# Type Analysis for a Modified IR<sub>ES</sub>

Jihyeok Park

School of Computing Daejeon, South Korea jhpark0223@kaist.ac.kr Sukyoung Ryu

School of Computing Daejeon, South Korea sryu.cs@kaist.ac.kr

Abstract—This technical report is a companion report of the research paper for JSTAR, a JavaScript Specification Type Analyzer using Refinement. In this report, we formally define the syntax and semantics of a modified  $IR_{\rm ES}$ , an untyped intermediate representation for ECMAScript. Moreover, we formally define type analysis for the modified  $IR_{\rm ES}$  based on the abstract interpretation framework with flow- and type-sensitivity for arguments. To increase the precision of the type analysis, we also present condition-based refinement for type analysis, which prunes out infeasible abstract states using conditions of assertions and branches.

#### I. SYNTAX

We first define syntax of the modified IR<sub>ES</sub> as follows:

```
Functions  \mathbb{F} \ni f ::= \operatorname{def} \times (\mathbf{x}^*, \lceil \mathbf{x}^* \rceil) \ell  Instructions  \mathbb{I} \ni i ::= \operatorname{let} \times = e \mid \mathbf{x} = (e \ e^*) \mid \operatorname{assert} e   \mid \operatorname{if} e \ \ell \ \ell \mid \operatorname{return} e \mid r = e  References  r ::= \times \mid r \lceil e \rceil  Expressions  e ::= t \mid \{ [\mathbf{x} : e]^* \} \mid [e^*] \mid e : \tau \mid r ?   \mid e \oplus e \mid \ominus e \mid r \mid c \mid p  Primitives  \mathbb{P} \ni p ::= \operatorname{undefined} \mid \operatorname{null} \mid b \mid n \mid j \mid s \mid @s  Types  \mathbb{T} \ni \tau ::= t \mid [\ ] \mid [\tau] \mid \operatorname{js} \mid \operatorname{prim}   \mid \operatorname{undefined} \mid \operatorname{null} \mid \operatorname{bool} \mid \operatorname{numeric}   \mid \operatorname{num} \mid \operatorname{bigint} \mid \operatorname{str} \mid \operatorname{symbol}
```

A modified IR<sub>ES</sub> program P = (func, inst, next) consists of three mappings; func :  $\mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{F}$  maps labels to their functions, inst :  $\mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{I}$  maps labels to their instructions, and next :  $\mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$  maps labels to their next labels, where a label  $\ell \in \mathbb{L}$ denotes a program point. A function def f (x\*, [y\*])  $\ell \in \mathbb{F}$ consists of its name f, normal parameters x\*, optional parameters  $y^*$ , and a body label  $\ell$ . For presentation brevity, we assume that no global variables exist in this paper. An instruction i is a variable declaration, a function call, an assertion, a branch, a return, or a reference update. An invocation of an abstract algorithm in ECMAScript is compiled to a function call instruction with a new temporary variable. We represent loops using branch instructions with cyclic pointing of labels in next. A reference r is a variable x or a field access r[e]. We write r.f to briefly represent r["f"]. An expression e is a record, a list, a type check, an existence check, a binary operation, a unary operation, a reference, a constant, or a primitive, which is either undefined, null, a Boolean b, a Number n, a BigInt j, a String s, or a Symbol @s.

A type  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  is either a nominal type t, an empty list type [], a parametric list type [ $\tau$ ], a JavaScript type js, a primitive type prim, a numeric type numeric, num, bigint, str, or symbol. The subtype relation  $<:\subseteq \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$  between types is reflexive and transitive.

#### II. SEMANTICS

In this section, we formally define the semantics of the modified IR<sub>ES</sub>. We will define states  $\mathbb S$  (Section II-A), and then define a denotational semantics of the modified IR<sub>ES</sub> for instructions  $[\![i]\!]_i:\mathbb S\to\mathbb S$  (Section II-B), references  $[\![r]\!]_r:\mathbb S\to\mathbb S\times\mathbb V$  (Section II-C), and expressions  $[\![e]\!]_e:\mathbb S\to\mathbb S\times\mathbb V$  (Section II-D).

