INTERACTIONS OF PRIMORDIAL BLACK HOLES WITH NEUTRON STARS

by

Brady Metherall

Date	Description	Page
oct 22/16	Intro and Plat Star Approximation (Eyenfun.)	1
at 22/16	Adding Gravitational Potential.	4
0422116	Deformation of the surface	5
00+23/16	Plotting the Surface waves	6
act 26/16	Solution to the PDE (more or less)	8
0427/16	Hawking Radiation and the Evapouration of BHs	.14
Oct 27/16	Solving for n, the Deformation of the Surface.	16
0127/16	Expansion of I in Jo(Kr)	17
Nov 9/16	Calculation of the Energy	2019
Nov9/16	- Calculation of of and B	20
Nov 9/16	Calculation of ~	21
Nov 9/16	Putting it all Together	21
		,

Our youl is to model and simulate the interaction caused by the head on collision of a PBH the with an NS. Intolly, we shall follow "On Tidal Capture of Primordial Black Holes by Newton Stars" by Defillon et al.

Flat Star

our first model will be that the NS is a flat and infinitely deep stuid incompressible fluid. As such, we have $abla^2 \varphi = 0$ - Let us first determine the eigenfunctions of φ .

Let us assume a product solution, $\varphi = f(r)g(\Theta)h(2)T(t)$, and do separation of variables. However, solving the haplacean will not give us T(t); this will be found from the boundary conditions as well see, we are in acylindrically symmetric system, and every cylindrical coordinates, therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3r}} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \left(\frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3\theta^2}} \right) + \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{32^2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3r}} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3\theta^2}} + \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{32^2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3r}} \frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3\theta^2}} + \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{32^2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3r}} \frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3r}} + \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3r}} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3r}} \frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3r}} + \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3r}} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3r}} \frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{3r}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3r}} + \frac{3^2}{\sqrt{3r}} = 0$$

we have now separated h from fand g.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{h''}{h} = k^2 \Rightarrow h(z) = Ae^{kz} + Be^{-kz}$$

From our infinite depth assumption B=0 since ZE(0,-20).

g has now been separated from f, => g'=-u2

=> g = Asin(u0)+Beos(u0). But our system is symmetric about 0; there should be no & dependence.

=> r = (rf) + (K2 r2-M2) f =0 which is Bessel's equation

Thus, YK = AK EKZ JOKKIT (63,K)

Assuming our surface waves are small perturbations, we have,

(3t + gn) | 2=0 , and since, 3th 2 3th, we take

8/2t and substitute.

(22 t y 24) 2=0.

=> (Akake Julki) T"(t, K) + g Ak Keeke Julkin) TIt, K) /2=0=0

T"(E,K)+JKT(t,K)=0

=> (TIt, K) = Aciwst + Beiwst with with with get is the

dispersion relation.

The paper takes A=0, which I just noticed. I'm not really Sure why.

e & ekz dolkr) = iwat

The next step is to add the perturbation of the gravitational potential of the PBH.

$$\overline{\Phi}(1,2) = \frac{-6m}{(12+(2+0t)^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{-6m}{7}$$

with it as the Euclidean distance between the PBH and a fluid element as a function of t.

we must resolve for T(t, k) using our new boundary condition at the surface:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} + y \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0} = -\frac{\partial \overline{\phi}}{\partial t}$$

The authors suggest writing I as a sum of Bessel functions, however, this is not an obvious substitution, since I is multivariate and cannot be written as a product. This PDE has proven to be quite difficult to solve. Regardless, the solution is a given as,

with Elt) the sgn function, and O(t) the Heaviside function.

The velocity potential, φ , and the profile of the surface, n, are related by $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{a}t}$ at z=0. In other words,

we shall focus on these two integrals separately.

For the first, notice that $\frac{d|\mathbf{t}|}{dt} = \mathcal{E}(t)$, and therefore, only a simple u-sub is required. For the second $\Theta(t)$ is essentially a constant.

