

FURKAN OZEV

161044036

1) Special Array Problem:

a. Prove that an array is special:

- The "only if" part is unimportant, it follows definition of Monge array.
- As for the "if" part, let's first prove that
- Assume that array A is Monge.
- $A[i, j] + A[i+1, j+1] \leq A[i, j+1] + A[i+1, j]$
- $\Rightarrow A[i, j] + A[k, j+1] \leq A[i, j+1] + A[k, j]$, where $i < k$
- Let's prove it by induction. Set $k = i + 1$.
- Assume it holds for $k = i + n$ and prove it for $k + 1 = i + n + 1$.
- Add the given to the assumption,
- Trying to prove that for all i, j and $k > i$
- $A[i, j] + A[k, j+1] \leq A[i, j+1] + A[k, j]$
- The base case $k = i+1$ is true by our assumption.
- Then, $A[k, j] + A[k+1, j+1] \leq A[k, j+1] + A[k+1, j]$
- $\Rightarrow A[i, j] + A[k, j+1] + A[k, j] + A[k+1, j+1] \leq A[i, j+1] + A[k, j] + A[k, j+1] + A[k+1, j]$
- $\Rightarrow A[i, j] + A[k+1, j+1] \leq A[i, j+1] + A[k+1, j]$

b. Convert Special Array:

• PSEUDO CODE:

- 1- def convert(arr):
- 2- Calculate row and column
- 3- While True:
 - a. For $i = 0$ to row:
 - i. For $k = i+1$ to row:
 1. For $j = 0$ to column:
 - a. For $l = j+1$ to column
 - i. Find if the condition of the custom array is satisfied: $arr[i][j] + arr[k][l] \leq arr[i][l] + arr[k][j]$
 - ii. If the condition is not met:
 - iii. Keep indexes of the case that breaks the rule.
 - iv. The minimum value is calculated to meet the condition.
 - v. Amount of changing single element is increased by 1
 - vi. Break the loop if there are 1 elements that do not meet the condition
 - b. If there is only 1 element that does not meet the requirement:
 - i. Assign this element a minimum value that is calculated before.
 - c. Else:
 - i. Return arr

- **EXPLANATION ALGORITHM:**

- 1- Arr is 2D array.
- 2- It is checked whether the rule given for the special array is provided for all elements and for all situation.
- 3- For this control, all values of the variables in this rule are considered.
- 4- Rule: An $m \times n$ array A of real numbers is called a special array if for all i, j, k, and l such that $1 \leq i < k \leq m$ and $1 \leq j < l \leq n$, we have: $A[i, j] + A[k, l] \leq A[i, l] + A[k, j]$
- 5- If there are any number of elements that break the rule, the position of this element should be kept.
- 6- The minimum value required to satisfy the rule is calculated.
- 7- After checking the rule for all variables, the number of states that do not satisfy the rule is checked.
- 8- If there are 1 conditions that do not satisfy the rule, the calculated minimum value is assigned to this position
- 9- The same process continues until the rules are satisfied for all variables.
- 10- The goal here is to find the correct value of that single variable that does not satisfy the rule.

c. **Leftmost Minimum Element (Divide and Conquer):**

- **ALGORITHM:**

- 1- Calculate row amount of array
- 2- If row amount is 1:
 - a. Find leftmost minimum number in this row
 - b. Append this result in list
 - c. Return this list
- 3- Else:
 - a. Find middle row index
 - b. Divide the list into 2 parts
 - c. The first part contains the rows before the middle row index. (DIVIDE PART)
 - d. The other rows are in the second part. (DIVIDE PART)
 - e. Recursively determine the leftmost minimum for each part.
 - f. Adds the results to the list in order.
 - g. Return this list

- **EXPLANATION ALGORITHM:**

- 1- This algorithm finds the leftmost minimum element in each row.
- 2- It does this by dividing the matrix by 2.
- 3- Repeat until the number of rows in the matrix is 1.
- 4- When the number of rows is 1, leftmost minimum element for that row is found.
- 5- Then this result is returned.
- 6- The results are kept in the list and the list is returned.

d. **Recurrence Relation:**

- We know that the number of rows of the matrix is m.
- Assume that adding element in list, returning list or element, and comparing elements takes $O(1)$ time.
- Suppose it takes $f(n)$ time to find the leftmost minimum element for a row.
- Then the recurrence relation is like this:

$$T(m) = 2T(m/2) + f(n)$$

- Since all elements will be visited in the minimum leftmost item for a row, this process takes $O(n)$ time. So $f(n) = O(n)$

$$T(m) = 2T(m/2) + O(n)$$

$$T(m) = O(n \log m)$$

2) Find k th Element Of Merged 2 Sorted Arrays (Divide and Conquer):

ALGORITHM:

