Catching fire: Undefined behaviour for equational reasoning of lazy languages with strictness annotations

Subtitle

Anonymous Author(s)

Abstract

10

12

14

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

We propose a new style of semantics for non-strict languages that provide access to many optimizations that are currently unsound for lazy languages. Lazy languages, while providing equational reasoning, are unable to reason effectively about strictness annotations. On the other hand, most strict languages are impure, and thus unable to provide equational reasoning due to the presence of side effects. Research on optimizing non-strict languages has focused on optimizing away non-strictness with demands, and efficient lowering of strictness onto modern hardware. We take a different approach: we propose to use undefined behaviour judiciously while defining the semantics of our language to enable many key optimizations that are possible in the strict world, that are not possible in the non-strict world. We model divergent computations as undefined behaviour, giving the compiler far more freedom to optimize and reorder a mix of strict and non-strict code.

1 Introduction

Lazy languages such as Haskell offer many benefits, chief of which is the ability to reason equationally about programs. For performance, considerations, the prototypical lazy language, Haskell, provides "bang patterns" to mark strictness. Herein lies the trouble; these "bang patterns" do not permit equational reasoning for the user. Worse, they form a sequence point in the otherwise pure semantics, taking away most of the optimization freedom from the compiler. We propose to remedy the situation by making judicious use of undefined behaviour semantics. While undefined behaviour is often reviled in discourse, it is in fact a major reason why C and C++ can be optimized as well as they can; defining certain rare or impossible conditions as undefined behaviour provides the compiler a great deal of freedom by being able to ignore these cases. We choose to mark divergence as undefined behaviour. This solves the correctness problem in reordering strict computations; The only thing our compiler (Lizzy) will reason about is performance when reordering strict computations. Furthermore, this is not too insane a proposal; After all, the C standard also defines side-effect free infinite loops as undefined behaviour. Next, we show a variety of examples where this simple extension to the language semantics permits far easier reasoning about mixing

strictness and non-strictness. We then put this to the test, by extending MLIR with a new non-strict IR, called 1z . Note that the undefined behaviour semantics are critical in order to reason effectively about the mix of strict and non strict behaviour we wish to model within MLIR. Finally, to validate our approach, we consider a series of benchmarks where our programming language, Lizzy outperforms similar programs in Haskell compiled by the Glasgow Haskell Compiler.

63

65

71

72

74

75

76

91

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109 110

Our contributions are:

- A new dialect (1z) for MLIR to encode these semantics, and provide modular non-strictness.
- A translator from STG to 1z that exhibits the ability to eliminate laziness and leverage MLIR's loop optimisation framework.
- A rewrite driven strategy for worker/wrapper that interleaves worker/wrapper analysis along with worker/wrapper rewriting, by phrasing the worker/wrapper problem as an outlining/inlining problem.

2 Stuff that doesn't work

- circular references: SSA by default cannot handle circular references. We need to use the "relaxed SSA" that allows graphs. It's unclear how well this adapts.
- A garbage collector. The usual story here, we don't really make a fair comparison.
- Any realistic program exhibiting "difficult" laziness. I feel what would be interesting would be to implement, say, GRIN, along with a sophisticated pointer analysis using the MLIR analysis-as-rewrite story here.
- A proof of correctness of the naive demand analysis I wrote.

3 Stuff that does work

- An encoding of laziness that works for the simple stuff I've tried
- A simple version of "demand analysis" that eliminates first order laziness.
- Some toy examples where we generate better IR than GHC because we can expose the "real work" to LLVM/M-LIR in a way that they understand, by eliminating the noise that is laziness.

l

4 What I feel would make a good paper/thesis

- Our story of performing worker/wrapper by outlining/inlining is <u>intriguing</u>. I don't think it's <u>strong</u>. So, to remedy that, we can:
- (1) Make our laziness encoding bulletproof, connect to CBPV for example, handle circular references, etc.
- (2) Make a strong case that MLIR is an interesting target for this breed of language. So, perform unification in MLIR, typeclass resolution in MLIR, perform some analysis/optimisation. This way, we show an end-to-end prototype of something that sorta works, instead of one thing that sorta works (?)

TODO: fill in semantics here.

5 lz: Its Syntax, Type system, semantics

5.1 A high level overview of MLIR

5.2 A high level overview of 1z

We extend the basic std dialect modestly, by adding primitives that can describe non-strictness. We first introduce a primitive known as lz. thunk, which is responsible for creating non-strict thunks. To represent lazy function application, we introduce a new operation called lz. ap, which is the lazy sibling of std. call. This creates a thunk, which when evaluated, invokes the function with the given arguments. To force a thunk, we introduce lz. force, which receives a thunk as input and returns the forced value of the thunk as output. In effect, we have an encoding of administrative normal form as (ANF) an MLIR dialect.

To represent algebraic data types, which enable reasoning of control flow and are the primary mode of abstraction in most functional languages, as well as newer imperative languages such as Rust, we introduce the lz.construct and case operators, which are the introduction and elimination forms of structured data in the dialect.

TODO: this is broken, because it doesn't scope properly. We need to describe lz. thunk as building a thunk in memory/on the heap, and the value stored in the register file is the pointer to this thunk.

5.3 Purifying 1z. force

Let us for a moment assume that divergence is not undefined behaviour. Now consider the code in ??. The variable called %loopv is unused. However, because divergence %loopv is divergent, it is incorrect to eliminate the variable definition of %loopv, for the divergence of loop occurs when %loopt is forced; That is, when the instruction %loopv = lz.force(%loopv) is executed. This implies that the instruction lz.forceis side-effecting.

If we wished to regain purity of lz.forceand leverage the power of SSA, then we must be allowed to eliminate a call to lz.forceif the value is unused. This is exactly the same requirement as being able to equationally reason with bangs in a let binding. In that use-case, we wished for undefined behaviour semantics for ease-of-reasoning. Here, we wish for undefined behaviour semantics for ease-of-optimization.

