Paired factor analysis (PFA) model 1

Let D_{nj} be the data corresponding to n-th sample and j-th feature, where n runs from 1 to N and j runs from 1 to J. Suppose these data come from a graph with K nodes (factors) and E edges. In the PFA set up $E = \frac{K(K-1)}{2}$. Let us define latent variables Z and Λ . Z_n is a $E \times 1$ binary vector. We have a prior on

 $Z_{n,k1,k2}$,

$$Pr[Z_{n,k_1,k_2} = 1] = \pi_{k_1,k_2} \qquad k_1 < k_2$$

$$Pr(Z|\pi) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k_1 < k_2}^{E} \pi_{k_1, k_2}^{z_{n, k_1, k_2}}$$

 Λ_n is a $Q \times 1$ binary vector, where Q is the cardinality of the set of values that q can take. For computational convenience we assume that q can take a finite set of values between 0 and 1, say $1/100, 2/100, \dots, 99/100, 1$.

We have a prior on Λ ,

$$Pr\left[\Lambda_{n,q}=1\right]=\delta_q$$

$$Pr(\Lambda|\delta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{q=1}^{Q} \delta_q^{\lambda_{nq}}$$

For data on the graph given edge (k_1, k_2) and position on edge q we assume the model

$$E\left[D_{nj}|Z_{n,k_1,k_2}=1,\Lambda_{n,q}=1,F\right] = qF_{k1,j} + (1-q)F_{k2,j} \tag{1}$$

Where F is a $K \times J$ matrix of factors. Then we can marginalize over Z and Λ , assuming as a first pass that the data is Gaussian in its distribution,

$$Pr\left[D_{n}|Z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}}=1,\delta,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}^{2}\right]=\sum_{q}\delta_{q}Pr\left[D_{n}|Z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}}=1,\Lambda_{n,q}=1,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}^{2}\right]$$

$$Pr\left[D_{n}|\pi, \delta, F, s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}^{2}\right] = \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2}} \pi_{k_{1},k_{2}} Pr\left[D_{n}|Z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}} = 1, \delta, F, s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}^{2}\right]$$

where s_i^2 is the variance of the jth feature.

We define the joint prior over the edges and the fraction of the edge represented as

$$\pi_{k_1, k_2, q} = \pi_{k_1, k_2} \delta_q \qquad k_1 < k_2$$

The overall likelihood

$$L(\pi, F) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} Pr \left[D_n | \pi, F, s_{j=1, 2, \dots, J}^2 \right]$$

or we can write it as

$$L(\pi, F) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k_1 < k_2} \sum_{q} \left[\pi_{k_1, k_2, q} \times \prod_{j=1}^{J} N\left(D_{nj}; qF_{k_1, g} + (1 - q)F_{k_2, g}, s_j^2\right) \right]$$

And the log likelihood

$$\ln L(\pi, F) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left(\sum_{k_1 < k_2} \sum_{q} \left[\pi_{k_1, k_2, q} \times \prod_{j=1}^{J} N\left(D_{nj}; qF_{k_1, g} + (1 - q)F_{k_2, g}, s_j^2 \right) \right] \right)$$
(2)

This is the quantity we want to maximize.

2 EM algorithm

2.1 E step

We assume that q can take a finite set of values between 0 and 1, say $1/100, 2/100, \dots, 90/100, 1$. Suppose we have run upto m iterations. For the (m+1)th iteration, we have

$$\delta_{n,k_{1},k_{2},q}^{(m+1)} = Pr\left[Z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}} = 1, \Lambda_{n,q} = 1 | \pi^{(m)}, F^{(m)}, s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}^{(m)}, D_{n}\right]$$

$$\propto Pr\left[Z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}} = 1\right] Pr\left[\lambda_{n,q} = 1\right] Pr\left[D_{n} | \pi^{(m)}, F^{(m)}, s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}^{(m)}, Z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}} = 1, \lambda_{n,q} = 1\right]$$

$$\propto \pi_{k_{1},k_{2},q}^{(m)} \prod_{j} N\left(D_{nj} | qF_{k_{1},j}^{(m)} + (1-q)F_{k_{2},j}^{(m)}, s_{j}^{(m)^{2}}\right)$$

where $s_j^{(m)^2}$ is the residual variance of feature j. We normalize δ so that

$$\sum_{k_1 < k_2} \sum_{q} \delta_{n,k_1,k_2,q}^{(m+1)} = 1 \qquad \forall n$$

We define

$$\pi_{k_1,k_2,q}^{(m+1)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta_{n,k_1,k_2,q}^{(m+1)}$$

