

1 The Limiting MPC's

For $m_t > 0$ we can define $e_t(m_t) = c_t(m_t)/m_t$ and $a_t(m_t) = m_t - c_t(m_t)$ and the Euler equation (??) can be rewritten

{sec:MPCLimits}

$$\begin{aligned} e_t(m_t)^{-\gamma} &= \beta R \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(e_{t+1}(m_{t+1}) \left(\frac{\overbrace{Ra_t(m_t) + \mathcal{G}_{t+1}\xi_{t+1}}^{=m_{t+1}\mathcal{G}_{t+1}}}}{m_t} \right) \right)^{-\gamma} \right] \\ &= (1-q)\beta R m_t^\gamma \mathbb{E}_t \left[(e_{t+1}(m_{t+1})m_{t+1}\mathcal{G}_{t+1})^{-\gamma} \mid \xi_{t+1} > 0 \right] \\ &\quad + q\beta R^{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(e_{t+1}(\mathcal{R}_{t+1}a_t(m_t)) \frac{m_t - c_t(m_t)}{m_t} \right)^{-\gamma} \mid \xi_{t+1} = 0 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the first conditional expectation in (??), recalling that if $\xi_{t+1} > 0$ then $\xi_{t+1} \equiv \theta_{t+1}/(1-q)$. Since $\lim_{m \downarrow 0} a_t(m) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_t[(e_{t+1}(m_{t+1})m_{t+1}\mathcal{G}_{t+1})^{-\gamma} \mid \xi_{t+1} > 0]$ is contained within bounds defined by $(e_{t+1}(\underline{\theta}/(1-q))\mathcal{G}\underline{\psi}\underline{\theta}/(1-q))^{-\gamma}$ and $(e_{t+1}(\bar{\theta}/(1-q))\mathcal{G}\bar{\psi}\bar{\theta}/(1-q))^{-\gamma}$ both of which are finite numbers, implying that the whole term multiplied by $(1-q)$ goes to zero as m_t^γ goes to zero. As $m_t \downarrow 0$ the expectation in the other term goes to $\bar{\kappa}_{t+1}^{-\gamma}(1-\bar{\kappa}_t)^{-\gamma}$. (This follows from the strict concavity and differentiability of the consumption function.) It follows that the limiting $\bar{\kappa}_t$ satisfies $\bar{\kappa}_t^{-\gamma} = \beta q R^{1-\gamma} \bar{\kappa}_{t+1}^{-\gamma} (1-\bar{\kappa}_t)^{-\gamma}$. Exponentiating by γ , we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\kappa}_t &= q^{-1/\gamma} (\beta R)^{-1/\gamma} R (1-\bar{\kappa}_t) \bar{\kappa}_{t+1} \\ \underbrace{q^{1/\gamma} R^{-1} (\beta R)^{1/\gamma}}_{\equiv q^{1/\gamma} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{R}}} \bar{\kappa}_t &= (1-\bar{\kappa}_t) \bar{\kappa}_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

which yields a useful recursive formula for the maximal marginal propensity to consume:

$$\begin{aligned} (q^{1/\gamma} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{R}} \bar{\kappa}_t)^{-1} &= (1-\bar{\kappa}_t)^{-1} \bar{\kappa}_{t+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{\kappa}_t^{-1} (1-\bar{\kappa}_t) &= q^{1/\gamma} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{R}} \bar{\kappa}_{t+1}^{-1} \\ \bar{\kappa}_t^{-1} &= 1 + q^{1/\gamma} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{R}} \bar{\kappa}_{t+1}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

As noted in the main text, we need the WRIC ?? for this to be a convergent sequence:

$$0 \leq q^{1/\gamma} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{R}} < 1, \tag{1} \quad \text{{eq:WRICapndx}}$$

Since $\bar{\kappa}_T = 1$, iterating (1) backward to infinity (because we are interested in the

limiting consumption function) we obtain:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\kappa}_{T-n} = \bar{\kappa} \equiv 1 - q^{1/\gamma} \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{R}} \quad (2) \quad \{\text{eq:MPCmaxDef}\}$$

and we will therefore call $\bar{\kappa}$ the ‘limiting maximal MPC.’

The minimal MPC’s are obtained by considering the case where $m_t \uparrow \infty$. If the FHWC holds, then as $m_t \uparrow \infty$ the proportion of current and future consumption that will be financed out of capital approaches 1. Thus, the terms involving ξ_{t+1} in (1) can be neglected, leading to a revised limiting Euler equation

$$(m_t e_t(m_t))^{-\gamma} = \beta \mathbb{R} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(e_{t+1}(a_t(m_t) \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{t+1}) (R a_t(m_t)) \right)^{-\gamma} \right]$$

and using L’Hôpital’s rule $\lim_{m_t \rightarrow \infty} e_t(m_t) = \underline{\kappa}_t$, and $\lim_{m_t \rightarrow \infty} e_{t+1}(a_t(m_t) \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{t+1}) = \underline{\kappa}_{t+1}$ so a further limit of the Euler equation is

$$\begin{aligned} (m_t \underline{\kappa}_t)^{-\gamma} &= \beta \mathbf{R} (\underline{\kappa}_{t+1} \mathbf{R} (1 - \underline{\kappa}_t) m_t)^{-\gamma} \\ \underbrace{\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{P}}_{\equiv \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{R}} = (1 - \underline{\kappa})} \underline{\kappa}_t &= (1 - \underline{\kappa}_t) \underline{\kappa}_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

and the same sequence of derivations used above yields the conclusion that if the RIC $0 \leq \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{R}} < 1$ holds, then a recursive formula for the minimal marginal propensity to consume is given by

$$\underline{\kappa}_t^{-1} = 1 + \underline{\kappa}_{t+1}^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{R}} \quad (3) \quad \{\text{eq:MPCminInvAp}\}$$

so that $(\{\underline{\kappa}_{T-n}^{-1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty})$ is also an increasing convergent sequence, and we define

$$\underline{\kappa}^{-1} \equiv \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \underline{\kappa}_{T-n}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

as the limiting (inverse) marginal MPC. If the RIC does *not* hold, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{\kappa}_{T-n}^{-1} = \infty$ and so the limiting MPC is $\underline{\kappa} = 0$.

For the purpose of constructing the limiting perfect foresight consumption function, it is useful further to note that the PDV of consumption is given by

$$c_t \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{R}} + \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{\mathbf{R}^2} + \cdots \right)}_{= 1 + \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{R}} (1 + \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{R}} \underline{\kappa}_{t+2}^{-1}) \dots} = c_t \underline{\kappa}_{T-n}^{-1}.$$

which, combined with the intertemporal budget constraint, yields the usual formula for the perfect foresight consumption function:

$$c_t = (b_t + h_t) \underline{\kappa}_t \quad (5)$$