Derivation of a test for equality of means with unequal variances

Brenton Horne

27th September 2021

Contents

| Hypotheses | 2 |
|--|---|
| Definitions | 2 |
| Derivation of the maximum likelihood under the null | 2 |
| Numerical approximation of maximum likelihood estimators | 4 |
| Derivation of the unrestricted maximum likelihood | 7 |
| Likelihood ratio | 8 |

Hypotheses

Let Y_{ij} denote the jth observation of the ith treatment group. Where i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m and $j = 1, 2, 3, ..., n_i$. Under the null hypothesis: $Y_{ij} \sim N(\mu, \sigma_i^2)$. Under the alternative hypothesis: $Y_{ij} \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$, where $\mu_i \neq \mu_k$ for at least one pair of i and k values.

Definitions

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i$$

$$\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$$

$$\overline{Y}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$$

We will later use δ_{ik} , which is the Kronecker delta symbol. It equals 0 if $i \neq k$ and 1 otherwise.

Derivation of the maximum likelihood under the null

Let Ω_0 denote the parameter space under the null hypothesis. $\Omega_0 = \{(\mu, \sigma_i^2) : -\infty < \mu < \infty, \ \sigma_i^2 > 0\}$. $\Omega_a = \{(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) : \mu_i \neq \mu_j \text{ for at least one pair of } i \text{ and } j \text{ values}, \ -\infty < \mu_i < \infty, \ \sigma_i^2 > 0\}$. The unrestricted parameter space is thus: $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_a$.

$$L(\Omega_0) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} (Y_{ij} - \mu)^2\right)$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^{-n_i}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \mu)^2\right). \tag{1}$$

Taking the natural logarithm yields:

$$\ln L(\Omega_0) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \ln \sigma_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \mu)^2.$$

Differentiating the log-likelihood with respect to μ and setting to zero to maximize the likelihood:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega_0)}{\partial \mu} \Big|_{\mu=\widehat{\mu}, \ \sigma_i^2=\widehat{\sigma}_i^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 2(-1)(Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})$$

$$= 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2} (n_i \overline{Y}_i - n_i \widehat{\mu}) = 0$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2}\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i}{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2}\right) \widehat{\mu} = 0$$

$$\widehat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i}{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2}}.$$
(2)

Differentiating the log-likelihood with respect to σ_k^2 and setting to zero to maximize the likelihood:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega_0)}{\partial \sigma_k^2} \bigm|_{\mu = \widehat{\mu}, \ \sigma_i^2 = \widehat{\sigma_i^2}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i}{\widehat{\sigma_i^2}} \delta_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m -\frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma_i^4}} \delta_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{n_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^2}} + \frac{1}{2\widehat{\sigma_k^4}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} (Y_{kj} - \widehat{\mu})^2 \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Multiplying by $2\widehat{\sigma_i^4}$ yields:

$$-n_k \widehat{\sigma}_k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} (Y_{kj} - \widehat{\mu})^2 = 0$$

$$\widehat{\sigma}_k^2 = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} (Y_{kj} - \widehat{\mu})^2.$$
(3)

Some simplification of our likelihood can be done now using our maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs), although unfortunately Equations 2 and 3 cannot be analytically solved, they must be numerically solved to yield values for

 $\widehat{\mu}$ and $\widehat{\sigma_k^2}$.

$$\begin{split} L(\widehat{\Omega_0}) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \widehat{\sigma_i^2}^{-n_i/2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma_i^2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^2 \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^2 \right)^{-n_i/2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^2 \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^2 \right)^{-n_i/2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^2 \right)^{-n_i/2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \right) \\ &= (2\pi e)^{-n/2} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^2 \right)^{-n_i/2} . \end{split}$$

Numerical approximation of maximum likelihood estimators

So here we must numerically approximate $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$ using a technique like Newton's method and substitute this into our expression for $L(\Omega_0)$ to get the maximum likelihood under the null. If we use Newton's method, we must have functions we are finding the zeros of. Let:

$$f(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma}_k^2) = \widehat{\mu} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k \overline{Y}_k}{\widehat{\sigma}_k^2}}{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k}{\widehat{\sigma}_k^2}}$$
$$g_i(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma}_k^2) = \widehat{\sigma}_i^2 - \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^2.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} &= 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_i^2}} &= -\frac{\sum_{k=1}^m -\frac{n_k \overline{Y}_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^4}} \delta_{ik}}{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^2}}} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k \overline{Y}_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^2}}}{-\left(\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^2}}\right)^2} \sum_{k=1}^m -\frac{n_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^4}} \delta_{ik} \\ &= \frac{\frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\widehat{\sigma_i^4}}}{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^2}}} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k \overline{Y}_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^2}}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^2}}\right)^2} \frac{n_i}{\widehat{\sigma_i^4}} \\ &= \frac{n_i}{\widehat{\sigma_i^4} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{n_k}{\widehat{\sigma_k^2}}} \left(\overline{Y}_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i \overline{Y}_i}{\widehat{\sigma_i^2}}}{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n_i}{\widehat{\sigma_i^2}}}\right). \end{split}$$

