	Nume Prenume			Questions		
				Short	Long	
	004			Observe	Laur	
	931			Short Symbolic execution	Quality assurance vs Quality control	
				Symbolic execution	Quality assurance vs Quality control	
1	ABRUDAN	O.A.	ANDREI			
				Monkey testing	Compare and contrast integration testing versus unit testing.	
		_				
2	ABRUDEAN	D	SERGIU-VALENTIN	Coftware testing /DDCT	Compare and contract: Combalia avacution and Madel abadring	
				Software testing (BBST	Compare and contrast: Symbolic execution and Model checking	
3	ACATRINEI	A.	ANDREEA-LAURA	definition)		
				Regression testing	Compare and contrast Smoke testing and Load testing	
4	ANDRASI	L	LADISLAU	\\/hita hay taating lagic	Compare and contract Increation and Wallthrough	
				White box testing: logic-	Compare and contrast Inspection and Walkthroughs	
5	BĂICAN	ı	VLAD-ADRIAN	coverage testing		
				Model checking-deadlock	Compare and contrast: top-down integration and bottom-up	
	- 4					
6	BÂLC	M.	RADU	NAME TO A STATE OF THE STATE OF	integration	
				White-box-testing: Control	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types	
7	BALEA	C.N.	DORIN CONSTANTIN	flow graph		
				Software testing life cycle	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and System testing	
8	BANCIU	Α	RAUL			
				Hoare Triples	Compare and contrast: test automation and manual testing.	
9	BĂRBOI	GH	GEORGE			
				Testing strategy	Compare and contrast: Pair-programing and Inspection	
10	BARCĂU	N	EMANUEL - TEODOR			
				Quality control	Compare and contrast: Hoare Triples and Dijkstra weakest pre-	
11	BENDIC	E	RADU		conditions	

Short	short desciption of the notion a paragraph (5-8 lines)				Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	- maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
				Cost of fixing a bug	Compare and contrat: scenario-based testing and quicktest
12	BERCIU	L. E.	LIVIU MARIAN		
				Session Based Test	Compare and contrast Incremental integration testing versus Big-
13	BÎLC	Н.	IRINA	Management	bang integration.
				WBT: Cyclometric	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
14	BIRO	L.	ANNA	complexity metric	
				white-box testing: independ	Compare and contrast: Testing and Symbolic execution
15	BLAJ	D.S.	ANDREI-SORIN	path coverage	
				Dijkstra weakest pre-	Compare and contrast: Integration testing and System testing
16	BOANCA	A.S.	SARA	conditions	
				Correctness: refinement	Compare and contrast - advantages of Black-box testing and White-
17	вов	М	ALEXANDRA-DIANA	rules	box testing
				Symbolic execution tree	Compare and contrast: Unit testing and Function testing
10	ВОВОС	P	BOGDAN		
10	ВОВОС	-	BOGDAN	Floyd's inductive assertion	Compare and contrast Testing and Inspection
				l loya e madenve accornen	Sompare and contract recting and mepection
19	ВОСА	Α	MADALINA-ELENA	method	
				RIMGEN	Compare and contrast Testing and Demonstrating correctness
20	BOCANICIU	GR	ANA-MARIA		
				Model checking	Compare and contrast designing tests using Black-box testing
21	BOIER	E	DANIEL		versul White-box testing
				White-box-testing: Control	Compare and contrast: sandwich testing and big-bang integration
22	BORA	Α	ANDREI	flow graph	
				Test automation (what to	Compare and contrast Pair-programing and Walkthroughs
23	BRATA	L.	GEORGE	automate?)	

