

## Exercise Sheet: Bayesian Statistics

Lecturer &amp; author: Georgios P. Karagiannis

georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

### Part I

## Matrix & vector calculus

The exercises about Matrix & vector calculus are optional and can be skipped.

**Exercise 1.** (★) Let  $A, B$  be  $K \times K$  invertible matrices. Show that

$$(A + B)^{-1} = A^{-1}(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}B^{-1}$$

**Exercise 2.** (★★) [Woodbury matrix identity] Verify that

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

if  $A$  and  $C$  are non-singular.

**Exercise 3.** (★★) [Sherman–Morrison formula] Let  $A$  be a  $K \times K$  invertible matrix and  $u$  and  $v$  two  $K \times 1$  column vectors. Verify that

$$(A + uv^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u} A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}$$

if  $1 + v^T A^{-1}u \neq 0$ , and if  $A$  is non-singular.

**Exercise 4.** (★★★) [Block partition matrix inversion] Let  $A$  be  $K \times K$  invertible matrix, and let  $B = A^{-1}$  its inverse. Consider Partition

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}; B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Namely,  $B_{11} = [A^{-1}]_{11}$  is the upper corner of the  $A^{-1}$ , etc...

Show that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}^{-1} &= B_{11} = B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} \\ A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} &= -B_{12}B_{22}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

27 **Hint:** Start by noticing that

28

$$AB = I \iff \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} &= I \\ A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} &= 0 \end{cases}$$

## Part II

# Random variables

**Exercise 5.** (\*) Let  $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a univariate random variable with CDF  $F_y(\cdot)$ . Consider a bijective function  $h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  with  $z = h(y)$ , and  $h^{-1}$  its inverse. The PDF of  $z$  is

$$F_z(z) = \begin{cases} F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } h \nearrow \\ 1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } h \searrow \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 6.** (\*) Let  $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a univariate random variable with PDF  $f_y(\cdot)$ . Consider a bijective function  $h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $h^{-1}$  be the inverse function of  $h$ . Consider a univariate random variable such that  $z = h(y)$ . The PDF of  $z$  is

$$f_z(z) = f_y(y) \left| \det\left(\frac{dy}{dz}\right) \right| = f_y(h^{-1}(z)) \left| \det\left(\frac{d}{dz} h^{-1}(z)\right) \right|$$

**Exercise 7.** (\*) Let  $y \sim \text{Ex}(\lambda)$  r.v. with Exponential distribution with rate parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $f_{\text{Ex}(\lambda)}(y) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda y) 1(y \geq 0)$ . Let  $z = 1 - \exp(-\lambda y)$ . Calculate the PDF of  $z$ , and recognize its distribution.

**Exercise 8.** (\*) Prove the following properties

1. Let matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times d}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , and  $z = c + Ay$  then

$$\mathbb{E}(z) = \mathbb{E}(c + Ay) = c + A\mathbb{E}(y)$$

2. Let random variables  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , and let functions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  defined on  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z) + \psi_2(y)) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z)) + \mathbb{E}(\psi_2(y))$$

3. If random variables  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  are independent then

$$\mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z)\psi_2(y)) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z))\mathbb{E}(\psi_2(y))$$

for any functions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  defined on  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

**Exercise 9.** (\*) Prove the following properties of the covariance matrix

1.  $\text{Cov}(z, y) = \mathbb{E}(zy^\top) - \mathbb{E}(z)(\mathbb{E}(y))^\top$

2.  $\text{Cov}(z, y) = (\text{Cov}(y, z))^\top$

3.  $\text{Cov}_\pi(c_1 + A_1 z, c_2 + A_2 y) = A_1 \text{Cov}_\pi(z, y) A_2^\top$ , for fixed matrices  $A_1, A_2$ , and vectors  $c_1, c_2$  with suitable dimensions.

