Exercise Sheet: Bayesian Statistics

Lecturer & author: Georgios P. Karagiannis   georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

Part I

Matrix & vector calculus

The exercises about Matrix & vector calculus are optional and can be skipped.

Exercise 1. $(\star)$Let A, B be $K \times K$ invertible matrices . Show that

$$(A + B)^{-1} = A^{-1}(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}B^{-1}$$

Solution. It is

$$(A + B)^{-1} = A^{-1}(I + A^{-1}B)^{-1}$$
$$= A^{-1}(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}B^{-1}$$

Exercise 2. $(\star\star)$[Woodbury matrix identity] Verify that

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U\left(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U\right)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

if A and C are non-singular.

Solution.

By checking that $(A + UCV)(A + UCV)^{-1} = I$

$$(A + UCV) \times \left[A^{-1} - A^{-1}U\left(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U\right)^{-1}VA^{-1}\right]$$
$$= I + UCVA^{-1} - (U + UCVA^{-1}U)(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$
$$= I + UCVA^{-1} - UC(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$
$$= I + UCVA^{-1} - UCVA^{-1} = I.$$

So

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U\left(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U\right)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

Exercise 3. $(\star\star)$[Sherman–Morrison formula] Let A be a $K \times K$ invertible matrix and u and v two $K \times 1$ column vectors. Verify that

$$\left(A + uv^{\top}\right)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + v^{\top}A^{-1}u}A^{-1}uv^{\top}A^{-1}$$

if $1 + v^\top A^{-1} u \neq 0$, and if A is non-singular.

Solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A + uv^T)(A + uv^T)^{-1} &= (A + uv^T)\left(A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1} u}\right) \\
&= AA^{-1} + uv^T A^{-1} - \frac{AA^{-1}uv^T A^{-1} + uv^T A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1} u} \\
&= I + uv^T A^{-1} - \frac{uv^T A^{-1} + uv^T A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1} u} \\
&= I + uv^T A^{-1} - \frac{u(1 + v^T A^{-1} u)v^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1} u} \\
&= I + uv^T A^{-1} - uv^T A^{-1} \\
&= I
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise 4. $(\star\star\star)$[Block partition matrix inversion] Let A be $K \times K$ invertible matrix, and let $B = A^{-1}$ its inverse.

Consider Partition

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}; \ B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Namely, $B_{11} = \left[A^{-1}\right]_{11}$ is the upper corner of the A^{-1}, etc...

Show that

$$
A_{11}^{-1} = B_{11} = B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}
$$

$$
A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} = -B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}
$$

Hint: Start by noticing that

$$
AB = I \iff \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} &= I \\ A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} &= 0 \end{cases}
$$

Solution. It is

$$
AB = I \iff \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} &= I \\ A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} &= 0 \end{cases}
$$

So

$$
A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = 0 \iff
$$

$$
A_{11}^{-1}\left(A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22}\right)B_{22}^{-1} = 0 \iff
$$

$$
B_{12}B_{22}^{-1} + A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} = 0
$$

So

$$
A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} = -B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}
$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

Also

$$A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = 0 \iff$$
$$(A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22})B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} = 0 \iff$$
$$A_{11}B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} + A_{12}B_{21} = 0$$
$$A_{12}B_{21} = -A_{11}B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}$$

Then, we plug in the above in $A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = I$ we get

$$A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = I \iff$$
$$A_{11}B_{11} - A_{11}B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} = I \iff$$
$$B_{11} - B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} = A_{11}^{-1}$$

So

$$A_{11}^{-1} = B_{11} = B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}$$

Part II

Random variables

Exercise 5. ($\star$)Let $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a univariate random variable with CDF $F_y(\cdot)$. Consider a bijective function $h : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}$ with $z = h(y)$, and h^{-1} its inverse. The PDF of z is

$$F_z(z) = \begin{cases} F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } h \nearrow \\ \\ 1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } h \searrow \end{cases}$$

Solution. It is $z = h(y) \Leftrightarrow y = h^{-1}(z)$

For if $h \nearrow$ it is

$$F_z(z) = \mathrm{P}(Z \le z) = \mathrm{P}(h^{-1}(Z) \le h^{-1}(z)) = \mathrm{P}(Y \le h^{-1}(z)) = F_Y(h^{-1}(z))$$

For if $h \searrow$ it is

$$F_z(z) = \mathrm{P}(Z \le z) = \mathrm{P}(h^{-1}(Z) \ge h^{-1}(z)) = \mathrm{P}(Y \ge h^{-1}(z)) = 1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z))$$

Exercise 6. ($\star$)Let $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a univariate random variable with PDF $f_y(\cdot)$. Consider a bijective function $h : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and let h^{-1} be the inverse function of h. Consider a univariate random variable such that $z = h(y)$. The PDF of z is

$$f_z(z) \ = f_y(y)|\det(\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}z})| \ = f_y(h^{-1}(z))|\det(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}h^{-1}(z))|$$

Solution. It is $z = h(y) \Leftrightarrow y = h^{-1}(z)$

For if $h \nearrow$ it is

$$F_z(z) = \mathrm{P}(Z \le z) = \mathrm{P}(h^{-1}(Z) \le h^{-1}(z)) = \mathrm{P}(Y \le h^{-1}(z)) = F_Y(h^{-1}(z))$$

and

$$f_z(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}F_z(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}h^{-1}}F_Y(h^{-1})\det(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}h^{-1}(z))$$