We have therefore updated $\pi_{k_1,k_2,q}^{(m)}$ to $\pi_{k_1,k_2,q}^{(m+1)}$

2.1.1 Variational EM - Model 1

In this set up, we assume prior distributions of π and δ as follows

$$Pr(\pi|\alpha_0) = C(\alpha_0) \prod_{k_1 < k_2} \pi_{k_1, k_2}^{\alpha_0 - 1}$$

Similarly the prior distribution for δ is

$$Pr(\delta|\beta_0) = C(\beta_0) \prod_{q=1}^{Q} \delta_q^{\beta_0 - 1}$$

The likelihood above can be written as

$$p(D|Z, \Lambda, F, s_{j=1,2,\dots,J}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k_1 < k_2} \prod_{q=1}^{Q} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{J} N(D_{ng}|qF_{k_1,g} + (1-q)F_{k_2,g}, s_g^2)\right]^{\Lambda_{nq}Z_{n,k_1,k_2}}$$

The joint probability distribution distribution is given by

$$p(D, Z, \Lambda, \pi, \delta | F, s_{j=1,2,\dots,J}, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = p(\pi | \alpha_0) p(\delta | \beta_0) p(\Lambda | \delta) p(Z | \pi) p(D | Z, \Lambda, F, s_{j=1,2,\dots,J})$$

We assume the following mean field variational distribution. In the first model, we assume the two latent variables Z and Λ are independent.

$$q(Z, \Lambda, \pi, \delta) = q(Z)q(\Lambda)q(\pi)q(\delta)$$

The variational distribution for Z

$$\ln q^{\star}(Z) = E_{\pi,\delta,\Lambda} \left[\ln p(\pi|\alpha_0) + \ln p(\delta|\beta_0) + \ln p(\Lambda|\delta) + \ln p(Z|\pi) + \ln p(D|Z,\Lambda,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}) \right]$$

$$= E_{\pi,\delta,\Lambda} \left[\ln p(Z|\pi) + \ln p(D|Z,\Lambda,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}) \right] + constant$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k_1 < k_2} z_{n,k_1,k_2} E_{\pi} \left[\ln(\pi_{k_1,k_2}) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k_1 < k_2} z_{n,k_1,k_2} \sum_{q} E_{\Lambda}(\lambda_{nq}) \left[- \sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_j) \right]$$

$$- \frac{J}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k_1,j} - (1-q)F_{k_2,j})^2}{2s_j^2}$$

$$\ln q^{\star}(\Lambda) = E_{\pi,\delta,Z} \left[\ln p(\pi|\alpha_0) + \ln p(\delta|\beta_0) + \ln p(\Lambda|\delta) + \ln p(Z|\pi) + \ln p(D|Z,\Lambda,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}) \right]$$

$$= E_{\pi,\delta,Z} \left[\ln p(\Lambda|\delta) + \ln p(D|Z,\Lambda,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}) \right] + constant$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \lambda_{n,q} E_{\delta} \left[\ln(\delta_q) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{q} \lambda_{nq} \sum_{k_1 < k_2} E_{Z}(z_{n,k_1,k_2}) \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_j) \right]$$

$$-\frac{J}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k1,j} - (1-q)F_{k2,j})^2}{2s_j^2}$$

So we get

$$q^{\star}(Z) \propto \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k_1 < k_2} \rho_{n,k_1,k_2}^{Z_{n,k_1,k_2}}$$

where we define

$$\rho_{n,k1,k2} \propto exp\left(E_{\pi}\left[\ln(\pi_{k1,k2})\right] + \sum_{q} E_{\Lambda}(\lambda_{nq})\left[-\sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_{j}) - \frac{J}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k1,j} - (1-q)F_{k2,j})^{2}}{2s_{j}^{2}}\right]\right)$$

$$\rho_{n,k1,k2} \propto exp\left(E_{\pi}\left[\ln(\pi_{k1,k2})\right] + \sum_{q} \nu_{nq}\left[-\sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_j) - \frac{J}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k1,j} - (1-q)F_{k2,j})^2}{2s_j^2}\right]\right)$$

$$\rho_{n,k1,k2} \propto exp\left(\psi_{a_{k1,k2}} - \psi(\sum_{k1 < k2} a_{k1,k2}) + \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_j) - \frac{J}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k1,j} - (1-q)F_{k2,j})^2}{2s_j^2} \right] \right)$$

