Rewriting modulo traced comonoid structure

George Kaye Dan Ghica

University of Birmingham

04 July 2023 – FSCD 2023, Rome

Why are we here?

We want to reason equationally with processes with notions of feedback, copying and discarding

(e.g. digital circuits)

What should the syntax for these processes be?

How do we reason with this syntax?

What is the best way to rewrite with this syntax?

Is this syntax suitable for automating rewrites?

The big picture

We have specialised previous work on hypergraph string diagram rewriting to settings with a traced comonoid structure.

The building blocks

The graphical syntax of string diagrams



(symmetric monoidal category)

Why are we here?

We want to have feedback.



(traced structure)

We want to fork and stub.



(commutative comonoid structure)

Why are we here?

We want to copy and discard.



(Cartesian structure)

Why are we here?

We want to reason graphically.

Why are we here?

We want to reason graphically.



We want to reason graphically.



We want to reason graphically.



Why are we here?

We want to reason graphically.



We want to reason graphically.



We want to reason graphically.



We want to reason graphically.



Why are we here?

We want to reason graphically.



(unfolding, fixpoint equation)

Why are we here?

We want to do this reasoning computationally.

This is hard for terms, even with string diagrams.

(lots of shuffling around and bookkeeping required with the comonoid)

But computers like graphs...

What came before

String graphs



Dixon, Kissinger

 

Hypergraphs



Bonchi, Gadduchi, Kissinger, Sobocinski, Zanasi





The hyper kind of graph



The hyper kind of (interfaced) graph



Goal

string diagrams as cospans of hypergraphs

But which hypergraphs?

Which cospans correspond to symmetric monoidal terms?

Monogamous acyclic hypergraphs

One connection on the left, one on the right



monogamous acyclic hypergraphs

symmetric monoidal term



$\leftrightarrow$







Monogamous acyclic hypergraphs are too restrictive.

Feeling special

Which terms correspond to arbitrary cospans of hypergraphs?

Terms with a special commutative Frobenius structure





Feeling special

Another correspondence

isomorphism class of hypergraphs



$\leftrightarrow$

Frobenius term modulo equations



Arbitrary hypergraphs are not restrictive enough.

Frobenius to traced comonoid

Traced comonoid is ‘half’ Frobenius... 

Any category with Frobenius is self-dual compact closed...



Trace can be built from compact closed structure...



Monogamous on one side

Partial left-monogamous hypergraphs

One connection on the left, many on the right







Special cases...

Trace of the identity



Trace of the fork



One more correspondence

partial left-monogamous hypergraphs



$\leftrightarrow$

traced comonoid term



Now what?

We can interpret terms as graphs

Now to reason with them!

Applying equations $\leftrightarrow$ Graph rewriting

Double pushout (DPO) rewriting

One rule for them





Do the double pushout



Do the double pushout



Matching

Do the double pushout



Pushout complement

Do the double pushout



Pushout!

Which pushout complements are valid rewrites?

Symmetric monoidal setting? Exactly one pushout complement valid

Which pushout complements are valid rewrites?

Symmetric monoidal setting? Exactly one pushout complement valid

    

Which pushout complements are valid rewrites?

Symmetric monoidal setting? Exactly one pushout complement valid

Frobenius setting? All pushout complements valid

Give me complements

Which pushout complements are valid rewrites?

Symmetric monoidal setting? Exactly one pushout complement valid

Traced comonoid setting? Some pushout complements valid

Frobenius setting? All pushout complements valid

I need some validation



This cospan is partial left-monogamous:

Inputs of term
Outputs of rule



Inputs of rule
Outputs of term

It's two, actually





It’s two, actually







It’s two, actually





It’s two, actually





It’s two, actually





It’s two, actually







It’s two, actually







It’s two, actually





It’s two, actually (comonoid style)





It’s two, actually (comonoid style)





It’s two, actually (comonoid style)





It’s two, actually (comonoid style)





It’s two, actually (comonoid style)





Remember me?



Unfolding again



Unfolding again



Unfolding again



Two contributions

Characterised partial left-monogamous cospans of hypergraphs as a suitable hypergraph interpretation of traced comonoid terms

Characterised the correct notion of pushout complement for traced comonoid terms