4. If  $z$  and  $y$  are independent random vectors then  $\text{Cov}(z, y) = 0$

**Exercise 10.** (★) Prove that the  $(i, j)$ -th element of the covariance matrix between vector  $z$  and  $y$  is the covariance between their elements  $z_i$  and  $y_j$ :

$$[\text{Cov}(z, y)]_{i,j} = \text{Cov}(z_i, y_j)$$

**Exercise 11.** (★) Prove the following properties of  $\text{Var}(Y)$  for a random vector  $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$

1.  $\text{Var}(y) = \mathbb{E}(yy^\top) - \mathbb{E}(y) \mathbb{E}(y)^\top$
2.  $\text{Var}(c + Ay) = A\text{Var}(y)A^\top$ , for fixed matrix  $A$ , and vectors  $c$  with suitable dimensions.
3.  $\text{Var}(y) \geq 0$ ; (semi-positive definite)

**Exercise 12.** (★) Prove the following properties of characteristic functions

1.  $\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = e^{it^\top A} \varphi_x(B^\top t)$  if  $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  are constants
2.  $\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \varphi_x(t) \varphi_y(t)$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are independent
3. if  $M_x(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{t^\top x})$  is the moment generating function, then  $M_x(t) = \varphi_x(-it)$

**Exercise 13.** (★) Show that if  $X \sim \text{Ex}(\lambda)$  then  $\varphi_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$ .

**Exercise 14.** (★)

1. Find  $\varphi_X(t)$  if  $X \sim \text{Br}(p)$ .
2. Find  $\varphi_Y(t)$  if  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$

**Exercise 15.** (★★) Prove the following statement related to the Bayesian theorem:

Assume a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Let a random variable  $y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  with distribution  $F(\cdot)$ . Consider a partition  $y = (x, \theta)$  with  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Then the probability density function (PDF), or the probability mass function (PMF) of  $\theta|x$  is

$$f(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)} \quad (1)$$

**Hint** Consider cases where  $x$  is discrete and continuous. In the later case use the mean value theorem :

$$\int_A f(x)g(x)dx = f(\xi) \int_A g(x)dx$$

where  $\xi \in A$  if  $A$  is connected, and  $g(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in A$ .

**Exercise 16.** (★) Prove that:

1. if  $Z \sim \text{N}(0, I)$  then  $\varphi_Z(t) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^\top t)$ , where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$

2. if  $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  then  $\varphi_X(t) = \exp(it^T \mu - \frac{1}{2}t^T \Sigma t)$ , where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$

**Hint:** Assume as known that if  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  then  $\varphi_Z(t) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2)$ , where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}$

---

**Exercise 17.** (★) Show the following properties of the Characteristic Function

1.  $\varphi_x(0) = 1$  and  $|\varphi_x(t)| \leq 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$

2.  $\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = e^{it^T A} \varphi_x(B^T t)$  if  $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  are constants

3.  $x$  and  $y$  are independent then  $\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \varphi_x(t) \varphi_y(t)$  (we do not prove the other way around)

4. if  $M_x(t) = E(e^{t^T x})$  is the moment generating function, then  $M_x(t) = \varphi_x(-it)$

---

## Part III

# Probability calculus

**Exercise 18.** (★) Let a random variable  $x \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$ , a fixed value  $c > 0$ , and  $y = cx$  then  $y \sim \text{IG}(a, cb)$ .

**Exercise 19.** (★★) Consider that  $x$  given  $z$  is distributed according to  $\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})$ , and that  $z$  is distributed according to  $\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$ ; i.e.

$$\begin{cases} x|z & \sim \text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2}) \\ z & \sim \text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}) \end{cases}$$

Here,  $\text{Ga}(\alpha, \beta)$  is the Gamma distribution with shape and rate parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and PDF

$$f_{\text{Ga}(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} \mathbf{1}(x > 0)$$

1. Show that the compound distribution of  $x$  is  $F$   $x \sim F(n, m)$ , where  $F(n, m)$  is  $F$  distribution with numerator and denominator degrees of freedom  $n$  and  $m$ , and PDF

$$f_{F(n, m)}(x) = \frac{1}{x B(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \sqrt{\frac{(nx)^n m^m}{(nx + m)^{n+m}}} \mathbf{1}(x > 0)$$