!lz.adt<@Box>lz.return(

Listing 1. The SSA encoding of a lazy program with divergence in the lz dialect. Note the unused divergent variable %loopv

1 // loop = loop
2 lz.func @loop () -> !lz.adtBox> lz.return(// main =
 let !x = loop in 42lz.func @main() ->
 !lz.adt<@Int> // // : !lz.thunk<lz.adt<@Box>>//
 // : !lz.adt<@Box>lz.return(

Listing 2. Under the assumption that divergence is UB we can eliminate the call to 1z.force. This regains SSA semantics.

5.4 Purifying lz.construct

Consider the program in ??. For us to eliminate the call to lz.construct, we need to be sure that memory allocation has no side effects. In our case, since we assume that allocations are not visible to the user in terms of their implementation details (eg. The address of the pointer of the block of memory), we can safely remove the value %unused = lz.construct(@Box) as %unused is unused.

On the flipside, consider a program where we want to <u>copy</u> the instruction lz.construct. If we were not guaranteed the existence of a garbage collector, then this would not be pure; we would have created a chunk of memory that is never freed. TODO: Is there a good example where we want to copy a value for optimization? Something like, copy an SSA value both into a loop and outside or something?

1 lz.func() -> !hask.adtBox> lz.ret(

Listing 3. An unused called to lz.construct

6 Worker wrapper by local rewrites: A first stab

In this section, we consider a small example program, which we will explain how to perform a classical transform (the worker-wrapper transform) purely by using local rewrites. Traditionally, such a transformation is performed by first performing a demand analysis whose results are used to drive the rewrite.

