# **Anders: Modal Homotopy Type System**

Namdak Tonpa 

□

0

Groupoid Infinity

Siegmentation Fault 

□

Groupoid Infinity

_	-		
Δ	het	ra	ct

Here is presented a reincarnation of cubicaltt called anders.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation  $\rightarrow$  Lambda calculus

Keywords and phrases Homotopy Type System, Cubical Type Theory

# 1 Introduction

Anders is a Modal HoTT proof assistant based on: classical MLTT-80 [4] with 0, 1, 2, W types; CCHM [3] in CHM flavour as cubical type system with hcomp/transp Kan operations; HTS [6] strict equality on pretypes; de Rham [2] stack modality primitives. We tend not to touch general recursive higher inductive schemes yet, instead we will try to express as much HIT as possible through W, Coequlizer and HubSpokes Disc in the style of HoTT/Coq homotopy library and Three-HIT theorem.

The HTS language proposed by Voevodsky exposes two different presheaf models of type theory: the inner one is homotopy type system presheaf that models HoTT and the outer one is traditional Martin-Löf type system presheaf that models set theory with UIP. The motivation behind this doubling is to have an ability to express semisemplicial types. Theoretical work on merging inner and outer languages was continued in 2LTT [1].

While we are on our road to Lean-like tactic language, currently we are at the stage of regular cubical HTS type checker with CHM-style [5] primitives. You may try it from Github sources: <sup>1</sup>groupoid/anders or install through OPAM package manager. Main commands are **check** (to check a program) and **repl** (to enter the proof shell).

Anders is fast, idiomatic and educational (think of optimized Mini-TT). We carefully draw the favourite Lean-compatible syntax to fit 200 LOC in Menhir. The CHM kernel is 1K LOC. Whole Anders compiles under 2 seconds and checks all the base library under 1 second [i7-8700]. Anders proof assistant as Homotopy Type System comes with its own Homotopy Library.

# 2 Syntax

The syntax resembles original syntax of the reference CCHM type checker cubicaltt, is slightly compatible with Lean syntax and contains the full set of Cubical Agda [5] primitives (except generic higher inductive schemes).

Here is given the mathematical pseudo-code notation of the language expressions that come immediately after parsing. The core syntax definition of HTS language corresponds to the type defined in OCaml module:

Further Menhir BNF notation will be used to describe the top-level language E parser.

https://github.com/groupoid/anders

```
\mathbf{U}_j \mid \mathbf{V}_k
cosmos :=
                     var name \mid hole
      var :=
                     \Pi name E E \mid \lambda name E E \mid E
        pi :=
 sigma :=
                     \Sigma name E E | (E, E) | E.1 | E.2
         0 :=
                     \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{ind}_0 \to \to \to
                     1 \mid \star \mid \mathbf{ind}_1 \to E \to E
         1 :=
                     2 \mid 0_2 \mid 1_2 \mid \mathbf{ind}_2 \to \to \to
       W :=
                     \mathbf{W} ident \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mid \mathbf{sup} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mid \mathbf{ind}_W \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E}
        id :=
                     \mathbf{Id}\ E\mid \mathbf{ref}\ E\mid \mathbf{id}_J\ E
    path :=
                     Path E \mid E^i \mid E @ E
                     I \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid E \bigvee E \mid E \wedge E \mid \neg E
          \mathrm{I} :=
    part :=
                     Partial E E \mid [(E = I) \rightarrow E, ...]
                     inc E \mid \mathbf{ouc} \ E \mid E \mid I \mapsto E
     sub :=
                     transp E E \mid \mathbf{hcomp} E
     kan :=
                     Glue E \mid glue E \mid unglue E \mid
     glue :=
      Im :=
                     \mathbf{Im} \; \mathrm{E} \; | \; \mathbf{Inf} \; \mathrm{E} \; | \; \mathbf{Join} \; \mathrm{E} \; | \; \mathbf{ind}_{Im} \; \mathrm{E} \; \mathrm{E}
                      cosmos | var | MLTT | CCHM | Im
          E :=
 CCHM :=
                       path | I | part | sub | kan | glue
 MLTT :=
                      pi | sigma | id
```

**Keywords**. The words of a top-level language (file or repl) consist of keywords or identifiers. The keywords are following: **module**, **where**, **import**, **option**, **def**, **axiom**, **postulate**, **theorem**, (, ), [, ], <, >, /, .1, .2,  $\Pi$ ,  $\Sigma$ , ,,  $\lambda$ , ×,  $\rightarrow$ , :, :=,  $\mapsto$ , U, V,  $\bigvee$ ,  $\bigwedge$ , -, +, @, PathP, transp, hcomp, zero, one, Partial, inc, ouc, interval, inductive, Glue, glue, unglue.