2. Show that

$$E_{F(n, m)}(x) = \frac{m}{m-2}$$

3. Show that

$$\text{Var}_{F(n, m)}(x) = \frac{2m^2(n+m-2)}{n(m-2)^2(m-4)}$$

**Hint:** If  $\xi \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$  then  $E_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(a, b)}(\xi) = \frac{b}{a-1}$ , and  $\text{Var}_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(a, b)}(\xi) = \frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)}$

**Exercise 20.** (★★) Prove the following statement:

Let  $x \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $y = (x - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)$ . Then

$$y \sim \chi_d^2$$

**Exercise 21.** (★★) Let

$$\begin{cases} x|\xi & \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi) \\ \xi & \sim \text{IG}(a, b) \end{cases}$$

with PDF

$$f_{N_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)\right)$$

$$f_{\text{IG}(a, b)}(\xi) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \xi^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\xi}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\xi)$$

Show that the marginal PDF of  $x$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int f_{N_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) f_{IG(a,b)}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{2a^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{\det(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma)}} \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2a}(x - \mu)^\top \left( \frac{b}{a}\Sigma \right)^{-1} (x - \mu) \right]^{-\frac{(2a+d)}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

**FYI:** For  $a = b = \frac{v}{2}$ , the marginal PDF is the PDF of the  $d$ -dimensional Student T distribution.

The Following exercise is part of Homework 1

**Exercise 22. (★★★)**

Let  $x \sim T_d(\mu, \Sigma, \nu)$ . Recall that  $x \sim T_d(\mu, \Sigma, \nu)$  is the marginal distribution  $f_x(x) = \int f_{x|\xi}(x|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) d\xi$  of  $(x, \xi)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} x|\xi &\sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi v) \\ \xi &\sim IG(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Consider partition such that

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \Sigma_{21}^\top \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$  and  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ .

Address the following:

1. Show that the marginal distribution of  $x_1$  is such that

$$x_1 \sim T_{d_1}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1, \nu)$$

**Hint:** Try to use the form  $f_x(x) = \int f_{x|\xi}(x|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) d\xi$ .

2. Show that

$$\xi|x_1 \sim IG(\frac{1}{2}(d_1 + v), \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q + v}{v})$$

where  $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mu_1 - x_1)$ .

**Hint:** The PDF of  $y \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$  is

$$f(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (y - \mu)\right)$$

**Hint:** The PDF of  $y \sim IG(a, b)$  is

$$f_{IG(a,b)}(y) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} y^{-a-1} \exp(-\frac{b}{y}) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(y)$$

3. Let  $\xi' = \xi \frac{v}{Q+v}$ , with  $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mu_1 - x_1)$ , show that

$$\xi'|x_1 \sim IG(\frac{v + d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

4. Show that the conditional distribution of  $x_2|x_1$  is such that

$$x_2|x_1 \sim T_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \Sigma_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{2|1} &= \mu_2 + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(x_1 - \mu_1) \\ \Sigma_{2|1} &= \frac{\nu + (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(\mu_1 - x_1)}{\nu + d_1} \Sigma_{2|1} \\ \Sigma_{2|1} &= \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_1^{-1}\Sigma_{21}^\top \\ \nu_{2|1} &= \nu + d_1\end{aligned}$$

**Hint:** You can use the Example [Marginalization & conditioning] from the Lecture Handout

---

**Exercise 23.** (★★) Show that

1. If  $x_i \sim N_d(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $y = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i$ , then

$$y \sim N_d(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top)$$

2. If  $x_i \sim T_d(\mu_i, \Sigma_i, \nu)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $z = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i$ , then

$$z \sim T_d(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top, \nu)$$

---



## Part IV

# Bayesian paradigm and calculations

**Exercise 24.** (★) Consider an i.i.d. sample  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  from the skew-logistic distribution with PDF

$$f(y_i|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})^{\theta+1}}$$

with parameter  $\theta \in (0, \infty)$ . To account for the uncertainty about  $\theta$  we assign a Gamma prior distribution with PDF

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} 1(\theta \in (0, \infty)),$$

and fixed hyper parameters  $a, b$  specified by the researcher's prior info.