We explore how this transformation can be achieved <u>without</u> the need for demand analysis by performing <u>local rewrites</u>. This provides both a simpler manifestation of <u>the algorithm</u>,

```
toplevel := func | global
                                                                                                                                                  276
       func := <fn-name> formal-param-list -> <ret-type> <region>
222
                                                                                                                                                  277
       region := list [bb]
223
                                                                                                                                                  278
       bb := <bb-name> formal-param-list : list inst; terminator-inst
224
                                                                                                                                                  279
       inst := retval "=" <op-name> arg-list
225
       terminator-inst := std.return(<name>) | br <name> | condbr <name> <bb1> <param-list> <bb2> <param-list>
226
                                                                                                                                                  281
227
                                                        Figure 1. The std dialect syntax
228
                                                                                                                                                  283
229
                                                                                                                                                  284
230
                                                                                                                                                  285
231
                                                                                   R[x_1] = v_1 \quad R[x_2] = v_2 \quad \dots \quad R[x_n] = v_n
                                                                                                                                                  286
232
                                                                                                                                                  287
                                                                                   [\![f]\!](v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = y
233
                                                                                                                                                  288
                                                                                   (\text{%vid} = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), R) \xrightarrow{\text{asgn}} R[\text{%vid} \mapsto y]
         (\% \text{vid} = \text{std.constant } const, R) \xrightarrow{\text{asgn}} R[\% \text{vid} \mapsto const]
234
                                                                                                                                                  289
235
                                                                                                                                                  290
                   P[pc] = \text{condbr c } ^b1(xs) ^b2(ys)
236
                                                                                                                                                  291
                   P[^b] = ^b(1s)
237
                                                                                                                                                  292
                   R[c] \neq 0
                                                                                     P[pc] = \text{br } c \ l(v_1) \ r(v_2) \quad P[r] = pc' \quad R[c] = 0
238
239
                                                                                                                                                  294
                   (pc, R) \xrightarrow{\text{ctrl}} (pc', R[ls \mapsto R[xs])
                                                                                      (pc, R) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ctrl}} (pc', R[r.input \mapsto R[v_2])
240
                                                                                                                                                  295
241
                                                                                                                                                  296
242
                                                                                                                                                  297
243
                                                                                                                                                  298
                 P[pc] = br n(v) P[n] = pc'
244
                 (pc, R) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ctrl}} (pc', R[n.input \mapsto R[v])
245
                                                                                                                                                  300
246
                                                                                                                                                  301
247
                                                                                                                                                  302
248
                                                                                                                                                  303
                                            Figure 2. The operational semantics of the std dialect
249
                                                                                                                                                  304
250
                                                                                                                                                  305
       thunk(x): T -> Thunk<T>
251
       ap(f, v1, v2, ..., vn): ((T1,...Tn) \rightarrow R) \times T1 \times ... Tn \rightarrow Thunk < R >
252
                                                                                                                                                  307
       force(x): Thunk<T> -> T
253
       construct(ConsName, v1, ..., vn): Symbol x T1 x T2 ... x Tn -> ADT<T>
254
                                                                                                                                                  309
       case(x: T) of ... : ADT<T> -> R
255
                                                                                                                                                  310
256
                                                                                                                                                  311
                                                       Figure 3. Syntax of 1z extensions
257
                                                                                                                                                  312
258
                                                                                                                                                  313
       as well as a potentially faster implementation, as the MLIR 15 int main() {
259
                                                                                                                                                  314
       infrastructure is capable of performing rewrites in parallel.
                                                                         16
                                                                                  printf("%d\n", f(thunkify(SimpleInt(1))).v);
                                                                                                                                                  315
260
                                                                         17 }
261
      SimpleInt f(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) {
                                                                                                                                                  316
262
           SimpleInt icons = force(i);
                                                                                             Listing 4. initial source code
                                                                                                                                                  317
263
           int ihash = casedefault(icons);
                                                                                                                                                  318
                                                                           1 SimpleInt f2(SimpleInt icons) {
264 4
           if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
                                                                                                                                                  319
                return SimpleInt(42);
                                                                                  int ihash = casedefault(icons);
                                                                                                                                                  320
265 5
           } else {
                                                                                  if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
                                                                                                                                                  321
                int prev = ihash - 1;
                                                                                       return SimpleInt(42);
                                                                                                                                                  322
267 7
                SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
                                                                                  } else {
                                                                                                                                                  323
                Thunk<SimpleInt> siprev_t = thunkify(siprev);
                                                                                       int prev = ihash - 1;
                                                                                                                                                  324
269 9
                                                                           6
                SimpleInt f_prev_v = apStrict(f, siprev_t);
                                                                                       SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
270 10
                                                                                                                                                  325
                                                                                       Thunk<SimpleInt> siprev_t = thunkify(siprev);
271 11
                return f_prev_v;
                                                                           8
                                                                                                                                                  326
272 12
                                                                           9
                                                                                       SimpleInt f_prev_v = f(siprev_t);
                                                                                                                                                  327
                                                                          10
                                                                                       return f_prev_v;
273 13 }
                                                                                                                                                  328
274 14
                                                                          11
                                                                                                                                                  329
                                                                                  }
275
                                                                                                                                                  330
                                                                         3
```

```
331
                                                                                                                                                 386
                                                                                   P[pc] = %vid = lz.ap(%fref, %x1, ..., %xn)
          P[pc] =
332
                                                                                                                                                 387
            %vid = lz.construct(@ConsName, %x1, ..., %xn)
                                                                                   P[\%f1] = ref(@fn)
333
                                                                                                                                                 388
                                                                                   P[\%x1] = v_1, \ldots, P[\%xn] = v_n
         P[\%x1] = v_1, \dots, P[\%xn] = v_n
334
                                                                                                                                                 389
          R[\%\text{vid} \mapsto \text{constructor}(@\text{ConsName}, v_1, \dots, v_n)]
                                                                                   R[\text{%vid} \mapsto \text{thunk}(\text{@fn}, v_1, \dots, v_n)]
335
336
                                                                                                                                                 391
337
                                                                                                                                                 392
         P[pc] = %vid = lz.case(%x, @Cons<sub>1</sub>, r<sub>1</sub>, ..., @Cons<sub>N</sub>, r<sub>N</sub>)
338
                                                                                                                                                 393
         @Cons_i = @XCons
                                                                                          p[pc] = %vid = lz.force(%thnk)
339
                                                                                                                                                 394
         P[\%x] = construct(@XCons, v_1, ..., v_n)
                                                                                          p[%thnk] = thunk(@fn, v_1, ..., v_n)
340
                                                                                                                                                 395
         r_i = \{ \text{ }^{\text{}} \text{entry(arg1, } \dots, \text{ argn): } \dots \}
                                                                                          [[@fn]] (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = y
341
                                                                                                                                                 396
         (pc, R) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ctrl}} (P[r_i], R[\mathsf{arg}_i \mapsto v_i])
342
                                                                                                                                                 397
                                                                                          r[%vid \mapsto y]
343
                                                                                                                                                 398
344
                                                                                                                                                 399
345
                                                                                                                                                 400
                                               Figure 4. Operational semantics of 1z extensions
346
347
                                                                                                                                                 402
                                                                                      Thunk<SimpleInt> f_prev_v = f2(siprev);
                                                                                                                                                 403
      SimpleInt f(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) {
                                                                          8
                                                                                      return f_prev_v;
                                                                                                                                                 404
350 14
           SimpleInt icons = force(i);
                                                                          9
                                                                                 }
                                                                                                                                                 405
           SimpleInt ret = f2(icons);
                                                                        10 }
351 15
                                                                                                                                                 406
352 16
           return ret;
                                                                         11
                                                                                                                                                 407
353 17 }
                                                                         12 SimpleInt f2(SimpleInt icons) {
                                                                                                                                                 408
                                                                                 int ihash = casedefault(icons);
                                                                        13
       Listing 5. Step 1: outlining everything after the initial
354
                                                                                                                                                 409
                                                                         14
                                                                                  SimpleInt ret = f3(ihash);
      lz.forceinto f2
355
                                                                                                                                                410
                                                                         15
356
                                                                                                                                                411
                                                                         16
      SimpleInt f2(SimpleInt icons) {
                                                                                 return ret;
357
                                                                                                                                                 412
                                                                         17 }
           int ihash = casedefault(icons);
358
                                                                                                                                                413
           if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
                                                                         18 ...
359 3
                                                                                                                                                 414
360 4
                return SimpleInt(42);
                                                                            Listing 7. Step 3: outline everything after casedefault into
                                                                                                                                                 415
361 5
           } else {
                                                                                                                                                 416
362
                int prev = ihash - 1;
                                                                                                                                                 417
                SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
                                                                          1 SimpleInt f3(int icons) {
                                                                                                                                                 418
                Thunk<SimpleInt> siprev_t = thunkify(siprev);
                                                                                 if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
364 8
                                                                                                                                                419
                                                                                      return SimpleInt(42);
                SimpleInt f_prev_v = f(siprev_t);
365 9
                                                                                                                                                 420
                Thunk<SimpleInt> f_prev_v = f2(siprev);
                                                                                 } else {
366 10
                                                                                                                                                 421
                                                                                      int prev = ihash - 1;
                return f_prev_v;
367 11
                                                                                                                                                 422
368 12
           }
                                                                                       SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
                                                                                                                                                423
369 13 }
                                                                                       Thunk<SimpleInt> f_prev_v = f2(siprev);
                                                                                                                                                 424
                                                                                       Thunk<SimpleInt> f_prev_v = f3(prev);
370 14
                                                                                                                                                425
371 15 SimpleInt f(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) {
                                                                          9
                                                                                      return f_prev_v;
                                                                                                                                                 426
           SimpleInt icons = force(i);
                                                                         10
                                                                                 }
372 16
                                                                                                                                                 427
373 17
           SimpleInt ret = f2(icons);
                                                                         11 }
                                                                                                                                                 428
374 18
           return ret;
                                                                                                                                                 429
375 19 }
                                                                            Listing 8. replace call f2(SimpleInt(prev)) to f3(prev)
                                                                                                                                                 430
376
       Listing 6. Step 2: Removing the un-necessary lazy recursive
                                                                                                                                                 431
377
                                                                          1 SimpleInt f3(int icons) {
                                                                                                                                                 432
       call
378
                                                                                 if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
                                                                                                                                                 433
                                                                                      return SimpleInt(42);
      SimpleInt f3(int icons) {
                                                                                                                                                 434
379 1
           if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
380
                                                                                                                                                 435
                return SimpleInt(42);
                                                                                      int prev = ihash - 1;
381 3
                                                                                                                                                 436
                                                                                      Thunk<SimpleInt> f_prev_v = f3(prev);
                                                                                                                                                 437
                int prev = ihash - 1;
                                                                                      return f_prev_v;
383 5
                                                                                                                                                 438
384
                SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
                                                                                                                                                 439
                                                                          8
                                                                                 }
385
                                                                                                                                                 440
```