For if $h \searrow$ it is

$$F_z(z) = \mathrm{P}(Z \le z) = \mathrm{P}(h^{-1}(Z) \ge h^{-1}(z)) = \mathrm{P}(Y \ge h^{-1}(z)) = 1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z))$$

and

$$f_z(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}F_z(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\left[1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z))\right] = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}h^{-1}}F_Y(h^{-1})\det(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}h^{-1}(z))$$

but $\det(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}h^{-1}(z)) < 0$ because $h \searrow$. So in both cases:

$$f_z(z) = f_y(h^{-1}(z))|\det(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}h^{-1}(z))|$$

Exercise 7. ($\star$)Let $y \sim \mathrm{Ex}(\lambda)$ r.v. with Exponential distribution with rate parameter $\lambda > 0$, and $f_{\mathrm{Ex}(\lambda)}(y) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda y)\mathbf{1}(y \ge 0)$. Let $z = 1 - \exp(-\lambda y)$. Calculate the PDF of z, and recognize its distribution.

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

Solution. It is $z = 1 - \exp(-\lambda y) \iff y = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(1-z)$, and $z \in [0,1]$. So $h^{-1}(z) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(1-z)$. Then

$$f_z(z) = f_{\text{Ex}(\lambda)}(h^{-1}(z)) \times \left|\det\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}h^{-1}(z)\right)\right| = f_{\text{Ex}(\lambda)}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(1-z)\right) \times \left|\det\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{-1}{\lambda}\log(1-z)\right)\right|$$

$$= \exp\left(-\lambda\frac{-1}{\lambda}\log(1-z)\right)1(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(1-z) \geq 0) \times \left|-\frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{1}{1-z}\right| = 1(z \in [0,1])$$

From the density, we recognize that $z \sim \text{U}(0,1)$ follows a uniform distribution.

Exercise 8. $(\star)$Prove the following properties

1. Let matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times d}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^q$, and $z = c + Ay$ then

$$\text{E}(z) = \text{E}(c + Ay) = c + A\text{E}(y)$$

2. Let random variables $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and let functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 defined on $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, then

$$\text{E}(\psi_1(z) + \psi_2(y)) = \text{E}(\psi_1(z)) + \text{E}(\psi_2(y))$$

3. If random variables $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ are independent then

$$\text{E}(\psi_1(z)\psi_2(y)) = \text{E}(\psi_1(z))\text{E}(\psi_2(y))$$

   for any functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 defined on $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$.

Solution.

1. It is
$$\text{E}(z) = \text{E}(c + Ay) = \int (c + Ay)\,\mathrm{d}F(y) = c + A\int y\mathrm{d}F(y) = c + A\text{E}(y)$$

2. It is

$$\text{E}(\psi_1(z) + \psi_2(y)) = \int (\psi_1(z) + \psi_2(y))\,\mathrm{d}F((z,y)) = \int \psi_1(z)\mathrm{d}F((z,y)) + \int \psi_1(z)\mathrm{d}F((z,y))$$

$$= \int \psi_1(z)\mathrm{d}F(z) + \int \psi_1(z)\mathrm{d}F(z) = \text{E}(\psi_1(z)) + \text{E}(\psi_2(y))$$

3. If random variables $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ then

$$\mathrm{d}F(z,y) = \mathrm{d}F(z)\mathrm{d}F(y)$$

   It is
$$\text{E}(\psi_1(z)\psi_2(y)) = \int (\psi_1(z)\psi_2(y))\,\mathrm{d}F((z,y)) = \left(\int \psi_1(z)\mathrm{d}F(z)\right)\left(\int \psi_2(y)\mathrm{d}F(y)\right)$$

Exercise 9. $(\star)$Prove the following properties of the covariance matrix

1. $\text{Cov}(z,y) = \text{E}(zy^\top) - \text{E}(z)\left(\text{E}(y)\right)^\top$

2. $\text{Cov}(z,y) = \left(\text{Cov}(y,z)\right)^\top$

3. $\text{Cov}_\pi(c_1 + A_1z, c_2 + A_2y) = A_1\text{Cov}_\pi(x,y)A_2^\top$, for fixed matrices A_1, A_2, and vectors c_1, c_2 with suitable dimensions.

4. If z and y are independent random vectors then $\mathrm{Cov}(z, y) = 0$

Solution.

1. It is

$$\mathrm{Cov}(z, y) = \mathrm{E}\left((z - \mathrm{E}(z))(y - \mathrm{E}(y))^\top\right)$$
$$\mathrm{E}\left(zy^\top - z\mathrm{E}(y)^\top - \mathrm{E}(z)y^\top + \mathrm{E}(z)\mathrm{E}(y)^\top\right)$$
$$= \mathrm{E}(zy^\top) - \mathrm{E}(z)\left(\mathrm{E}(y)\right)^\top$$

2. It is

$$\left(\mathrm{Cov}(y, z)\right)^\top = \left(\mathrm{E}\left((z - \mathrm{E}(z))(y - \mathrm{E}(y))^\top\right)\right)^\top = \mathrm{E}\left(\left((z - \mathrm{E}(z))(y - \mathrm{E}(y))^\top\right)\right)^\top$$
$$= \mathrm{E}\left((y - \mathrm{E}(y))(z - \mathrm{E}(z))^\top\right) = \mathrm{Cov}(y, z)$$

