

# TOPOLOGICAL DATA ANALYSIS

- ① Prove that a morphism  $f$  of persistence modules is an isomorphism if and only if  $f_t$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces for all  $t$

Assume that  $f: (V, \pi) \rightarrow (V', \pi')$  is an isomorphism of persistence modules.

Then, there exist a morphism  $g: (V', \pi') \rightarrow (V, \pi)$  such that  $g \circ f = \text{id}_V$  and  $f \circ g = \text{id}_{V'}$ . This implies the following:

$$\begin{cases} (f \circ g)_t = f_t \circ g_t = \text{id}_t \text{ for all } t \\ (g \circ f)_t = g_t \circ f_t = \text{id}_t \text{ for all } t \end{cases}$$

This implies that  $f_t$  is invertible for all  $t$  and  $g_t = f_t^{-1}$ . Thus,  $f_t$  is a bijection and in consequence  $f_t$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces for all  $t$ .

Conversely, if  $f_t$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces for all  $t$ , there exist  $f_t^{-1}$  and it is well-defined. Let us define a morphism  $g: (V', \pi') \rightarrow (V, \pi)$  of persistence modules, such that  $g_t: V'_t \rightarrow V_t$  is defined as  $g_t := f_t^{-1}$  for all  $t$ . Let us check that  $g$  is actually a morphism of persistence modules:

Since  $f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s$  for  $s \leq t$  and  $f_t$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces for all  $t$ , we obtain that  $\pi_{s,t} \circ f_s^{-1} = f_t^{-1} \circ \pi'_{s,t}$ .

Hence,  $g$  is a morphism of persistence modules. Let us review this argument with diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_s & \xrightarrow{f_s} & V'_s \\ \pi_{s,t} \downarrow \parallel & & \downarrow \pi'_{s,t} \\ V_t & \xrightarrow{f_t} & V'_t \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{ccc} V'_s & \xrightarrow{f_s^{-1}} & V_s \\ \pi'_{s,t} \downarrow \parallel & & \downarrow \pi_{s,t} \\ V'_t & \xrightarrow{f_t^{-1}} & V_t \end{array}$$

Moreover, to confirm that  $g$  is an isomorphism of persistence modules and conclude the proof, we have to see that  $g \circ g = \text{id}_V$  and  $g \circ g = \text{id}_{V'}$ . This is immediate since:

$$\begin{cases} (g \circ g)_t = g_t \circ g_t = g_t^{-1} \circ g_t = \text{id}_t \\ (g \circ g)_t = g_t \circ g_t = g_t \circ g_t^{-1} = \text{id}_t \end{cases}$$

□

② Prove that two isomorphic persistence modules of finite type have the same spectrum

Assume that  $g: (V, \pi) \rightarrow (V', \pi')$  is an isomorphism of persistence modules of finite type and suppose that they have different spectra. Let us call  $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_m\}$  and  $A' = \{a'_0, \dots, a'_m\}$  the spectra of  $(V, \pi)$  and  $(V', \pi')$  respectively. Notice that if  $A \neq A'$ , there must exist  $a_i \neq a'_j$  for some  $i$  and  $j$ . We have the following conditions:

- If  $a_i \neq a'_j$ , there exist  $\eta > 0$  such that  $a'_j \notin [a_i - \eta, a_i + \eta]$ .
- If  $a_i \in A$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $a_i \leq t < a_i + \varepsilon$ , then  $\pi_{a_i, t}$  is an isomorphism while if  $a_i - \varepsilon < s < a_i$ , then  $\pi_{s, a_i}$  is not an isomorphism.
- If  $a_i \notin A'$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\pi_{s, t}$  is an isomorphism for  $a_i - \delta < s \leq t < a_i + \delta$ .

choose  $\nu = \min\{\eta, \varepsilon, \delta\}$  and notice that there exist  $s$  such that  $a_i - \nu < s < a_i$  and  $\pi_{s, a_i}$  is not an isomorphism and  $\pi_{s, a_i}^{-1}$  is an isomorphism. Notice that we have the following commutative diagram:



