

Python ↗

Object-oriented programming

(C)

*/ include < stdio.h >

Python

```
int main(void)  
{  
    printf ("Hello, world\n");  
}
```



The new line character

automatically
by default

```
using answer = get_string ("What's  
your name?");
```

```
printf ("Hello, %s\n", answer);
```

```
answer = get_string ("What's  
your name?")
```

```
print ("Hello, " + answer)
```

There's no type, like "string", which even though that was a type in C550, every other variable in C did we use int or string or float, or Bool or something else.

In Python, there are still going to be data types, but you, the programmer, don't have to bother telling the computer what types you're using.

→ the computer is going to be smart enough, the language, to just figure it out from context.

Get_string is going to be a function we'll use today, which comes from a Python version of the CS50 library. But we'll also start to take off those training wheels, so that you'll see how to do things without any CS50 library moving forward, using a + function instead.

+ Concatenation ↴

+ is answer to whatever is quoted here

`print("Hello, " + answer)`

Python should assume that anything inside of curly braces inside of the string should be interpolated; which is a fancy term saying, substitute the value of any variables therein.

`print(f"Hello, {answer}")`

allows you to plug things in.

variable declared on the 1^o time

int counter = 0;

=

counter = 0

You don't need to mention the type, just like before with string and you don't need a semicolon.

Simpler.

If you want a variable, just write it and set it equal to some value.

Increment → Almost the same

counter = counter + 1;

counter += 1;

i++;

counter = counter + 1

counter += 1

it doesn't exist in Python!

i = i + 1

Condition } LESS THE TYPE

if (x < y)

{

printf ("x is less than y\n");

F

else

{

printf ("x is not less than y\n");

}

if x < y :

print ("x is less than y")

IDENTATION IN

PYTHON IS IMPORTANT

else:

print ("x is not less than y")

```
if (x < y)
```

```
{
```

```
    printf ("x is less than y\n");
```

```
}
```

```
else if (x > y)
```

```
{
```

```
    printf ("x is greater than y\n");
```

```
else
```

```
{
```

```
    printf ("x is not equal to y\n");
```



~ 11 ~

```
if x < y :
```

```
    print ("x is less than y")
```

```
elif x > y :
```

```
    print ("x is greater than y")
```

```
else :
```

```
    print ("x is equal to y")
```



① Is Python sensitive to spaces and where they go?

Sometimes no, sometimes yes.

Formal style conventions

while (true)

{

 print("meow\n");

}

int i = 0;

while (i < 3)

{

 print("meow\n");

 i++;

}

while True:

 print("meow")

Capitalized because True and False are boolean values
in Python!

i = 0

while i < 3:

 print("meow")

 i += 1

for (int i = 0; i < 3; i++)

{

 print("meow\n");

}

for i in [0, 1, 2]:

 print("Hello, world")

range(n) = 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., n-2, n-1

for i in range(20):

 print("o\o")

Lists in python are more like linked lists than they are arrays.

If you don't know how many times you want to loop or iterate, you can't really create a hard-coded list like [0, 1, 2].

for i in [0, 1, 2]:
→ for i in range(3): } it's the same !!

— Types —

Python

bool
char
double
float
int
long
string
"

bool
float
int
str
..."

Integer overflow is no longer going to be an issue.

→ float it's a problem that remains.

range dict
list set
tuple
"

functions

get-char
get-double
get-float
get-int
get-long
get-string
...

}

get-float
get-int
get-string

Library

#include <es50.h> → import es50

there aren't header files anymore!

from es50 import

get-float, get-int,
get-string

it's library



← from es50 import get-float
from es50 import get-int
from es50 import get-string

Compile

make hello
./hello

→ python hello.py

source code →

interpreter

→

translated into
instructions
by interpreter, not
a compiler

blur.py

from PIL import Image, ImageFilter

→ function

before = Image.open("bridge.bmp")

after = before.filter(ImageFilter.BoxBlur(1))

after.save("out.bmp")

variable → ~~struct~~ or object

(1)

In object-oriented programming, in a language

like Python, you can encapsulate not just values,

but also functionality.)

Inside of the image library, there's a function called open, and it takes an argument

```
#include <unistd.h>
```

(Language C)

```
Void ft-write-number (uint number)
```

```
{ if (number > 9)
```

```
    ft-write-number (number / 10);
```

```
    write(1, &"0123456789", [number % 10], 1);
```

```
int
```

```
main (acid)
```

```
{
```

```
int number;
```

```
number = 3;
```

```
while (number <= 100)
```

```
{
```

```
    if (number % 3 == 0 && number % 5 == 0)
```

```
        write(1, "fizzbu33", 8);
```

```
    else if (number % 3 == 0)
```

```
        write(1, "fizz", 4);
```

```
    else if (number % 5 == 0)
```

```
        write(1, "bu33", 4);
```

```
    else
```

```
        ft-write-number (number);
```

```
        write(1, "\n", 1);
```

```
    number++;
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
def writeNumber ( number )
```

```
if number > 9:
```

```
    writeNumber ( print ("número = ", n // 10) )
```

```
else:
```

```
    print ("número = ", n % 10)
```

```
number = 1
```

```
while number <= 100
```

```
; if (number % 3 == 0) and (number % 5 == 0):
```

```
;     print ("fizzbuzz")
```

```
; elif (number % 3 == 0):
```

```
;     print ("fizz")
```

```
; elif (number % 5 == 0):
```

```
;     print ("buzz")
```

```
else:
```

```
;     print ("o número é ", number)
```

```
number = number + 1
```

Operadores

exponenciação — **

multiplicação — * , //, /, %

adição — +, -

relacional — ==, !=, <=, >=, >, <

lógico — not

lógico — and

lógico — or

BLURRING AN IMAGE

from PIL import Image, ImageFilter

before = Image.open("bridge.bmp")

after = before.filter(ImageFilter.BoxBlur(10))

after.save("out.bmp")

It just saves
the file

The argument here happens
to be `image.boxBlur(1)`,
which itself is a function,
but it just returns the filter
to use.

