

Authorship

The policy outlined on this page applies to *Nature* journals (those with the word "*Nature*" in their title). NPG publishes many other journals, each of which has separate publication policies described on its website. A current list of these journals, with links to each journal's homepage [is available](#).

Nature journals' authorship policy

Being an author

The Nature journals do not require all authors of a research paper to sign the letter of submission, nor do they impose an order on the list of authors. Submission to a Nature journal is taken by the journal to mean that all the listed authors have agreed all of the contents, including the author list and author contributions statements. The corresponding author is responsible for having ensured that this agreement has been reached, that all authors have agreed to be so listed and approved the manuscript submission to the journal, and for managing all communication between the journal and all co-authors, before and after publication. Any changes to the author list after submission, such as a change in the order of the authors, or the deletion or addition of authors, needs to be approved by every author.

The author list should include all appropriate researchers and no others. Authorship provides credit for a researcher's contributions to a study and carries accountability. The Nature journals do not prescribe the kinds of contributions that warrant authorship but encourage transparency by publishing author contributions statements. Nature journals editors are not in a position to investigate or adjudicate authorship disputes before or after publication. Such disagreements if they cannot be resolved amongst authors should be brought up to the relevant institutional authority.

The editors at the Nature journals assume that the corresponding author and on multi-group collaboration, at least one member of each collaborating group, usually the most senior member of each submitting group or team, has accepted responsibility for the contributions to the manuscript from that team. This responsibility includes, but is not limited to: (1) ensuring that original data upon which the submission is based is preserved and retrievable for reanalysis; (2) approving data presentation as representative of the original data; and (3) foreseeing and minimizing obstacles to the sharing of data, materials, algorithms or reagents described in the work.

Author contributions statements

Authors are required to include a statement of responsibility in the manuscript that specifies the contribution of every author. The level of detail varies; some disciplines produce manuscripts that comprise discrete efforts readily articulated in detail, whereas other fields operate as group efforts at all stages. A [Nature Editorial](#) describes this policy in more detail.

Nature journals also allow one set of up to six co-authors to be specified as having contributed equally to the work or having jointly supervised the work. Other equal contributions are best described in author contributions statements. Corresponding authors have specific responsibilities (described below) and are usually limited to three.

Corresponding author - prepublication responsibilities

The corresponding author is solely responsible for communicating with the journal and with managing communication between coauthors. Before submission, the corresponding author ensures that all authors are included in the author list, its order has been agreed by all authors, and that all authors are aware that the paper was submitted.

At submission, the corresponding author must include written permission from the authors of the work concerned for mention of any unpublished material included in the manuscript, for example others' data, in press manuscripts, personal communications or work in preparation. The corresponding author also must clearly identify at submission any material within the manuscript that has previously been published elsewhere by other authors (for example, figures) and provide written permission from those authors and/or publishers, as appropriate, for the re-use of such material.

After acceptance, the proof is sent to the corresponding author, who circulates it to all coauthors and deals with the journal on their behalf; the journal will not necessarily correct errors after publication if they result from errors that were present on a proof that was not shown to coauthors before publication. The corresponding author is responsible for the accuracy of all content in the proof, in particular that names of coauthors are present and correctly spelled, and that addresses and affiliations are current.

Corresponding author - responsibilities after publication

The journal regards the corresponding author as the point of contact for queries about the published paper. It is this author's responsibility to inform all coauthors of matters arising and to ensure such matters are dealt with promptly. The name and e-mail address of this author is published in the paper.

Correcting the record

Authors of published material have a responsibility to inform the journal promptly if they become aware of any part that requires correcting. Any published correction requires the consent of all coauthors, so time is saved if requests for corrections are accompanied by signed agreement by all authors (in the form of a scanned attachment to an email, or as one combined email containing agreement messages from all the authors). In cases where one or some authors do not agree with the correction statement, the coordinating author must include correspondence to and from the dissenting author(s) as part of the scanned attachment or

composite email.

A confidential process

Nature journal editors treat the submitted manuscript and all communication with authors and referees as confidential. Authors must also treat communication with the journal as confidential: correspondence with the journal, reviewers' reports and other confidential material must not be posted on any website or otherwise publicized without prior permission from the editors, whether or not the submission is eventually published. Our policies about posting preprints and postprints, and about previous communication of the work at conferences or as part of a personal blog or of an academic thesis, [are described at the section of this guide about confidentiality policies](#).

Referee suggestions

Authors are welcome to suggest suitable independent reviewers when they submit their manuscripts, but these suggestions may not be followed by the journal. Authors may also request the journal to exclude a few (usually not more than two) individuals or laboratories. The journal sympathetically considers such exclusion requests and usually honours them, but the editor's decision on the choice of peer-reviewers is final.

Consortia authorship

If a consortium is listed as a collective of authors, all members of the consortium are considered authors and must be listed in the published article as such. If not all members of the consortium agree to the responsibilities of authorship, the members that are authors will be listed separately from those who are not. (To facilitate submission of manuscripts with large author lists, please consult the journal editor before submission.)

Nature journal editorials on authorship:

Corresponding authors should not neglect their responsibility to a journal or their co-authors. *Nature Nanotechnology*. [A matter of duty](#), December 2012.

Why do we need statements to define the contributions made by each author? *Nature Photonics*. [Contributors, guests, and ghosts](#), June 2012.

Announcing "author contributions" statements, 2009:

- *Nature Nanotechnology*. [The responsibilities of authors](#).
- *Nature Cell Biology*. [Attribution and accountability](#).
- *Nature Physics*. [What did you do?](#)
- *Nature Photonics*. [Combating plagiarism](#).
- *Nature*. [Authorship policies](#).

Individual contributions should be carefully evaluated when compiling the author list of a scientific paper. *Nature Materials*. [Authorship matters](#), February 2008.

How the responsibilities of co-authors for a scientific paper's integrity could be made more explicit. *Nature*. [Who is accountable?](#) 1 November 2007.

The problems of unjustified authorship. *Nature Materials*. [Authorship without authorization](#), November 2004.

Nature is encouraging authors of papers to say who did what. *Nature*. [Author contributions](#), 3 June 1999.

[About NPG](#)

[Contact NPG](#)

[Accessibility statement](#)

[Help](#)

[Privacy policy](#)

[Use of cookies](#)

[Legal notice](#)

[Terms](#)

[Naturejobs](#)

[Nature Asia](#)

[Nature Education](#)

[RSS web feeds](#)

Search:

go

© 2016 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.

partner of AGORA, HINARI, OARE, INASP, ORCID, CrossRef, COUNTER and COPE