**Indentifiers**. Identifiers support UTF-8. Indentifiers couldn't start with :, -,  $\rightarrow$ . Sample identifiers:  $\neg$ -of- $\bigvee$ ,  $1\rightarrow 1$ , is-?, =,  $\$\sim$ ]!005x,  $\infty$ , x $\rightarrow$ Nat.

**Modules**. Modules represent files with declarations. More accurate, BNF notation of module consists of imports, options and declarations.

```
menhir
   start <Module.file> file
   start <Module.command> repl
   repl : COLON IDENT exp1 EOF | COLON IDENT EOF | exp0 EOF | EOF
   file : MODULE IDENT WHERE line* EOF
   path : IDENT
   line : IMPORT path+ | OPTION IDENT IDENT | declarations
```

**Imports.** The import construction supports file folder structure (without file extensions) by using reserved symbol / for hierarchy walking.

Options. Each option holds bool value. Language supports following options: 1) girard (enables U: U); 2) pre-eval (normalization cache); 3) impredicative (infinite hierarchy with impredicativity rule); In Anders you can enable or disable language core types, adjust syntaxes or tune inner variables of the type checker. Here is the example how to setup minimal core able to prove internalization of MLTT-73 variation (Path instead of Id and no inductive types, see base library): In order to turn HIT into ordinary CiC calculus you may say:

**Declarations**. Language supports following top level declarations: 1) axiom (non-computable declaration that breakes normalization); 2) postulate (alternative or inverted

```
option HIT false
option CCHM false
option MLTT true
```

axiom that can preserve consistency); 3) definition (almost any explicit term or type in type theory); 4) lemma (helper in big game); 5) theorem (something valuable or complex enough).

```
axiom isProp (A : U) : U def isSet (A : U) : U := \Pi (a b : A) (x y : Path A a b), Path (Path A a b) x y
```

Sample declarations. For example, signature is Prop (A: U) of type U could be defined as normalization-blocking axiom without proof-term or by providing proof-term as definition.

In this example (A : U), (a b : A) and (x y : Path A a b) are called telescopes. Each telescope consists of a series of lenses or empty. Each lense provides a set of variables of the same type. Telescope defines parameters of a declaration. Types in a telescope, type of a declaration and a proof-terms are a language expressions exp1.

#### menhir

**Expressions**. All atomic language expressions are grouped by four categories: exp0 (pair constructions), exp1 (non neutral constructions), exp2 (path and pi applications), exp3 (neutral constructions).

#### menhir

The LR parsers demand to define exp1 as expressions that cannot be used (without a parens enclosure) as a right part of left-associative application for both Path and Pi lambdas. Universe indicies  $U_j$  (inner fibrant),  $V_k$  (outer pretypes) and S (outer strict omega) are using unicode subscript letters that are already processed in lexer.

#### menhir

```
: exp2 exp3 | exp2 APPFORMULA exp3 | exp3 : LPARENS exp0 RPARENS LSQ exp0 MAP exp0 RSQ
exp2
                                                                      IDJ exp3
      HOLE
                          | PRE
                                               I KAN
                            exp3 SND
                                                 NEGATE exp3
      exp3 FST
                                                                       INC exp3
                                                                      REF exp3
      exp3 AND exp3
                            exp3 OR exp3
                                                 ID exp3
      OUC exp3 | PATHP exp3
IDENT LSQ exp0 MAP exp0 RSQ
                           PATHP exp3
                                               | PARTIAL exp3
                                                                      IDENT
                                                                      HCOMP exp3
                                                                      TRANSP exp3 exp3
      LPARENS exp0 RPARENS
```

## 4 CCHM/HTS

# 3 Semantics

The idea is to have a unified layered type checker, so you can disbale/enable any MLTT-style inference, assign types to universes and enable/disable hierachies. This will be done by providing linking API for pluggable presheaf modules. We selected 5 levels of type checker awareness from universes and pure type systems up to synthetic language of homotopy type theory. Each layer corresponds to its presheaves with separate configuration for universe hierarchies. We want to mention here with homage to its authors all categorical models of

```
\begin{array}{llll} \textbf{inductive} \ \ \text{lang} \ : \ \textbf{U} & := & \text{UNI: cosmos} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \\ & | & \text{PI: pure lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \\ & | & \text{SIGMA: total lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \\ & | & \text{ID: uip lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \\ & | & \text{PATH: homotopy lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \\ & | & \text{GLUE: gluening lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \\ & | & \text{HIT: hit lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \end{array}
```

dependent type theory: Comprehension Categories (Grothendieck, Jacobs), LCCC (Seely), D-Categories and CwA (Cartmell), CwF (Dybjer), C-Systems (Voevodsky), Natural Models (Awodey). While we can build some transports between them, we leave this excercise for our mathematical components library. We will use here the Coquand's notation for Presheaf Type Theories in terms of restriction maps.