### A. States: S

We define states as follows:

 $d \in \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{E}$ States  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{X}$ Contexts  $h \in \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{O}$ Heaps Addresses  $a \in \mathbb{A}$  $o \in \mathbb{O} = (\mathbb{T}_t \times (\mathbb{V}_s \to \mathbb{V})) \uplus \mathbb{V}^*$ Objects Nominal Types  $t \in \mathbb{T}_t$ Environments  $\sigma \in \mathbb{E} = \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{V}$  $v \in \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{F} \uplus \mathbb{A} \uplus \mathbb{V}_c \uplus \mathbb{P}$ Values Constants  $c \in \mathbb{V}_c$  $s \in \mathbb{V}_s$ Strings

A state  $d \in \mathbb{S}$  consists of a label, a context stack, a heap, and an environment. A context  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$  is a triple of a label, an environment, and a variable. A heap  $h \in \mathbb{H}$  is a mapping from addresses to objects. For each address  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ , an object  $o \in \mathbb{O}$  is a record from fields to values with its nominal type or a list of values. An environment  $\sigma \in \mathbb{E}$  is a mapping from variables to values. A value  $v \in \mathbb{V}$  is a function, an address, a constant, or a primitive value.

*B.* Instructions:  $[\![i]\!]_i: \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}$ 

• Variable Declarations:

[let 
$$x = e$$
]<sub>i</sub> $(d) = (next(l), \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma[x \mapsto v])$ 

where

$$[e]_e(d) = ((\ell, \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma), v)$$

• Function Calls:

$$[\![\mathbf{x} = (e_0 \ e_1 \cdots e_n)]\!]_i(d) = (\ell_f, \kappa :: \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma')$$

where

$$\begin{split} & \llbracket e_0 \rrbracket_e(d) = (d_0, \operatorname{def} \ \operatorname{f} \ (\operatorname{p}_1, \cdots, \operatorname{p}_m) \ \ell_{\operatorname{f}} \wedge \\ & \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_e(d_0) = (d_1, v_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \llbracket e_n \rrbracket_e(d_{n-1}) = (d_n, v_n) \wedge \\ & d_n = (\ell, \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma) \wedge k = \min(n, m) \wedge \\ & \sigma' = [\operatorname{p}_1 \mapsto v_1, \cdots, \operatorname{p}_k \mapsto v_k] \wedge \kappa = (\operatorname{next}(\ell), \sigma, \mathbf{x}) \end{split}$$

• Assertions:

[assert 
$$e$$
] $_{i}(d) = d'$  if  $[e]_{e}(d) = (d', \#t)$ 

• Branches:

$$[\![\inf e \ \mathit{l}_{\!\!\!\!\text{t}} \ \mathit{l}_{\!\!\!\text{f}}]\!]_i(d) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\mathit{l}_{\!\!\!\text{t}}, \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma) & \text{if } v = \text{\#t} \\ (\mathit{l}_{\!\!\!\text{f}}, \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma) & \text{if } v = \text{\#f} \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$[e]_e(d) = ((l_t, \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma), v)$$

• Returns:

$$[\![ \text{return } e ]\!]_i(d) = (\ell, \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma[x \mapsto v])$$

where

$$[e]_e(d) = ((\_, (\ell, \sigma, \mathbf{x}) :: \overline{\kappa}, h, \_), v)$$

• Variable Updates:

$$[\![\mathbf{x}=e]\!]_i(d)=(\mathrm{next}(\ell),\overline{\kappa},h,\sigma[\mathbf{x}\mapsto v])$$

where

$$[\![e]\!]_e(d)=((\ell,\overline{\kappa},h,\sigma),v)$$

· Field Updates:

$$[r[e_0] = e_1]_i(d) = (\text{next}(\ell), \overline{\kappa}, h[a \mapsto o'], \sigma)$$

where

$$\begin{split} & \llbracket r \rrbracket_e(d) = (d',a) \wedge \llbracket e_0 \rrbracket_e(d') = (d_0,v_0) \wedge \\ & \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_e(d_0) = ((t,\overline{\kappa},h,\sigma),v_1) \wedge o = h(a) \wedge \\ & o' = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} o_r \quad \text{if } o = (t,\text{fs}) \wedge v_0 = s \\ o_l \quad \text{if } o = [v'_1,\cdots,v'_m] \wedge v_0 = n \end{array} \right. \wedge \\ & o_r = (t,\text{fs}[s \mapsto v_1]) \wedge o_l = [\cdots,v'_{n-1},v_1,v'_{n+1},\cdots] \end{split}$$