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

485

497

498

499

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

529

```
441 9 }
442 10
443 11 SimpleInt f2(SimpleInt icons) {
          int ihash = casedefault(icons);
           SimpleInt ret = f3(ihash);
446 14
          return ret;
447 15 }
448 16 SimpleInt f(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) {
449 17
          SimpleInt icons = force(i);
450 18
          SimpleInt ret = f2(icons);
          return ret;
451 19
452 20 }
453
                       Listing 9. taking stock
```

At this point, we have a clean program that has been worker/wrappered. We can see that the call chain looks as follows:

$$f \xrightarrow{\mathsf{force}} f_2 \xrightarrow{\mathsf{unwrap}} f_3 \to f_3 \dots \xrightarrow{\mathsf{wrap}} f_2 \xrightarrow{\mathsf{thunk}} f$$

Of course, much remains to be discussed: what about sum types? what about non-tail recursion? Extensions to the same idea will handle the above problems, while retaining the pleasing simplicity of this outlining/inlining paradigm.

7 Worker wrapper by local rewrites: Non-tail-calls

468 SimpleInt g(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) { 469 SimpleInt icons = force(i); int ihash = casedefault(icons); 471 if (ihash <= 0) {</pre> return SimpleInt(42); } else { 474 int prev = ihash - 1; 475 SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev); 476 Thunk<SimpleInt> siprev_t = thunkify(siprev); 477 478 10 SimpleInt g_prev_v = g(siprev_t); 479 11 int g_prev_v_hash = casedefault(g_prev_v); **480** 12 int rethash = g_prev_v_hash + 2; 481 13 SimpleInt ret = SimpleInt(rethash); return ret; 482 14 483 15 484 16 }

Listing 10. Step 0: the initial program

```
9    SimpleInt g_prev_v = g(siprev_t);
10    int g_prev_v_hash = casedefault(g_prev_v);
11    int rethash = g_prev_v_hash + 2;
12    SimpleInt ret = SimpleInt(rethash);
13    return ret;
14    }
15 }
16 SimpleInt g(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) {
17    SimpleInt icons = force(i);
18    g2(icons);
19 }
```

Listing 11. Step 1: outline everything after force

```
1 SimpleInt g2(SimpleInt i) {
     int ihash = casedefault(icons);
     if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
       return SimpleInt(42);
    } else {
       int prev = ihash - 1;
       SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
       Thunk<SimpleInt> siprev_t = thunkify(siprev);
       SimpleInt g_prev_v = g(siprev_t);
       int g_prev_v_hash = casedefault(g_prev_v);
11
       int rethash = g_prev_v_hash + 2;
       SimpleInt ret = SimpleInt(rethash);
13
       return ret;
14
15 }
16 SimpleInt g(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) {
    SimpleInt icons = force(i);
18
    g2(icons);
19 }
```

Listing 12. Step 2: outline everything after force

```
1 SimpleInt g2(SimpleInt i) {
                                                                 531
    int ihash = casedefault(icons);
                                                                 532
     if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
                                                                 533
       return SimpleInt(42);
     } else {
                                                                 535
       int prev = ihash - 1;
                                                                 536
       SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
                                                                 537
       Thunk<SimpleInt> siprev_t = thunkify(siprev) ;
                                                                 538
       SimpleInt g_prev_v = g(siprev_t);
                                                                 539
10
       SimpleInt g_prev_v = g2(siprev);
                                                                 540
11
       int g_prev_v_hash = casedefault(g_prev_v);
                                                                 541
12
       int rethash = g_prev_v_hash + 2;
                                                                 542
13
       SimpleInt ret = SimpleInt(rethash);
                                                                 543
14
       return ret;
                                                                 544
15
                                                                 545
16 }
                                                                 546
17 SimpleInt g(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) {
                                                                 547
     SimpleInt icons = force(i);
                                                                 548
     g2(icons);
                                                                 549
19
5
                                                                 550
```

