

Evaluation function Heuristic report:

The project required an analysis of different kinds of heuristics used for evaluation functions. I've tried three different heuristics and compared their performances:

1. *custom_score_2*:

This function returns puts a higher scores for moves that employ an aggressive game tactic. It has the effect of chasing and trying to corner the opponent. During the search it returns $(own_moves - 3 * opponent_moves)$

2. *custom_score_3*:

This function penalizes those moves that are nearer to the walls of the board, since those positions restrict the number of possible moves that can be made. This becomes important as the game progresses.

3. *custom_score*:

This function combines the techniques involved in the above two functions by using them and adjusting the relative importance of each.

Based on the heuristics, these are the respective scores and their relative performances:

```
This script evaluates the performance of the custom score evaluation
function against a baseline agent using alpha-beta Search and iterative
deepening (ID) called 'AB Improved'. The three 'AB Custom' agents use
ID and alpha-beta search with the custom_score functions defined in
game_agent.py.
```

```
*****
      Playing Matches
*****
```

Match #	Opponent	AB Improved		AB Custom		AB Custom 2		AB Custom 3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	19	1	19	1	17	3	18	2
2	MM_Open	8	12	11	9	13	7	13	7
3	MM_Center	15	5	15	5	15	5	15	5
4	MM_Improved	12	8	9	11	12	8	7	13
5	AB_Open	11	9	8	12	10	10	10	10
6	AB_Center	9	11	6	14	11	9	6	14
7	AB_Improved	9	11	12	8	15	5	8	12
Win Rate:		59.3%		57.1%		66.4%		55.0%	

custom_score_2, the one employing aggressive gameplay has the most performance gain, over other heuristics. Will keep experimenting with more heuristics.