### 3.1 Universe Hierarchies

Language supports Agda-style hierarchy of universes: prop, fibrant (U), interval pretypes (V) and strict omega with explicit level manipulation. All universes are bounded with preorder

$$Prop_i \prec Fibrant_i \prec Pretypes_k \prec Strict_l,$$
 (1)

in which i, j, k, l are bounded with equation:

$$i < j < k < l. (2)$$

Large elimination to upper universes is prohibited. This is extendable to Agda model:

The anders model contains only fibrant  $U_j$  and pretypes  $V_k$  universe hierarchies.

## 3.2 Dependent Types

▶ **Definition 1** (Type). A type is interpreted as a presheaf A, a family of sets  $A_I$  with restriction maps  $u \mapsto u$  f,  $A_I \to A_J$  for  $f: J \to I$ . A dependent type B on A is interpreted by a presheaf on category of elements of A: the objects are pairs (I, u) with  $u: A_I$  and morphisms  $f: (J, v) \to (I, u)$  are maps  $f: J \to such$  that v = u f. A dependent type B is thus given by a family of sets B(I, u) and restriction maps  $B(I, u) \to B(J, u)$ .

We think of A as a type and B as a family of presheves B(x) varying x : A. The operation  $\Pi(x : A)B(x)$  generalizes the semantics of implication in a Kripke model.

▶ **Definition 2** (Pi). An element  $w : [\Pi(x : A)B(x)](I)$  is a family of functions  $w_f : \Pi(u : A(J))B(J,u)$  for  $f : J \to I$  such that  $(w_f u)g = w_f \ g(u \ g)$  when u : A(J) and  $g : K \to J$ .

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{inductive} \ \text{pure (lang : U) : U} & := & \text{pi: name} \ \rightarrow \ \text{nat} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{pure lang} \\ & | & \text{lambda: name} \ \rightarrow \ \text{nat} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \\ & | & \text{app: lang} \ \rightarrow \ \text{lang} \end{array}
```

▶ **Definition 3** (Sigma). The set  $\Sigma(x:A)B(x)$  is the set of pairs (u,v) when u:A(I),v:B(I,u) and restriction map (u,v) f=(u,f,v,f).

The presheaf with only Pi and Sigma is called **MLTT-72**. Its internalization in **anders** is as follows:

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{def MLTT-72 (A : U) (B : A \rightarrow U) : U := $\Sigma$} \\ & (\Pi\text{-form}_1 : U) \\ & (\Pi\text{-ctor}_1 : \text{Pi A B} \rightarrow \text{Pi A B}) \\ & (\Pi\text{-elim}_1 : \text{Pi A B} \rightarrow \text{Pi A B}) \\ & (\Pi\text{-comp}_1 : (a : A) (f : \text{Pi A B}), \Pi\text{-elim}_1 (\Pi\text{-ctor}_1 f) \ a = f \ a) \\ & (\Pi\text{-comp}_2 : (a : A) (f : \text{Pi A B}), f = \lambda (x : A), f \ x) \\ & (\Sigma\text{-form}_1 : U) \\ & (\Sigma\text{-ctor}_1 : \Pi \ (a : A) \ (b : B \ a), Sigma \ A \ B) \\ & (\Sigma\text{-elim}_1 : \Pi \ (p : \text{Sigma A B}), A) \\ & (\Sigma\text{-elim}_2 : \Pi \ (p : \text{Sigma A B}), B \ (pr_1 \ A \ B \ p)) \\ & (\Sigma\text{-comp}_1 : \Pi \ (a : A) \ (b : B \ a), a = \Sigma\text{-elim}_1 \ (\Sigma\text{-ctor}_1 \ a \ b)) \\ & (\Sigma\text{-comp}_2 : \Pi \ (a : A) \ (b : B \ a), b = \Sigma\text{-elim}_2 \ (a, b)) \\ & (\Sigma\text{-comp}_3 : \Pi \ (p : \text{Sigma A B}), p = (pr_1 \ A \ B \ p, pr_2 \ A \ B \ p)), \mathbf{1} \\ \end{array}
```

# 3.3 Path Equality

The fundamental development of equality inside MLTT provers led us to the notion of  $\infty$ -groupoid as spaces. In this way Path identity type appeared in the core of type checker along with De Morgan algebra on built-in interval type.