1. Derive the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ .
2. Derive the predictive PDF for a future  $z = y_{n+1}$ .

**Exercise 25.** (★★) (Nuisance parameters are involved)

<-story

Assume observable quantities  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  forming the available data set of size  $n$ . Assume that the observations are drawn i.i.d. from a sampling distribution which is judged to be in the Normal parametric family of distributions  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We are interested in learning  $\mu$  and the next outcome  $z = y_{n+1}$ . We do not care about  $\sigma^2$ .

Assume You specify a Bayesian model

<-set-up

$$\begin{cases} y_i | \mu, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n & , \text{Statistical model} \\ \mu | \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\tau_0}) & , \text{prior} \\ \sigma^2 \sim \text{IG}(a_0, k_0) & , \text{prior} \end{cases}$$

1. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta)^2 = n(\bar{y} - \theta)^2 + ns^2,$$

$$\text{where } s^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2.$$

2. Show that the joint posterior distribution  $\Pi(\mu, \sigma^2 | y)$  is such as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu | y, \sigma^2 &\sim N(\mu_n, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\tau_n}) \\ \sigma^2 | y &\sim \text{IG}(a_n, k_n) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mu_n = \frac{n\bar{y} + \tau_0\mu_0}{n + \tau_0}; \quad \tau_n = n + \tau_0; \quad a_n = a_0 + n$$

$$k_n = k_0 + \frac{1}{2}ns_n^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau_0 n(\mu_0 - \bar{y})^2}{n + \tau_0}$$

**Hint:** It is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_1)^2}{v_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_2)^2}{v_2} \dots - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{v_n} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{v}} + C$$

where

$$\hat{v} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{v_i} \right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{v} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{v_i} \right); \quad C = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{\hat{v}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^2}{v_i}$$

3. Show that the marginal posterior distribution  $\Pi(\mu|y)$  is such as

$$\mu|y \sim T_1 \left( \mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n} \frac{1}{\tau_n}, 2a_n \right)$$

**Hint-1:** If  $x \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$ ,  $y = cx$ , then  $y \sim \text{IG}(a, cb)$ .

**Hint-2:** The definition of Student T is considered as known

4. Show that the predictive distribution  $\Pi(z|y)$  is Student T such as

$$z|y \sim T_1 \left( \mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_n} + 1 \right), 2a_n \right)$$

**Hint-1:** Consider that

$$N(x|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) N(x|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = N(x|m, v^2) N(\mu_1|\mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

where

$$v^2 = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad m = v^2 \left( \frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2} \right)$$

**Hint-2:** The definition of Student T is considered as known

The following is about the Normal linear model of regression.

**Exercise 26.** (★★)(Normal linear regression model with unknown error variance)

<-story

Consider we are interested in recovering the mapping

$$x \xrightarrow{\eta(x)} y$$

in the sense that  $y$  is the response (output quantity) that depends on  $x$  which is the independent variable (input quantity) in a procedure; E.g.:

- $y$ : precipitation in log scale
- $x$  = (longitude, latitude): geographical coordinates.

It is believed that the mapping  $\eta(x)$  can be represented as an expansion of  $d$  known polynomial functions  $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{d-1}$  such as

$$\eta(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \phi_j(x) \beta_j = \Phi(x)^\top \beta; \quad \text{with } \Phi(x) = (\phi_0(x), \dots, \phi_{d-1}(x))^\top$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is unknown.

Assume observable quantities (data) in pairs  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ; (E.g. from the  $i$ -th station at location  $x_i$  I got the reading  $y_i$ ). Assume that the response observations  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  may be contaminated by noise with unknown

variance; such that

$$y_i = \eta(x_i) + \epsilon_i$$

where  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  with unknown  $\sigma^2$ .