- C. References:  $[r]_r : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{V}$ 
  - Variable Lookups:

$$[\![\mathbf{x}]\!]_r(d) = (d, \sigma(\mathbf{x}))$$

where

$$d = (\_, \_, \_, \sigma)$$

• Field Lookups:

$$[r[e]]_r(d) = (d'', v')$$

where

$$\begin{split} & [\![r]\!]_e(d) = (d',a) \wedge [\![e]\!]_e(d') = (d'',v) \wedge \\ & d'' = (\ell,\overline{\kappa},h,\sigma) \wedge o = h(a) \wedge \\ & v' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{fs}(s) & \text{if } o = (t,\operatorname{fs}) \wedge v = s \\ v'_n & \text{if } o = [v'_1,\cdots,v'_m] \wedge v = n \\ n & \text{if } o = [v'_1,\cdots,v'_n] \wedge v = \text{"length"} \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

- *D. Expressions:*  $[e]_e : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{V}$ 
  - · Records:

$$[t \{ x_1 : e_1, \cdots, x_n : e_n \}]_e(d) = (d', a)$$

where

$$[\![e_1]\!]_e(d) = (d_1, v_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge [\![e_n]\!]_e(d_{n-1}) = (d_n, v_n) \wedge d_n = (\ell, \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma) \wedge \text{fs} = [\mathtt{x}_1 \mapsto v_1, \cdots, \mathtt{x}_n \mapsto v_n] \\ a \not\in \text{Domain}(h) \wedge d' = (\ell, \overline{\kappa}, h[a \mapsto (t, \text{fs})], \sigma)$$

Lists:

$$[\![e_1,\cdots,e_n]\!]_e(d)=(d',a)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&[e_1]_e(d) = (d_1, v_1) \wedge \dots \wedge [e_n]_e(d_{n-1}) = (d_n, v_n) \wedge \\
&d_n = (\ell, \overline{\kappa}, h, \sigma) \wedge a \notin \text{Domain}(h) \wedge \\
&d' = (\ell, \overline{\kappa}, h[a \mapsto [v_1, \dots, v_n]], \sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

• Type Checks:

$$[e:\tau]_e(d) = (d',b)$$

where

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket_e(d) = (d', v) \land b = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{#t} & \text{if } v \text{ is a value of } \tau \\ \text{#f} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

• Variable Existence Checks:

$$[x?]_e(d) = (d,b)$$

where

$$d = (\_,\_,\_,\sigma) \land b = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{\#t} & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{Domain}(\sigma) \\ \text{\#f} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

• Field Existence Checks:

$$[r[e]?]_e(d) = (d'',b)$$

where

$$\begin{split} & [\![ r ]\!]_e(d) = (d',a) \wedge [\![ e ]\!]_e(d') = (d'',v) \wedge \\ & d'' = (\ell,\overline{\kappa},h,\sigma) \wedge o = h(a) \wedge \\ & b = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{\#t} & \text{if } o = (t,\text{fs}) \wedge v = s \wedge s \in \text{Domain(fs)} \\ \text{\#t} & \text{if } o = [v_1',\cdots,v_m'] \wedge v = n \wedge 1 \leq n \leq m \\ \text{\#f} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