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

622

624

625

626

627

628

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

645

646

647

648

649

650

```
551 20 }
552
             Listing 13. Step 2: replace recursive call to g
553
554 | SimpleInt g3(int i) {
555 2
       if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
556 3
          return SimpleInt(42);
557 4
       } else {
558 5
          int prev = ihash - 1;
559 6
          SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
560 7
          g_prev_v = g2(siprev);
          int g_prev_v_hash = casedefault(g_prev_v);
561 8
562 9
          int rethash = g_prev_v_hash + 2;
          SimpleInt ret = SimpleInt(rethash);
563 10
          return ret;
565 12
       }
566 13 }
567 14
568 15 SimpleInt g2(SimpleInt i) {
        int ihash = casedefault(icons);
570 17
        return g3(ihash);
571 18 }
572
          Listing 14. Step 3: outline block after casedefault
573
574 | SimpleInt g3(int i) {
        if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
576 3
          return SimpleInt(42);
577 4
        } else {
          int prev = ihash - 1;
578 5
579 6
          SimpleInt siprev = SimpleInt(prev);
580 7
          g_prev_v = g2(siprev);
          g_prev_v = g3(prev);
          int g_prev_v_hash = casedefault(g_prev_v);
582 9
          int rethash = g_prev_v_hash + 2;
583 10
584 11
          SimpleInt ret = SimpleInt(rethash);
585 12
          return ret;
586 13
587 14 }
588
                Listing 15. Step 4: replace g2 with g3
590 1 SimpleInt g3(int ihash) {
       int out;
592 3
        if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
593 4
           return SimpleInt(42);
594 5
           out = 42;
       } else {
595 6
          int prev = ihash - 1;
          SimpleInt g_prev_v = g3(prev);
597 8
          int g_prev_v_hash = casedefault(g_prev_v);
599 10
          int rethash = g_prev_v_hash + 2;
          SimpleInt ret = SimpleInt(rethash);
601 12
          return ret;
602 13
          out = rethash;
603 14
         return SimpleInt(out);
604 15
605
```

Listing 16. Step 5: float out SimpleInt

16 }

```
1 SimpleInt g3(int ihash) {
     int out;
     if (ihash <= 0) {</pre>
       out = 42;
     } else {
       int prev = ihash - 1;
       SimpleInt g_prev_v = g3(siprev);
       int g_prev_v_hash = casedefault(g_prev_v);
       int rethash = g_prev_v_hash + 2;
10
       out = rethash;
11
     }
     return SimpleInt(out);
12
13 }
14 SimpleInt g2(SimpleInt i) {
     int ihash = casedefault(icons);
16
     return g3(ihash);
17 }
18
19 SimpleInt g(Thunk<SimpleInt> i) {
     SimpleInt icons = force(i);
21
     g2(icons);
22 }
```

Listing 17. Step 5: taking stock

8 Worker wrapper by local rewrites: Sum types

The transformation of floating out common patterns works equally well for branches as it does for sum types, since a case analysis on a sum type is the same as a branch on the tag.

9 Worker wrapper by local rewrites: Sum types + non-tail-calls

10 Demand analysis by rewrites: The full algorithm

11 Evaluation

As a baseline, we compare our performance on nofib test-suite, which is the test suite that GHC is tested with and performance improvements to GHC are reported on. We only consider a representative subset of programs from nofib; The full test suite also extensively tests Haskell's semantics of parallelism, software transactional memory, and other features that are orthogonal to the problem of representing and optimizing non strictness.

11.1 A toy example: eliminating wrapper overhead

```
651
1 module {
                                                                652
2 // fact 0 = 1
                                                                653
3 // fact n = n*fact(n-1)
                                                                654
4 func @f (%i : !lz.thunk<i64>) -> i64 {
   %ival = lz.force(%i):i64
                                                                656
    %out = lz.caseint %ival
                                                                657
     [0 -> {
                                                                658
      ^entry:
                                                                659
                                                                660
```

```
%x = thunkify(%v) : !lz.thunk<T>
                    x = ap(f, v1, ..., vn): !lz.thunk<T>
661
                                                                                                                                                    716
                    %y = force(%x): T
                                                                                              %y = force(%x): T
                                                                                                                                                    717
662
                    y = f(v_1, ..., v_n): T
                                                                                              %y = %x
663
                                                                                                                                                    718
664
                                                                                                                                                    719
             (a) force of a known function application: remove laziness
                                                                                              (b) force of a thunk: remove laziness
665
                                                                                                                                                    720
                  func f(%x1, ... %txi: thunk<T>, ..., %xn) {
666
                                                                                                                                                    721
                   %xi = force(%txi)
667
                                                                                                                                                    722
                                                                                func f(%x1, ... %wrapxi: @ADT, ..., %xn) {
                   %zi = ...; %tzi = thunkify(%zi)
668
                                                                                                                                                    723
                                                                                 %xi = case (%wrapxi) [Wrapper -> ^ entry(%v) { return %v }]
                   f(%y1, ..., %tzi, ..., %yn)
                                                                                                                                                    724
669
670
                                                                                                                                                    725
                                                                                func f_work_i(%x1, ... %xi: T, ..., %xn) {
                  func f_strict_i(%x1, ... %xi: T, ..., %xn) {
671
                                                                                 %zi = ...
                                                                                                                                                    726
                   %zi = ...
                                                                                 f_strict_i(%y1, %y2, ..., %zi, ..., %yn)
                   f_strict_i(%y1, ..., %zi, ..., %yn)
672
                                                                                                                                                    727
673
                                                                                                                                                    728
                                                                                func f(%x1, ... %txi: thunk<T>, ..., %xn) {
                  func f(%x1, ... %txi: thunk<T>, ..., %xn) {
674
                                                                                                                                                    729
                  %xi = force(%txi)
                                                                                 %xi = case (%wrapxi) [Wrapper -> ^ entry(%v) { return %v }]
675
                                                                                                                                                    730
                                                                                 %zi = ...
                   %zi = ...
                                                                                 f_{work_i(\%y1, \ldots, \%zi, \ldots, \%yn)}
                   f_strict_i(%y1, %y2, ..., %zi, ..., %yn)
                                                                                                                                                    732
677
                  }
                                                                                                                                                    733
678
679
                                                                                                                                                    734
                (c) outlining recursive call that is immediately forced
                                                                                       (d) outlining of recursion of a monovariant wrapper
                                                                                                                                                    735
                                                                                                                                                    736
681
                                                                                                                                                    737
682
                                                                                         data C = MkC(V)
683
                                                                                         @f(%inc: C)
                                                                                                                                                    738
                                                                                          case inc
684
                                                                                                                                                    739
                                                                                          [C inv ->
685
                                                                                                                                                    740
                                                                                          %inv = extract(@MKC, %inc) : V
686
                                                                                                                                                    741
                                                                                          %w = ... : V; %wc = construct(@MKC, w) : C
687
                                                                                                                                                    742
                                                                                          %rec = apEager(@f, wc)]
                                                                                                                                                    743
688
                                                                                         @frec(%inv: V)
                 %x = constructor(@Constructor, %v1, ..., %vm)
689
                                                                                                                                                    744
                                                                                          %inv = extract(@MKC, %inc) : V
                 %y = case %x
690
                                                                                          %w = ... : V; %wc = construct(@MKC, w) : C
                                                                                                                                                    745
                  [C1 -> {\cdot entry1(\%z11, \ldots, \%z1n1): \ldots }]
691
                                                                                          %rec = apEager(@f, wc)
                                                                                                                                                    746
                                                                                         @f(%inc: C)
                                                                                                                                                    747
692
                  [Ci -> {^entry1(%zi1, ..., %zini): ... }]
                                                                                          %inv = extract(%inc) : V
                                                                                                                                                    748
693
                 Inline ^entryi with %zik = %vk
                                                                                          apEager(@frec, inv)
                                                                                                                                                    749
694
696
                                                                                                                                                    751
                 (e) Case of known constructor: remove indirection
                                                                              (f) Outline recursive call of constructor that is immediately unwrapped
697
                                                                                                  data C = MKC(V)
                                                                                                                                                    753
698
                                                                                                  @f(...)
                                                                                                                                                    754
699
                                                                                                   %out = constructor(C, %w)
                                                                                                                                                    755
700
                                                                                                   lz.return (%out)
701
                                                                                                                                                    756
                                                                                                  data C = MKC(V)
702
                                                                                                                                                    757
                                                                                                  @finner(...)
703
                                                                                                                                                    758
704
                                                                                                                                                    759
                                                                                                   lz.return %w
705
                                                                                                                                                    760
                                                                                                  @f(...)
706
                                                                                                                                                    761
                                                                                                   %w = call %f(...)
707
                                                                                                                                                    762
                                                                                                   %out = constructor(C, %w)
708
                                                                                                                                                    763
                                       BAR
                                                                                                   lz.return (%out)
709
                                                                                                                                                    764
710
                                                                                                                                                    765
711
                                                                                                                                                    766
             (g) Outline pattern matching branches on a function input
                                                                                                (h) Outline return of constructor
712
                                                                                                                                                    767
713
                                                                                                                                                    768
```