 $\rho_{n,k1,k2}$ is normalized to sum to 1 for each n over k1 and k2. We also get

$$q^{\star}(\Lambda) \propto \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{q=1}^{Q}
u_{nq}^{\Lambda_{nq}}$$

where

$$\nu_{nq} \propto exp \left(E_{\delta} \left[\ln(\delta_q) \right] + \sum_{k_1 < k_2} E_Z(z_{n,k1,k2}) \left[-\sum_{j=1}^J \ln(s_j) - \frac{J}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k1,j} - (1-q)F_{k2,j})^2}{2s_j^2} \right] \right)$$

$$\nu_{nq} \propto exp\left(E_{\delta}\left[\ln(\delta_q)\right] + \sum_{k_1 < k_2} \rho_{n,k_1,k_2} \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_j) - \frac{J}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k_1,j} - (1-q)F_{k_2,j})^2}{2s_j^2} \right] \right)$$

$$\nu_{nq} \propto exp\left(\psi(b_q) - \psi(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} b_q) + \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_j) - \frac{J}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k1,j} - (1-q)F_{k2,j})^2}{2s_j^2} \right] \right)$$

 ν_{nq} are normalized to sum to 1.

We can also derive variational distributions similarly for π and δ .

$$\ln q^{\star}(\pi) = E_{\Lambda,Z,\delta} \left[\ln p(\pi|\alpha_0) + \ln p(\delta|\beta_0) + \ln p(\Lambda|\delta) + \ln p(Z|\pi) + \ln p(D|Z,\Lambda,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}) \right]
= E_Z \left[\ln p(Z|\pi) \right] + \ln p(\pi|\alpha_0) + constant
= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k1 < k2} E(z_{n,k1,k2}) \ln \pi_{k1,k2} + (\alpha_0 - 1) \sum_{k1 < k2} \ln \pi_{k1,k2}
= \sum_{k1 < k2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{n,k1,k2} + (\alpha_0 - 1) \right] \ln \pi_k$$

We define

$$a_{k1,k2} = \alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{n,k1,k2}$$

 $q^*(\pi) = Dir(\pi|a)$

$$\ln q^{*}(\delta) = E_{\Lambda,Z,\pi} \left[\ln p(\pi | \alpha_{0}) + \ln p(\delta | \beta_{0}) + \ln p(\Lambda | \delta) + \ln p(Z | \pi) + \ln p(D | Z, \Lambda, F, s_{j=1,2,\dots,J}) \right]
= E_{\Lambda} \left[\ln p(\Lambda | \delta) \right] + \ln p(\delta | \beta_{0}) + constant
= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} E(\lambda_{n,q}) \ln \delta_{q} + (\beta_{0} - 1) \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \ln \delta_{q}
= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \nu_{n,q} + (\beta_{0} - 1) \right] \ln \delta_{q}$$

We define

$$b_q = \beta_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N \nu_{n,q}$$

$$q^{\star}(\delta) = Dir(\delta|b)$$

We alternate between the Variational E and M steps, E steps being the ones where we compute the responsibilities $\rho_{n,k1,k2}$ and $\nu_{n,q}$ and the M step is where we update the variational distribution of the parameters π and δ .

We can start with $a = \alpha_0$ and $b = \beta_0$. We can then estimate ρ_{n,k_1,k_2} and also ν_{nq} and then then product of these two terms to get new responsibility

$$\delta_{n,k1,k2,q} = \rho_{n,k1,k2}\nu_{nq}$$

and we use this $\delta_{n,k_1,k_2,q}$ as the responsibility for the M-step of the original EM updates.

2.1.2 Variational EM - Model 2

In model 2, we do not assume independence of the latent variables Z and Λ and instead estimate their joint variational distribution.

$$q(Z,\Lambda,\pi,\delta) = q(Z,\Lambda)q(\pi)q(\delta)$$

$$\ln q^{\star}(Z,\Lambda) = E_{\pi,\delta} \left[\ln p(\pi|\alpha_{0}) + \ln p(\delta|\beta_{0}) + \ln p(\Lambda|\delta) + \ln p(Z|\pi) + \ln p(D|Z,\Lambda,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}) \right]$$

$$= E_{\pi,\delta,\Lambda} \left[\ln p(Z|\pi) + \ln p(\Lambda|\delta) + \ln p(D|Z,\Lambda,F,s_{j=1,2,\cdots,J}) \right] + constant$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2}} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}} \lambda_{nq} E_{\pi} \left[\ln(\pi_{k_{1},k_{2}}) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2}} \lambda_{n,q} z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}} E_{\delta} \left[\ln(\delta_{q}) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2}} \sum_{q} z_{n,k_{1},k_{2}} \lambda_{nq} \left[- \sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_{j}) - \frac{J}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k_{1},j} - (1 - q)F_{k_{2},j})^{2}}{2s_{j}^{2}} \right]$$