• Binary Operations:

$$[e \oplus e]_e(d) = (d'', v_0 \oplus v_1)$$

where

$$[e_0]_e(d) = (d', v_0) \wedge [e_1]_e(d') = (d'', v_1)$$

• Unary Operations:

$$\llbracket \ominus e \rrbracket_e(d) = (d', \ominus v)$$

where

$$[e]_e(d) = (d', v)$$

• References:

$$[r]_e(d) = [r]_r(d)$$

• <u>Constants</u>:

$$[c]_e(d) = (d, c)$$

• Primitives:

$$[\![p]\!]_e(d) = (d,p)$$

#### III. TYPE ANALYSIS

We design a type analysis for the modified IR<sub>ES</sub> based on the abstract interpretation framework with analysis sensitivity. We will define abstract states S\$ (Section III-A), and then define an abstract semantics of the modified IR<sub>ES</sub> for instructions  $\llbracket i \rrbracket_i^{\sharp} : (\mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{T}^*) \to \mathbb{S}^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{S}^{\sharp}$  (Section III-B), references  $\llbracket r \rrbracket_r^{\sharp} :$  $\mathbb{E}^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{T}^{\sharp}$  (Section III-C), and expressions  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_e^{\sharp} : \mathbb{E}^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{T}^{\sharp}$ (Section III-D).

## A. Abstract States: S<sup>♯</sup>

Before defining abstract states, we first extend types as follows:

$$\mathbb{T} \ni \tau ::= \cdots \mid f \mid c \mid b \mid s \mid ? \mid \mathsf{normal}(\tau) \mid \mathsf{abrupt}$$

We add types for functions f and constants c, Boolean values b and String values s to precisely handle the control flows of branches and field accesses, respectively, the absent type? to represent the absence of variables, and normal  $(\tau)$  for normal completions whose Value fields have type  $\tau$  and abrupt for abrupt completions to enhance the analysis precision.

Using the extended types, we define abstract states with flow-sensitivity and type-sensitivity for arguments:

Abstract States 
$$d^{\sharp} \in \mathbb{S}^{\sharp} = \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$$
 Result Maps 
$$m \in \mathbb{M} = \mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{T}^{*} \to \mathbb{E}^{\sharp}$$
 Return Point Maps 
$$r \in \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{T}^{*} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{T}^{*} \times \mathbb{X})$$
 Abstract Environments 
$$\sigma^{\sharp} \in \mathbb{E}^{\sharp} = \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{T}^{\sharp}$$
 
$$\tau^{\sharp} \in \mathbb{T}^{\sharp} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$$

An abstract state  $d^{\sharp} \in \mathbb{S}^{\sharp}$  is a pair of a result map and a return point map. A result map  $m \in \mathbb{M}$  represents an abstract environment for each flow- and type-sensitive view, and a return point map  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  represents possible return points of each function with a type-sensitive context; each return point consists of a view for the caller function and a variable that represents the return value. An abstract environment  $\sigma^{\sharp} \in \mathbb{E}^{\sharp}$ represents possible types for variables, and  $\sigma^{\sharp}(x) = \{?\}$  when x is not defined in  $\sigma^{\sharp}$ . An abstract type  $\tau^{\sharp} \in \mathbb{T}^{\sharp}$  is a set of types. We define the join operator  $\Box$ , the meet operator  $\Box$ , and the partial order  $\Box$  for most of abstract domains in a point-wise manner, and define the operators for types with a normalization function norm because of their subtype relations:

$$\begin{split} \tau_0^{\sharp} &\sqcup \tau_1^{\sharp} = \operatorname{norm}(\tau_0^{\sharp} \cup \tau_1^{\sharp}) \\ \tau_0^{\sharp} &\sqcap \tau_1^{\sharp} = \operatorname{norm}(\{\tau_0 \in \tau_0^{\sharp} \mid \{\tau_0\} \sqsubseteq \tau_1^{\sharp}\} \cup \{\tau_1 \in \tau_1^{\sharp} \mid \{\tau_1\} \sqsubseteq \tau_0^{\sharp}\}) \\ \tau_0^{\sharp} &\sqsubseteq \tau_1^{\sharp} \Leftrightarrow \forall \tau_0 \in \tau_0^{\sharp}. \ \exists \tau_1 \in \operatorname{norm}(\tau_1^{\sharp}). \ \text{s.t.} \ \tau_0 <: \tau_1 \end{split}$$

