

LECTURE

4

SERIES WITH NONNEGATIVE TERMS (II). SERIES WITH ARBITRARY TERMS

Series with nonnegative terms (II)

Theorem 4.1 (Kummer's Test) *Let $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ be a series with positive terms.*

1° *If $\exists (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(0, +\infty)$, $\exists r > 0$ and $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$c_n \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - c_{n+1} \geq r, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0,$$

then the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is divergent.

2° *If $\exists (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(0, +\infty)$ and $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^n \frac{1}{c_n} = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad c_n \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - c_{n+1} \leq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0,$$

then the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is divergent.

Proof. 1° Since $c_n x_n - c_{n+1} x_{n+1} \geq r x_{n+1}$, $\forall n \geq n_0$, it follows that for any $n \geq n_0 + 1$,

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} (c_k x_k - c_{k+1} x_{k+1}) \geq r \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} x_{k+1}.$$

Denoting $s_n := x_1 + \dots + x_n$, we deduce that $c_{n_0} x_{n_0} - c_n x_n \geq r (s_n - s_{n_0})$ and therefore

$$s_n \leq s_{n_0} + \frac{1}{r} (c_{n_0} x_{n_0} - c_n x_n) \leq s_{n_0} + \frac{c_{n_0} x_{n_0}}{r}.$$

Hence, the sequence of partial sums (s_n) is bounded, which means that the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is convergent

(by Lemma 3.13)

2° Since $c_n x_n \leq c_{n+1} x_{n+1}$, $\forall n \geq n_0$, we have $c_{n_0} x_{n_0} \leq c_n x_n$, $\forall n \geq n_0$. This yields

$$\frac{1}{c_n} \leq \frac{1}{c_{n_0} x_{n_0}} x_n, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Since the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{c_n}$ is divergent, we conclude that the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is divergent as well, according to the Comparison Test (Theorem 3.18) \square

Theorem 4.2 (Raabe-Duhamel's Test) *Let $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ be a series with positive terms.*

1° *If $\exists q > 1$, $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - 1 \right) \geq q$, $\forall n \geq n_0$, then $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is convergent.*

2° *If $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - 1 \right) \leq 1$, $\forall n \geq n_0$, then $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is divergent.*

3° *If the following limit exists*

$$R := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - 1 \right) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}},$$

then we have

a) *If $R > 1$, $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is convergent.*

b) *If $R < 1$, $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is divergent.*

Proof. Follows from Kummer's Test (Theorem 4.1) for $c_n := n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Example 4.3 *For any $a > 0$ consider the series*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n!}{a(a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+n)}.$$

This series is convergent for $a > 1$ and divergent for $a \in (0, 1]$.

Indeed, denoting $x_n := \frac{n!}{a(a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+n)}$, we have

$$\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = \frac{(n+1)!}{a(a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+n+1)} \cdot \frac{a(a+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a+n)}{n!} = \frac{n+1}{a+n+1}.$$

Note that $D := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = 1$, hence the Ratio Test is inconclusive. However,

$$R := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - 1 \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left(\frac{a+n+1}{n+1} - 1 \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \frac{a}{n+1} = a,$$

which allows us to conclude, by Raabe-Duhamel's Test, that the given series is convergent if $a > 1$ and divergent if $a \in (0, 1)$.

Finally, for $a = 1$ the given series becomes $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n+1}$, which is divergent.

Theorem 4.4 (Bertrand's Test) Let $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ be a series with positive terms. If the following limits exists

$$B := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ln n) \left[n \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - 1 \right) - 1 \right] \in \overline{\mathbb{R}},$$

then we have

- a) If $B > 1$, then $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is convergent.
b) If $B < 1$, then $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is divergent.

Proof. Follows from Kummer's Test (Theorem 4.1) for $c_n := n \cdot \ln n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. □

Example 4.5 The series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \right]^2$ is divergent.

Indeed, denoting $x_n := \left[\frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \right]^2 = \left[\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n)} \right]^2$ we have

$\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = \left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is a simple exercise to check that

$$D := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = 1;$$

$$R := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - 1 \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left[\left(\frac{2n+2}{2n+1} \right)^2 - 1 \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4n^2 + 3n}{4n^2 + 4n + 1} = 1,$$

hence both the Ratio Test and the Raabe-Duhamel's Test are inconclusive.

On the other hand, we have

$$B := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ln n) \left[n \left(\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} - 1 \right) - 1 \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ln n) \left(\frac{4n^2 + 3n}{4n^2 + 4n + 1} - 1 \right) = 0 < 1.$$

We conclude by Bertrand's Test that the given series is divergent.

Series with arbitrary terms

Theorem 4.6 (Abel-Dirichlet's Test) Let $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ be a series of real numbers. Assume that there exist two sequences of real numbers, $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, satisfying the following three conditions:

- (i) $x_n = a_n \cdot b_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $\exists M > 0$ s.t. $-M \leq A_n := a_1 + \dots + a_n \leq M$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., the sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.
(iii) The sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is monotone and convergent to 0.