As for the derivatives of $g_i(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma_k^2})$:

$$\frac{\partial g_i(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma_k^2})}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} = -\frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 2(-1)(Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})$$

$$= \frac{2}{n_i} (n_i \overline{Y}_i - n_i \widehat{\mu})$$

$$= 2(\overline{Y}_i - \widehat{\mu})$$

$$\frac{\partial g_i(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma_k^2})}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_i^2}} = \delta_{ik}.$$

This thus gives us a Jacobian:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{3}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{m}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\mu}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{1}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{2}^{2}}} & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{m}}{\partial \widehat{\sigma_{3}^{2}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g$$

Interestingly, this Jacobian's main diagonal (left to right, top to bottom) consists entirely of 1s. The only off-

diagonal elements that are non-zero are in the first row and first column. We also have this function vector:

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} f(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma_k^2}) \\ g_1(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma_k^2}) \\ g_2(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma_k^2}) \\ g_3(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma_k^2}) \\ \vdots \\ g_m(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma_k^2}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

And we use the algorithm:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{1}^{2} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{2}^{2} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{3}^{2} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{New}} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{1}^{2} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{2}^{2} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{3}^{2} \\ \cdots \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{m}^{2} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{Old}} - J^{-1}\mathbf{F}$$

to numerically approximate our MLEs under the null. What should we use as our initial guess for

 $\begin{array}{c|c}
\widehat{\mu} \\
\widehat{\sigma_1^2} \\
\widehat{\sigma_2^2} \\
\widehat{\sigma_3^2} \\
\vdots \\
\widehat{\sigma_2^2}
\end{array}$? Well,

how about the overall mean for $\widehat{\mu}$ and the kth sample variance for $\widehat{\sigma}_k^2$?

Derivation of the unrestricted maximum likelihood

We will use $L(\Omega)$ to denote the unrestricted likelihood function.

$$L(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)^2\right)$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_i^{-n_i}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)^2\right).$$

Hence the unrestricted log-likelihood is:

$$\ln L(\Omega) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \ln \sigma_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)^2.$$

Setting the derivative with respect to μ_l to zero to find the MLE for μ_l :

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega)}{\partial \mu_l} \Big|_{\mu_l = \widehat{\mu}_l, \ \sigma_k^2 = \widehat{\sigma}_k^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 2(-1)(Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu}_i) \delta_{il}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_l^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_l} (Y_{lj} - \widehat{\mu}_l)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_l^2} (n_l \overline{Y}_l - n_l \widehat{\mu}_l)$$

$$= 0.$$

$$\implies \widehat{\mu}_l = \overline{Y}_l.$$

Setting the derivative with respect to σ_k^2 to zero to find the MLE for σ_k^2 :

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\Omega)}{\partial \widehat{\sigma}_{k}^{2}} \Big|_{\mu_{l} = \widehat{\mu}_{l}, \ \sigma_{k}^{2} = \widehat{\sigma}_{k}^{2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_{i}}{\widehat{\sigma}_{i}^{2}} \delta_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} -\frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_{i}^{4}} \delta_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu}_{i})^{2} \\
= -\frac{n_{k}}{2\widehat{\sigma}_{k}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\widehat{\sigma}_{k}^{4}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} (Y_{kj} - \widehat{\mu}_{k})^{2} \\
= 0. \\
\implies \widehat{\sigma}_{k}^{2} = \frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} (Y_{kj} - \widehat{\mu}_{k})^{2} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} (Y_{kj} - \overline{Y}_{k})^{2}.$$

Substituting these MLEs into our expression for $L(\Omega)$ to get the unrestricted maximum likelihood:

$$L(\widehat{\Omega}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} (\widehat{\sigma}_{i}^{2})^{-n_{i}/2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\widehat{\sigma}_{i}^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu}_{i})^{2} \right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2} \right)^{-n_{i}/2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2} \right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2} \right)^{-n_{i}/2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} \right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2} \right)^{-n_{i}/2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \right)$$

$$= (2\pi e)^{-n/2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2} \right)^{-n_{i}/2}.$$

Likelihood ratio

Hence our likelihood ratio is:

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\widehat{\Omega}_{0})}{L(\widehat{\Omega})}$$

$$= \frac{(2\pi e)^{-n/2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^{2}\right)^{-n_{i}/2}}{(2\pi e)^{-n/2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2}\right)^{-n_{i}/2}}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^{2}\right)^{-n_{i}/2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2}\right)^{-n_{i}/2}}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} (Y_{ij} - \widehat{\mu})^{2}}\right)^{n_{i}/2}.$$

And our test statistic is:

$$-2\ln\lambda\sim\chi_{m-1}^2$$

As the unrestricted maximum likelihood has m-1 more parameters than the maximum likelihood under the null.