Contents

1	Assignment Description	2
2	DPL and dpcc: A quick peak at the language and at the compiler 2.1 DPL: Structure of the language	3 3 4
3	The proposed implementation	5
4	4.2 Common types used withing the compiler 4.3 Most notable functions used in the compiler 4.4 How the AST is traversed 4.5 How type checking and type deduction is implemented 4.6 A brief introduction at the code implementing the compiler	5 5 5
5	Problem analysis	5
6	Program design	5
7	Evaluation of the program	5
8	Process description	5
9	Conclusions	5
10	O Appendix A: Structure of the Intermediate Code	6
11	1 Appendix: program text	6
19	2 Appendix B. Evample Program Iterative Merge Sort	7

DPCC: DParo's Own C-Alike Compiler

Davide Paro

December 2020

1 Assignment Description

This project is the implementation of an assignment for a course on **Compilers** at the department of Computer Engineering Master Degree Padova (ITA).

The assignment consists in implementing a toy compiler for a toy language. Mostly we are required to design the frontend side (input, lexing, parsing, type checking) and simply emitting a very simple theoretical Intermediate Code (3AC) We are free to design the syntax of this toy language however we like.

The assignment specs out the how the compiler should be composed. We can in fact distinguish these macro components:

- Input Stage deals with the input byte stream that composes the source of the program.
- Lexer/Scanner has the purpose of grouping characters (lexical analysis) together to compose compunded structures (called tokens). For the project assignment we can use **Flex** to aid in the implementation of the scanner.
- Parser for performing the syntax analysis. It is what defines the look & fell (grammar) of the language. For the project assignment we can use **Bison** to aid in the implementation of an LR parser.
- Intermediate Code Generator. The ultimate purpose of a compiler is to produce something useful. In this project assignment we are not asked to implement a proper backend. Instead, we need to emit a 3AC representation of our input program. More in this later.

In particular the final Intermediate representation that we need to emit is based on Three Address Code (3AC), that is, each statement can only have 1 operand at the left hand side of the assignment, and 2 operands at the right hand side of the assignment, and an operator driving the operation that should be performed.

You can view more about 3AC at the following wikipedia link.

In practice the emitted 3AC code is on itself a partially valid C program, it's only missing variable declarations at the top for the temporary variables.

For the specification of the Intermdiate Code that is generated please refer to appendix A

So the project requires to produce this kind of 3AC / C hybrid. Control flow is allowed to be implemented trough the usage of C labels and simple if conditional followed by a goto statement. Inside the if conditional there can only be a single element composing the expression.

The assignment requires the following features from the programming language that we should develop:

- Variables declaration, initialization and assignment
- Scoping. Variable names are reusable in different scopes. Variable shadowing may or may not warn/fail/pass depending on the design choices.
- Only 2 types of variables: integers, booleans
- Assignment statements, print statements, if statements, and at least 1 loop statement at our liking
- Handling of simple mathematical expressions that we can encounter in common programming languages: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, modulo, etc . . .
- Function definition, function calls, and custom user definable types are not required

2 DPL and dpcc: A quick peak at the language and at the compiler

After describing the project assignment, from now on the following sections will describe the proposed language and the proposed compiler.

DPL and **DPCC** are respectively the **name of the language** and the **name of the implemented compiler**. They are named after their author.

From now on **DPL** and **DPCC** will be used for brevity for referring to the language and to the compiler. We will use **dpcc** (all lowercase) instead, to refer to the actual executable where the compiler lives.

That being said **DPCC** (all UPPERCASE) & **dpcc** (all lowercase) are mostly used interchangeably to refer to the same thing.

2.1 DPL: Structure of the language

DPL is mostly a C-alike compatible language. It borrows some syntax also from **Rust** & **JS** especially in the variable declaration syntax (usage of the keyword **let**).