Figure 5. Local rewrites performed to eliminate laziness (2)

880

```
%c1 = constant 1 : i64
771 9
          lz.return %c1 : i64
772 10
773 11
774 12
         [@default -> {
775 13
          ^entry:
          %c1 = constant 1 : i64
776 14
777 15
          %idec = subi %ival. %c1 : i64
778 16
          %idecthnk = lz.thunkify(%idec : i64)
779 17
          %f = constant @f : (!lz.thunk < i64 >) \rightarrow i64
780 18
          f_idec_thnk = lz.ap(f: (!lz.thunk<i64>) -> i64,
781 19
              %idecthnk)
782 20
          %f_idec_val = lz.force(%f_idec_thnk) : i64
          %prod = muli %ival, %f_idec_val : i64
783 21
784 22
          lz.return %prod : i64
785 23
         }]
        return %out : i64
786 24
787 25 }
788 26
789 27 func @c8 () -> i64 {
        %v = std.constant 8 : i64
791 29
        return %v: i64
792 30 }
793 31
794 32 func @main() -> i64 {
        %f = constant @f : (!lz.thunk < i64 >) -> i64
796 34
        %c8f = constant @c8 : () -> i64
797 35
        %c8t = 1z.ap(%c8f : () -> i64)
        \%outt = lz.ap(%f: (!lz.thunk<i64>) -> i64, %c8t)
798 36
799 37
        %out = lz.force(%outt) : i64
        return %out : i64
800 38
801 39 }
802 40 }
      Listing 18. "Original program with wrapper and laziness
804
        After worker/wrapper, we get the optimized program:
806
807 1 module {
        func @c8() -> i64 {
808 2
          %c8_{i64} = constant 8 : i64
          return %c8_i64 : i64
810 4
811 5
       }
812 6
        func @main() -> i64 {
813 7
          %c7 i64 = constant 7 : i64
          %f = constant @frec_force_outline : (i64) -> i64
814 8
815 9
          %c8_{i64} = constant 8 : i64
816 10
          \%0 = "lz.apEager"(\%f, \%c7_i64) : ((i64) -> i64,
817
           i64) -> i64
818 11
          %1 = muli %0, %c8_i64 : i64
819 12
          return %1 : i64
820 13
        func @frec_force_outline(%arg0: i64) -> i64 {
821 14
          %c1_{i64} = constant 1 : i64
          %f = constant @frec_force_outline : (i64) -> i64
823 16
824 17
          %0 = "lz.caseint"(%arg0) ( {
825
```

```
18
         lz.return %c1_i64 : i64
19
       }, {
                                                                  827
20
         %1 = subi %arg0, %c1_i64 : i64
                                                                  828
         %2 = "lz.apEager"(%f, %1) : ((i64) -> i64, i64)
21
                                                                  829
         %3 = muli %arg0, %2 : i64
22
                                                                  831
23
         lz.return %3 : i64
                                                                  832
24
       }) {alt0 = 0 : i64, alt1 = @default} : (i64) ->
                                                                  833
        i64
                                                                  834
25
       return %0 : i64
                                                                  835
    }
                                                                  836
                                                                  837
```

