Table 1: Friedman ranking. For each metric, the methods are displayed in decreasing order with their respective Friedman ranking.

AUC								
HINTBC	1.2955							
HINTBCN	2.2386							
HINT	3.7841							
DNase2TF(TC)	4.2159							
PIQ(90)	6.25							
Wellington(TC)	6.6023							
Neph	7.1705							
Boyle	7.8977							
BinDNase(80)	9.2159							
FLR(90)	9.7443							
Cuellar(90)	10.0511							
Centipede(90)	11.0795							
TC	11.8409							
PWM	13.7727							
FS	14.8409							

Table 2: Friedman-Nemenyi hypothesis test results for the \mathbf{AUC} metric. The asterisc and the cross, respectively, mean that the method in the column outperformed the method in the row with significance levels of 0.05 and 0.1

	HINTBC	HINTBCN	HINT	${\rm DNase2TF}({\rm TC})$	PIQ(90)	Wellington(TC)	Neph	Boyle	$\mathrm{BinDNase}(80)$	FLR(90)	Cuellar(90)	Centipede(90)	TC	PWM	FS
HINTBC															
HINTBCN															
HINT	*														
DNase2TF(TC)	*														
PIQ(90)	*	*	*												
Wellington(TC)	*	*	*	*											
Neph	*	*	*	*											
Boyle	*	*	*	*											
BinDNase(80)	*	*	*	*	*	*									
FLR(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*								
Cuellar(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	+							
Centipede(90)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*							
$^{\mathrm{TC}}$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*						
PWM	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
FS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		