where  $norm(\tau^{\sharp}) = \{ \tau \mid \tau \in \tau^{\sharp} \land \nexists \tau' \in \tau^{\sharp} \setminus \{\tau\}. \text{ s.t. } \tau <: \tau' \}.$ Then, we define the abstract semantics  $[P]^{\sharp}$  of a program Pas the least fixpoint of the abstract transfer  $F^{\sharp}: \mathbb{S}^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{S}^{\sharp}$ :

$$\begin{split} \llbracket P \rrbracket^{\sharp} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} (F^{\sharp})^{n} (d^{\sharp}_{\iota}) \\ F^{\sharp} (d^{\sharp}) &= d^{\sharp} \sqcup \left( \bigsqcup_{(\ell, \overline{\tau}) \in \mathrm{Domain}(m)} \left[ \mathrm{inst}(\ell) \right]^{\sharp}_{i} (\ell, \overline{\tau}) (d^{\sharp}) \right) \end{split}$$

where  $d^{\sharp} = (m, \underline{\ })$  and  $d_{\iota}^{\sharp}$  denotes the initial abstract state.

B. Instructions:  $[i]_i^{\sharp}: (\mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{T}^*) \to \mathbb{S}^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{S}^{\sharp}$ 

Variable Declarations:

[let 
$$\mathbf{x} = e$$
];  $(\ell, \overline{\tau})(d^{\sharp}) = (\{(\text{next}(\ell), \overline{\tau}) \mapsto \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{\sharp}\}, \varnothing)$ 

where

$$d^{\sharp} = (m, \underline{\ }) \wedge \sigma^{\sharp} = m(\ell, \overline{\tau}) \wedge \sigma^{\sharp} = \sigma^{\sharp} [\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\![e]\!]_{e}^{\sharp} (\sigma^{\sharp})]$$

Function Calls:

$$[\![\mathbf{x} = (e \ e_1 \cdots e_n)]\!]_i^{\sharp}(l, \overline{\tau})(d^{\sharp}) = (m', r')$$

where

$$\begin{split} d^{\sharp} &= (m,\_) \wedge \sigma^{\sharp} = m(\ell,\overline{\tau}) \wedge \\ \tau^{\sharp} &= \llbracket e \rrbracket_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) \wedge \\ \tau_1^{\sharp} &= \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tau_n^{\sharp} = \llbracket e_n \rrbracket_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) \wedge \\ T' &= \{ \text{uip}([\tau_1,\cdots,\tau_n]) \mid \tau_1 \in \tau_1^{\sharp} \wedge \cdots \wedge \tau_n \in \tau_n^{\sharp} \} \wedge \\ f &= \text{def f}(p_1,\cdots,[\cdots,p_{k_f}]) \ell_f \wedge \\ \sigma_{f,\overline{\tau}'}^{\sharp} &= [p_1 \mapsto \{\overline{\tau}'[1]\},\cdots,p_{k_f} \mapsto \{\overline{\tau}'[k_f]\}] \wedge \\ m' &= \{ (\ell_f,\overline{\tau}') \mapsto \sigma_{f,\overline{\tau}'}^{\sharp} \mid f \in \tau^{\sharp} \wedge \overline{\tau}' \in T' \} \wedge \\ r' &= \{ (f,\overline{\tau}') \mapsto \{(\text{next}(\ell),\overline{\tau},\mathbf{x})\} \mid f \in \tau^{\sharp} \wedge \overline{\tau}' \in T' \} \end{split}$$

Returns:

$$[\![ \mathrm{return}\ e ]\!]_i^\sharp(\ell,\overline{\tau})(d^\sharp) = (m',\varnothing)$$

where

$$\begin{split} d^{\sharp} &= (m,r) \wedge \sigma^{\sharp} = m(\ell,\overline{\tau}) \wedge \\ R &= r(\operatorname{func}(\ell),\overline{\tau}) \wedge \\ m' &= \{(\ell_r,\overline{\tau}_r) \mapsto \sigma^{\sharp}_r \mid (\ell_r,\overline{\tau}_r,\mathbf{x}) \in R \wedge \\ \sigma^{\sharp}_r &= m(\ell_r,\overline{\tau}_r)[\mathbf{x} \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp})] \} \end{split}$$