Short	short desciption of the notion a paragraph (5-8		a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
	·			Domain testing (BBT) -	Compare and contrast - white box testing - decision (branch)
24	CODREA	М.	CLAUDIU-MARIUS	Equivalence classes	coverage and condition coverage
27	CODINER	171.	CENTODIO IVIVILIOS	BBT: boundary-value	Compare and contrat: scenario-based testing and quicktest
					g and quantum
25	DELIBAŞ	I	ŞTEFAN	analysis	
				Quality assurance	Compare and contrast in white-box testing: logic-coverage testing
26	MACARIE	I.S.	IOANA-MARIA		and path coverage criterion
				White-box testing-Loop	Compare and contrast from the RIMGEN: maximize and generalize
27	năsui	V	DIANA-IOANA	testing	
				Levels of testing	Compare and contrast Testing and Pair-progaming
28	POPŞOR	V.B.	BOGDAN-ALEXANDRU		
	-			Definition Inspection	Compare and contrast: exploratory testing and Scripted testing
20	ROTARIU	١.	MIRELA-IONELA		
23	INOTANIO	-	IVIINLLA-IONLLA	Regression testing	Compare and contrast Inspection and Walkthroughs
20	D. I.G. I.	_	DENUCA	Tregression testing	Compare and community morposation and transmissing it
30	RUSU	Т.	DENISA	Software testing (BBST	Compare and contrast Testing and Pair-progaming
					Compare and contrast resumg and Fair-programing
31	SZUHAI	P.A.	IULIA MONICA	definition)	
	932	2		Short	Long
				Definition Inspection	Compare and contrast: test automation and manual testing.
1	ALB	М	MIRCEA-DAN		
				WBT: Cyclometric	Compare and contrast: exploratory testing and Scripted testing
2	BUFTEA	l _ı	MADALINA IOANA	complexity metric	
_				Cost of fixing a bug	Compare and contrast Smoke testing and Load testing
2	BUHAI	ΔF	ALEXANDRU		
	BOTTAL	7.1.	ALLAANDING	Quality control	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and System testing
	DUMBAD	_			and dystem testing
4	BUMBAR	S	ANA-MARIA		

nd bottom-up
oox testing and White-
us unit testing.
System testing
and quicktest
ctional testing types
ting correctness
9
execution
2ACCULION
taating varaus Dig
testing versus Big-
jic-coverage testing
kimize and generalize
]

Short	short desciption of the notion		a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
				Test automation (what to	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
18	CRIȘAN	ı	GABRIEL LUCIAN	automate?)	
				Domain testing (BBT) -	Compare and contrast: sandwich testing and big-bang integration
19	CRIŞAN	٧,	OANA-ALEXANDRA	Equivalence classes	
				Risk-based testing	Compare and contrast Pair-programing and Walkthroughs
20	CSOKA	A.	ERVIN		
				Floyd's inductive assertion	Compare and contrast - white box testing - decision (branch)
21	CUIBUS	D	CIPRIAN	method	coverage and condition coverage
				Model checking	Compare and contrast designing tests using Black-box testing
22	GHERMAN	B.A.	ALEXANDRU		versul White-box testing
				Quality assurance	Compare and contrast: Unit testing and Function testing
23	MESAROS	V	SEBASTIAN-VASILE		
				Levels of testing	Compare and contrast: Hoare Triples and Dijkstra weakest pre-
24	POTRA	L.	VLAD-DIONISIE		conditions
				Session Based Test	Compare and contrast - advantages of Black-box testing and White-
25	RÜBL	C.R.I	ERIC	Management	box testing
				Testing strategy	Compare and contrast: Symbolic execution and Model checking
1 20	CINALONI				
26	SIMION	G.	ALEXANDRA-MARIA	Definition Inspection	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
				Definition inspection	Compare and contrast. Idinctional and non-idinctional testing types
27	SÎRB	D.	OVIDIU-DANIEL		
				Regression testing	Compare and contrast - advantages of Black-box testing and White-
			- â		
28	SOREA	G D	SÂNZIANA	W/DT: Cyclometrie	box testing Compare and contract integration testing versus unit testing
				WBT: Cyclometric	Compare and contrast integration testing versus unit testing.
29	SPOIALĂ	Ο.	ANA-MARIA	complexity metric	

