



Colloque SCOR & Institut des Actuaires, 2022







#### **Arthur Charpentier**

Université du Québec à Montréal

freakonometrics & freakonometrics.hypotheses.org

Modélisation prédictive, Science actuarielle, Économie mathématique, Risque, Inégalités, Économétrie, statistiques, apprentissage automatique Modélisation du climat. Extrêmes. Équité




















#### Risk Transfert



"Insurance is the contribution of the many to the misfortune of the few"

#### Risk Transfert



#### Risk Aversion

Following Hardy et al. (1929, 1934), and Marshall and Olkin (1979)

**Def** Consider two sorted vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$   $(x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \cdots \ge x_n \text{ and } y_1 \ge y_2 \ge \cdots \ge y_n)$ 

such that 
$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$
, then  $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}$  (majorization order) if  $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i$ ,  $\forall k$ .

For example.

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right) \prec_M \left(\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n} - 1, \dots, \frac{1}{n-1}, 0\right) \prec_M (1, 0, \dots, 0, 0).$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n h(y_i)$$
 for any convex function

$$\iff$$
  $\mathbf{x} = D\mathbf{y}$  for some doubly stochastic matrix  $D$ , i.e.  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} D_{i,k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} D_{k,j} = 1, \ \forall i,j$ 

$$\iff$$
  $\mathbf{x} = P_1 \cdots P_k \mathbf{y}$  for finitely some Pigou-Dalton transfert matrices  $P_j$ 

## Risk Aversion and Risk Sharing

**Def** Consider two random variables X and Y,  $X \leq_{CX} Y$  if  $\mathbb{E}[h(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}[h(Y)]$  for any convex function h

- $\iff$  Y is a mean-preserving spread of X, i.e.  $Y \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} X + Z$ , where  $\mathbb{E}[Z|X] = 0$ .
- $\iff \mathbb{E}[(X-s)_+] \leq \mathbb{E}[(Y-s)_+] \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$
- $\implies \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Y] \text{ and } Var[X] \prec Var[Y].$
- ⇔ Pigou-Dalton transfert, majorization order, etc


Following Denuit and Dhaene (2012) and Carlier et al. (2012),

**Def** Consider two random vectors  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  and  $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  is a risk-sharing scheme of  $\boldsymbol{X}$  if  $X_1 + \cdots + X_n = \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n$  almost surely.


**Def** Consider two random vectors  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  and  $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{\perp}$ .  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \prec_{CCX} \boldsymbol{X}$  if  $\xi_i \prec_{CX} X_i$ .


Peer-to-peer insurance is a risk sharing network where a group of individuals pool their premiums together to insure against a risk. Peer-to-Peer Insurance mitigates the conflict that inherently arises between a traditional insurer and a policyholder when an insurer keeps the premiums that it doesn't pay out in claims

- التكافل Takaful ▶
- ◄ كَالَة Wakalah
- مشاركة Musharakah
- ► Xiang Hu Bao 相互保
- Parimutuel









Let 
$$\xi_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \ \forall j$$

Risk sharing

$$\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$


Componentwise convex-order


$$\xi_j \preceq_{CX} X_j, \ \forall j$$


More generally, consider some linear risk sharing  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = M\boldsymbol{X}$ , for some  $n \times n$  matrix


$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{M}_k \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{M}_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \mathbf{1}_k$$


where  $\mathbf{1}_k$  is the  $n_k \times n_k$  matrix full of 1's.





































Regular graph vs. star shaped graph (low variance vs. large variance on D)








Pigou-Dalton transferts (Dalton (1920)) see also Atkinson (2015).


$$\mathbf{y}^{(2)} \preceq_{M} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \longleftarrow \begin{cases} y_{i}^{(2)} = y_{i}^{(1)}, \ \forall i \neq j, k \\ y_{j}^{(2)} = y_{j}^{(1)} + h, \\ y_{k}^{(2)} = y_{k}^{(1)} - h, \ y_{j}^{(2)} > y_{j}^{(1)} \end{cases}$$


see martingale property of mean-preserving spread.  $Y^{(1)} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} Y^{(2)} + Z$ . where  $\mathbb{E}[Z|Y^{(1)}] = 0$  (convex order is a dispersion order)



































Looks like a linear risk sharing mechanism,

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = B\boldsymbol{X}$$
 a.s., where  $B_{i,j} = A_{i,j}/d_i$ ,


A being the adjacency matrix of the network Here. B is a doubly stochastic matrix.