Rust is a modern system programming language with strong typing guarantees. Among all the interesting features that Rust provides one of them is type deduction. Thanks to Rust's strong typing guarantees and thanks to strong type deduction rules implemented inside the **rustc** compiler, Rust allows one to declare variables with a very low-weight syntax, similar to a syntax provided from a typical dynamic language (for example JS).

DPL, like Rust, also have a very simple form of a type deduction system. It is not even remotely close to the Rust type deduction system, but still it allows the user of the language to not always need to specify the type of each variable in a declaration.

Here follows some demonstration **DPL** to show of the concepts and the syntax of the language:

We will see briefly in later sections how this is implemented in the **dpcc** compiler.

In \mathbf{DPL} :

- Spaces and newlines mostly do not matter, they simply introduce token boundaries.
- Comments start with the double forward slash '//', and C-style multiline comments are instead not supported (at the time of writing).

Here follows some chunks of **DPL** code to show off the language concepts and syntax:

```
// Print statement with immediate C-style strings. C-style strings can only be used inside print statement
   print("Hello world\n");
   // Variable declaration and initialization
5
   let a = 10;  // Integer Type deduced
   let f = 10.0;
                      // Float type deduced
6
   let b = false;
                      // Boolean type deduced
   // Explicit types
9
   let i: int = Oxffff & ~Oxb00111;
10
   let f: float = 10.0 + 20.0 ** 2;
11
12
   // Immediate values can be printed
13
   print(10):
14
15
   print(30 + 4);
16
   // Variables can be printed
17
              // Print integer
   print(i);
18
                 // Print float
   print(f);
19
20
   // Casting can be used to enforce type conversion
21
   let myInt: int = int(10.00f);
22
   let myFloat = float(0xFF);
23
24
   // Type deduction
25
   let b = (10 < 20); // Boolean type is deduced</pre>
   let f = 1 + 2.0f; // Float type is deduced (the 1 is upcasted to a float)
27
   // Scoping and restricting variable declarations to the current scope
29
   {
30
31
       // Simple single dimension arrays declaration
       let buf_i: int[100];
                                // Known size integer array
32
       let buf_f: float[100];
                                    // Known size float array
33
```

```
34
        // Integer array with deduced size from the RHS initializer list
35
36
        let buf = [ 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 ];
37
38
        // Arrays can be printed
        print(buf);
39
   }
40
41
    let buf: int[100];
42
43
    // Control flow
44
    for (let i = 0; i < 100; i++) {</pre>
45
        buf[i] = i ** 2;
46
47
        if (buf[i] == 10) {
48
49
            print("buf[i] is 10!!!\n")
50
        else if (buf[i] == 20) {
51
           print("buf[i] is 20!!!\n");
52
53
54
        else {
           print("None of above\n");
55
56
   }
57
```

The cool thing about **DPL**, is that it is **almost a Javascript subset**. That is one can simply copy the **DPL** code, strip the type information (if they're used anywhere) by manual editing or automatically, and paste the same code into a browser console to evaluate as JS code. With a couple modifications here and there (arrays with no RHS initializer list must be converted into valid JS array), and the definition of the function 'print', one can test if the compiler **dpcc** is producing the correct output by simply evaluating the same code in a browser console.

This example shows how to convert **DPL** into **JS** by manual editing:

Here's the equivalent JS code:

```
const print = console.log; // Define it once at the top of the script

let a = 10; // Same as before

let b = [ 10, 20, 30, 40 ]; // Same as before

let c = 10; // Just strip the int type

let d = []; // Just initalize with empty array is enough

print(d); // Works because print is defined at the top
```

Most other **DPL** syntax and features, like code blocks, conditionals, loops ... etc are valid JS code thanks on how the grammar for **DPL** was defined.

For now only 5 types are implemented: **bool**, **int**, **float**, **string**, **bool**[], **int**[], **float**[]. Only single dimensions array are for now supported. So arrays do not generalize to any number of dimensions.