Short	short desciption of the notion a paragraph (5-8 lines)			Written by hand!	
Long	3 (similarities and difference	ees) +	maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
				Symbolic execution	Compare and contrast: test automation and manual testing.
30	TODORAN	G	ANA-CORINA		
	933			Short	Long
				Testing strategy	Compare and contrast Smoke testing and Load testing
1	DEZSI	L	IMOLA-KATALIN		
_				Software testing (BBST	Compare and contrat: scenario-based testing and quicktest
2	DOLOT	C. D.	DIANA - NICOLE	definition)	
				Monkey testing	Quality assurance vs Quality control
3	DRAGODAN	A.	ALEXANDRA-ADRIANA		
				Software testing life cycle	Compare and contrast: top-down integration and bottom-up
4	DRAGOMIR	G	IOANA BIANCA		integration
•				Hoare Triples	Compare and contrast: Integration testing and System testing
5	DUMA	EL	LAURENȚIU		
	2 0		•	Cost of fixing a bug	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and System testing
6	DUMITRAȘCU	С	MIHAI RĂZVAN		
	DOMITTO GCO		141117 (177 (277 (14	Correctness: refinement	Compare and contrast Testing and Inspection
7	FARCAS	D	ALEXANDRU	rules	
	TARCAS			White box testing: logic-	Compare and contrast: Symbolic execution and Model checking
۵	FÂRTE	\/ S	RAZVAN-DAN	coverage testing	
	IT WILL	٧.٥.		white-box testing: independ	Compare and contrast Testing and Demonstrating correctness
9	FOVAS	M.	DENIS-DANIEL-GEORGE		
				Model checking	Compare and contrast Inspection and Walkthroughs
10	FRĂŢILĂ	М	NICOLAE		
				Quality control	Compare and contrast: exploratory testing and Scripted testing
11	FULEA	N	RAZVAN-DOREL		

Short	short desciption of the notion		a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
			1 0	Session Based Test	Compare and contrast: Testing and Symbolic execution
12	GAE	O.A.	ANDRADA MARIA	 Management	
	0.12			Dijkstra weakest pre-	Compare and contrast in white-box testing: logic-coverage testing
13	GAL	F.O.	OSCAR	conditions	and path coverage criterion
				Test automation (what to	Compare and contrast: Hoare Triples and Dijkstra weakest pre-
14	GALBEN	P	CATALIN	automate?)	conditions
				White-box testing-Loop	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and Function testing
1 15	GEORGESCU	I.G.	STEFAN-PAUL		
15	GEORGESCO	1.G.	STEFAN-PAUL	testing Quality assurance	Compare and contrast: Unit testing and Function testing
				Quality assurance	Compare and contrast. Only testing and I unction testing
16	GERGELY	B.M.	KAROLY-BELA		
				RIMGEN	Compare and contrast Testing and Pair-progaming
17	GRAD	V	IONUT-ADRIAN		
				Levels of testing	Compare and contrast Pair-programing and Walkthroughs
12	GRIGOR	١,	 SEBASTIAN ALEXANDRU		
10	dilidoit			BBT: boundary-value	Compare and contrast - white box testing - decision (branch)
				BB1: Bearidary Value	Compare and contract. White box teeting "decision (brahen)
10	GRIGORE	F.	DRAGOŞ-ALEXANDRU		
19	GRIGORE	Г.	DRAGOŞ-ALEXANDRO	analysis Domain testing (BBT) -	coverage and condition coverage Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
					Compare and contrast. Idinotional and non-functional testing types
20	GRIGOROVICI	С		Equivalence classes	
				Risk-based testing	Compare and contrast Incremental integration testing versus Big-
21	HANGAN	٧	FLORIN		bang integration.
				Symbolic execution tree	Compare and contrast designing tests using Black-box testing
22	HANGAN	V.	EMILIA		versul White-box testing
				Floyd's inductive assertion	Compare and contrast from the RIMGEN: maximize and generalize
22	MOLDOVAN	 	IOAN ADRIAN	method	
	INICEDOVAIN	1.1.	I CANADINAN	Intentod	