From here one can get

$$q^{\star}(Z,\Lambda) \propto \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k_1 < k_2} \prod_{q=1}^{Q} \delta_{n,k_1,k_2,q}^{Z_{n,k_1,k_2}\Lambda_{n,q}}$$

then

$$\delta_{n,k1,k2,q} \propto exp\left(E_{\pi}\left[\ln(\pi_{k1,k2})\right] + E_{\delta}\left[\ln(\delta_{q})\right] + \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{J}\ln(s_{j}) - \frac{J}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J}\frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k1,j} - (1-q)F_{k2,j})^{2}}{2s_{j}^{2}}\right]\right)$$
(4)

$$\delta_{n,k1,k2,q} \propto exp\left(\psi_{a_{k1,k2}} - \psi(\sum_{k1 < k2} a_{k1,k2}) + \psi(b_q) - \psi(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} b_q)\right)$$

$$\left[-\sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln(s_j) - \frac{J}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k1,j} - (1-q)F_{k2,j})^2}{2s_j^2} \right] \right)$$
(5)

The updates for π and δ are same as before. Here also we have the same way of initializing π and δ first, then use $a_{k1,k2} = \alpha_0$ and $b_q = \beta_0$ to begin with and estimate $\delta_{n,k1,k2,q}$. Then use the $\delta_{n,k1,k2,q}$ to update $a_{k1,k2}$ and b_q and proceed in this way. In this case, we do not assume independence of the Λ and Z variational distributions, so this model is more generalized.

2.2 M step

We define the parameter

$$\theta := (\pi_{k_1, k_2, q}, F, s_{j=1, 2, \cdots, J})$$

We define the complete loglikelihood

$$log L_c(\theta; D, Z, \lambda) = log \pi_{k_1, k_2, q} + log L(D|Z, \lambda, q, F)$$

We take the expectation of this quantity with respect to $[Z, \lambda | D, \theta^{(m)}]$.

$$Q(\theta|\theta^{(m)}) \propto -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k_1 < k_2} \sum_{q} \delta_{n,k_1,k_2,q}^{(m+1)} \sum_{j} \left[log s_j^{(m+1)} + \frac{(D_{nj} - qF_{k_1,j} - (1-q)F_{k_2,j})^2}{2s_j^{(m+1)^2}} \right]$$
(6)

We try to maximize this quantity with respect to F, So, we can take derivative with respect to F and try to solve the resulting normal equation.

This equation, conditional on $[Z, \lambda | D, \theta^{(m)}]$, can be written as

$$D_{N\times J} = L_{N\times K} F_{K\times J} + E_{N\times J} \tag{7}$$

where

$$e_{nj} \sim N(0, s_j^2)$$

We define

$$D'_{nj} := \frac{D_{nj}}{s_i}$$

If we consider finding the factors on a feature-by-feature basis, we do not need to worry about s_j .

$$L_{nk} = \begin{cases} q \text{ or } (1-q) & \lambda_n = q \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}}[L_{nk}] = \sum_{q} \sum_{k_2 > k} q \delta_{n,k,k_2,q}^{(m+1)} + \sum_{q} \sum_{k_1 < k} (1-q) \delta_{n,k_1,k,q}^{(m+1)}$$

$$E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}}[L_{nk}^2] = \sum_{q} \sum_{k_2 > k} q^2 \delta_{n,k,k_2,q}^{(m+1)} + \sum_{q} \sum_{k_1 < k} (1-q)^2 \delta_{n,k_1,k,q}^{(m+1)}$$

Also for any $k \neq l$,

$$E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}}[L_{nk}L_{nl}] = \sum_{q} q(1-q)\delta_{n,k,l,q}^{(m+1)}$$

We use these to solve for the equation

$$\left[E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}}\left(L^TL\right)\right]F \approx \left[E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}}(L)\right]^TD$$

The solution therefore is

$$F \approx \left[E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}} \left(L^T L \right) \right]^{-1} \left[E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}} (L) \right]^T D$$

For $W = L^T L$

$$W_{kl} = \sum_{n} L_{kn} L_{nl}$$

$$E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}} (W_{kl}) = \sum_{n} E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}} (L_{nk} L_{nl})$$

We use the definition of $E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}}[L_{nk}^2]$ and $E_{Z,\lambda|D,\theta^{(m)}}[L_{nk}L_{nl}]$ from above to solve F. In the same way as we computed F by solving for the normal equation obtained from taking derivative of the function $Q(\theta|\theta^{(m)})$, we take derivative of the latter with respect to s_j^2 to obtain EM updates of the residual variance terms. Taking the derivative, we obtain the estimate as

$$\widehat{s_j^{(m+1)}}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k_1 < k_2} \sum_q \delta_{n,k_1,k_2,q}^{(m+1)} (D_{nj} - qF_{k_1,j} - (1-q)F_{k_2,j})^2$$
(8)

where the F are the estimated values of the factors from the previous step. We then continue this procedure described above for multiple iterations.