You are interested in learning  $\beta$ , but you do not care about  $\sigma^2$ . Also you want to learn the value of  $y_f$  at an untried  $x_f$  (i.e. the precipitation at any other location).

Consider the Bayesian model

<-set-up

$$y|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2); \text{ the sampling distr}$$

$$\beta|\sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, V_0\sigma^2); \text{ prior distr}$$

$$\sigma^2 \sim \text{IG}(a_0, k_0) \text{ prior distr}$$

where  $\Phi$  is the design matrix  $[\Phi]_{i,j} = \Phi_j(x_i)$ .

1. Show that the joint posterior distribution  $d\Pi(\beta, \sigma^2|y)$  is such as

$$\beta|y, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_n, V_n\sigma^2); \quad \sigma^2|y \sim \text{IG}(a_n, k_n)$$

with

$$V_n^{-1} = \Phi^\top \Phi + V_0^{-1}; \quad \mu_n = V_n \left( (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top y + V_0^{-1} \mu_0 \right); \quad a_n = \frac{n}{2} + a_0$$

$$k_n = \frac{1}{2} (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n)^\top (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\top V_n^{-1} \mu_n + \frac{1}{2} (\mu_0^\top V_0^{-1} \mu_0 + y^\top \Phi^\top (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y) + k_0$$

**Hint-1:**

$$(y - \Phi \beta)^\top (y - \Phi \beta) = (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top [\Phi^\top \Phi] (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) + S_n; \quad S_n = (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n)^\top (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n); \quad \hat{\beta}_n = (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top y$$

**Hint-2:** If  $\Sigma_1 > 0$  and  $\Sigma_2 > 0$  symmetric

$$-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} (x - \mu_1) - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_2)^\top \Sigma_2^{-1} (x - \mu_2) = -\frac{1}{2} (x - m)^\top V^{-1} (x - m) + C$$

where

$$V^{-1} = \Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_2^{-1}; \quad m = V (\Sigma_1^{-1} \mu_1 + \Sigma_2^{-1} \mu_2); \quad C = \frac{1}{2} m^\top V^{-1} m - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_1^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} \mu_1 + \mu_2^\top \Sigma_2^{-1} \mu_2)$$

2. Show that the marginal posterior of  $\beta$  given  $y$  is

$$\beta|y \sim T_d(\mu_n, V_n \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n)$$

3. Show that the predictive distribution of an outcome  $y_f = \Phi_f \beta + \epsilon$  with  $\Phi_f = (\phi_0(x_f), \dots, \phi_{d-1}(x_f))$  and  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  at untried location  $x_f$  is

$$y_f|y \sim T_d(\mu_n, [\Phi^\top \Phi + 1] \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n)$$

Consider that

$$N(x|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) N(x|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = N(x|m, v^2) N(\mu_1|\mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

where

$$v^2 = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad m = v^2 \left( \frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2} \right)$$

**Hint-2:** The definition of Student T is considered as known

**Exercise 27.** (★★) Let  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be observables drawn iid from sampling distribution  $y_i | \theta \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\theta, \theta^2)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  is unknown. Specify a conjugate prior density for  $\theta$  up to an unknown normalizing constant.

**Exercise 28.** (★★) If the sampling distribution  $F(\cdot | \theta)$  is discrete and the prior  $\Pi(\theta)$  is proper, then the posterior  $\Pi(\theta | y)$  is always proper.

**Exercise 29.** (★★) If the sampling distribution  $F(\cdot | \theta)$  is continuous and the prior  $\Pi(\theta)$  is proper, then the posterior  $\Pi(\theta | y)$  is almost always proper.