Short	short desciption of the notion		, , ,		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) -	maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
				White-box-testing: Control	Compare and contrast: sandwich testing and big-bang integration
24	ALA CLI		CDICTIANI		
24	NACU	M	CRISTIAN	flow graph	Compare and contrations and testing and quieltest
				Cost of fixing a bug	Compare and contrat: scenario-based testing and quicktest
25	RĂCHITAN	М	NICHITA MIHAI		
				Testing strategy	Compare and contrast - advantages of Black-box testing and White-
26	STAN	G.	ADELIN-ŞTEFAN		box testing
			7	Definition Inspection	Compare and contrast: Integration testing and System testing
27	CTANCUL	١.	ANIA NAADIA	·	
27	STANCIU	11.	ANA-MARIA	Session Based Test	Compare and contrast: top-down integration and bottom-up
				Session based Test	Compare and contrast, top-down integration and bottom-up
28	STANILA	I.	VLAD-IOAN	Management	integration
				Monkey testing	Compare and contrast: test automation and manual testing.
29	TAMAŞ	Ş	IONUȚ-FLORIN		
		7		WBT: Cyclometric	Compare and contrast Smoke testing and Load testing
20	LINICUE		1000		
30	UNGUR	IN.IV	I MARIA	complexity metric	
	934	4		Short	Long
				Regression testing	Compare and contrast: Symbolic execution and Model checking
1	CODOREAN	c.	CARINA-BIANCA		
_	00001127111	 .		Symbolic execution	Quality assurance vs Quality control
_	DUM AUTRES ST	l.			
<u>2</u>	DUMITRESCU	1	DRAGOS-LUCIAN	Overlite a control	Company and contract Testing on the contract
				Quality control	Compare and contrast Testing and Inspection
3	GROZA	V.	DANIEL-VASILE		
				White-box-testing: Control	Compare and contrast integration testing versus unit testing.
1	 HANEŞ	l.	CAMELIA-ANDREEA	flow graph	
-	111 11 VL 9	╫-	C. MVIELIA AMBRILLA	Model checking	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
					25pail 25a 25adda faileachai and fion faileachai todding typod
5	HOJDA	PL	IULIAN IONUT		

Short	short desciption of the notion		a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
				White box testing: logic-	Compare and contrast Inspection and Walkthroughs
6	ILINOIU	О	FLAVIUS - CATALIN	coverage testing	
				Dijkstra weakest pre-	Compare and contrast Incremental integration testing versus Big-
7	ILISEI	P.V.	 DĂNUȚ	conditions	bang integration.
				White-box testing-Loop	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
8	IŞTOAN	V.	OVIDIU-TITUS	testing	
				Hoare Triples	Compare and contrast: exploratory testing and Scripted testing
9	KRISTO	В	BELA KRISZTIAN		
				Floyd's inductive assertion	Compare and contrast: Testing and Symbolic execution
10	LANGA	V	VALERIU CONSTANTIN	method	
				Software testing life cycle	Compare and contrast Testing and Pair-progaming
11	LAZĂR	G	IULIA-ANDREEA		
				Quality assurance	Compare and contrast in white-box testing: logic-coverage testing
12	LAZĂD				
12	LAZĂR	N.E	MARIA	Test automation (what to	and path coverage criterion Compare and contrast Testing and Demonstrating correctness
12	LEANCĂ	_		,	Compare and contrast resumg and Demonstrating correctiness
13	LEANCĂ	T	ADRIAN BOGDAN	automate?) Correctness: refinement	Compare and contrast: Unit testing and Function testing
		_	26.02		Compare and contrast. Only testing and 1 unction testing
14	LEITI	R	DÁVID	rules RIMGEN	Compare and contrast: sandwich testing and big-bang integration
				INIVIOLIN	Compare and contrast. Sandwich testing and big-bang integration
15	LUCA	Α	ALEX-AUGUSTIN		
				Domain testing (BBT) -	Compare and contrast: Hoare Triples and Dijkstra weakest pre-
16	LUPAȘCU	С	ŞTEFAN	Equivalence classes	conditions
				BBT: boundary-value	Compare and contrast from the RIMGEN: maximize and generalize
17	MACARIE	M.C	CRISTIAN	analysis	
				Levels of testing	Compare and contrast Pair-programing and Walkthroughs
18	MĂCIUCĂ	F	MARIA ALEXANDRA		

