Course 5

Context free grammars (cfg)

Context free grammar (cfg)

• Produtions of the form: A $\rightarrow \alpha$, A \in N, $\alpha \in$ (NU Σ)*

More powerful

• Can model programming language:

$$G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$$
 s.t. $L(G) = programming language$

Syntax tree

Definition: A syntax tree corresponding to a cfg $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ is a tree obtained in the following way:

- 1. Root is the starting symbol S
- 2. Nodes ∈ $NU\Sigma$:
 - 1. Internal nodes ∈N
 - 2. Leaves ∈ Σ
- 3. For a node A the descendants in order from left to right are $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ only if $A \rightarrow X_1X_2... X_n \in P$

Remarks:

- a) Parse tree = syntax tree result of parsing (syntatic analysis)
- b) Derivation tree condition 2.2 not satisfied
- c) Abstract syntax tree (AST) ≠ syntax tree (semantic analysis)

Syntax tree (cont)

Property: In a cfg $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$, $w \in L(G)$ if and only if there exists a syntax tree with frontier w.

Proof: HW

Definition: A cfg $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ is ambigous if for a $w \in L(G)$ there exists 2 distinct syntax tree with frontier w.

Parsing (syntax analysis) modeled with cfg:

cfg G = (N, Σ ,P,S):

- N nonterminal: syntactical constructions: declaration, statement, expression, a.s.o.
- Σ terminals; elements of the language: identifiers, constants, reserved words, operators, separators
- P syntactical rules expressed in BNF simple transformation
- S syntactical construct corresponding to program

THEN

Program syntactical correct \leq w \in L(G)

Equivalent transformation of cfg

Unproductive symbols

Definition

A nonterminal A este *unproductive* in a cfg if does not generate any word: $\{w \mid A =>^* w, w \in \Sigma^*\} = \emptyset$.

Algorithm 1: Elimination of unproductive symbols

```
input: G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)
output: G' = (N', \Sigma, P', S), L(G) = L(G')
                                            // idea: build N_0, N_1, ... recursively (until saturation)
step 1: N_0 = \emptyset; i:=1;
step 2: N_i = N_{i-1} \cup \{A \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, \alpha \in (N_{i-1} \cup \Sigma)^*\}
step 3: if N_i \ll N_{i-1} then i:=i+1; goto step 2
                                 else N' = N_i
                                 then L(G) = \emptyset
step 4: if S \notin N'
                                 else P' = \{A \rightarrow \alpha \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P \text{ and } A \in N'\}
```

```
G = ({S,A,B,C,D}, {a,b,c}, P,S)

P: S \rightarrow aA \mid aC

A \rightarrow AB

B \rightarrow b

C \rightarrow aC \mid CD

D \rightarrow b
```

Inaccesible symbols

Definition

A symbol $X \in NU\Sigma$ is *inaccesible* in a cfg if X does not appear in any sentential form: $\forall S => \alpha, X \notin \alpha$

Algorithm 2: Elimination of inaccessible symbols

```
input: G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)
output: G' = (N', \Sigma', P', S), L(G) = L(G') and
              \forall X \in NU\Sigma \exists \alpha, \beta \in (N'U\Sigma')^* \text{ s.t. } S =>_{G'}^* \alpha X \beta.
step 1: V_0 = \{S\}; i:=1;
step 2: V_i = V_{i-1} \cup \{X \mid \exists A \rightarrow \alpha X \beta \in P, A \in V_{i-1}\}
step 3: if V_i \leftrightarrow V_{i-1} then i:=i+1; goto step 2
                                        else N' = N \cap V_i
                                                      \Sigma' = \Sigma \cap V_i
                                                      P' = \{A \rightarrow \alpha \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, A \in N', \alpha \in (N \cup \Sigma)^* \}
```

```
G = ({S,A,B,C,D}, {a,b,c,d}, P,S)

P: S \rightarrow aA \mid aC

A \rightarrow AB

B \rightarrow b

C \rightarrow aC \mid bCb

D \rightarrow bB \mid d
```

ε -productions

Algorithm 3: Elimination of ε -productions

input: cfg $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$

output: $cfg G' = (N', \Sigma, P', S')$

step 1: construct
$$\overline{N} = \{A \mid A \in N, A=>^+ \epsilon\}$$

1.a. $N_0 := \{A \mid A \rightarrow \epsilon \in P\};$
 $i := 1;$
1.b. $N_i := N_{i-1} \cup \{A \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, \alpha \in N^*_{i-1}\}$
1.c. **if** $N_i <> N_{i-1}$ **then** $i := i+1;$ **goto** step 1.b **else** $\overline{N} = N_i$

Definition

A cfg G=(N, Σ ,P,S) is without ε -productions if 1. P $\not\ni$ A -> ε (ε -productions) OR

2. ∃ S \rightarrow ϵ si S \notin rhs(p), \forall p \in P

step 2: Let
$$P' = set$$
 of productions built:

2.a. **if**
$$A \rightarrow \alpha_0 B_1 \alpha_1 B_2 \alpha_2 \dots B_k \alpha_{\underline{k}} \in P$$
, $k > = 0$ and for $i := 1, k B_i \in N$ and $\alpha_j \notin N$, $j := 0, k$

then add to P' all prod of the form

$$A \rightarrow \alpha_0 X_1 \alpha_1 X_2 \alpha_2 \dots X_k \alpha_k$$

where X_i is B_i or ε (not $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$)

2.b if $S \in N'$ then add S' to N' and $S' \rightarrow S \mid \varepsilon$ to P else N' := N; S' := S.

```
G = ({S,A,B}, {a,b},P,S)
P: S \rightarrow aA \mid aAbB
A \rightarrow aA \mid B
B \rightarrow bB \mid \epsilon
```

Single productions

Definition

O production of the form $A \rightarrow B$ is called single production or renaming rule.

Algorithm 4: Elimination of single productions

Input: cfg G, without ϵ -productions

Output: G' s.t. L(G) = L(G')

For each $A \in N$ build the set $N_A = \{B \mid A \Rightarrow^* B\}$:

1.a.
$$N_0 := \{A\}$$
, i:=1

1.b.
$$N_i := N_{i-1} \cup \{C \mid B \rightarrow C \in P \text{ si } B \in N_{i-1}\}$$

1.c. if
$$N_i \neq N_{i-1}$$
 then i:=i+1 goto 1.b.

else
$$N_A := N_i$$

P': for all $A \in N$ do

for all
$$B \in N_A$$
 do

if
$$B \rightarrow \alpha \in P$$
 and not "single" then $A \rightarrow \alpha \in P'$

$$G' = (N, \Sigma, P', S)$$

G = ({E,T,F},{a,(,),+,*},P,E)
P:
$$E \to E+T \mid T$$

 $T \to T*F \mid F$
 $F \to (E) \mid a$