### The Limit Comparison Theorem for Improper Integrals

**General:** Let integrable functions  $f(x)$ , and  $g(x)$  for  $x \geq a$ .

Let

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq g(x), \quad \text{for } x \geq a$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty &\implies \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty \\ \int_a^\infty f(x) dx = \infty &\implies \int_a^\infty g(x) dx = \infty \end{aligned}$$

**Type I:** Let integrable functions  $f(x)$ , and  $g(x)$  for  $x \geq a$ , and let  $g(x)$  be positive.

Let

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

Then

- If  $c \in (0, \infty)$  :

$$\int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty \iff \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty$$

- If  $c = 0$  :

$$\int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty \implies \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty$$

- If  $c = \infty$  :

$$\int_a^\infty f(x) dx = \infty \implies \int_a^\infty g(x) dx = \infty$$

**Type II:** Let integrable functions  $f(x)$ , and  $g(x)$  for  $a < x \leq b$ , and let  $g(x)$  be positive.

Let

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

Then

- If  $c \in (0, \infty)$  :

$$\int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty \iff \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty$$

- If  $c = 0$  :

$$\int_a^\infty g(x)dx < \infty \implies \int_a^\infty f(x)dx < \infty$$

- If  $c = \infty$  :

$$\int_a^\infty f(x)dx = \infty \implies \int_a^\infty g(x)dx = \infty$$

**Note:** A useful test function is

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^p dx \begin{cases} < \infty & , \text{ when } p > 1 \\ = \infty & , \text{ when } p \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 30.** (\*\*) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\sigma & \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \\ \sigma & \sim \text{Ex}(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{Ex}(\lambda)$  is the exponential distribution with mean  $1/\lambda$ . Show that the posterior distribution is not defined always.

- HINT: Precisely, show that the posterior is not defined in the case that you collect only one observation  $x = 0$ .

**Exercise 31.** (\*\*) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\sigma & \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \\ \sigma & \sim \Pi(\sigma) \end{cases}$$

where  $\Pi(\sigma)$  is an improper prior distribution with density such as  $\pi(\sigma) \propto \sigma^{-1} \exp(-a\sigma^{-2})$  for  $a > 0$ . Show that we can use this prior on Bayesian inference.

The Following exercise is part of Homework 1

**Exercise 32.** (\*\*) Let  $x$  be an observation. Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\theta & \sim \text{Pn}(\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{Pn}(\theta)$  is the Poisson distribution with expected value  $\theta$ . Consider a prior  $\Pi(\theta)$  with density such as  $\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$ . Show that the posterior distribution is not always defined.

**Hint-1:** It suffices to show that the posterior is not defined in the case that you collect only one observation  $x = 0$ .

**Hint-2:** Poisson distribution:  $x \sim \text{Pn}(\theta)$  has PMF

$$\text{Pn}(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!} 1(x \in \mathbb{N})$$

The next exercise is about the Sequential processing of data via Bayes theorem

**Exercise 33.** (\*\*) Assume that observable quantities  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are generated i.i.d by a process that can be modeled as a sampling distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with known  $\sigma^2$  and unknown  $\mu$ .

1. Assume that you have collected an observation  $x_1$ . Specify a prior  $\Pi(\mu)$  on  $\mu$  as  $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  where  $\mu_0, \sigma_0^2$  are known.

- Derive the posterior  $\Pi(\theta|x_1)$ .

Next assume that you additionally observe an additional observation  $x_2$  after collecting  $x_1$ . Consider the posterior  $\Pi(\mu|x_1)$  as the current state of your knowledge about  $\theta$ .

- Derive the posterior  $\Pi(\mu|x_1, x_2)$  in the light of the new additional observation  $x_2$ .

2. Assume that you have collected two observations  $(x_1, x_2)$ . Specify a prior  $\Pi(\mu)$  on  $\mu$  as  $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  where  $\mu_0, \sigma_0^2$  are known.

- Derive the posterior  $\Pi(\theta|x_1, x_2)$  in the light of the observations  $(x_1, x_2)$ .

3. What do you observe:

**Hint:** We considered the identity

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y - \mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2),$$

$$c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right)$$

where  $c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$  is constant w.r.t.  $y$ .

