Abinit electron phonon interaction calculations for geniuses

Matthieu Verstraete

Unité de Physico-Chimie et de Physique des Matériaux (PCPM), and CERMIN, Université Catholique de Louvain, 1 Croix du Sud, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

I. INTRODUCTION

This document is designed as a rudimentary tutorial to learn to use the electron phonon capabilities implemented in the ABINIT software package. The author supposes that the reader has knowledge of the theory involved in basic Density Functional Theory (DFT)[1–4], Density Functional Perturbation theory (DFPT)[5–9], as well as that involved in the standard descrption of superconductivity and the electron-phonon interaction (EPI)[10], including some of its implementations in DFT[11–13]. Some references to the latter may be added in the text, but this is in no event an introduction to any of these fields. It is at best a rough description of the usage of ABINIT for standard run-of-the-mill calculations on simple systems. It follows the elphon response function tutorial in ABINIT, and explains some of the operations and concepts.

Other standard abbreviations include Brillouin Zone (BZ), and Fermi Surface (FS).

II. CALCULATING THE RAW ELECTRON-PHONON MATRIX ELEMENTS

The actual calculation of the EPI matrix elements is performed in the course of the secondorder-perturbation-theory run, routinely used to calculate phonon frequencies, Born effective charges, phonon polarization vectors etc... The matrix elements are by-products of the Sternheimer equation used to calculate the first-order perturbed wavefunctions $\psi^{(1)}$. In this equation, the ground state hamiltonian $H^{(0)}$ is applied to $\psi^{(1)}$ and the first order hamiltonian $H^{(1)}$ is applied to $\psi^{(0)}$. The calculation of the scalar product of $\langle \psi_{k,n}^{(0)} |$ with $H^{(1)} | \psi_{k+q,n'}^{(0)} \rangle$ yields the matrix element we are looking for. $H^{(1)}$ denotes only the perturbation of the hamiltonian for a given q vector with respect to one atomic position R_{τ} in one reduced direction α , i.e. $H^{q\tau\alpha}$.

The first step is a ground-state calculation of the density and wavefunctions on a sufficiently fine grid of kpoints. Kpoint convergence is an important issue in the EPI as only electrons on or near the Fermi Surface will contribute. As the EPI is in general very small except at a few points in the BZ, and the final integration contains a double delta function selecting kpoints on the FS, the kpoint mesh must be exceptionally fine. A Monkhorst-Pack 16x16x16 grid appears well converged for simple FCC systems like Al or Pb. See the appendices for sample input files at each step of the calculation (GS, response function,

mrggkk and anaddb) for FCC aluminium using the HGH pseudopotential Al.hgh provided with abinit.

With the ground state data in hand, following the standard ABINIT tutorial, do a response-function calculation of the phonons on a fine enough quoint grid in the BZ. The density of the quoint mesh is less essential than that of the kpoint one, as we will see, and a grid converged for the calculation of phonon frequencies appears to be enough. Here we use a $4\times4\times4$ grid of quoints.

First limitation and warning: the qpoint mesh must be a sub-mesh of the kpoint mesh, and must contain Γ !: qpt $2\times2\times2$ with kpt $10\times10\times10$ but not qpt $3\times3\times3$ with kpt $10\times10\times10$. This constraint could be relaxed, but a bit more work would be needed.

Here comes the second limitation and warning: by default abinit only does the minimal number of irreducible perturbations (atoms, directions and quoints) it needs to, and presumes the rest can be re-generated by symmetry. The completion of the EPI matrix elements over quoints is implemented in anaddb, but NOT the completion over atoms or reduced directions. You must therefore force abinit to do all calculations for all atoms in all directions, e.g. by specifying separately

```
rfatpol1 1 1
rfdir1 1 0 0
rfatpol2 1 1
rfdir2 0 1 0
rfatpol3 1 1
rfdir3 0 0 1
rfatpol4 2 2
```

rfdir4 1 0 0

. . .

for each irreducible quoint. It is not yet clear whether this restriction will ever be lifted. Each response-function calculation will produce a 1WF file containing the first order wavefunctions and our precious first-order matrix elements. At the end of this section you should

have $n_{qpt} \times 3 \times n_{atom}$ of these files. In our example case that makes 24 (8 irred qpoints). Merge all the DDB files into one Al total ddb, as in the standard tutorials, with mrgddb.

III. EXTRACTING AND MERGING THE MATRIX ELEMENTS INTO ONE FILE

A small utility has been added to the package to merge the necessary bits of the 1WF files together into a single file, containing the needed header data and the raw first-order matrix elements, abusively called a GKK file. The utility is called mrggkk in reference to mrgddb which does the same thing for DDB files. Copy all the 1WF files into the present directory, with corresponding names for each quoint, modify the names in telphon_3.in, and run as

mrggkk < telphon_3.in

As detailed in the example input file, the first line gives the name of the final output file, the second should be kept to 0 (binary output). The third line gives the name of the GS wavefunction file. The fourth line contains the number of 1WF files, and 0 0 (the last two numbers allow you to re-merge GKK files but this won't be needed). Follow the names of all the 1WF files, ordered by qpt.