Most of these types have full on semantics, meaning that the compiler can deduce a type of an expression given the types of its operand. In some cases it can reject the code if the operands of an expression have invalid types. At the current time of writing this report, **string** types are quirky, meaning that they don't have a full type tracking inside the compiler like other types do. The compiler still knows what a string is, and in fact a string literal is marked with the **string** type, but strings undergo different semantics. They cannot be assigned or operated on like a variable, but instead, they can only be used as a parameter to the print statement.

2.2 DPCC: Using the compiler

This section shows an high level of an user calling a built compiler to run and or test

3 The proposed implementation

In the following sections it is described how the proposed

- Input Stage. The compiler only supports file. The input stream is implemented in the following way. The file is loaded and entirely copied into a memory buffer. This is more than adequate for what it's necessary to do for the project assignment.
- Un Lexer/Scanner per la tokenizzazione del sorgente da caratteri a tipi di dati strutturati. La scelta ricade su Flex per la gestione dell'analisi lessicale
- Un **Parser** per implementare la sintassi del linguaggio e gestire l'analisi sintattica. La scelta ricade su **Bison** per la gestione di questa componente
- Un semplice **generator di codice intermedio**. Il progetto provede di generare un ibrido Assembly/C/3AC come semplice esempio di gestione di generazione

4 Implementation Details

- 4.1 Custom log is implemented to override default bison behaviour
- 4.2 Common types used withing the compiler
- 4.3 Most notable functions used in the compiler
- 4.4 How the AST is traversed
- 4.5 How type checking and type deduction is implemented
- 4.6 A brief introduction at the code implementing the compiler
 - The order of the childs in each node is very important and it drives the correctness of the final output. In this way we can use simple printf to generate the code each time we find something while traversing the tree
- 4.7 Typescript used for code generation of some part of the compiler
- 4.8 Encountered problems
- 4.9 Testing framework
- 5 Problem analysis
- 6 Program design
- 7 Evaluation of the program
- 8 Process description
- 9 Conclusions

...

10 Appendix A: Structure of the Intermediate Code

11 Appendix: program text

12 Appendix B: Example Program Iterative Merge Sort

```
let array = [
        15, 59, 61, 75, 12, 71, 5, 35, 44,
        6, 98, 17, 81, 56, 53, 31, 20, 11,
3
        45, 80, 8, 34, 71, 83, 64, 28, 3,
4
        88, 50, 48, 80, 5
5
    ];
6
    for (let curr_size = 1; curr_size < len; curr_size = 2 * curr_size) {</pre>
9
        for (let left_start = 0; left_start < len - 1; left_start = left_start + 2 * curr_size) {</pre>
10
           let mid = len - 1;
11
12
            if ((left_start + curr_size - 1) < len - 1) {</pre>
13
                mid = left_start + curr_size - 1;
14
15
16
           let right_end = len - 1;
17
            if ((left_start + 2 * curr_size - 1) < len - 1) {</pre>
19
                right_end = left_start + 2 * curr_size - 1;
20
21
22
23
                let 1 = left_start;
               let m = mid;
25
                let r = right_end;
               let n1 = m - 1 + 1;
let n2 = r - m;
27
28
29
                let L: int[1024];
30
               let R: int[1024];
31
               for (let i = 0; i < n1; i++) {</pre>
33
34
                    L[i] = array[l + i];
35
36
                for (let i = 0; i < n2; i++) {</pre>
37
                   R[i] = array[m + 1 + i];
38
39
40
41
42
                let i = 0;
                let j = 0;
43
                let k = 1;
44
45
                while (i < n1 && j < n2) {</pre>
46
                   if (L[i] <= R[j]) {</pre>
47
                       array[k++] = L[i++];
                    } else {
49
50
                        array[k++] = R[j++];
51
                }
52
53
                while (i < n1) {</pre>
54
55
                    array[k++] = L[i++];
56
                while (j < n2) {
57
                    array[k++] = R[j++];
59
60
        }
61
62 }
63
   print("Sorted array\n");
65 print(array);
```