3. It is

$$\mathrm{Cov}(c_1 + A_1 z, c_2 + A_2 y) = \mathrm{E}\left((c_1 + A_1 z)(c_2 + A_2 y)^\top\right) - \mathrm{E}(c_1 + A_1 z)\left(\mathrm{E}(c_2 + A_2 y)\right)^\top$$
$$= \dots = A_1 \left(\mathrm{E}(zy^\top) - \mathrm{E}(z)\left(\mathrm{E}(y)\right)^\top\right) A_2^\top = A_1 \mathrm{Cov}(z, y) A_2^\top$$

4. Obviously since

$$\mathrm{Cov}(z, y) = 0 \iff \mathrm{Cov}(z_i, y_j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i = j \\ i \neq j \end{array} \right.$$

Exercise 10. $(\star)$Prove that the (i, j)-th element of the covariance matrix between vector z and y is the covariance between their elements z_i and y_j:

$$[\mathrm{Cov}(z, y)]_{i,j} = \mathrm{Cov}(z_i, y_j)$$

Solution.

It is

$$[\mathrm{Cov}(z, y)]_{i,j} = \left[\mathrm{E}(zy^\top) - \mathrm{E}(z)\left(\mathrm{E}(y)\right)^\top\right]_{i,j} =$$
$$= \left[\mathrm{E}(zy^\top)\right]_{i,j} - \left[\mathrm{E}(z)\left(\mathrm{E}(y)\right)^\top\right]_{i,j}$$
$$= \mathrm{E}(z_i y_j^\top) - \mathrm{E}(z_i)\left(\mathrm{E}(y_j)\right)^\top = \mathrm{Cov}(z_i, y_j)$$

Exercise 11. $(\star)$Prove the following properties of $\mathrm{Var}(Y)$ for a random vector $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$

1. $\mathrm{Var}(y) = \mathrm{E}(yy^\top) - \mathrm{E}(y)\left(\mathrm{E}(y)\right)^\top$

2. $\mathrm{Var}(c + Ay) = A\mathrm{Var}(y)A^\top$, for fixed matrix A, and vectors c with suitable dimensions.

3. $\mathrm{Var}(y) \geq 0$; (semi-positive definite)

Solution.

1. $\mathrm{Var}(y) = \mathrm{Cov}(y, y) = \mathrm{E}(yy^\top) - \mathrm{E}(y)\left(\mathrm{E}(y)\right)^\top$

2. $\mathrm{Var}(c + Ay) = \mathrm{Cov}(c + Ay, c + Ay) = A\mathrm{Cov}(y, y)A^\top = A\mathrm{Var}(y)A^\top$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

3. For any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^q$

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^\top \mathrm{Var}(y)t = t^\top \mathrm{E}\left((y - \mathrm{E}(y))(y - \mathrm{E}(y))^\top\right)t \\
= \mathrm{E}\left(\left(t^\top(y - \mathrm{E}(y))\right)\left(t^\top(y - \mathrm{E}(y))\right)^\top\right) \\
= \mathrm{E}\left(zz^\top\right) = \mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^2\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z = t^\top(y - \mathrm{E}(y))$.

Exercise 12. $(\star)$Prove the following properties of characteristic functions

1. $\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = e^{it^T A}\varphi_x(B^T t)$ if $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ are constants

2. $\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \varphi_x(t)\varphi_y(t)$ if and only if x and y are independent

3. if $M_x(t) = \mathrm{E}(e^{t^T x})$ is the moment generating function, then $M_x(t) = \varphi_x(-it)$

Solution.

1. It is

$$
\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = \mathrm{E}(e^{it^T(A+Bx)}) = \mathrm{E}(e^{A+it^T Bx}) = \mathrm{E}(e^{it^T A}e^{iB^T tx}) = e^{it^T A}\mathrm{E}(e^{i(B^T t)x}) = e^{it^T A}\varphi_x(B^T t)
$$

2. straightforward

3. straightforward

Exercise 13. $(\star)$Show that if $X \sim \mathrm{Ex}(\lambda)$ then $\varphi_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$.

Solution. It is

$$
\varphi_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itX} \underbrace{\lambda e^{-\lambda x}1(X > 0)}_{=f_{\mathrm{Ex}}(x|\lambda)}\mathrm{d}x = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x(\lambda - itX)}\mathrm{d}x = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}
$$

Exercise 14. $(\star)$

1. Find $\varphi_X(t)$ if $X \sim \mathrm{Br}(p)$.

2. Find $\varphi_Y(t)$ if $Y \sim \mathrm{Bin}(n, p)$

Solution.

1. It is

$$
\varphi_X(t) = \sum_{x=0,1} e^{itX}P(X = x) = e^{it0}(1 - p) + e^{it1}p = (1 - p) + pe^{it}
$$

2. Because Binomial r.v. results as a summation of n IID Bernoulli r.v., it is $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, where $X_i \sim \mathrm{Br}(p)$ $i = 1, ..., n$ and IID. Then

$$
\varphi_Y(t) = \varphi_{\sum X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{X_i}(t) = ((1 - p) + pe^{it})^n
$$

Exercise 15. (⋆⋆⋆)Prove the following statement related to the Bayesian theorem:

Assume a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$. Let a random variable $y : \Omega \to \mathcal{Y}$ with distribution $F(\cdot)$. Consider a partition $y = (x, \theta)$ with $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. Then the probability density function (PDF), or the probability mass function (PMF) of $\theta|x$ is

$$f(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)} \tag{1}$$

Hint Consider cases where x is discrete and continuous. In the later case use the mean value theorem :

$$\int_A f(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}x = f(\xi)\int_A g(x)\mathrm{d}x$$

where $\xi \in A$ if A is connected, and $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in A$.

