

# 4-concepts

June 3, 2024

## 1 C++20 requirements & concepts

### 1.1 Motivation

Generic programming is made of functions and class templates which can be instantiated with different types. It is frequent to instantiate them with **unsuited types**, and the resulting compilation errors are generally very long and hardly understandable.

As a last resort, the template authors are providing **documentation** about the relevant parameters, and practice some tricky **template meta-programmation**.

C++20 finally brings simpler ways to define constraint on template parameters !

Among different proposals, the ISO committee has validated the flavor known as **Concepts Lite**.

### 1.2 Requirements and concepts in a nutshell

- A template can define **requirements** on some of its type parameters. Compiler error messages better states which parameter value does not fulfill which expected requirement.
- A typical set of requirements can be gathered in a reusable **concept**. Overload resolution takes those requirements and concepts into account.
- The standard library now provides many concepts, easy to use. Writing a new perfect concept stays an expert topic.

BEWARE : some example below may require a compiler which support concepts AND the convergence with **auto** which is associated to C++20. If using GCC  $\geq 10$ , **-fconcepts** or **-fconcepts-ts** is not enough ; you should better use **-std=c++20**.

### 1.3 Some SFINAE example

Taking benefit from the type traits `std::is_integral` and `std::is_floating_point`, the C++17 code below is relying on SFINAE in order to implement two flavors of the `equal` function. Depending on `T`, the overload resolution will select one implementation or the other.

```
[19]: %%file tmp.concepts.1.h
```

```
#include <iostream>
#include <type_traits>
#include <limits>
#include <cmath>
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.1.h

```
[20]: %%file tmp.concepts.2.h

template< typename T, std::enable_if_t<!std::is_floating_point_v<T>> * = _
    nullptr>
bool equal( T e1, T e2 )
{
    std::cout<<"(default) " ;
    return (e1==e2) ;
}

template< typename T, std::enable_if_t<std::is_floating_point_v<T>> * = nullptr>
bool equal( T e1, T e2 )
{
    std::cout<<"(floating) " ;
    return abs(e1-e2)<std::numeric_limits<T>::epsilon() ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.2.h

```
[21]: %%file tmp.concepts.3.h

template< typename T >
void test_equal( T v1, T v2 )
{
    std::string cmp = equal(v1,v2)?"=":"!~" ;
    std::cout<<v1<<cmp<<v2<<std::endl ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.3.h

```
[22]: %%file tmp.concepts.cpp

#include "tmp.concepts.1.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.2.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.3.h"

int main()
{
    test_equal(100,10*10) ;
    test_equal(1.,.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1) ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.cpp

```
[23]: !rm -f tmp.concepts.exe && g++ -std=c++20 tmp.concepts.cpp -o tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
[24]: !./tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
(default) 100=~100
(floating) 1=~1
```

## 1.4 Basic requirements

C++20 let us define many kind of requirements on the template parameters, with a syntax a lot more natural than the previous SFINAE.

```
[25]: %%file tmp.concepts.2.h

template< typename T>
bool equal( T e1, T e2 )
{
    std::cout<<"(default) " ;
    return (e1==e2) ;
}

template< typename T>
requires
    (std::is_floating_point_v<T>) &&
    (std::numeric_limits<T>::epsilon()>0)
bool equal( T e1, T e2 )
{
    std::cout<<"(floating) " ;
    return abs(e1-e2)<std::numeric_limits<T>::epsilon() ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.2.h

```
[26]: !rm -f tmp.concepts.exe && g++ -std=c++20 tmp.concepts.cpp -o tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
[27]: !./tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
(default) 100=~100
(floating) 1=~1
```

To be noticed : in the code above, I can get rid of the requirement about the default implementation of `equal`. When instantiating with a floating point type, the compiler is clever enough to understand that the second implementation meet more requirements, and is more relevant. With SFINAE, this would result into an ambiguity.

## 1.5 Concepts

When a given set of requirements may be reused often, one should gather them in a concept.

```
[28]: %%file tmp.concepts.2.h

template< typename T>
bool equal( T e1, T e2 )
{
```

```

    std::cout<<"(default) " ;
    return (e1==e2) ;
}

```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.2.h

```

[29]: %%file tmp.concepts.4.h

template< typename T>
concept MyFloatingPoint =
    (std::is_floating_point_v<T>) &&
    (std::numeric_limits<T>::epsilon()>0) ;

template<typename T>
requires MyFloatingPoint<T>
bool equal( T e1, T e2 )
{
    std::cout<<"(floating) " ;
    return abs(e1-e2)<std::numeric_limits<T>::epsilon() ;
}

```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.4.h

```

[30]: %%file tmp.concepts.cpp

#include "tmp.concepts.1.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.2.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.3.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.4.h"

int main()
{
    test_equal(100,10*10) ;
    test_equal(1.,.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1) ;
}

```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.cpp

```

[31]: !rm -f tmp.concepts.exe && g++ -std=c++20 tmp.concepts.cpp -o tmp.concepts.exe

```

```

[32]: !./tmp.concepts.exe

```

```

(default) 100=~100
(default) 1!~1

```

## 1.6 Within the template head

Actually, when relevant, the concept can be directly used instead of `typename` within the template head.