So, instead of using `open`
and `write`, you just say `.save` and that does all
of that messy work for you.

Isn't just four lines of code total?

Yes. So the library is doing ALL of the some kind of legwork that you all did for the assignment, but it's encapsulated it all into a single library, that you can then use instead.

Those of you who might have been feeling more comfortable, might have done a little something like this:

edges.py

PIL = Pillow library

```
from PIL import Image, ImageFilter
```

```
before = Image.open("bridge.bmp")
```

```
after = before.filter(ImageFilter.FIND_EDGES)
```

```
after.save("out.bmp")
```

```
$ code edges.py
```

```
$ python edges.py
```

```
$ code out.bmp
```

It's like a context, if you will defined inside of this library for us.

Awesome!!! So again, suggesting the power of using a language that's better optimized for the task at hand. The risk of willy-nilly making folks sad, let's

go ahead and re-implement, if we could, problem set five,
real quickly here.

~~~~~

## Dictionary.py

I have a C version, just from problem set five, where  
you implemented a spell checker, loading 10000 +  
words into memory.

And then you kept track of just how much time and  
memory it took. \*

That probably took a while, implementing all of those  
functions in Dictionary.py

Let me instead now go into a new file and let me  
stipulate, for the sake of discussion, that we already understand  
in advance, Speller.py, which corresponds to Spell-  
er.c.

So the onus on us right now is only to implement  
Speller, Dictionary.py; All right, so I'm going to go  
ahead and define a few functions.

We're going to see now the syntax for defining func-

tions in Python.

### dictionary.py

```
words = set(  
dict()  
} defining a variable
```

```
def check(word):
```

\*you don't specify the  
return type\*

You use the word `def` instead to define

You still specify the name of the function and only arguments there~~s~~ too. But you omit any mention of types.

```
; if word in words:  
;     answer  
;     return True  
else:  
    return False
```

→ little similar to `open`

```
def load(dictionary):
```

```
file = open(dictionary, "r")
```

```
for line in file:
```

```
    word = line.rstrip()
```

```
    words.add(word)
```

```
file.close()
```

```
return True
```

```
def size():
    return len(words)
```

```
def unload():
    return None
```

So I give you, in these functions, problem set five in Python; what are you getting for free, in a language like Python?

Well, encapsulated in this one line of code:

`words = dict()`

is much of ~~this~~ what you wrote for problem set five, implementing your array for all of your letters of the alphabet or more, all of the linked list that you implemented to create chains, to store all of those words.

Set just allows it to handle duplicates, and it allows me to just throw things into it by literally using a function as simple as add.

And I'm going to make one other tweak here, because, when I'm rehashing a word, it's possible it might be given to me in uppercase or capitalized.

~~It's not going to necessarily come in at the same lowercase format that my dictionary did.~~

I can force every word to LOWERCASE by using `word.lower()`

And I don't have to do it character for character, I can do the whole darn string at once, by just saying `word.lower`.

All right, let me go ahead and open up a terminal window here:

\$ make speller  
\$ ./speller texts/holmes.txt

\$ python speller.py  
texts/holmes.txt

So the syntax is a little different at the command prompt, on the left I have to compile the code, with `make`, and then run it with `./speller`.

On the right, I don't need to compile it, but I do need to use the interpreter.

(It was more faster than that)

Python is more comfortable for the programmer, but C is better for the user.

If you have a very large data set, you might want to optimize your code to be as fast and performant as it can be, especially if you're running that code again and again.

You might want to have someone write a language like C and write it at a very low level and it's going to be painful. They're going to have bugs. They're going to have to deal with memory management and the like.

→ But if and when it works correctly, it's going to be much faster, it would seem.

Could you just compile Python code?

Yes, absolutely, this idea of compiling code or interpreting code is not native to the language itself. It kind of has to be native to the conventions that we humans use.

→ You could actually write an interpreter for C that would read it top to bottom, left to right, converting it to, on the fly, something the computer understands, but historically, that's not been the case.

Python is compiling the code, technically not into 0's and 1's, into something called byte code, which is this intermediate step that just doesn't take as much time as it

would to recompile the whole thing.

this is one of research for computer scientists working in programming languages, to improve these kinds of paradigm.

↳ For the programmer, it's just much easier to run the code and not worry about the stupid second step of compiling it all the time. It's literally half as many steps for me, the human.

Cloud icon containing "Hello.py"

I didn't even need to implement main!

↳ In C you have to have that to kick-start the entire process of actually running your code.

And in fact, if you were missing main, or you might have experienced it you accidentally compiled helpers.c instead of the file that contained main, you would have seen a compiler error.

In py you just jump right in, start programming and boom! You're good to go. You don't need the added overhead or complexity of a main function.

1º

```
answer = input ("what's your name?")  
print (f"Hello, {answer}")
```

2º

```
from es50 import get_string
```

```
answer = get_string ("What's your name?")  
print (f"Hello, {answer}")
```

3º

```
from es50 import get_string
```

```
answer = get_string ("What's your name?")  
print ("Hello, ", answer)
```