Examples

The following document demonstrates the use of the functions in implementation.R for a one-sample t-test. That is, we have data $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and we will test the hypotheses

```
H_0: \mu = 0 and H_A: \mu \neq 0.
```

Running the test

We begin by demonstrating how the test can be run for a given dataset. The following code generates a simple dataset with n=30 and an effect size of $\mu/\sigma=1$.

```
set.seed(42)

n <- 30
data <- data.frame(Y = rnorm(n, mean = 1, sd = 1))</pre>
```

The following code creates an appropriate function pub_test and runs the test of tests for $\varepsilon = 1$ and input parameters m = 3, $\alpha_0 = 0.2$.

```
set.seed(777)

pub_test <- function(data){
   t.test(x = data)$p.value
}

test.of.tests(data, pub_test, epsilon = 1, m = 3, alpha0 = 0.2)

## $Z

## [1] 3.716806

##

## $p.value

## [1] 0.02803017</pre>
```

Computing Theoretical Power

We now describe how to compute the theoretical power of the test of tests. The following creates an appropriate function pub_power.

The power of the test for an effect size of $\mu/\sigma=1$ and input parameters $m=3, \alpha_0=0.2$ is thus

```
## [1] 0.3818626
```

We can confirm this result via simulation using the test.of.tests function described above.

```
set.seed(1)
nsims <- 1000

samps <- rep(NA, nsims)
for(i in 1:nsims){
   data <- data.frame(Y = rnorm(n, mean = 1, sd = 1))
   samps[i] <- test.of.tests(data, pub_test, epsilon = 1, m = 3, alpha0 = 0.2)$p.value
}
mean(samps <= 0.05)</pre>
```

[1] 0.382

Finding m, α_0

We now describe how to use the remaining functions to select the input parameters. When the effect size is not known, the function practical.m.alpha0 should be used. Here we demonstrate this function searching over a grid of effect sizes for the smallest effect that achieves target power $\rho = 0.8$.

```
## $power
## [1] 0.8158562
##
## $alpha0
## [1] 0.2308264
##
## $m
## [1] 7
##
## $effect
## [1] 1.2
```

Using the corresponding input parameters in power.test.of.tests gives substantially higher power in the $\mu/\sigma=1$ case examined above.

```
## [1] 0.6607279
```

For comparison, if it were known a priori that the effect size was approximately $\mu/\sigma=1$, then we could use the function optimal.m.alpha0 to find the maximum achievable power.

```
optimal.m.alpha0(effect = 1, pub_power, epsilon = 1, n = 30)
```

```
## $power
## [1] 0.6610846
##
## $alpha0
## [1] 0.175706
##
## $m
## [1] 5
```

We see that this power is only marginally larger than the power from when the effect size was unknown.