**Definition** (Cubical Presheaf I). The identity types modeled with another presheaf, the presheaf on Lawvere category of distributive lattices (theory of De Morgan algebras) denoted with  $\Box - \mathbf{I} : \Box^{op} \to \operatorname{Set}$ .

**Definition** Properties of **I**. The presheaf **I**: i) has to distinct global elements 0 and 1 (B<sub>1</sub>); ii) **I**(I) has a decidable equality for each I (B<sub>2</sub>); iii) **I** is tiny so the path functor  $X \mapsto X^{\mathbf{I}}$  has right adjoint (B<sub>3</sub>).; iv) **I** has meet and join (connections).

**Interval Pretypes.** While having pretypes universe V with interval and associated De Morgan algebra  $(\land, \lor, -, 0, 1, I)$  is enough to perform DNF normalization and proving some basic statements about path, including: contractability of singletons, homotopy transport, congruence, functional extensionality; it is not enough for proving  $\beta$  rule for Path type or path composition.

Generalized Transport. Generalized transport transp addresses first problem of deriving the computational  $\beta$  rule for Path types:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{theorem} \ \ \text{Path-}\beta \ \ (\texttt{A} : \texttt{U}) \ \ (\texttt{a} : \texttt{A}) \ \ (\texttt{C} : \texttt{D} \ \texttt{A}) \ \ (\texttt{d} : \texttt{C} \ \texttt{a} \ \texttt{a} \ \ (\texttt{refl} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{a})) \\ := \texttt{Q} \ \ (\texttt{C} \ \texttt{a} \ \texttt{a} \ \ (\texttt{refl} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{a})) \ \ (\texttt{C} : \texttt{II} \ \ (\texttt{x} : \texttt{A}), \ \ \texttt{II} \ \ (\texttt{y} : \texttt{A}), \ \ \texttt{PathP} \ \ (<\_> \texttt{A}) \ \ \texttt{x} \ \ \texttt{y} \ \to \texttt{U}), \\ \lambda \ \ (\texttt{d} : \texttt{C} \ \texttt{a} \ \texttt{a} \ \ (<\_> \texttt{a})), \ \ (\texttt{j} > \texttt{transp} \ \ \ (<\_> \texttt{C} \ \texttt{a} \ \texttt{a} \ \ \ (<\_> \texttt{a})) \ \ -\texttt{j} \ \ \texttt{d} \\ \end{array}
```

Transport is defined on fibrant types (only) and type checker should cover all the cases Note that transp<sup>i</sup> (Path<sup>j</sup> A v w)  $\varphi$  u<sub>0</sub> case is relying on comp operation which depends on hcomp primitive.

```
\begin{array}{l} {\rm transp}^i \ {\rm N} \ \varphi \ {\rm u}_0 \ = \ {\rm u}_0 \\ {\rm transp}^i \ {\rm U} \ \varphi \ {\rm A} \ = \ {\rm A} \\ {\rm transp}^i \ (\Pi \ ({\rm x} : {\rm A}), \ {\rm B}) \ \varphi \ {\rm u}_0 \ {\rm v} \ = \ {\rm transp}^i \ {\rm B}({\rm x/w}) \ \varphi \ ({\rm u}_0 \ {\rm w}({\rm i}/0)) \\ {\rm transp}^i \ (\Sigma \ ({\rm x} : {\rm A}), \ {\rm B}) \ \varphi \ {\rm u}_0 \ = \ ({\rm transp}^i \ {\rm A} \ \varphi \ ({\rm u}_0.1), {\rm transp}^i \ {\rm B}({\rm x/v}) \ \varphi \ ({\rm u}_0.2)) \\ {\rm transp}^i \ ({\rm Path}^j \ {\rm v} \ {\rm w}) \ varphi \ {\rm u}_0 \ = \ {\rm comp}^i \ {\rm A} \ [\phi \ {\rm u}_0 \ {\rm j}, \ ({\rm j=0}) \ \mapsto \ {\rm v}, \ ({\rm j=1}) \ \mapsto \ {\rm w}] \ ({\rm u}_0 \ {\rm j}) \\ {\rm transp}^i \ ({\rm Glue} \ [\varphi \mapsto \ ({\rm T},{\rm w})] \ {\rm A}) \ \psi \ {\rm u}_0 \ = \ {\rm glue} \ [\phi({\rm i}/1) \mapsto \ {\rm t'}_1] \ {\rm a'}_1 \ : \ {\rm B}({\rm i}/1) \\ \end{array}
```

**Partial Elements.** In order to explicitly define hoomp we need to specify n-cubes where some faces are missing. Partial primitives isOne, 1=1 and UIP on pretypes are derivable in Anders due to landing strict equality Id in V universe. The idea is that (Partial A r) is the type of cubes in A that are only defined when IsOne r holds. (Partial A r) is a special version of the function space IsOne  $r \to A$  with a more extensional equality: two of its elements are considered judgmentally equal if they represent the same subcube of A. They are equal whenever they reduce to equal terms for all the possible assignment of variables that make r equal to 1.