But it suffers some drawbacks...


- need an upper bound
- ightharpoonup unfairness ( $B_{i,i} = 0, \forall i$ )


(no longer "linear" risk sharing mechanism)





























































































- $\triangleright$   $Y_i$  loss of insured i,  $Z_i = \mathbf{1}(Y_i > 0)$
- $\triangleright V_i$  is the set of friends of insured i,  $d_i = \text{Card}(V_i)$
- s deductible of insurance contracts
- $\triangleright$   $\gamma$  is the maximum amount shared between i and j (reciprocal contracts)

$$egin{array}{lll} \xi_i &=& Z_i \cdot \min\{s,Y_i\} & & & & & & & & & \\ & & + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_i} Z_j \min\left\{\gamma, \dfrac{\min\{s,Y_j\} - \delta}{d_j}
ight\} & & & & & & & & \\ & s & -Z_i \cdot \min\{d_i\gamma, \min\{s,Y_i\} - \delta\} & & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$



# Optimization\* of the Risk Sharing Mechanism

$$egin{cases} \max \left\{ \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \gamma_{(i,j)} 
ight\} \ ext{s.t.} \ \gamma_{(i,j)} \in [0,\gamma], \ orall (i,j) \in \mathcal{E} \ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_i} \gamma_{(i,j)} \leq s, \ orall i \in \mathcal{V} \end{cases}$$

Given losses 
$$\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$$
, define contributions  $C_{i \to j}^{\star} = \min \Big\{ \frac{\gamma(i,j)}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_j} \gamma_{(i,j)}^{\star}} \cdot X_j, \gamma_{(i,j)}^{\star} \Big\}$ ,

and  $\xi_i^{\star} = X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [Z_i C_{i \to i}^{\star} - Z_i C_{i \to i}^{\star}]$  is a risk sharing, called optimal risk sharing.


\* from a welfare (social planner) perspective

#### Sharing Risks with Friends, and Friends of Friends

We can also consider friends of friends

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1^{\star} = \operatorname{argmax} \left\{ \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)}} \gamma_{(i,j)} \right\} \\ \operatorname{s.t.} \ \gamma_{(i,j)} \in [0,\gamma_1], \ \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \\ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_i^{(1)}} \gamma_{(i,j)} \leq s, \ \forall i \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_2^{\star} = \operatorname{argmax} \left\{ \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}^{(2)}} \gamma_{(i,j)} \right\} \\ \operatorname{s.t.} \ \ \gamma_{(i,j)} \in [0,\gamma_2], \ \ \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{E}_{\gamma_1^{\star}}^{(2)} \\ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_i^{(1)}} \gamma_{1:(i,j)}^{\star} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}_i^{(2)}} \gamma_{(i,j)} \leq s, \ \ \forall i \end{cases}$$



# Take-away









Collaborative insurance sustainability and network structure Lariosse Kouakou, Matthias Löwe, Philipp Ratz & Franck Vermet

#### References

- Atkinson, A. B. (2015). Inequality. In *Inequality*. Harvard University Press.
- Barabási, A. and Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of scaling in random networks. Science, 286(5439):509-512.
- Carlier, G., Dana, R.-A., and Galichon, A. (2012). Pareto efficiency for the concave order and multivariate comonotonicity. Journal of Economic Theory, 147(1):207–229.
- Charpentier, A., Kouakou, L., Löwe, M., Ratz, P., and Vermet, F. (2021). Collaborative insurance sustainability and network structure. arXiv, 2107.02764.
- Dalton, H. (1920). The measurement of the inequality of incomes. The Economic Journal, 30(119):348-361.
- Denuit, M. and Dhaene, J. (2012). Convex order and comonotonic conditional mean risk sharing. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 51(2):265–270.
- Hardy, G., Littlewood, J., and G. Polya, (1929). Some simple inequalities satisfied by convex functions. British Mathematics Journal. 38:145-152.
- Hardy, G., Littlewood, J., and Polya, G. (1934). Inequalities. Cambridge University Press.
- Marshall, A. W. and Olkin, I. (1979). Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications, volume 143. Academic Press.
- Watts, D. and Strogatz, S. (1998). Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. Nature, 398:440-442.