Short	short desciption of the notion a		a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
		Τ		Symbolic execution tree	Compare and contrast - white box testing - decision (branch)
19	MAIER	R	BOGDAN		coverage and condition coverage
				Risk-based testing	Compare and contrast designing tests using Black-box testing
20	AAAAOD		AL EVANIDRA		
20	MAIOR	D.A.	ALEXANDRA	white have to stingly inclosed	versul White-box testing
				white-box testing: independ	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and Function testing
21	MĂLAI	С	EMIL-SORIN	path coverage	
				Software testing (BBST	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and System testing
22	MALI	D	IMRE-GERGELY	definition)	
				WBT: Cyclometric	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
23	MANAILA	l _M	ANDREI PETRUT	complexity metric	
23	IVIAIVAILA		ANDREFFERNOT	White-box testing-Loop	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and System testing
		l			Somparo and sommastricospianes teeting and System teeting
24	MANCIU	V	DANIEL	testing	
				Definition Inspection	Compare and contrast: test automation and manual testing.
25	MARUSCA	D	TABITA-CORA		
				Session Based Test	Compare and contrast integration testing versus unit testing.
26	PERIŞANU	I.G.	DAVID	Management	
	1 21119/1110	1	D/WID	Hoare Triples	Compare and contrast - advantages of Black-box testing and White-
					Company and common annuages of 2 and 2 of coming and 7 mins
27	TANASE	C.L.	COSMIN		box testing
			000111111	Software testing (BBST	Compare and contrast Testing and Demonstrating correctness
		_	A B B LAN		2
28	TILI	A.D.	ADRIAN-FLORIN	definition)	
				Regression testing	Compare and contrast Inspection and Walkthroughs
29	TRIFON	I.S.	TITUS-TRAIAN		
				Quality control	Compare and contrast Smoke testing and Load testing
30	TURCU	اھ د	.EMA		
	1101100	J U. C	1 = 1 7 1 / 1	1	1

Short	short desciption of the not	ion	a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	- maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
			•		
	935	;		Short	Long
		Ì		Monkey testing	Compare and contrast: Symbolic execution and Model checking
1	DOGAR	A	ALEXANDRU	, 3	
				White box testing: logic-	Compare and contrast: top-down integration and bottom-up
) 2	FRENT	D P	GEORGE TUDOR	coverage testing	integration
	T NEIVI	J	GEORGE TODOR	White-box-testing: Control	Quality assurance vs Quality control
_		l.,			and the second s
3	ILIE	V	ANDREI-VASILE	flow graph	
				Dijkstra weakest pre-	Compare and contrat: scenario-based testing and quicktest
4	JUGARU	L.E.	ROBERT-GEORGE	conditions	
				Quality assurance	Compare and contrast: Integration testing and System testing
5	MICU	lı .	EMERSON GEORGE ION	11	
<u> </u>			ZIVIZNOON OZONOZ ION	Testing strategy	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
		_		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3 %
6	MIHALACHE	L.S	MIHAI-ALEXANDRU	Coffee and to the order	Commence and continued Market the alicent and Domestic transfer
				Software testing life cycle	Compare and contrast Model checking and Demonstrating
,	MIHALIUC	L C	IOANA - ALEXANDRA		
	IVIINALIUC	F.3.	IOANA - ALEXANDRA	Symbolic execution	correctness Compare and contrast: Unit testing and Function testing
				Symbolic execution	Compare and contrast. Only testing and runction testing
8	MIRCEA	D	SORIN-SEBASTIAN		
				Cost of fixing a bug	Compare and contrast Testing and Inspection
9	MIRCEA	V.D.	MARIA-MĂDĂLINA		
				Test automation (what to	Compare and contrast: Hoare Triples and Dijkstra weakest pre-
10	MISAN	١.	ANDREI-LUCIAN	automato 2)	conditions
<u> </u>	IVIIJAN	-	ANDIVELLOCIAIN	automate?) Correctness: refinement	conditions Compare and contrast: sandwich testing and big-bang integration
				Correctiness. Tellifetifetil	Compare and contrast, sandwich testing and big-bang integration
11	MOISUC	Р	NAOMI	rules	
				Floyd's inductive assertion	Compare and contrast in white-box testing: logic-coverage testing
12	MOLDOVAN	A-V	ALEXANDRU-VASILE	method	and path coverage criterion
	•	•	•	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Short	short desciption of the notion		a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	- maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
				Domain testing (BBT) -	Compare and contrast: Testing and Symbolic execution
13	MOLDOVANU	S	TUDOR	Equivalence classes	
				RIMGEN	Compare and contrast - white box testing - decision (branch)
	,				
14	MOLNÁR	Α	ROLAND		coverage and condition coverage
				Regression testing	Compare and contrast from the RIMGEN: maximize and generalize
15	MORARIU	0	ALEXANDRU-SIMION		
				Symbolic execution tree	Compare and contrast: exploratory testing and Scripted testing
16	MUREŞAN	V.	ALEXANDRA-MIHAELA		
	-			Levels of testing	Compare and contrast Pair-programing and Walkthroughs
17	MURG	Α	DANIEL		
				white-box testing: independ	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and Function testing
18	NAGY	G	ALEXANDRU	path coverage	
				Risk-based testing	Compare and contrast designing tests using Black-box testing
10	NAZADIE	.,	CIDDIANI ALEVANDRII		1140
19	NAZARIE	М	CIPRIAN-ALEXANDRU	BBT: boundary-value	versul White-box testing Compare and contrast Testing and Pair-progaming
					Compare and contrast resting and rain-progaming
20	NEAMŢ	L. I.	VLAD IOAN	analysis	
				Model checking	Compare and contrast Incremental integration testing versus Big-
21	NECHITA	GO	SEBASTIAN		bang integration.
				White-box testing-Loop	Compare and contrat: scenario-based testing and quicktest
22	NECHITA	l.	IONUȚ-VASILE	testing	
				Symbolic execution	Compare and contrast: top-down integration and bottom-up
23	NEGRU	D	SERGIU		integration
				Definition Inspection	Compare and contrast: test automation and manual testing.
2/1	NEMEŞ	ر ر	BIANCA-LAURA		
	INCIVILY	LC.C.	DIVINCA LAUNA	L	