A usualization of n(r,t) would be quite handy. Unfortunately, due to it's infinite upper bound, and it's highly oscillatory nature from the sine and bessel functions, it is quite difficult to evaluate, even numerically. Both python and m. MATLAB were unable to evaluate the integral, it was unable to converge. Mathematica, however, was able to evaluate the integral. The fastest evaluation, and convergence resulted with 'Levins Method when using the 'Levinhule' method. I generated a However, At even Mathematica seemed to have conveyence problems for rko.05 (with g=6=m=v=1). Techniques exist for numerically evaluating improper integrals, such as a reparameterization,

But, this closes not seem useful for oscillatory functions, since the would become infinitesimal at 1. I generated a dat file with Mathematica; using g=6=v=m=1. I evaluated the integral for $0.05 \le r \le 1$ in steps of 10^{-3} , and $0 \le t \le 5$, in steps of 0.1. It took about 4 hours to finish.

Integrating k from 0 to 103.

with the help of a few scripts, the image generation was very foot. I made the plots of rus. In for each time step easily graphed. The script set the labels, and limits, and such then looped over each column of the data file, saving each plot with a sequential name, as a pay. Then using ffinger, I stitched together each image to make an animation of the surface waves. Furthermore, I wrote another, similar, script, but to export an a plot of integer times, as a tex file, to use Latex for the foots and typesetting, as well as easy implementation to the mountains.

I have started a repository for this project,
github.com/bretherall/Primordial-Black-Holes, the data
file, scripts, and animation, & have been pushed.

$$\frac{\left(\frac{3^{2}\phi}{3t^{2}} + g \frac{3\phi}{3z}\right)|_{z=0}}{= -\frac{3\phi}{3t}|_{z=0}} = -\frac{3\phi}{3t}|_{z=0}$$

$$= -\frac{6mv^{2}t}{(r^{2}+v^{2}t^{2})^{3/2}} \left(\frac{t}{t}\right)(2v^{2}t)$$

$$= -\frac{6mv^{2}t}{(r^{2}+v^{2}t^{2})^{3/2}}$$

Let $\tilde{\Phi} = -6mv^2t$ we want to write $\tilde{\Phi}$ in the

form of = Zalk) lolkr) to match the form of q, we

can do this, i, since do (kr) is complete

same eyenfunctions.

In our case, the sum is over all positive real k.

- Jolker = Jo alk) Jolker) rdk

So & John dr = Bango Jo (kr) rdidk

and
$$\int_0^\infty Jd(kr)Jo(\alpha r)rdr = \frac{1}{K} \delta_{Kd}$$

= $\int_0^\infty a(k) \frac{1}{K} \delta_{Kd} dk$
= $a(k)$

Assuming Re(truz)70, Relk/70,

Solution on

Im(K)=0

page 17

which are all satisfied.

= - 6 muk E(t) e kvitl

con with Elt) as sign(t).

we shall now work on the left hand side.

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} + g \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}\right)|_{z=0}$$
 with

= (100 ekz Jo(Kr)T"(t)dkt g 50 Kekz Jo(KA)T(t)dk) |z=0

= 50 Jo(Kr)T"(t)dk+ y 50 KJo(Kr)T(t)dk

Since both integrals have the same bounds, and are both wit k, we can combine them.

= 50 Jo(kr)[T"(t)+gkT(t)]dk

Then,

So Jolker [T"(t) tgkT(t)]dk = - So Gmvk E(t) e-kviti Jolker)dk

And in a similar manner,

50 Jolkr [T" (t) tgkT(t) + Gmuk E(t)e-kvitl] dk = 0

Jo Jak Jolke) [Titel tokt (c)+ 6 mok Elle-kulting didk=0

the tirst lorany)

\$\frac{1}{1!} prove this later.

without loss of generality, assume £70:

The honogeneous solution is of course, as shown before, $T(t) = \chi \cos(\omega_K t) + \beta \sin(\omega_K t)$. We seek the particular solution, by first assuming T(t) is in the form, $T(t) = Ae^{\chi t}$:

€> x=-kv by the orthogonality of the exponential function.

$$A = \frac{-6mvk}{gk + k^2v^2}$$

For convenience, which we shall see shortly, as rewrite

Physically, we only expect the sinuscidal oscillations to occur at times too; after the collision. As such, we shall introduce O(t) the Heaviside step faction,

T(t)= Gmu 1 (-E(t) e-KV/E) + O(t) (Zcos(wkt)+ B sin(wkt))].

