Table 1: Friedman ranking. For each metric, the methods are displayed in decreasing order with their respective Friedman ranking.

AUPR								
HINT-BC(DNASE)	1.0							
HINT(DNASE)	2.0							
HINT-BC(ATAC-SHIFT4)	3.2712							
HINT(ATAC-SHIFT4)	4.4576							
HINT-BC(scATAC-SHIFT4)	5.661							
HINT(scATAC)	6.2966							
HINT(ATAC)	6.2966							
HINT(scATAC-SHIFT4)	7.4237							
TC(DNASE)	8.8644							
TC(scATAC)	10.2203							
TC(ATAC)	10.5085							

Table 2: Friedman-Nemenyi hypothesis test results for the  $\mathbf{AUPR}$  metric. The asterisc and the cross, respectively, mean that the method in the column outperformed the method in the row with significance levels of 0.05 and 0.1

	HINT-BC(DNASE)	HINT(DNASE)	HINT-BC(ATAC-SHIFT4)	HINT(ATAC-SHIFT4)	HINT-BC(scATAC-SHIFT4)	$\mathrm{HINT}(\mathrm{scATAC})$	HINT(ATAC)	HINT(scATAC-SHIFT4)	$\mathrm{TC}(\mathrm{DNASE})$	$\mathrm{TC}(\mathrm{scATAC})$	TC(ATAC)
HINT-BC(DNASE)											
HINT(DNASE)	*										
HINT-BC(ATAC-SHIFT4)	*										
HINT(ATAC-SHIFT4)	*	*									
HINT-BC(scATAC-SHIFT4)	*	*	*								
HINT(scATAC)	*	*	*	+							
HINT(ATAC)	*	*	*	+							
HINT(scATAC-SHIFT4)	*	*	*	*							
TC(DNASE)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
TC(scATAC)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
TC(ATAC)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			