Short	short desciption of the notion a paragraph (5-8 lines)			Written by hand!	
Long	3 (similarities and differen	ces) +	- maximum 1 page A4		Not printed!
				Regression testing	Compare and contrast - white box testing - decision (branch)
25	OBREJA	C.	ELENA		coverage and condition coverage
				WBT: Cyclometric	Compare and contrast: Symbolic execution and Model checking
26	OLTEANU	D.A.	DAN-ANDREI	complexity metric	
				BBT: boundary-value	Compare and contrast Smoke testing and Load testing
27	CLICILI	l.	CTEFANI CEDACTIANI		
27	SUCIU	-	ŞTEFAN SEBASTIAN	analysis	Compare and contract Model shocking and Domonstrating
				Software testing (BBST	Compare and contrast Model checking and Demonstrating
28	USCAT	ОТ	MIHAI ANDREI	definition)	correctness
				White-box-testing: Control	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
29	VARGA	I.V.	ALEXANDRU	flow graph	
				Session Based Test	Compare and contrast Inspection and Walkthroughs
30	VIERIU	MG	DENIS-GABRIEL	Management	
30	VILITIO	IVI G	DENIS GADINEL	White box testing: logic-	Compare and contrast Testing and Demonstrating correctness
					Compare and contract resting and Bernenstrating corrections
31	SZUHAI	P.A.	IULIA MONICA	coverage testing	
	936	3		Short	Long
	300	Ī	I	Software testing life cycle	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and System testing
			AA1DD51.101	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	and system tooling
1	GAVRILĂ	O.E.	ANDREI-IONUȚ	A ("	
				Acceptance testing	Compare and contrast Testing and Inspection
2	LUNG	I.	ANDREEA-CRISTINA		
				Model checking	Quality assurance vs Quality control
2	MUSCALA	S	ANDREI		
- 3	INIOSCALA		AIVUILI	Quality control	Compare and contrast Symbolic execution and Conventional
				Sadily Control	
4	OPRUȚA	F	DAVID		execution
				Monkey testing	Compare and contrast integration testing versus unit testing.
5	OROSZ	J	DENISE		
	l		·		