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V_S & \xrightarrow{f_S} & V'_S \\
 \pi_{S,a_i} \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \pi'_{S,a_i} \\
 V_{a_i} & \xrightarrow{f_{a_i}} & V'_{a_i}
 \end{array}$$

$$f_{a_i} \circ \pi_{S,a_i} = \pi'_{S,a_i} \circ f_S$$

Moreover, since  $f$  is an isomorphism, we have that  $f_S$  and  $f_{a_i}$  are isomorphisms. Then,  $\pi_{S,a_i} = f_{a_i}^{-1} \circ \pi'_{S,a_i} \circ f_S$  is an isomorphism, since the composition of isomorphisms is an isomorphism. Thus, we reach a contradiction which comes from assuming  $A \neq A'$ . Therefore,  $A = A'$  and we conclude the proof.

□

③ Prove that there is a nonzero morphism  $F[a,b] \rightarrow F[c,d]$  if and only if  $c \leq a$  and  $a < d \leq b$ .

Let us prove first that if  $c \leq a$  and  $a < d \leq b$ , then, there exist a nonzero morphism  $F[a,b] \rightarrow F[c,d]$ . Let us draw the values to keep them in mind.



Notice that if  $a = c$  and  $b = d$ , the problem becomes immediate since the identity morphism satisfies what we wanted. Assume then  $c < a$  and  $d < b$ .

Let us define  $f_t: F[a,b]_t \rightarrow F[c,d]_t$  such that

$$f_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [c, a) \\ \text{Id} & \text{if } t \in [a, d) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [d, b) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $f$  is a non-zero morphism  $F[a,b] \rightarrow F[c,d]$ . To prove this, we have to see that  $f_{s,t}$ , is satisfied the following:

$$f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s$$

Notice that if  $t < c$  or  $s > b$  this is immediate. Let us check the interesting cases.

① Assume  $t \in [c,a)$ . Then, either  $s < c$  or  $s \in [c,a)$ .

② If  $s < c$ ,  $F[a,b]_s = F[c,d]_s = 0$  and  $F[a,b]_t = 0$  but  $F[c,d]_t = F$ .

The diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{f_s=0} & 0 \\ \pi_{s,t}=0 \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \pi'_{s,t}=0 \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{f_t=0} & F \end{array} \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$

③ If  $s \in [c,a)$ ,  $F[a,b]_s = 0$ ,  $F[c,d]_s = F$  and  $F[a,b]_t = 0$ ,  $F[c,d]_t = F$

The diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{f_s=0} & F \\ \pi_{s,t}=0 \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \pi'_{s,t} = \text{id} \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{f_t=0} & F \end{array} \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$

② Assume  $t \in [a,d)$ . Then, either  $s < c$ ,  $s \in [c,a)$  or  $s \in [a,d)$ .

③ If  $s < c$ ,  $F[a,b]_s = F[c,d]_s = 0$  and  $F[a,b]_t = F[c,d]_t = F$

The diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{f_s=0} & 0 \\ \pi_{s,t}=0 \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \pi'_{s,t}=0 \\ F & \xrightarrow{f_t=\text{id}} & F \end{array} \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$

② If  $s \in [c, a)$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_s = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[c, d)_s = \mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_t = \mathbb{F}[c, d)_t = \mathbb{F}$   
The diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{f_s=0} & \mathbb{F} \\ \pi_{s,t}=0 \downarrow \cong \downarrow \pi'_{s,t}=\text{id} & & \\ \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_t=\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \end{array} \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$

③ If  $s \in [a, d)$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_s = \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}[c, d)_s$  and  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_t = \mathbb{F}[c, d)_t = \mathbb{F}$   
The diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_s=\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\ \pi_{s,t}=\text{id} \downarrow \cong \downarrow \pi'_{s,t}=\text{id} & & \\ \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_t=\text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \end{array} \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$

③ Assume  $t \in [c, b)$ . Then, either  $s < c$ ,  $s \in [c, a)$ ,  $s \in [a, d)$  or  $s \in [d, b)$