Solution. We consider separately two cases.

$\underline{x \text{ is discrete:}}$

Let $\Theta_0 \subseteq \Theta$ be any sub-set of Θ; I need to show that

$$P(\theta \in \Theta_0|x) = \frac{\int_{\Theta_0} f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)}{\int_\Theta f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \int_{\Theta_0} \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int_\Theta f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)}\mathrm{d}\theta & , \theta \text{ cont.} \\ \\ \sum_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int_\Theta f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)} & , \theta \text{ discr.} \end{cases}$$

By Bayes theorem it is

$$P(\theta \in \Theta_0|x) = \frac{P(\Theta_0, x)}{P(x)}$$

where $P(x) = \int_\Theta f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)$ and $P(\Theta_0, x) = \int_{\Theta_0} f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)$.

$\underline{x \text{ is continuous:}}$

Let $\Theta_0 \subseteq \Theta$ be any sub-set of Θ; because the probability $P(x) = 0$, I need to show that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} P(\theta \in \Theta_0|B_r(x)) = \frac{\int_{\Theta_0} f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)}{\int_\Theta f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \int_{\Theta_0} \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int_\Theta f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)}\mathrm{d}\theta & , \theta \text{ cont.} \\ \\ \sum_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int_\Theta f(x|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)} & , \theta \text{ discr.} \end{cases}$$

for an open ball $B_r(x) = \{x' \in \mathcal{X} : |x' - x| < r\}$. By Bayes theorem

$$P(\theta \in \Theta_0|B_r(x)) = \frac{P(\Theta_0, B_r(x))}{P(B_r(x))}$$

where

$$P(\Theta_0, B_r(x)) = \int_{\Theta_0} \left[\int_{B_r(x)} f(\zeta|\theta)\mathrm{d}\zeta\right]\mathrm{d}F(\theta)$$

$$P(B_r(x)) = \int_\Theta \left[\int_{B_r(x)} f(\zeta|\theta)\mathrm{d}\zeta\right]\mathrm{d}F(\theta)$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

By mean value theorem[1] there exists $\zeta' \in B_r(y)$ such as

$$\int_{B_r(x)} f(\zeta|\theta)\mathrm{d}\zeta = f(\zeta'|\theta) \int_{B_r(x)} \mathrm{d}\zeta = f(\zeta'|\theta) \, \|B_r(x)\|$$

Then

$$P(\theta \in \Theta_0 | B_r(x)) = \frac{\int_{\Theta_0} \left[f(\zeta'|\theta) \, \|B_r(x)\| \right] \mathrm{d}F(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} \left[f(\zeta'|\theta) \, \|B_r(x)\| \right] \mathrm{d}F(\theta)} \xrightarrow{r \to 0} \frac{\int_{\Theta_0} f(\zeta|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(\zeta|\theta)\mathrm{d}F(\theta)}$$

Exercise 16. $(\star)$Prove that:

1. if $Z \sim \mathrm{N}(0, I)$ then $\varphi_Z(t) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^T t)$, where $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$

2. if $X \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ then $\varphi_X(t) = \exp(it^T \mu - \frac{1}{2}t^T \Sigma t)$, where $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Hint: Assume as known that if $Z \sim \mathrm{N}(0, 1)$ then $\varphi_Z(t) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2)$, where $Z \in \mathbb{R}$

Solution.

1. It is

$$\varphi_Z(t) = \mathrm{E}(\exp(it^T Z)) = \mathrm{E}(\exp(i \sum_{j=1}^{d}(t_j Z_j))) = \mathrm{E}(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \exp(it_j Z_j)) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \mathrm{E}(\exp(it_j Z_j))$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{d} \varphi_{Z_j}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t_j^2) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d} t_j^2) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^T t)$$

2. Assume a matrix L such as $\Sigma = LL^T$. It is $X = \mu + LZ$. Then

$$\varphi_X(t) = \varphi_{\mu+LZ}(t) = e^{it^T \mu} \varphi_Z(L^T t) = e^{it^T \mu} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(L^T t)^T L^T t)$$

$$= e^{it^T \mu} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^T LL^T t) = \exp(it^T \mu - \frac{1}{2}t^T \Sigma t)$$

Exercise 17. $(\star)$Show the following properties of the Characteristic Function

1. $\varphi_x(0) = 1$ and $|\varphi_x(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$

2. $\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = e^{it^T A} \varphi_x(B^T t)$ if $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ are constants

3. x and y are independent then $\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \varphi_x(t)\varphi_y(t)$ (we do not proov the other way around)

4. if $M_x(t) = \mathrm{E}(e^{t^T x})$ is the moment generating function, then $M_x(t) = \varphi_x(-it)$

Solution.