Listing 19. "factorial that has been worker/wrapper'd"

```
sid: Explain how this happens
     We will explain this transformation step by step. Finally, when lowered
   to LLVM, LLVM's strong loop analyses is able to compute a closed form for
                                                                   840
   frec_force_outline, ending in a constant time computation.
                                                                   841
   11.2 A toy example: eliminating laziness and exposing loop
                                                                   842
        optimisation
                                                                   843
 1 module {
                                                                   844
 2 // sum up all values in the buffer
                                                                   845
 3 func @sum(%buffert: !lz.thunk<memref<?xi64>>) -> i64
                                                                   846
                                                                   847
     %buffer = lz.force(%buffert) : memref<?xi64>
                                                                   848
     %c0 = constant 0 : index
                                                                   849
     %N = dim %buffer, %c0 : memref<?xi64>
                                                                   850
     %sum 0 = constant 0 : i64
                                                                   851
     %sum = affine.for %i = 0 to %N step 1
                                                                   852
     iter_args(%sum_iter = %sum_0) -> (i64) {
                                                                   853
       %t = affine.load %buffer[%i] : memref<?xi64>
                                                                   854
       %sum_next = std.addi %sum_iter, %t : i64
11
                                                                   855
12
       affine.yield %sum_next : i64
                                                                   856
13
    }
                                                                   857
     return %sum : i64
                                                                   858
15
                                                                   859
16
17 // create a sequence [0..upper_bound)
                                                                   861
18 func @seq(%upper_bound: i64) -> memref<?xi64> {
                                                                   862
     %upper_bound_ix = std.index_cast %upper_bound : i64
                                                                   863
         to index
     %buf = alloc(%upper_bound_ix) : memref<?xi64>
                                                                   865
     affine.for %i = 0 to %upper_bound_ix step 1 {
                                                                   866
       %ival = std.index_cast %i : index to i64
                                                                   867
23
       affine.store %ival, %buf[%i] : memref<?xi64>
                                                                   868
24
                                                                   869
     return %buf : memref<?xi64>
                                                                   870
25
26 }
                                                                   871
27
                                                                   872
   func private @printInt(%i: i64)
                                                                   873
                                                                   874
   // computes sum of numbers upto 1023?
                                                                   875
   func @main () -> i64 {
                                                                   876
     %seqf = constant @seq : (i64) -> memref<?xi64>
                                                                   877
     %size = std.constant 1024 : i64
                                                                   878
     %seqt = lz.ap(%seqf: (i64) -> memref<?xi64>, %size)
                                                                   879
```

8

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

```
881 35
882 36
        %sumf = constant @sum : (!lz.thunk<memref<?xi64>>)
883
884 37
        %outt = lz.ap(%sumf : (!lz.thunk<memref<?xi64>>) ->
885
            i64, %seqt)
        %outv = lz.force(%outt): i64
886 38
887 39
        call @printInt(%outv) : (i64) -> ()
888 40
        %zero = constant 0 : i64
        return %zero : i64
889 41
890 42 }
891 43 }
```

Listing 20. "example of laziness with tensor computations"

sid: MLIR can work harder, but it does not. By the time we get to LLVM, we have a closed form

909

910

911

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

```
func @main() -> i64 {
        %c0_{i64} = constant 0 : i64
        \%0 = alloc() : memref<1xi64>
897
        %1 = affine.for %arg0 = 0 to 1024 iter_args(%arg1 =
898
            %c0_i64) -> (i64) {
899
          %2 = index_cast %arg0 : index to i64
   5
900
          affine.store %2, %0[0] : memref<1xi64>
    6
901
          %3 = affine.load %0[0] : memref<1xi64>
902
          %4 = addi %arg1, %3 : i64
903
          affine.yield %4 : i64
904
905 10
        call @printInt(%1) : (i64) -> ()
907 12
        return %c0_i64 : i64
908 13
```

Listing 21. "final program after eliminating laziness and loop fusion"

```
912 1 define i64 @main() local_unnamed_addr !dbg !35 {
913 2 tail call void @printInt(i64 523776), !dbg !36
914 3 ret i64 0, !dbg !38
915 4 }
```

Listing 22. "final LLVM program after lowering"

12 Related Work

12.1 Demand analysis

[10] "Projections for demand analysis" performs demand analysis computation by modelling demands as projections on the semantic domain. We use their broad framework, adapted to our setting.

[3], [2] extend the demand analysis with finer grained information, such as Call Arity analysis.

12.2 GHC's intermediate representation

The Glasgow Haskell compiler [4] is a mature optimizing compiler for Haskell, whose plugin infrastructure we hook into to develop a test set for lz, and whose demand analysis we use as a benchmark to measure against.

12.3 Intel Haskell research compiler IR

The Intel labs Haskell Research compiler [8] models Core in a strict ANF language. The compiler performs demand analysis and abstract simplification on ANF. The demand analysis is performed using traditional abstract interpretation techniques. Later, this demand information is used to interpret the program and perform abstract simplification. (TODO: figure out details of this step).

It then compiles to an intermediate representation called MIL, which is a loosely typed CFG based, SSA-lite intermediate representation. They represent laziness as heap values, and manipulate the heap. Thus, their representation and usage of lazy values reasons with memory, instead of providing value semantics. MIL also does not have a notion of nested regions. Therefore, MIL extends the traditional control flow controls with more finer-grained information, called as cut and interproc.

12.4 Mixing dataflow analysis and transformation

This is based on the theory of compositional dataflow analysis and transformations, [6] which proves that we can interleave dataflow analyses and transformations safely.