```
[33]: %%file tmp.concepts.4.h

template< typename T>
concept MyFloatingPoint =
    (std::is_floating_point_v<T>) &&
    (std::numeric_limits<T>::epsilon()>0) ;
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.4.h

```
[34]: %%file tmp.concepts.5.h

template<MyFloatingPoint T>
bool equal( T e1, T e2 )
{
    std::cout<<"(floating) " ;
    return abs(e1-e2)<std::numeric_limits<T>::epsilon() ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.5.h

```
[35]: %%file tmp.concepts.cpp

#include "tmp.concepts.1.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.2.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.3.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.4.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.5.h"

int main()
{
    test_equal(100,10*10) ;
    test_equal(1.,.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1) ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.cpp

```
[36]: !rm -f tmp.concepts.exe && g++ -std=c++20 tmp.concepts.cpp -o tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
[37]: !./tmp.concepts.exe
```

(default) 100=~100

(default) 1!~1

## 1.7 With abbreviated function templates

The concepts can be used together with auto in the abbreviated function templates.

```
[38]: %%file tmp.concepts.5.h

bool equal( MyFloatingPoint auto e1, MyFloatingPoint auto e2 )
```

```
{
    std::cout<<"(floating) " ;
    return abs(e1-e2)<std::numeric_limits<decltype(e1)>::epsilon() ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.5.h

```
[39]: !rm -f tmp.concepts.exe && g++ -std=c++20 tmp.concepts.cpp -o tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
[40]: !./tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
(default) 100=~100
```

```
(default) 1!~1
```

To be noticed : when using the abbreviated function templates, I cannot any more enforce that `e1` and `e2` are from the same type. Consequently, when looking for the epsilon, I had to choose between the type of `e1` and the type of `e2`.

## 1.8 Standard concepts

Writing a bug-proof concept is actually is really expert task. Whenever you can, use the ones provided by the standard library. Not surprisingly, there is one for floating point numbers.

```
[41]: %%file tmp.concepts.1.h
```

```
#include <iostream>
#include <type_traits>
#include <cmath>
#include <concepts>
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.1.h

```
[42]: %%file tmp.concepts.5.h
```

```
bool equal( std::floating_point auto e1, std::floating_point auto e2 )
{
    std::cout<<"(floating) " ;
    return abs(e1-e2)<std::numeric_limits<decltype(e1)>::epsilon() ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.5.h

```
[43]: !rm -f tmp.concepts.exe && g++ -std=c++20 tmp.concepts.cpp -o tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
[44]: !./tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
(default) 100=~100
```

```
(default) 1!~1
```

## 1.9 With if constexpr

Concepts are usable wherever a boolean is expected, including in the condition of `if constexpr`, because they are evaluated at compile-time.

```
[45]: %%file tmp.concepts.2.h

template< typename T>
bool equal( T e1, T e2 )
{
    if constexpr (std::floating_point<T>)
    {
        std::cout<<"(floating) " ;
        return abs(e1-e2)<std::numeric_limits<T>::epsilon() ;
    }
    else
    {
        std::cout<<"(default) " ;
        return (e1==e2) ;
    }
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.2.h

```
[46]: %%file tmp.concepts.cpp

#include "tmp.concepts.1.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.2.h"
#include "tmp.concepts.3.h"

int main()
{
    test_equal(100,10*10) ;
    test_equal(1.,.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1+.1) ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.cpp

```
[47]: !rm -f tmp.concepts.exe && g++ -std=c++20 tmp.concepts.cpp -o tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
[48]: !./tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
(default) 100=~100
(floating) 1=~1
```

## 1.10 Advanced requirements

More than the basic requirements seen before, one can use a **requires-expression** : some kind of *pseudo-fonction* which is listing expressions that must be valid.

For example, for the needs of our `test_equal` function, we may want to check that: 1. `T` is a number (integral or floating point), 2. two such numbers can be given to `equal` and get in return something that can be converted into a boolean, 3. can be sent to `std::cout`.

```
[162]: %%file tmp.concepts.3.h

template< typename T>
concept MyComparable = requires( T v1, T v2 )
{
    requires std::integral<T> || std::floating_point<T> ;
    { equal(v1,v2) } -> std::convertible_to<bool> ;
    std::cout<<v1<<v2 ;
} ;

template< typename T >
requires MyComparable<T>
void test_equal( T v1, T v2 )
{
    std::string cmp = equal(v1,v2)? "~": "!~" ;
    std::cout<<v1<<cmp<<v2<<std::endl ;
}
```

Overwriting tmp.concepts.3.h

```
[165]: !rm -f tmp.concepts.exe && g++ -std=c++20 tmp.concepts.cpp -o tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
[164]: !./tmp.concepts.exe
```

```
(default) 100=~100
(floating) 1=~1
```

## 1.11 Availability

GCC is probably the compiler which better supports this feature today: \* GCC 6 : implements the technical specification ISO/IEC TS 19217:2015. \* **GCC 10, with `-fconcepts`: implements both syntax and standard library.** \* Clang 10 : implements the syntax, but not the standard library. \* MSVC 19.23 : partial support of syntax and standard library.

## 2 Questions ?

## 3 Sources

- [Andreas Fertig](#)
- [Cpp Reference](#)

© CNRS 2021

*Assembled and written by David Chamont, this work is made available according to the terms of the*

*[Creative Commons License - Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International](#)*