Table 1: Friedman ranking. For each metric, the methods are displayed in decreasing order with their respective Friedman ranking.

AUC								
HINTBC	1.4773							
HINTBCN	2.3523							
HINT	3.6591							
DNase2TF(TC)	4.0455							
PIQ(90)	5.8295							
Wellington(TC)	6.5114							
Neph	7.1136							
Boyle	7.9318							
BinDNase(80)	8.5795							
FLR(90)	9.983							
Centipede(90)	10.6364							
Cuellar(90)	11.4489							
TC	12.0568							
PWM	13.6705							
FS	14.7045							

Table 2: Friedman-Nemenyi hypothesis test results for the \mathbf{AUC} metric. The asterisc and the cross, respectively, mean that the method in the column outperformed the method in the row with significance levels of 0.05 and 0.1

	HINTBC	HINTBCN	HINT	$\mathrm{DNase2TF}(\mathrm{TC})$	PIQ(90)	Wellington(TC)	Neph	Boyle	$\mathrm{BinDNase}(80)$	FLR(90)	Centipede(90)	Cuellar(90)	TC	PWM	FS
HINTBC															
HINTBCN															
HINT	*														
DNase2TF(TC)	*														
PIQ(90)	*	*	+												
Wellington(TC)	*	*	*	*											
Neph	*	*	*	*											
Boyle	*	*	*	*											
BinDNase(80)	*	*	*	*	*										
FLR(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*								
Centipede(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*							
Cuellar(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*						
$^{\mathrm{TC}}$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*						
PWM	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	+			
FS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		