1. It is $\varphi_x(0) = \mathrm{E}(e^{i0^T x}) = \mathrm{E}(1) = 1$. Also

$$|\varphi_x(t)| = \left| \mathrm{E}(e^{it^T x}) \right| = \left| \int \left(\cos(t^T x) + i\sin(t^T x) \right) \mathrm{d}F(x) \right| \leq \int \left| \cos(t^T x) + i\sin(t^T x) \right| \mathrm{d}F(x) \leq \int 1 \mathrm{d}F(x) = 1$$

2. It is

$$\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = \mathrm{E}(e^{it^T(A+Bx)}) = \mathrm{E}(e^{it^T A + Bit^T x}) = \mathrm{E}(e^{Ai} e^{i(B^T t)^\top x}) = e^{it^T A} \varphi_x(B^T t)$$

---

[1] $\int_A f(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}x = f(\xi)\int_A g(x)\mathrm{d}x$ where $\xi \in A$ if A is connected, and $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in A$.

3. It is

$$\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \mathrm{E}(e^{it^T(x+y)}) = \mathrm{E}(e^{it^Tx}e^{it^Ty}) = \mathrm{E}(e^{it^Tx})\mathrm{E}(e^{it^Ty}) = \varphi_x(t)\varphi_y(t)$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

Part III

Probability calculus

Exercise 18. $(\star)$ Let a random variable $x \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$, a fixed value $c > 0$, and $y = cx$ then $y \sim \text{IG}(a, cb)$.

Solution. It is $y = cx$ and $x = \frac{1}{c}y$

$$f(y) = f_{\text{IG}(a,b)}(x) \left| \frac{\text{d}x}{\text{d}y} \right| \quad \propto \left(\frac{1}{c}y\right)^{-a-1} \exp(-\frac{b}{\frac{1}{c}y}) 1_{(0,+\infty)} \left(\frac{1}{c}y\right) \frac{1}{c}$$

$$\propto y^{-a-1} \exp(-\frac{cb}{y}) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(y) = f_{\text{IG}(a,cb)}(y)$$

Exercise 19. $(\star\star\star)$ Consider that x given z is distributed according to $\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})$, and that z is distributed according to $\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$; i.e.

$$\begin{cases} x|z & \sim \text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2}) \\ z & \sim \text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}) \end{cases}$$

Here, $\text{Ga}(\alpha, \beta)$ is the Gamma distribution with shape and rate parameters α and β, and PDF

$$f_{\text{Ga}(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} 1(x > 0)$$

1. Show that the compound distribution of x is F $x \sim \text{F}(n, m)$, where $\text{F}(n, m)$ is F distribution with numerator and denumerator degrees of freedom n and m, and PDF

$$f_{\text{F}(n,m)}(x) = \frac{1}{x \, \text{B}\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{(n\,x)^n \, m^m}{(n\,x + m)^{n+m}}} 1(x > 0)$$

2. Show that

$$\text{E}_{\text{F}(n,m)}(x) = \frac{m}{m-2}$$

3. Show that

$$\text{Var}_{\text{F}(n,m)}(x) = \frac{2m^2(n+m-2)}{n(m-2)^2(m-4)}$$

Hint: If $\xi \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$ then $\text{E}_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(a,b)}(\xi) = \frac{b}{a-1}$, and $\text{Var}_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(a,b)}(\xi) = \frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)}$

Solution.

1. It is

$$f_{\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})}(x|z) = \frac{\left(\frac{nz}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{nz}{2}x} 1(x > 0) \; ; \qquad f_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})}(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)} z^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{m}{2}z} 1(z > 0)$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

So:

$$f(x) = \int f_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})}(x|z) f_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})}(z) \mathrm{d}z$$

$$= \int \overbrace{\frac{(\frac{nz}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{nz}{2}x} 1(x>0)}^{=f_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})}(x|z)} \overbrace{\frac{(\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} z^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{m}{2}z} 1(z>0)}^{=f_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})}(z)} \mathrm{d}z$$

$$= \frac{(\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \frac{(\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} 1(x>0) x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_0^{\infty} z^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{nx}{2}z} z^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{m}{2}z} \mathrm{d}z$$

$$= \frac{(\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \frac{(\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} 1(x>0) x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_0^{\infty} z^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{nx}{2})z} \mathrm{d}z$$

$$= \frac{(\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}} (\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\mathrm{B}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} 1(x>0) x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left(\frac{m}{2} + \frac{nx}{2} \right)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{m}{2})}$$

$$= \frac{(n)^{\frac{n}{2}} (m)^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\mathrm{B}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \frac{1}{x} \sqrt{\frac{x^n}{(m+nx)^{n+m}}} 1(x>0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x \, \mathrm{B}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \sqrt{\frac{(n\,x)^n \, m^m}{(n\,x+m)^{n+m}}} 1(x>0)$$

2. It is

$$\mathrm{E}(x) \quad = \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})}(x|z) \right) \quad = \mathrm{E}_{z \sim \mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right)$$

$$= \mathrm{E}_{\xi \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} (\xi) \quad = \frac{\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{m}{2}-1} = \frac{m}{m-2}$$

3. It is

$$\mathrm{Var}(x) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\mathrm{Var}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})}(x|z) \right) + \mathrm{Var}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})}(x|z) \right)$$

$$= \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{2}{nz^2} \right) + \mathrm{Var}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \qquad = \frac{2}{n} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{z^2} \right) + \mathrm{Var}_{\mathrm{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n} \mathrm{E}_{\xi \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} (\xi^2) + \mathrm{Var}_{\xi \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} (\xi)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{(\frac{m}{2})^2}{(\frac{m}{2}-1)(\frac{m}{2}-2)} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{m}{2}-1} \right) \qquad = ... = \frac{2m^2(n+m-2)}{n(m-2)^2(m-4)}$$

Exercise 20. ($\star\star$)Prove the following statement:

Let $x \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $y = (x-\mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (x-\mu)$. Then

$$y \sim \chi_d^2$$

Solution. It is

$$y = (x-\mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (x-\mu) = \left(\Sigma^{-1/2}(x-\mu) \right)^{\top} \left(\Sigma^{-1/2}(x-\mu) \right) = z^{\top} z = \sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i^2$$

where $z = \Sigma^{-1/2}(x-\mu)$, and $z \sim \mathrm{N}_d(0, I)$. Because $z_i \sim \mathrm{N}(0,1)$, it is $\sum_{i=1}^d z_i^2 \sim \chi_d^2$ (from stats concepts 2).