This is the third important important limitation and warning: the code presumes the perturbations for one quoint are grouped, and throws them out once it finds a new quoint. If all the perturbations were not present, anaddb will exit when it realises information is missing.

IV. RUNNING ANADDB

The main external parameter for the moment is the elphflag variable in the telphon_4.in file, which should be set to 1. Future variables will include the μ^* parameter used in the determination of T_c .

A. Calculation of $g_{kn,k'n'}$

The matrix elements which come out of ABINIT are not exactly those used in electronphonon theory, because ABINIT works with simple perturbations of one atom in one crystalline direction. The normal definition of the "GKK" matrix element is:

$$g_{k',n';k,n}^{q,j} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2M_{\tau}\omega_{q,j}}} \langle \psi_{k',n'} \mid H_{k',k}^{q,j} \mid \psi_{k,n} \rangle \tag{1}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2M_{\tau}\omega_{q,j}}} \sum_{\tau,\alpha} e_{\tau,\alpha}^{q,j} \cdot \langle \psi_{k',n'} \mid H_{k',k}^{\tau,\alpha} \mid \psi_{k,n} \rangle \tag{2}$$

where $\psi_{k,n}$ is the wavefunction at kpoint k and band n, M_{τ} is the mass of the atomic species, $\omega_{q,j}$ and $e_{\tau,\alpha}^{q,j}$ are the frequency and eigenvector of the phonon mode j, and $H_{k',k}^{\tau,\alpha}$ is the first order perturbing hamiltonian, moving atom τ in direction α , which is actually applied in ABINIT.

We see that the $g_{k',n';k,n}^{q,j}$ is phonon-mode specific, instead of atom and direction. As its calculation requires all τ , α perturbations for a given q, the first step is to read in all the matrix elements, calculate the phonon frequencies, and perform the scalar products with $e^{q,j}$.

B. Integration over the Fermi Surface and isotropic constants

At this point, we can calculate all isotropic constants $(T_c, \lambda, \omega_{log})$ and the FS-averaged phonon linewidths. The $g_{k',n';k,n}^{q,j}$ are summed first over n and n', using a weighting factor $exp - ((\epsilon_{k,n} - \epsilon_F)/\sigma)^2$ for each. The σ is an input variable.

Further, the $g_{k';k}^{q,j}$ are integrated over k, to give $g_{q,j}$. The phonon linewidth is just $\gamma_{q,j} = 2\pi\omega_{q,j}\cdot g^{q,j}$ and is output over a path in reciprocal space corresponding to the FCC special points Γ -X- Γ -L-X-W -L (corresponding to the qpath and nqpath input variables). The values of the linewidths on the path are output to a file appended LWD.

The $g^{q,j}$ are interpolated wrt q, as described in the next section, on a much finer grid (the kpoint grid), and integrated over q and j to give the Eliashberg spectral function:

$$\alpha^2 F(\omega) = \frac{1}{N(\epsilon_F)} \sum_{q,j} g^{q,j} \delta(\omega - \omega_{q,j})$$
 (3)

output to a file appended A2F.

C. Interpolation with respect to q

Once the actual GKK have been calculated (on the given kpoint and qpoint grids), anaddb does a Fourier interpolation of the matrix elements, to obtain them on a fine grid of qpoints, identical to the kpoint grid. In this way, k, q, and k' = k + q all span the whole grid of points in the BZ. The $g_{k',n';k,n}^{q,j}$ are FTed to $g_{k',n';k,n}^{R,j}$ on a set of points R in real space chosen in the same way as for phonon interpolation in anaddb, with weights to account for their belonging (or not) to the surface of the Wigner-Seitz cell in real space. Then the $g_{k',n';k,n}^{R,j}$ are FTed back for all q on the fine mesh of kpoints. For the moment these are not used, but work goes on.

V. DISCLAIMER

This piece of code is not waranteed suitable for any purpose save my own. As of today September 9 2004 it has only been tested of FCC Al and Pb: no multi-component or noncubic systems. If anyone has successes or bugs to report, please do so - I will appreciate it.

- [8] X. Gonze, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 10337 (1997).
- [9] X. Gonze, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 1086 (1995).

X. Gonze, J.-M. Beuken, R. Caracas, F. Detraux, M. Fuchs, G.-M. Rignanese, L. Sindic,
 M. Verstraete, G. Zerah, F. Jollet, et al., Comp. Mat. Sci. 25, 478 (2002).

^[2] M. Payne, M. Teter, D. Allan, T. Arias, and J. Joannopoulos, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 1045 (1992).

^[3] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, 864 (1964).

^[4] W. Kohn and L. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A 1133 (1965).

^[5] S. Baroni, P. Giannozzi, and A. Testa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2662 (1987).

^[6] S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 515 (2001).

^[7] X. Gonze and J.-P. Vigneron, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 13120 (1989).

^[10] P. B. Allen and B. Mitrović, Theory of Superconducting T_c , vol. 37 of Solid State Phys. (Academic Press, New York, 1982).

^[11] M. M. Dacorogna, M. L. Cohen, and P. K. Lam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 837 (1985).

- [12] P. K. Lam, M. M. Dacorogna, and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B ${\bf 34},\,5065$ (1986).
- $[13]\,$ S. Savrasov and D. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B ${\bf 54},\,16487$ (1996).