Now, the velocity potential, φ , must be continuous, and smooth everywhere, including t=0. More specifically, lim $T(t)=\lim_{t\to \infty} T(t)$, and $\lim_{t\to \infty} T'(t)=\lim_{t\to \infty} T'(t)$. These to

conditions will allow us to compute &, and B. Let us start with the first condition:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} T(t) = \lim_{t \to 0} T(t)$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{t \to 0}$$

And now the second condition!

The temperature of a Hawking Radiation is given as $T_{H} = \frac{t_{1}c^{3}}{8\pi GMK_{B}}$

Stefan-Bottzmann paver law:

But
$$P = -\frac{dE}{dt}$$

$$-\frac{\Xi}{C^2}dt = M^2dM$$

As the BH evapourates, M goes from Mo to O, and t goes from O to tev.

The age of the universe is more or less 4.3×10175

Marit = 1.7×1014 g.

Now that we have of, we can solve for n using the feet that

E(t) and O(t) can be treated as constants since their derivatives are zero.

Earlier we needed to write the in 20 | reterns of

Jolan. To do so we needed to solve evaluate

From dlmf. nist you/10.22# E46 states

In our case:

=> = - 6mv't
$$\frac{k'^{12}(vt)^{-1/2}}{2^{1/2}P'(3/2)}K_{-1/2}(kvt)$$

Evanthe de

we can calculate the energy by taking the sum of the kinetic and the potential. It is as we would expect, with $\vec{J} = \vec{X} \vec{p}$, and for the z component of potential, we are only interested in the deviation from the upportar unperturbed fluid, that is occasion.

= 1 pfill logi dz rardo + pg ISIn z dz rardo

= # px Silvelindedr+ pg 20 f 10 nindr

= pm[[son loghtrozdr+gson2rdr]

As we shall see, this is a very involved coloulation, and we will need to split this into three pieces.

The Firstly, 10/2= (34)2+(34)2

= pt [50 50 (34) rdzdr + 550 (34) rdzdr + g 50 n2 rdr]

Let d, B, T denote these terms respectively.

Calculation of Land B $\alpha = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2y}{2r}\right)^{2} r dz dr$

Due to the nature of 4, (24)? is quite lengthy itself.

= 5000 500 500 000 ekz (-K)(Kr)) [etic] dk 500 000 ekz (-K'),(K'r)) etdk'rde

by letting of be the time component of y.

= 50 50 50 50 62m2 ekz+kz (1+Kv2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(1+K'v2/g)(

= \(\int_{\infty}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{6^{2} m^{2} v^{2}}{9^{2}} \frac{e^{2kz}}{(1+kv^{2}/3)^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{v^{2}} |t_{1}k| k dkdz

= 10 62m2v2 e2k2 (1+kv4g)4p72(+, K) K 1 dK /2=00

= 62m2v2 500 4T2(t,k)dk
(1+kv2/g)2.

I claim that $x = \beta$ due to the fact that $\frac{2\psi}{3z}$ and $\frac{2\psi}{3r}$ both is pick up a k, and that Jo, or J, closs not change the final answer.

Dutting It All Together

Nov 9/16

$$E = p\pi(x + \beta + g\gamma)$$

we shall take $\lim_{t\to\infty}$ since we expect E to be maximum at $t=\infty$, we can justify that by $\frac{dE}{dt}=0$.

took

If we apply this result to the case of a spherical star,

$$g = \frac{GM}{R^2} \qquad M = \frac{H}{3}\pi R^3 \rho$$

$$= \frac{36m}{R}$$

which agrees with the dynamical friction approach.