② If  $s < c$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_s = \mathbb{F}[c, d)_s = 0$  and  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_t = \mathbb{F}$  but  $\mathbb{F}[c, d)_t = 0$

The diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{f_s=0} & 0 \\ \pi_{s,t}=0 \downarrow \cong \downarrow \pi'_{s,t}=0 & & \\ \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_t=0} & 0 \end{array} \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$

③ If  $s \in [c, a)$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_s = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[c, d)_s = \mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_t = \mathbb{F}$  but  $\mathbb{F}[c, d)_t = 0$

The diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{f_s=0} & \mathbb{F} \\ \pi_{s,t}=0 \downarrow \cong \downarrow \pi'_{s,t}=0 & & \\ \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_t=0} & 0 \end{array} \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$



• If  $s \in [a, d)$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_s = \mathbb{F}[c, d)_s = \mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_t = \mathbb{F}$  but  $\mathbb{F}[c, d)_t = 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_s = \text{id}} & \mathbb{F} \\ \pi_{s,t} = \text{id} \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi'_{s,t} = 0 \\ \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_t = 0} & 0 \end{array}$$

$$f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$

• If  $s \in [d, b)$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_s = \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[c, d)_s = 0$  and  $\mathbb{F}[a, b)_t = \mathbb{F}$  but  $\mathbb{F}[c, d)_t = 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_s = 0} & 0 \\ \pi_{s,t} = \text{id} \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi'_{s,t} = 0 \\ \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_t = 0} & 0 \end{array}$$

$$f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} = \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s \quad \checkmark$$

This concludes that  $f$  is a non-zero morphism and finish the first part of the proof.

Conversely, let us assume  $c > a$  or  $a \geq d$  or  $d > b$  and prove that the only possible morphism  $\mathbb{F}[a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[c, d)$  is the 0-morphism. Suppose first that  $c > a$ . Then, either  $b \geq d$  or  $b < d$ .

Assume  $c > a$  and  $b \geq d$ . Then, we have that

$$\mathbb{F}[a, b)_t = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} & \text{if } t \in [a, c) \\ \mathbb{F} & \text{if } t \in [c, d) \\ \mathbb{F} & \text{if } t \in [d, b) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \mathbb{F}[c, d)_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [a, c) \\ \mathbb{F} & \text{if } t \in [c, d) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [d, b) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The only possible non-zero morphism is the following:

$$f_t = \begin{cases} \text{id} & \text{if } t \in [c, d) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us check that actually, this is not a morphism. Notice that if  $t \in [c, d)$  and  $s \in [a, c)$ ,  $s \leq t$  and the following diagram should commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_s=0} & 0 \\
 \pi_{s,t}=\text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi'_{s,t}=0 \\
 \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_t=\text{id}} & \mathbb{F}
 \end{array}
 \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} \neq \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s$$

We reach a contradiction!! The only possible morphism is the zero.

Assume now  $c > a$  and  $b < d$ . Then, we have that

$$\mathbb{F}[a, b)_t = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} & \text{if } t \in [a, c) \\ \mathbb{F} & \text{if } t \in [c, b) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [b, d) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
 \quad
 \mathbb{F}[c, d)_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [a, c) \\ \mathbb{F} & \text{if } t \in [c, b) \\ \mathbb{F} & \text{if } t \in [b, d) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The only possible non-zero morphism is the following

$$f_t = \begin{cases} \text{id} & \text{if } t \in [c, b) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us check that actually, this is not a morphism. Notice that if  $t \in [c, b)$  and  $s \in [a, c)$ ,  $s \leq t$  and the following diagram should be commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_s=0} & 0 \\
 \pi_{s,t}=\text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi'_{s,t}=0 \\
 \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{f_t=\text{id}} & \mathbb{F}
 \end{array}
 \quad f_t \circ \pi_{s,t} \neq \pi'_{s,t} \circ f_s$$

Contradiction  $\nabla$   
0

Doing exactly the same argument with the remaining cases,  $a \geq b$  and  $b \geq a$ , we will reach a contradiction and we will conclude that the only possible morphism is the zero, which concludes the proof.

□