Then the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is convergent.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume in (iii) that (b_n) is decreasing. We will prove that $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ converges by using Cauchy's Criterion (Theorem 3.11). To this aim, consider an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

On the one hand, by (i), (ii) and the assumption that (b_n) is decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} + \dots + x_{n+p}| \\ &= |a_{n+1}b_{n+1} + a_{n+2}b_{n+2} + \dots + a_{n+p}b_{n+p}| \\ &= |(A_{n+1} - A_n)b_{n+1} + (A_{n+2} - A_{n+1})b_{n+2} + \dots + (A_{n+p} - A_{n+p-1})b_{n+p}| \\ &= |-A_nb_{n+1} + A_{n+1}(b_{n+1} - b_{n+2}) + \dots + A_{n+p-1}(b_{n+p-1} - b_{n+p}) + A_{n+p}b_{n+p}| \\ &\leq |-A_n| \cdot |b_{n+1}| + |A_{n+1}| \cdot |b_{n+1} - b_{n+2}| + \dots + |A_{n+p-1}| \cdot |b_{n+p-1} - b_{n+p}| + |A_{n+p}| \cdot |b_{n+p}| \\ &= |A_n| \cdot b_{n+1} + |A_{n+1}| \cdot (b_{n+1} - b_{n+2}) + \dots + |A_{n+p-1}| \cdot (b_{n+p-1} - b_{n+p}) + |A_{n+p}| \cdot b_{n+p} \\ &\leq M[b_{n+1} + (b_{n+1} - b_{n+2}) + (b_{n+2} - b_{n+3}) + \dots + (b_{n+p-1} - b_{n+p}) + b_{n+p}] \\ &= 2Mb_{n+1}, \forall n, p \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ by (iii), there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|b_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon.$$

We conclude that $|x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} + \cdots + x_{n+p}| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$ □

Definition 4.7 A series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is called *alternating* if either

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \geq 0, \dots \text{ (i.e., } x_n = (-1)^{n+1}|x_n| \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N})$$

or

$$x_1 \leq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \leq 0, \dots \text{ (i.e., } x_n = (-1)^n|x_n| \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Theorem 4.8 (Leibniz's Criterion for Alternating Series) Consider an alternating series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$.

If the sequence $(|x_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing, then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1° The series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is convergent.
- 2° The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0.

Proof. Assume that $x_n = (-1)^{n+1}|x_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the conclusion follows by Abel-Dirichlet's Test for $a_n := (-1)^{n+1}$ and $b_n := |x_n|$. □

Definition 4.9 A series of real numbers $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is called *absolutely convergent* if the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|$ is convergent.

Theorem 4.10 If a series of real numbers $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is absolutely convergent, then it is also convergent.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|$ is convergent, there exists in view of the Cauchy's Criterion (Theorem 3.11) a number $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$||x_{n+1}| + \cdots + |x_{n+p}|| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Noting that $|x_{n+1} + \cdots + x_{n+p}| \leq |x_{n+1}| + \cdots + |x_{n+p}| = ||x_{n+1}| + \cdots + |x_{n+p}||$, we infer

$$|x_{n+1} + \cdots + x_{n+p}| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Cauchy's Criterion (Theorem 3.11) we conclude that $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is convergent. □

Definition 4.11 A series of real numbers $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is called *semi-convergent* (or *conditionally convergent*) if it is convergent but not absolutely convergent.

Remark 4.12 A series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ with nonnegative terms is absolutely convergent if and only if it is convergent.

Example 4.13 (The alternating generalized harmonic series) Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$. The so-called alternating generalized harmonic series

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^p}$$

is divergent for $p \in (-\infty, 0]$, semi-convergent for $p \in (0, 1]$ and absolutely convergent for $p \in (1, \infty)$.

In particular, for $p = 1$ we get the alternating harmonic series, whose sum is

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} = \ln 2.$$

Example 4.14 The series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n\sqrt{n}}$ is absolutely convergent.

Example 4.15 The series $\sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \sin \frac{1}{n}$ is semi-convergent.

Example 4.16 The series $\sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{n}{n+1}$ is divergent.

Example 4.17 The series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \cos(n\pi)$ is divergent.

Theorem 4.18 (Cauchy) If a series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is absolutely convergent, then for any bijection (permutation)

$\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_{\sigma(n)}$ is absolutely convergent and its sum coincides with the sum of the

initial series, i.e., $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$.

Theorem 4.19 (Riemann) If a series $\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n$ is semi-convergent, then for every $s \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ there exists

a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(n)} = s$.

Example 4.20 Consider the alternating harmonic series (see Example 4.13), whose sum is

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} + \dots = \ln 2.$$

If we permute its terms by alternating $p := 2$ positive terms followed by $q := 3$ negative terms we obtain

$$1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{12} + \dots = \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \ln 2.$$

Indeed, consider the Euler's constant $\gamma := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n$ (see Exercise 2 of Seminar 3), where $\gamma_n := \frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \ln n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of partial sums of the permuted series. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{5k} &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{12}\right) + \dots + \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{4k-3} + \frac{1}{4k-1} - \frac{1}{6k-4} - \frac{1}{6k-2} - \frac{1}{6k}\right) \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{4k} - \ln 4k\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2k} - \ln 2k\right) - \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{3k} - \ln 3k\right) + \ln 4k - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2k - \frac{1}{2} \ln 3k \\
&= \gamma_{4k} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{2k} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{3k} + \ln \frac{4k}{\sqrt{6k}},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{5k} = \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \gamma + \ln \frac{4}{\sqrt{6}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \ln 2.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{5k+1} &= s_{5k} + \frac{1}{4k+1}, \\
s_{5k+2} &= s_{5k} + \frac{1}{4k+1} + \frac{1}{4k+3}, \\
s_{5k+3} &= s_{5k} + \frac{1}{4k+1} + \frac{1}{4k+3} - \frac{1}{6k+2}, \\
s_{5k+4} &= s_{5k} + \frac{1}{4k+1} + \frac{1}{4k+3} - \frac{1}{6k+2} - \frac{1}{6k+4},
\end{aligned}$$

which show that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{5k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{5k+1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{5k+2} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{5k+3} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{5k+4}$.

We conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \ln 2.$$