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

Exercise 21. (⋆⋆)Let

$$
\begin{cases} x|\xi & \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi) \\ \xi & \sim \mathrm{IG}(a, b) \end{cases}
$$

with PDF

$$
f_{\mathrm{N}_d(\mu,\Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)
$$

$$
f_{\mathrm{IG}(a,b)}(\xi) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \xi^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\xi}\right) 1_{(0,\infty)}(\xi)
$$

Show that the marginal PDF of x is

$$
f(x) = \int f_{\mathrm{N}_d(\mu,\Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) f_{\mathrm{IG}(a,b)}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi
$$

$$
= \frac{2a^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{\det(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma)}} \frac{\Gamma\left(a+\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(a)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2a}(x-\mu)^\top \left(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma\right)^{-1}(x-\mu)\right]^{-\frac{(2a+d)}{2}} \tag{2}
$$

FYI: For $a = b = \frac{v}{2}$, the marginal PDF is the PDF of the d-dimensional Student T distribution.

Solution. It is

$$
\int f_{\mathrm{N}_d(\mu,\Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) f_{\mathrm{IG}(a,b)}(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi =
$$

$$
= \int \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma\xi)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \frac{\Sigma^{-1}}{\xi}(x-\mu)\right)}_{=\mathrm{N}_d(x|\mu,\Sigma\xi)} \underbrace{\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \xi^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\xi}\right) 1_{(0,\infty)}(\xi)}_{=\mathrm{IG}(\xi|a,b)} \mathrm{d}\xi
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int \xi^{-a-1-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\xi}\left[\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) + b\right]\right) \mathrm{d}\xi
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \Gamma\left(a+\frac{d}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) + b\right]^{-\left(a+\frac{d}{2}\right)}
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma)}} \frac{b^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(a)} \Gamma\left(a+\frac{d}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\frac{1}{b} + 1\right]^{-\frac{(2a+d)}{2}}
$$

$$
= \frac{2a^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{\det(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma)}} \frac{\Gamma\left(a+\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(a)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2a}(x-\mu)^\top \left(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma\right)^{-1}(x-\mu)\right]^{-\frac{(2a+d)}{2}}
$$

The Following exercise is part of Homework 1

Exercise 22. (⋆⋆⋆)

Let $x \sim \mathrm{T}_d(\mu, \Sigma, \nu)$. Recall that $x \sim \mathrm{T}_d(\mu, \Sigma, \nu)$ is the marginal distribution $f_x(x) = \int f_{x|\xi}(x|\xi) f_\xi(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi$ of (x, ξ) where

$$
x|\xi \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi v)
$$

$$
\xi \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2})
$$

Consider partition such that

$$
x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} ; \qquad\qquad \mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} ; \qquad\qquad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \Sigma_{21}^\top \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} ,
$$

where $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ and $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$.

Address the following:

1. Show that the marginal distribution of x_1 is such that

$$
x_1 \sim \mathrm{T}_{d_1}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1, \nu)
$$

   Hint: Try to use the form $f_x(x) = \int f_{x|\xi}(x|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi$.

2. Show that

$$
\xi | x_1 \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{1}{2}(d_1 + v), \frac{1}{2}\frac{Q + v}{v})
$$

   where $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(\mu_1 - x_1)$.

   Hint: The PDF of $y \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ is

$$
f(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(y - \mu)\right)
$$

   Hint: The PDF of $y \sim \mathrm{IG}(a, b)$ is

$$
f_{\mathrm{IG}(a,b)}(y) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} y^{-a-1} \exp(-\frac{b}{y}) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(y)
$$

3. Let $\xi' = \xi \frac{v}{Q+v}$, with $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(\mu_1 - x_1)$, show that

$$
\xi' | x_1 \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{v + d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})
$$

4. Show that the conditional distribution of $x_2 | x_1$ is such that

$$
x_2 | x_1 \sim \mathrm{T}_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})
$$

   where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{2|1} &= \mu_2 + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(x_1 - \mu_1) \\
\dot{\Sigma}_{2|1} &= \frac{\nu + (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(\mu_1 - x_1)}{\nu + d_1}\Sigma_{2|1} \\
\Sigma_{2|1} &= \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_1^{-1}\Sigma_{21}^\top \\
\nu_{2|1} &= \nu + d_1
\end{aligned}
$$

   Hint: You can use the Example [Marginalization & conditioning] from the Lecture Handout

Solution.