```
def Partial' (A : U) (i : I) := Partial A i def isOne : I \rightarrow V := Id I 1 def 1=>1 : isOne 1 := ref 1 def UIP (A : V) (a b : A) (p q : Id A a b) : Id (Id A a b) p q := ref p
```

**Cubical Subtypes.** For (A:U) (i:I) (Partial A i) we can define subtype A [  $i\mapsto u$  ]. A term of this type is a term of type A that is definitionally equal to u when (IsOne i) is satisfied. We have forth and back fusion rules ouc (inc v) = v and inc (outc v) = v. Moreover, ouc v will reduce to u 1=1 when i=1.

```
def sub' (A : U) (i : I) (u : Partial A i) : V := A [i \mapsto u ] def inc' (A : U) (i : I) (a : A) : A [i \mapsto [(i = 1) \to a]] := inc A i a def ouc' (A : U) (i : I) (u : Partial A i) (a : A [i \mapsto u]) : A := ouc a
```

Homogeneous Composition. hcomp is the answer to second problem: with hcomp and transp one can express path composition, groupoid, category of groupoids (groupoid interpretation and internalization in type theory). One of the main roles of homogeneous composition is to be a carrier in [higher] inductive type constructors for calculating of homotopy colimits and direct encoding of CW-complexes (or internal models as gluening of pushout cells).

# 3.4 Strict Equality

To avoid conflicts with path equalities which live in fibrant universes strict equalities live in pretypes universes.

We use strict equality in HTS for pretypes and partial elements which live in V. The presheaf configuration with Pi, Sigma and Id is called MLTT-73. The presheaf configuration with Pi, Sigma, Id and Path is called HTS.

## 8 CCHM/HTS

# 3.5 Glue Types

The main purpose of Glue types is to construct a cube where some faces have been replaced by equivalent types. This is analogous to how homp lets us replace some faces of a cube by composing it with other cubes, but for Glue types you can compose with equivalences instead of paths. This implies the univalence principle and it is what lets us transport along paths built out of equivalences.

# 3.6 Higher Inductive Types

Anders currently don't support Lean-compatible generic inductive schemes definition. So instead of generic inductive schemes Anders supports well-founded trees (W-types). Basic data types like List, Nat, Fin, Vec are implemented as W-types in base library. As for higher inductive types Anders has Three-HIT basis (Coequalizer, HubSpoke and Colimit) to express other HIT.

### 3.7 de Rham Stack

Stack de Rham or Infinitezemal Shape Modality is a basic primitive for proving theorems from synthetic differential geometry. This type-theoretical framework was developed for the first time by Felix Cherubini under the guidance of Urs Schreiber. The Anders prover implements the computational semantics of the de Rham stack.

#### References

- Danil Annenkov, Paolo Capriotti, Nicolai Kraus, and Christian Sattler. Two-level type theory and applications, 2019. arXiv:1705.03307.
- Felix Cherubini. Cartan geometry in modal homotopy type theory, 2019. URL: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.05966.pdf.
- 3 Cyril Cohen, Thierry Coquand, Simon Huber, and Anders Mörtberg. Cubical Type Theory: A Constructive Interpretation of the Univalence Axiom. In Tarmo Uustalu, editor, 21st International Conference on Types for Proofs and Programs (TYPES 2015), volume 69 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), pages 5:1-5:34, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2018. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik. URL: http://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2018/8475, doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.TYPES.2015.5.
- 4 Martin-Löf. Intuitionistic type theory, 1980.
- Andrea Vezzosi, Anders Mörtberg, and Andreas Abel. Cubical agda: A dependently typed programming language with univalence and higher inductive types. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 3(ICFP), July 2019. doi:10.1145/3341691.
- 6 Vladimir Voevodsky. A simple type system with two identity types, 2013.