Hoopl [9] is the dataflow analysis and transformation library within GHC. However, GHC does not use this for performing dataflow analysis over Core, as the Hoopl library is designed to work with a CFG based intermediate representation, while GHC Core is a typed functional, expression oriented intermediate representation. While Hoopl is used for certain simplifications within C- in GHC, it is not used extensively due to poor performance characteristics exhibited by the implementation. (TODO: bench Hoopl on contrived examples)

12.5 Alternative encodings of laziness

GRIN [1] is an alternative intermediate representation for lazy and strict functional programming languages which explicitly represents heap manipulation and case analysis. However, it is not SSA based.

12.6 Theoretical justification for our encoding

Our encoding is based on call-by-push-value [7], which breaks down call-by-value and call-by-name paradigms into simple primitives. In our dialect 1z, we expose these primitives to enable a clean mixture of call by name and call by value. This enjoys the many properties that are proven to hold for call-by-push-value (TODO: which ones?!)

12.7 Technology substrate

MLIR [5] is a compiler framework for building reusable and extensible compiler infrastructure. MLIR aims to address software fragmentation, improve compilation for heterogeneous hardware, significantly reduce the cost of building domain specific compilers, and aid in connecting existing compilers together.

13 Conclusion

We provide a baseline implementation of non-strictness for the MLIR framework which with very minimal extensions, allows us to fully express non strict semantics while being compatible with the rest of MLIR's strict dialects. As an experience report, we explore MLIR's strengths and weaknesses at representing non-strictness within an SSA based framework. We also provide a baseline implementation of demand analysis, as inspired by Wadler and Hugh's "projections for demand analysis". We evaluate our example programs against the nofib benchmark test suite. We also provide provocative examples where the potent combination of MLIR's loop optimization infrastructure along with our modest extensions allows us to beat native haskell's performance.

14 TODO

14.1 Performance benchmarking

14.2 Correct encoding for mutual recursion

14.3 Correct encoding for partially applied functions/closures

We currently have a 1z.lambda but it's basically untested, because we didn't have many things that used closures. We need to make sure this works properly, and encodes data correctly.

14.3.1 Lambdas in GRIN. GRIN is also a low level IR, so it's useful to recall how this is encoded within GRIN. To quite the GRIN thesis:

991	" end. Using hbcc we get well optimised code in a low level functional	1046
992	style, comparable to for example the Core lan- guage [PJ96] used by the	1047
993	Glasgow Haskell compiler. The code is lambda lifted, i.e., has only super combinators, and most high level Haskell constructions, like overloading,	1048
994	have been transformed away."	1049
995	14.3.2 Implementing lambda lifting. Recall that optimal lambda lift-	1050
996	ing is $O(n^2)$. I don't know the algorithm; I'd have to study it before I	1051
997	implement it.	1052
998	14.4 Chart le Community Parl of Community Prince	1053
999	14.4 Stretch: Separate dialect for pattern matching	1054
1000	14.5 Stretch: rewrite based demand analysis	1055
1001	14.6 Stretch: rewrite based unification	1056
1002	We introduce a dialect for performing unification.	1057
1003	14.7 Stretch: rewrite based tabled typeclass unification	1058
1004	14.8 Stretch: As static as possible garbage collection	1059
1005	References	1060
1006	[1] Urban Boquist and Thomas Johnsson. 1996. The GRIN project: A highly	1061
1007	optimising back end for lazy functional languages. In Symposium on	1062
1008	Implementation and Application of Functional Languages. Springer,	1063
1009	58–84.	1064
1010	[2] Joachim Breitner. 2014. Call arity. In <u>International Symposium on</u> Trends in Functional Programming. Springer, 34–50.	1065
1011 1012	[3] Sebastian Graf. [n. d.]. Call Arity vs. Demand Analysis. ([n. d.]).	1066 1067
1012	[4] SL Peyton Jones, Cordy Hall, Kevin Hammond, Will Partain, and	1067
1013	Philip Wadler. 1993. The Glasgow Haskell compiler: a technical	1069
1015	overview. In Proc. UK Joint Framework for Information Technology	1070
1016	(JFIT) Technical Conference, Vol. 93. [5] Chris Lattner, Jacques Pienaar, Mehdi Amini, Uday Bondhugula, River	1070
1017	Riddle, Albert Cohen, Tatiana Shpeisman, Andy Davis, Nicolas Vasi-	1072
1018	lache, and Oleksandr Zinenko. 2020. MLIR: A Compiler Infrastructure	1073
1019	for the End of Moore's Law. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.11054 (2020).	1074
1020	[6] Sorin Lerner, David Grove, and Craig Chambers. 2002. Composing dataflow analyses and transformations. ACM SIGPLAN Notices 37, 1	1075
1021	(2002), 270–282.	1076
1022	[7] Paul Blain Levy. 2012. Call-by-push-value: A Functional/imperative	1077
1023	Synthesis. Vol. 2. Springer Science & Business Media.	1078
1024	[8] Hai Liu, Neal Glew, Leaf Petersen, and Todd A Anderson. 2013. The Intel labs Haskell research compiler. In Proceedings of the 2013 ACM	1079
1025	SIGPLAN symposium on Haskell. 105–116.	1080
1026	[9] Norman Ramsey, Joao Dias, and Simon Peyton Jones. 2010. Hoopl: a	1081
1027	modular, reusable library for dataflow analysis and transformation.	1082
1028	ACM Sigplan Notices 45, 11 (2010), 121–134.	1083
1029	[10] Philip Wadler and R John M Hughes. 1987. Projections for strictness analysis. In Conference on Functional Programming Languages and	1084
1030	Computer Architecture. Springer, 385–407.	1085
1031		1086
1032	A Appendix	1087
1033	Text of appendix	1088
1034		1089
1035		1090
1036		1091
1037		1092
1038		1093
1039		1094
1040		1095
1041		1096
1042		1097
1043		1098