Assertions:

$$[\![ \operatorname{assert} e]\!]_i^\sharp(\ell,\overline{\tau})(d^\sharp) = (m',\varnothing)$$

where

$$\begin{split} d^{\sharp} &= (m,\underline{\ }) \wedge \sigma^{\sharp} = m(\ell,\overline{\tau}) \wedge \\ m' &= \{ (\text{next}(\ell),\overline{\tau}) \mapsto \text{pass}(e,\#\text{t})(\sigma^{\sharp}) \} \end{split}$$

Branches:

$$[\![\operatorname{if} e \ \ell_{\!\!\!\!\!\!\mathsf{L}} \ \ell_{\!\!\!\!\!\!\mathsf{f}}]\!]_i^\sharp(\ell,\overline{\tau})(d^\sharp) = (m',\varnothing)$$

where

$$\begin{split} d^{\sharp} &= (m, \underline{\ }) \wedge \sigma^{\sharp} = m(\ell, \overline{\tau}) \wedge \\ m' &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\ell_{\mathsf{L}}, \overline{\tau}) \mapsto \mathrm{pass}(e, \# \mathsf{L})(\sigma^{\sharp}), \\ (\ell_{\mathsf{f}}, \overline{\tau}) \mapsto \mathrm{pass}(e, \# \mathsf{f})(\sigma^{\sharp}) \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

• Variable Updates:

$$[x = e]_i^{\sharp}(\ell, \overline{\tau})(d^{\sharp}) = (\{(\text{next}(\ell), \overline{\tau}) \mapsto d_{\mathbf{x}}^{\sharp}\}, \varnothing)$$

where

$$\begin{split} d^{\sharp} &= (m,\underline{\ }) \wedge \sigma^{\sharp} = m(\ell,\overline{\tau}) \wedge \\ d^{\sharp}_{\mathbf{X}} &= \sigma^{\sharp}[\mathbf{x} \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\sharp}_{e}(\sigma^{\sharp})] \end{split}$$

Field Updates

$$\llbracket r \, [e_0] = e_1 \rrbracket_i^\sharp(\ell, \overline{\tau})(d^\sharp) = (\{(\operatorname{next}(\ell), \overline{\tau}) \mapsto \sigma^\sharp\}, \varnothing)$$
 where

where

$$d^{\sharp} = (m, \underline{\ }) \wedge \sigma^{\sharp} = m(\ell, \overline{\tau})$$

To avoid the explosion of type-sensitive views, we upcast the argument type before function calls with the following function:

$$\mathrm{up}(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{normal}(\mathrm{up}(\tau')) & \text{if } \tau = \mathrm{normal}(\tau') \\ [\mathrm{up}(\tau')] & \text{if } \tau = [\tau'] \\ \mathrm{str} & \text{if } \tau = s \\ \mathrm{bool} & \text{if } \tau = b \\ \tau & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

and up denotes a point-wise extension of up for type sequences. For branches and assertions, we use the following pass function to prevent infeasible control flows:

$$\mathrm{pass}(e,b)(\sigma^{\sharp}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{refine}(e,b)(\sigma^{\sharp}) & \mathrm{if} \; \{\sharp \mathrm{t}\} \sqsubseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) \\ \varnothing & \mathrm{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

