Table 1: Friedman ranking. For each metric, the methods are displayed in decreasing order with their respective Friedman ranking.

AUC	
HINTBC	1.1591
HINTBCN	1.9432
HINT	3.4545
DNase2TF(TC)	3.9205
PIQ(90)	5.3409
Wellington(TC)	6.2614
Neph	7.4773
BinDNase(80)	7.75
Boyle	8.125
Centipede(90)	10.2386
FLR(90)	11.108
Cuellar(90)	12.2102
TC	12.7273
PWM	13.875
FS	14.4091

Table 2: Friedman-Nemenyi hypothesis test results for the **AUC** metric. The asterisc and the cross, respectively, mean that the method in the column outperformed the method in the row with significance levels of 0.05 and 0.1

	90HINTBC	90HINTBCN	90HINT	90DNase2TF(TC)	90PIQ(90)	90Wellington(TC)	90Neph	90BinDNase(80)	90Boyle	90Centipede(90)	90FLR(90)
HINTBC											
HINTBCN											
HINT	*										
DNase2TF(TC)	*										
PIQ(90)	*	*									
Wellington(TC)	*	*	*	*							
Neph	*	*	*	*	+						
BinDNase(80)	*	*	*	*	*						
Boyle	*	*	*	*	*						
Centipede(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*		
FLR(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Cuellar(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
TC	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
PWM	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
FS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*