- function kth (arr1, arr2, k):
 - If size of arr1 is 0:
 - Return the kth element of arr2.
 - If size of arr2 is 0:
 - Return the kth element of arr1.
 - Find the indices of the median elements of arr1 and arr2.
 - If k is bigger than the sum of arr1 and arr2's median indices:
 - If arr1's median is bigger than arr2's:
 - Arr2's first half doesn't include k th element. (Divide Part)
 - Else:
 - Arr1's first half doesn't include k th element. (Divide Part)
 - Else, k is smaller than the sum of arr1 and arr2's indices:
 - If arr1's median is bigger than arr2's:
 - Arr1's second half doesn't include k th element. (Divide Part)
 - Else:
 - Arr2's second half doesn't include k th element. (Divide Part)

PSEUDO CODE:

- def kth(arr1, arr2, k):
 - If length of arr1 = 0:
 - return arr2[k]
 - If length of arr2 = 0:
 - return arr1[k]
 - ia <- length of arr1 // 2
 - ib <- length of arr2 // 2
 - If ia + ib < k:
 - If arr1[ia] > arr2[ib]:
 - kth(arr1, arr2[ib + 1:], k - ib - 1)
 - Else:
 - kth(arr1[ia + 1:], arr2, k - ia - 1)
 - Else:

- If $\text{arr1}[\text{ia}] > \text{arr2}[\text{ib}]$:
 - $\text{kth}(\text{arr1}[\text{ia}], \text{arr2}, k)$
- Else:
 - $\text{kth}(\text{arr1}, \text{arr2}[\text{ib}], k)$

ANALYZE COMPLEXITY:

- 1- Calculate length of arrays and return operations take $O(1)$ time.
- 2- Calculate median indices take $O(1)$ time:
- 3- The function is called recursive by halving the size of one of the array.
- 4- The Worst case state is the division of different arrays in each function call.
 - a. Assume that length of arr1 is n and length of arr2 is m.
 - b. Totally for Arr1, function take $O(\log n)$
 - c. Totally for Arr2, function take $O(\log m)$
- 5- Continuing this process until the found kth element take $O(\log n + \log m)$

Worst Case:

- The Worst case state is the division of different arrays in each function call.
- Continuing this process until the found kth element take $O(\log n + \log m)$

$O(\log n + \log m)$

EXPLANATION ALGORITHM:

- Arr1 and Arr2 are sorted arrays.
- We need to find kth element of merged array of sorted arrays.
- We compare the middle elements of arrays arr1 and arr2.
- Let us call these indices ia and ib respectively.
- Let us assume $\text{arr1}[\text{ia}] < k$, then clearly the elements after ib cannot be the required element.
- We then set the last element of arr2 to be $\text{arr2}[\text{ib}]$.
- The same situation is valid for arr1.
- In this way, we define a new subproblem with half the size of one of the arrays.
- So, there 2 conditions and 2 sub-conditions for each situations.

3) Find Largest Subset (Divide and Conquer):

ALGORITHM:

- function find (arr):
 - If size of arr is 0:
 - Return None
 - To find low and high indexes of the subset, call find_helper1 function
 - Returns a new subset based on low and high indexes.
- function find_helper1(arr, low, high):
 - If low and high same:
 - Return list of low, high elements
 - Calculate index of middle element
 - To get the left largest sum of contiguous subset, call find_helper1 function

- To get the right largest sum of contiguous subset, call find_helper1 function
 - To combine left and right subsets, call find_helper2 function
 - Return combined subset
- function find_helper2(arr, low, right):
 - Calculate the sum of left and right part
 - If left and right subsets are contiguous:
 - Calculate the both subset sum
 - If it is greater or equal than right and left subset sum:
 - return low from left subset, high from right subset
 - Else :
 - Calculate the sum of range
 - If range sum is greater than left and right subset sum:
 - Return low and high indexes.
 - If sum of left part smaller than sum of right part:
 - Return right
 - Else :
 - Return left

ANALYZE COMPLEXITY:

- 1- Since the list is divided into two parts, dividing operations takes $O(\log n)$ time.
- 2- Let assume that the sum function takes $O(n)$ time.
- 3- Since the sum of both sides is calculated and the total number of elements is n , the join operation takes $O(n)$ time.
- 4- Therefore, this problem takes $O(n \log n)$ time.

$O(n \log n)$

EXPLANATION ALGORITHM:

- The list is divided into two parts.
- The problem is solved separately for each partition.
- Finally, the results are combined.
- The partition is simple.
- In the combine section, the sum of the left and right subsets is firstly calculated separately using the sum function.
- If the two subsets are contiguous, then the sum of left and right subsets calculated.
- If the sum is greater than or equal to the sum of both subsets, the two subsets are combined.
- If subsets are not contiguous, the sum of the items is calculated from the beginning of the left subset to the end of the right subset.
- If the range sum is greater than or equal to the sum of both subsets, the two subsets are combined.
- If these two conditions are not met, the subset that returns the largest sum is returned.
- The return value of this function is a bundle containing the start and end of the subset.