## Part V

# Exchangeability

We work on the proofs of the following theorems:

- Marginal distributions of finite exchangeable sequences  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  are invariant under permutations; i.e.:

$$dF(y_{p(1)}, y_{p(2)}, \dots, y_{p(k)}) = dF(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \text{ for all } p \in \mathfrak{P}_n. \quad (3)$$

In particular, for  $k = 1$ , it follows that all  $y_i$  are identically distributed (but not necessarily independently, as stated in the Lecture notes)

- (Marginal) Expectations of finite exchangeable sequences  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  are all identical:

$$E(g(y_i)) = E(g(y_1)) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and all functions } g: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (4)$$

- (Marginal) Variances of finite exchangeable sequences  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  are all identical:

$$\text{Var}(y_i) = \text{Var}(y_1). \quad (5)$$

- Covariances between elements of finite exchangeable sequences  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  are all identical:

$$\text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = \text{Cov}(y_1, y_2) \text{ whenever } i \neq j. \quad (6)$$

**Just for your information** The properties above are implied by the following general theorem. However, you should not use this theorem, directly, to solve the exercises below...

**Theorem.** Consider an exchangeable sequence  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ . Let  $g: \mathcal{Y}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function of  $k$  of these, where  $k \leq n$ . Then, for any permutation  $\pi \in \Pi_n$ ,

$$E(g(Y_{p(1)}, Y_{p(2)}, \dots, Y_{p(k)})) = E(g(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)) \quad (7)$$

This is not an exercise to solve. Feel free to read the solution of this exercise, as it may help you understand the the Interpretation of the ‘representation Theorem with 0 – 1 quantities’.

**Exercise 34.** (★★★★)(Representation Theorem with 0 – 1 quantities). If  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of 0 – 1 random quantities with probability measure  $P$ , there exists a distribution function  $\Pi$  such that the joint mass function  $p(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  for  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  has the form

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\theta^{y_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-y_i}}_{f_{\text{Ber}(\theta)}(y_i | \theta)} d\Pi(\theta)$$

where

$$\Pi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq t\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \stackrel{\text{as}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

aka  $\theta$  is the limiting relative frequency of 1s, by SLLN

**Hint:** (Helly's theorem [modified]) Given a sequence of distribution functions  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$  that satisfy the tightness condition; [for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $a$  such that for all sufficiently large  $i$  it is  $F_i(a) - F_i(-a) > 1 - \epsilon$ ], there exists a distribution  $F$  and a sub-sequence  $\{F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, \dots\}$  such that  $F_{i_j} \rightarrow F$ .

**Exercise 35.** (★★) Clearly a set of independent and identically distributed random variables form an exchangeable sequence. Thus sampling with replacement generates an exchangeable sequence. What about sampling without replacement? Prove that sampling  $n$  items from  $N$  distinct objects without replacement (where  $n \leq N$ ) is exchangeable.

**Exercise 36.** (★★) Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be an exchangeable sequence, and let  $g$  be any function on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Show, directly from the definition of exchangeability in the summary notes) that  $E(g(Y_i))$  does not depend on  $i$ :

$$E(g(Y_i)) = E(g(Y_1)) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \quad (8)$$

For ease of exposition, you may restrict your proof to the case  $i = 2$ .

**Exercise 37.** (★★) Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be an exchangeable sequence. Use

$$E(g(Y_i)) = E(g(Y_1)) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \quad (9)$$

to show that  $\text{Var}(Y_i)$  does not depend on  $i$ :

$$\text{Var}(Y_i) = \text{Var}(Y_1) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \quad (10)$$

**Exercise 38.** (★★) Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be an exchangeable sequence. By expanding  $\text{var}(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k)$ , show that when  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \geq -\frac{\text{var}(Y_1)}{n-1} \quad (11)$$

**Exercise 39.** (★) What does

$$\text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \geq -\frac{\text{var}(Y_1)}{n-1}$$

imply about the correlation of infinite exchangeable sequences?