Short	short desciption of the notion a paragraph (5-8 line		a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differences) + maximum 1 page A4				Not printed!
			1 5	white-box testing: independ	Compare and contrast: Integration testing and System testing
6	OSIAN	G	МІНАІ	path coverage	
				Floyd's inductive assertion	Compare and contrast Acceptance testing and Function testing
7	PAIUS	DC	TEODOR-MARIAN	method	
				Cost of fixing a bug	Compare and contrast from the RIMGEN: maximize and generalize
8	PANAITE	D	DORINEL		
				Hoare Triples	Compare and contrast: Unit testing and Function testing
9	PÎTEA	N.V.	NICOLAE-OCTAVIAN		
				Dijkstra weakest pre-	Compare and contrast Testing and Pair-progaming
10	PODARIU	H.I.	HOREA CATALIN	conditions	
				Domain testing (BBT) -	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
11	POP	F	CLAUDIA	Equivalence classes	
				Model checking	Compare and contrast: exploratory testing and Scripted testing
12	POP	0	LORENA NOEMI		
				Symbolic execution tree	Compare and contrast Pair-programing and Walkthroughs
13	POP	Р	PAUL DARIUS		
				Levels of testing	Compare and contrast: Testing and Symbolic execution
14	POPA	I.A.	CĂTĂLIN		
				Correctness: refinement	Compare and contrast Incremental integration testing versus Big-
4.5	DDICA CA DIII		AL EVANDELL		
15	PRISACARIU	С	ALEXANDRU	rules Quality assurance	bang integration. Compare and contrast designing tests using Black-box testing
				Quality assurance	Compare and contrast designing tests using black-box testing
16	PRODAN	G.	BIANCA-MARIA		versul White-box testing
				Risk-based testing	Compare and contrast: sandwich testing and big-bang integration
17	RAŢIU	CI	COSMINA CRISTINA		
				Test automation (what to	Compare and contrast: Hoare Triples and Dijkstra weakest pre-
18	ROBAŞ	R.D.	IULIA	automate?)	conditions

Short	short desciption of the notion a parag		a paragraph (5-8 lines)		Written by hand!
Long	3 (similarities and differences) + maximum 1 page A4				Not printed!
				RIMGEN	Compare and contrast in white-box testing: logic-coverage testing
19	ROMAN	I.A.	SERGIU-IOAN		and path coverage criterion
				Testing strategy	Compare and contrast - advantages of Black-box testing and White-
20	SABADAS	R	OANA STEFANIA		box testing
				Definition Inspection	Compare and contrast: Integration testing and System testing
21	SABADÎŞ	V	ANDREEA-IOANA		
	O. 1.2.1.4			Quality metrics for project	Compare and contrast Inspection and Walkthroughs
				(defect detection rate,	
22	SABOU	С	LORENA LIGIA	defects per product, etc)	
				Symbolic execution	Compare and contrast: Unit testing and Function testing
23	SAS	S	INGRID-IULIA		
				Domain testing (BBT) -	Compare and contrast integration testing versus unit testing.
24	SAVA	N	GHEORGHE	Equivalence classes	
	57.17.1	- <u>`</u>	GHZGHGHZ	Monkey testing	Compare and contrast Pair-programing and Walkthroughs
25	VĂSIEȘ	LR	ANDREEA-LOUISA		
23	VASIEŞ	LN	ANDREEA-LOUISA	Software testing (BBST	Compare and contrast designing tests using Black-box testing
				Continuing (BBC)	Compare and contract designing tests daining black box testing
26	VELE	VМ	RADU-GEORGE	definition)	versul White-box testing
				White box testing: logic-	Compare and contrast: Hoare Triples and Dijkstra weakest pre-
27	VETRO	 L	ANDREI	coverage testing	conditions
<u> </u>	121110	ļ	,	WBT: Cyclometric	Compare and contrast: exploratory testing and Scripted testing
28	VÎRVARA	Р	ALEXANDRU	complexity metric	
				Quality control	Compare and contrast: Testing and Symbolic execution
29	VITOC	DC	ALECSANDRU DANIEL		
	141.00	125	/ ILLES/ INDITED DAINILL	1	

Short Long	short desciption of the notion a paragraph (5-8 lines) 3 (similarities and differences) + maximum 1 page A4			Written by hand! Not printed!	
30	ZĂPÎRȚAN	V	TATIANA	Model checking	Quality assurance vs Quality control
	Others			Short	Long
1	Alonso		Lucia	Test automation (what to automate?)	Compare and contrast: functional and non-functional testing types
2	Ayachi	ha me		Zavarschi PavelQuality assurance	Compare and contrast: test automation and manual testing.
3	Sabau		Liviu Dragos	Hoare Triples	Compare and contrast in white-box testing: logic-coverage testing and path coverage criterion
4	Bocaniciu		Radu Teofil	Risk-based testing	Compare and contrast Incremental integration testing versus Bigbang integration.
5	Mehedeniuc		Gheorghe	Levels of testing	Compare and contrast: Model checking - safety propertis and liveness properties
6	Lucaciu		Catalin	Quality metrics for project (defect detection rate, defects per product, etc)	Compare and contrast Inspection and Walkthroughs