4) Check Is A Bipartite Graph (Decrease and Conquer):

ALGORITHM:

- function isBipartite (graph, src = 0):
 - Calculate vertex amount
 - Create color array to store colors consisting of elements -1. The value '-1' to specify no color is assigned.
 - Assign first color to source. The value 1 specify Red and value 0 specify Blue.
 - Create a queue of vertex numbers
 - Append src in queue
 - Run while there are vertices in queue:
 - Return false if there is a self-loop
 - For each vertex:
 - If an edge from u to v exists and destination v is not colored:
 - Assign alternate color to this adjacent v of u.
 - Append vertex in queue
 - If an edge from u to v exists and destination v is colored with same color as u:
 - Return False
 - Return True

ANALYZE COMPLEXITY:

- 1- Calculate vertex amount operation takes $O(1)$ time.
- 2- Create color array, initialize and assign operations take $O(1)$ time.
- 3- Create queue and append operations take $O(1)$ time.
- 4- For worst case, while loop turns for each vertex, this operation takes $O(V)$ time.
 - a. Traversing all vertex takes $O(V)$ time.
 - i. Control, Access, initialize, append operations takes $O(1)$ time.
 - ii. Return operation takes $O(1)$ time.
- 5- Return operation takes $O(1)$ time.

Worst Case:

$$T(n) = \max(O(1), O(1), O(1), O(V) * [O(V) * O(1)], O(1))$$

$$T(n) = \max(O(1), O(1), O(1), O(V^2), O(1))$$

$$T(n) = O(V^2)$$

- The complexity is $O(V^2)$ where V is number of vertices.

$$O(V^2)$$

EXPLANATION ALGORITHM:

- This algorithm basically check whether the graph is 2-colorable.
- Assign RED color to the source vertex.
- Color all the neighbors with BLUE color.
- Color all neighbor's neighbor with RED color.
- In this way, assign color to all corners to meet all the limitations of the coloring problem.
- While assigning colors:
 - If we find a neighbor which is colored with same color as current vertex, then the graph cannot be colored with 2 vertices.

5) Gain The Best Day To Buy (Divide and Conquer):

ALGORITHM:

- function part5 (cost, price):
 - Remove the last element(because None) from the cost list. (cost <- cost[: 1])
 - Remove the first element(because None) from the price list. (price <- price [: 1])
 - Assign day as 1
 - To find the best day, call part5_helper function, and the result of this call is assigned to the res variable.
 - If the result gain is not negative:
 - Add True to the beginning of the list.
 - Else:
 - Add False to beginning of the list.
 - Return res
- Function part5_helper(cost, price, day):
 - If length of cost is 1:
 - Calculate gain
 - Return gain and day
 - The list will divide 2 part. DIVIDE PART AND RECURSIVE CALL
 - First part run with only the first elements of the lists. Day will be same. The result of this call is assigned to res1 variable.
 - Second part run with the exception of the first element of the lists. Day will be increased by 1. The result of this call is assigned to res2 variable.
 - If gain of res1 bigger than gain of res2:
 - Return res1
 - Else:
 - Return res2

ANALYZE COMPLEXITY:

- 1- Removing element from list takes $O(1)$ time.
- 2- Assignment takes $O(1)$ time.
- 3- Call part5_helper function takes $T_1(n)$ time.
 - a. Comparison takes $O(1)$ time.
 - b. If true:
 - i. Calculate gain operation takes $O(1)$ time.
 - ii. Return gain and day as list operation takes $O(1)$ time.
 - c. Else:
 - i. For first part, lists has 1 element, So takes $O(1)$ time.
 - ii. For second part, lists (n-1) element, So takes $T_1(n-1)$ time.
 - d. Comparison and return operation take $O(1)$ time.
- 4- Comparison, Adding a element to list and return operation take $O(1)$ time.

Worst Case:

$$T(n) = \max(O(1), O(1), T_1(n), O(1))$$

$$T_1(n) = \max(O(1), O(1), T_1(n-1), O(1))$$

$$T_1(n) = T_1(n-1) + O(1)$$

$$T_1(n) = O(n)$$

$$T(n) = \max(O(1), O(1), O(n), O(1))$$

$$T(n) = O(n)$$

- The complexity is $O(n)$ where n is number of days.

$$O(n)$$

EXPLANATION ALGORITHM:

- In order to make the indexes of the Cost and Price lists equal, "None" elements are deleted from both lists.
- To keep track of the days, the algorithm will run in the helper function with the extra day parameter.
- The algorithm simply compares the gain of that day with the result of the next day.
- This process will continue until the last day.
- This benchmarking process will be done from the last day to the first day since it is a recursive call.
- As a result of the comparison, the day which has a big gain will be given for before day as a result.
- Finally, the result from the next day is compared with the first day's gains and returned as the result with the biggest gain.
- If the resulting return is positive, the True flag is added to the result. If not, the False flag is added.
- True flag specify that the best day to buy are found.
- False flag specify that there is no day to make money.