



EU Project No:601043 (Integrated Project (IP))

DIACHRON

Managing the Evolution and Preservation of the Data Web DIACHRON

Dissemination level:

Type of Document:

Contractual date of delivery:

Actual Date of Delivery:

Deliverable Number:

Deliverable Name:

Deliverable Leader:

Work package(s):

Status & version:

Number of pages:

WP contributing to the deliverable:

WP / Task responsible:

Coordinator (name / contact):

Other Contributors:

EC Project Officer: Federico Milani

Keywords:

Abstract:

Some meaningful abstract here.



Grant Agreement No. 601043

| Document History | | | |
|------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Ver. | Date | Contributor(s) | Description |
| 0.1 | 24.06.2014 | Jeremy Debattista | Created TOC and LaTeX Setup |
| | | | |
| | | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| 1.1 | SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES | 4 |
| 1.2 | CONTEXT OF THIS DOCUMENT | 4 |
| 1.3 | DOCUMENT STRUCTURE | 4 |
| 2 | DATA QUALITY FRAMEWORK | 4 |
| 2.1 | HIGH-LEVEL ARCHITECTURE | 4 |
| 2.2 | STREAM PROCESSOR | 4 |
| 2.3 | THE DATASET QUALITY ONTOLOGY | 4 |
| 2.4 | QUALITY RESTFUL API DESIGN | 4 |
| 3 | LIBRARIES USED | 4 |
| 4 | RANKING SERVICE | 4 |
| 4.1 | DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROCESS | 4 |
| 4.2 | DATA QUALITY METRICS | 4 |
| 4.2.1 | ACCESSIBILITY CATEGORY | 4 |
| 4.2.2 | AVAILABILITY | 4 |
| 4.3 | VISUALISATION OF QUALITY ASSESSMENT | 5 |
| 4.4 | RANKING OF QUALITY-COMPUTED DATASETS | 5 |
| 5 | CRAWLING SERVICE | 5 |
| 6 | CONCLUSIONS | 5 |



Grant Agreement No. 601043

TABLE OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope and Objectives

1.2 Context of this Document

1.3 Document Structure

2 Data Quality Framework

2.1 High-Level Architecture

2.2 Stream Processor

2.3 The Dataset Quality Ontology

2.4 Quality RESTful API Design

3 Libraries Used

4 Ranking Service

4.1 Data Quality Assessment Process

4.2 Data Quality Metrics

- Metric input is a quad $\langle ?s, ?p, ?o, ?g \rangle$ -

4.2.1 Accessibility Category

4.2.2 Availability

Dereferenceability Metric

HTTP URIs should be dereferenceable, i.e. HTTP clients can retrieve the resources identified by the URI. A typical web URI resource would return a 200 OK code indicating that a request is successful and 4xx or 5xx if the request is unsuccessful. In Linked Data, a successful request should return a document (RDF) containing the description (triples) of the requested resource. In Linked Data, there are two possible ways which allow publishers make URIs dereferenceable. These are the 303 URIs and the hash URIs¹. Yang et. al [?] describes a mechanism to identify the dereferenceability process of linked data resource.

Calculates the number of valid redirects (303) or hashed links according to LOD Principles.

This metric (listing ??) will count the number of valid dereferenceable URI resources found in the subject (?s) and object (?o) position of a triple. The `isDereferenceable(resource)` method uses the rules defined in [?]. The metric will return a ratio of the number of dereferenced URIs (deref) against the total number of triples in a dataset (totalTriples). The expected range is [0..1], where 0 is the worst rating and 1 is the best rating.

¹<http://www.w3.org/TR/cooluris/>

Algorithm 1 Dereferenceability Algorithm

```
1: procedure INIT
2:   totalTriples = 0 ;
3:   deref = 0 ;
4: procedure DEREFERENCE( $\langle ?s, ?p, ?o, ?g \rangle$ )
5:   if (isURI(?s)) && (isDereferenceable(?s)) then deref++ ;
6:   if (isURI(?o)) && (isDereferenceable(?o)) then deref++ ;
7:   totalTriples++;
```

4.3 Visualisation of Quality Assessment**4.4 Ranking of Quality-Computed Datasets****5 Crawling Service****6 Conclusions**