1. From what is given, it is $x|\xi \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi v)$ and $\xi \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ namely,

$$
f_x(x) = \int f_{x_1, x_2|\xi}(x_1, x_2|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi = \int f_{x_2|\xi, x_1}(x_2|\xi, x_1) f_{x_1|\xi}(x_1|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi
$$

It is

$$f_{x_1}(x_1) = \int \int f_{x_1,x_2|\xi}(x_1,x_2|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}x_2 = \int \int f_{x_2|\xi,x_1}(x_2|\xi,x_1) f_{x_1|\xi}(x_1|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}x_2$$

$$= \int \left(\int f_{x_2|\xi,x_1}(x_2|\xi,x_1) \mathrm{d}x_2 \right) f_{x_1|\xi}(x_1|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi = \int f_{x_1|\xi}(x_1|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi$$

Because $x_1|\xi \sim \mathrm{N}_{d_1}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1 \xi v)$, and $\xi \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, it is $x_1 \sim \mathrm{T}_{d_1}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1, \nu)$ from the statement of the question.

2. From what is given, it is $x|\xi \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma \xi v)$, and hence $x_1|\xi \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\mu_1, \Sigma_1 \xi v)$ as marginal of a Normal distribution. From the Bayes Theorem, it is

$$f_{\xi|x_1}(\xi|x_1) \propto f_{x_1|\xi}(x_1|\xi) f(\xi)$$

$$\propto \xi^{-\frac{d_1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - \mu_1)^\top (\Sigma_1 \xi v)^{-1} (x_1 - \mu_1) \right) \times \xi^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\xi}\frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$\propto \xi^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\xi}\frac{1}{2}\left[(x_1-\mu_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(x_1-\mu_1)\frac{1}{v} + 1 \right] \right)$$

$$\propto \xi^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\xi}\frac{1}{2}\frac{Q+v}{v} \right)$$

This is the kernel of the Inverse Gamma distribution, and hence I can recognize that

$$\xi|x_1 \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{1}{2}(d_1 + v), \frac{1}{2}\frac{Q+v}{v}).$$

3. Let $\xi' = \xi \frac{v}{Q+v}$, with $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(\mu_1 - x_1)$. Then it is

$$f(\xi'|x_1) = f_{\mathrm{IG}(\frac{1}{2}(d_1+v), \frac{1}{2}\frac{Q+v}{v})}(\xi|x_1) \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\xi'} \right| \quad \propto (Q\xi')^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{Q+v}{v}\frac{1}{\frac{Q+v}{v}\xi'}) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(\frac{Q+v}{v}\xi') \frac{Q+v}{v}$$

$$\propto (\xi')^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\xi'}) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(\xi') = f_{\mathrm{IG}(\frac{v+d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(\xi')$$

So

$$\xi'|x_1 \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{v+d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

4. I will try to show that

$$x_2|\xi', x_1 \sim \mathrm{N}_{d_2}\left(\mu_{2|1}, (v+d_1)\dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}\xi' \right)$$

$$\xi'|x_1 \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{v+d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

which leads to

$$x_2|x_1 \sim \mathrm{T}_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$$

since because

$$f_{x_2|x_1}(x_2|x_1) = \int f_{x_2|\xi,x_1}(x_2|\xi,x_1) f_\xi(\xi|x_1) \mathrm{d}\xi$$

- I have calculated that

$$\xi'|x_1 \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{v+d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

where $\xi' = \xi\frac{v}{Q+v}$ with $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(\mu_1 - x_1)$.

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

- It is (from multivariate Normal properties of the Example in the Hint)

$$x_2|\xi, x_1 \sim N_{d_2}\left(\mu_{2|1}, \underbrace{(\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_1^{-1}\Sigma_{21}^\top)}_{=\Sigma_{2|1}}\xi v\right) \equiv N_{d_2}\left(\mu_{2|1}, \Sigma_{2|1} v\xi\right)$$

where $\mu_{2|1} = \mu_2 + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}(x_1 - \mu_1)$. If I rearrange the parameters in order to appear $\xi' = \xi\frac{v}{Q+v}$ in the covariance I get

$$x_2|\xi, x_1 \sim N_{d_2}\left(\mu_{2|1}, \Sigma_{2|1} v\xi'\frac{v+Q}{v}\frac{v+d_1}{v+d_1}\right)$$

By setting

$$\dot\Sigma_{2|1} = \Sigma_{2|1}\frac{v+Q}{v+d_1}$$

I get

$$x_2|\xi', x_1 \sim N_{d_2}\left(\mu_{2|1}, (v+d_1)\dot\Sigma_{2|1}\xi'\right)$$

So I have

$$x_2|\xi', x_1 \sim N_{d_2}\left(\mu_{2|1}, (v+d_1)\dot\Sigma_{2|1}\xi'\right)$$

$$\xi'|x_1 \sim IG(\frac{v+d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

which gives that $x_2|x_1 \sim T_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot\Sigma_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$ with $\nu_{2|1} = v + d_1$. So the distribution of $x_2|x_1$ is $x_2|x_1 \sim T_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot\Sigma_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$.