where refine is a funcition that performs *condition-based* refinement of the type analysis for the modified IR<sub>ES</sub> to enhance the analysis precision. It prunes out infeasible parts in abstract environments using the conditions of branches and assertions. We formally define the refine function as follows:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{refine}(!e,b)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \operatorname{refine}(e,\neg b)(\sigma^{\sharp}) \\ \operatorname{refine}(e_0 \mid \mid e_1,b)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_0^{\sharp} \sqcup \sigma_1^{\sharp} & \text{if } b \\ \sigma_0^{\sharp} \sqcap \sigma_1^{\sharp} & \text{if } -b \end{array} \right. \\ \operatorname{refine}(e_0 \&\& e_1,b)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_0^{\sharp} \sqcup \sigma_1^{\sharp} & \text{if } -b \end{array} \right. \\ \operatorname{refine}(x.\operatorname{Type} == c_{\operatorname{normal}}, \sharp t)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \sigma^{\sharp} [x \mapsto \tau_x^{\sharp} \cap \operatorname{normal}(\mathbb{T})] \\ \operatorname{refine}(x.\operatorname{Type} == c_{\operatorname{normal}}, \sharp f)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \sigma^{\sharp} [x \mapsto \tau_x^{\sharp} \cap \{\operatorname{abrupt}\}] \\ \operatorname{refine}(x == e, \sharp t)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \sigma^{\sharp} [x \mapsto \tau_x^{\sharp} \sqcap \tau_e^{\sharp}] \\ \operatorname{refine}(x == e, \sharp f)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \sigma^{\sharp} [x \mapsto \tau_x^{\sharp} \sqcap \{\tau\}] \\ \operatorname{refine}(x : \tau, \sharp t)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \sigma^{\sharp} [x \mapsto \tau_x^{\sharp} \sqcap \{\tau\}] \\ \operatorname{refine}(x : \tau, \sharp f)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \sigma^{\sharp} [x \mapsto \tau_x^{\sharp} \mid \{\tau' \mid \tau' <: \tau\}] \\ \operatorname{refine}(e, b)(\sigma^{\sharp}) &= \sigma^{\sharp} [x \mapsto \tau_x^{\sharp} \mid \{\tau' \mid \tau' <: \tau\}] \end{split}$$

where  $\sigma_j^{\sharp} = \text{refine}(e_j, b)(\sigma^{\sharp})$  for j = 0, 1,  $\tau_e^{\sharp} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp})$ , and  $\lfloor \tau^{\sharp} \rfloor$  returns  $\{\tau\}$  if  $\tau^{\sharp}$  denotes a singleton type  $\tau$ , or returns  $\varnothing$ , otherwise.

- C. References:  $[r]_r^{\sharp} : \mathbb{E}^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{T}^{\sharp}$ 
  - Variable Lookups:

$$[\![\mathbf{x}]\!]_r^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = \sigma^\sharp(\mathbf{x})$$

• Field Lookups:

$$\llbracket r \, [e] \, \rrbracket_r^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = \{ \tau[v] \mid \tau \in \llbracket r \rrbracket_r^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) \wedge v \in \llbracket e \rrbracket_e^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) \}$$

where  $\tau[v]$  denotes the access of field v for a type  $\tau$ .

- D. Expressions:  $[e]_e^{\sharp}: \mathbb{E}^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{T}^{\sharp}$ 
  - Completion Records:

· Records:

$$\llbracket t \ \{ \, \cdots \, \} \rrbracket_e^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = \{t\}$$

· Lists:

• Type Checks:

$$\llbracket e : \tau \rrbracket_e^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = \{ \tau' <: \tau \mid \tau' \in \llbracket e \rrbracket_e^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) \}$$

Existence Checks:

$$[r?]_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) = \{ \tau \neq ? \mid \tau \in [e]_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) \}$$

• Binary Operations:

$$\llbracket e_0 \oplus e_1 \rrbracket_e^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = \{ \tau_0 \oplus^\sharp \tau_1 \mid \tau_0 \in \tau_0^\sharp \wedge \tau_1 \in \tau_1^\sharp \}$$

where

$$\tau_0^{\sharp} = [\![e_0]\!]_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) \wedge \tau_1^{\sharp} = [\![e_1]\!]_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp})$$

• Unary Operations:

$$\llbracket \ominus e \rrbracket_{e}^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) = \{ \ominus^{\sharp} \tau \mid \tau \in \llbracket e \rrbracket_{e}^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) \}$$

• References:

$$\llbracket r \rrbracket_e^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) = \llbracket r \rrbracket_r^{\sharp}(\sigma^{\sharp}) \setminus \{?\}$$

• Constants:

$$[\![c]\!]_e^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = c$$

• <u>Primitives</u>:

$$\llbracket p \rrbracket_e^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{num} & \text{if } p = n \\ \text{bigint} & \text{if } p = j \\ \text{symbol} & \text{if } p = @s \\ p & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$