Table 1: Friedman ranking. For each metric, the methods are displayed in decreasing order with their respective Friedman ranking.

AUPR								
HINTBC	1.1932							
HINTBCN	1.875							
HINT	3.4659							
DNase2TF(TC)	4.2159							
PIQ(90)	5.0114							
Wellington(TC)	6.3068							
Neph	7.2614							
Boyle	8.0227							
BinDNase(80)	8.0341							
Centipede(90)	10.1705							
FLR(90)	10.9034							
Cuellar(90)	12.4602							
TC	12.5455							
PWM	13.9205							
FS	14.6136							

Table 2: Friedman-Nemenyi hypothesis test results for the \mathbf{AUPR} metric. The asterisc and the cross, respectively, mean that the method in the column outperformed the method in the row with significance levels of 0.05 and 0.1

	HINTBC	HINTBCN	HINT	${\rm DNase2TF}({\rm TC})$	PIQ(90)	Wellington(TC)	Neph	Boyle	$\mathrm{BinDNase}(80)$	Centipede(90)	FLR(90)	Cuellar(90)	TC	PWM	FS
HINTBC															
HINTBCN															
HINT	*														
DNase2TF(TC)	*	*													
PIQ(90)	*	*													
Wellington(TC)	*	*	*												
Neph	*	*	*	*	+										
Boyle	*	*	*	*	*										
BinDNase(80)	*	*	*	*	*										
Centipede(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	+	+						
FLR(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*						
Cuellar(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
$^{\mathrm{TC}}$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
PWM	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
FS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	+			