoenfelder S, Yaffe E, Dean W, Laue ED, Tanay A, Fraser P (2013) Single-cell Hi-C reveals cell-to-cell variability in chromosome structure. *Nature*, **502**(7469): 59–64.
- [17] Mifsud B, Tavares-Cadete F, Young AN, Sugar R, Schoenfelder S, Ferreira L, Wingett SW, Andrews S, Grey W, *et al.* (2015) Mapping long-range promoter contacts in human cells with high-resolution capture Hi-C. *Nature Genetics*, (April): 1–12.
- [18] Ernst J, Kellis M (2012) ChromHMM: automating chromatin-state discovery and characterization. *Nature methods*, **9**(3): 215–6.
- [19] Ernst J, Kheradpour P, Mikkelsen TS, Shores N, Ward LD, Epstein CB, Zhang X, Wang L, Issner R, *et al.* (2011) Mapping and analysis of chromatin state dynamics in nine human cell types. *Nature*, **473**(7345): 43–9.
- [20] Rajagopal N, Xie W, Li Y, Wagner U, Wang W, Stamatoyannopoulos J, Ernst J, Kellis M, Ren B (2013) RFECS: a random-forest based algorithm for enhancer identification from chromatin state. *PLoS computational biology*, **9**(3): e1002968.
- [21] Barbieri M, Chotalia M, Fraser J, Lavitas LM, Dostie J, Pombo A, Nicodemi M (2012) Complexity of chromatin folding is captured by the strings and binders switch model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **109**(40): 16173–8.
- [22] Mirny La (2011) The fractal globule as a model of chromatin architecture in the cell. *Chromosome research : an international journal on the molecular, supramolecular and evolutionary aspects of chromosome biology*, **19**(1): 37–51.
- [23] Grosberg AY, Nechaev S, Shakhnovich E (1988) The role of topological constraints in the kinetics of collapse of macromolecules. *Journal de Physique*, **49**(12): 2095–2100.
- [24] ENCODE (2012) An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature*, **489**(7414): 57–74.