Note: Alternatively, one could prove sub-questions (2) and (4) by performing several pages of Matrix calculations to show that

$$f_X(x|\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+d}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})\nu^{\frac{d}{2}}\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}\det(\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{v}(x-\mu)^\mathrm{T}\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)^{-\frac{\nu+d}{2}}$$

$$= ...$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+d_1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})\nu^{\frac{d_1}{2}}\pi^{\frac{d_1}{2}}\det(\Sigma_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{v}(x_1-\mu_1)^\mathrm{T}\Sigma_1^{-1}(x_1-\mu_1)\right)^{-\frac{\nu+d_1}{2}}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu_{2|1}+d_2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu_{2|1}}{2})\nu_{2|1}^{\frac{d_2}{2}}\pi^{\frac{d_2}{2}}\det(\dot\Sigma_{2|1})^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{v_{2|1}}(x_2-\mu_{2|1})^\mathrm{T}\dot\Sigma_{2|1}^{-1}(x_2-\mu_{2|1})\right)^{-\frac{\nu_{2|1}+d_2}{2}}$$

see Raiffa, H., & Schlaifer, R. (1961; Section 8.3). Applied statistical decision theory. This requires a lot of vector and matrix calculus.

Exercise 23. (⋆⋆⋆)Show that

1. If $x_i \sim N_d(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$ for $i = 1, .., n$ and $y = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i$, then

$$y \sim N_d(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i\Sigma_i B_i^\top)$$

2. If $x_i \sim \mathrm{T}_d(\mu_i, \Sigma_i, v)$ for $i = 1, .., n$ and $z = c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i x_i$, then

$$z \sim \mathrm{T}_d\left(c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \Sigma_i B_i^{\top}, v\right)$$

Solution.

1. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$a^{\top} y = a^{\top}\left(c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i x_i\right) = a^{\top} c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{\top} B_i x_i = a^{\top} c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(B_i^{\top} a\right)^{\top} x_i$$

follows a univariate Normal distribution. So y follows a d-dimensional Normal by definition. Also

$$\mathrm{E}(y) = \mathrm{E}(c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i x_i) = c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i$$

and

$$\mathrm{Var}(y) = \mathrm{Var}(c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \mathrm{Var}(x_i) B_i^{\top} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \Sigma_i B_i^{\top}$$

So by definition $y \sim \mathrm{N}_d(c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \Sigma_i B_i^{\top})$.

2. It is

$$z = c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i x_i = c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \left(\mu_i + y_i \sqrt{v\xi}\right) = \left(c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \mu_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i y_i\right) \sqrt{v\xi}$$

for $y_i \sim \mathrm{N}_d(0, \Sigma_i)$ and $\xi \sim \mathrm{IG}(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, and hence

$$z = \left(c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \mu_i\right) + \tilde{y} \sqrt{v\xi}$$

where $\tilde{y} \sim \mathrm{N}_d(0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \Sigma_i B_i^{\top})$. Hence, $z \sim \mathrm{T}_d(c + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \Sigma_i B_i^{\top}, v)$ by definition.

Bayesian paradigm and calculations

Exercise 24. $(\star)$Consider an i.i.d. sample $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ from the skew-logistic distribution with PDF

$$f(y_i|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})^{\theta+1}}$$

with parameter $\theta \in (0, \infty)$. To account for the uncertainty about θ we assign a Gamma prior distribution with PDF

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} \mathbb{1}(\theta \in (0, \infty)),$$

and fixed hyper parameters a, b specified by the researcher's prior info.

1. Derive the posterior distribution of θ.

2. Derive the predictive PDF for a future $z = y_{n+1}$.

Solution. It is

$$f(y_i|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})^{\theta+1}} = \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})} \exp\left(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-y_i})\right)$$

1. By using the Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\theta|y) \propto f(y|\theta)\pi(\theta) \quad \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_i|\theta)\pi(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})^{\theta+1}} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} \mathbb{1}(\theta \in (0, \infty))$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\cancel{e^{-y_i}}}{\cancel{(1 + e^{-y_i})}} \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-y_i})) \frac{\cancel{b^a}}{\cancel{\Gamma(a)}} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} \mathbb{1}(\theta \in (0, \infty))$$

$$\propto \theta^{n+a-1} \exp\left(-\theta\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + e^{-y_i}) + b\right]\right) \mathbb{1}(\theta \in (0, \infty)) \propto \mathrm{Ga}(\theta|a + n, b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + e^{-y_i}))$$

So

$$\theta|y \sim \mathrm{Ga}\left(\underbrace{a + n}_{=a^*}, \underbrace{b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + e^{-y_i})}_{=b^*}\right)$$

2. By using the definition for the predictive PDF, it is

$$f(z|y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z|\theta)\pi(\theta|y)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})} \theta \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-z})) \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \theta^{a^*-1} \exp(-\theta b^*)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta^{a^*+1-1} \exp(-\theta(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-y})))\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})} \frac{\Gamma(a^* + 1)}{(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-z}))^{a^*+1}} = \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})} \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-z}))^{a^*+1}} a^*$$

431

- Assume observable quantities $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$. Assume that the sampling distribution is $F(y|\theta)$ labeled by an unknown parameter $\theta \in \Theta$. Let $\theta = (\phi, \lambda)^\top$ with $\phi \in \Phi$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Assume You are interested in learning parameter $\phi \in \Phi$, and You are not interested in learning the unknown parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$; but both ϕ, λ are parts of the statistical model parameterisation. The unknown quantity $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is called <u>nuisance parameter</u>. We an call $\phi \in \Phi$ <u>parameter of interest</u>.

- In Bayesian Stats, learning (or quantifying uncertainty about) parameter of interest ϕ under the presence of a nuisance parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is performed according to the Bayesian paradigm as usual: You specify a prior $\Pi(\phi, \lambda)$ with PDF/PMF $\pi(\phi, \lambda) = \pi(\phi|\lambda)\pi(\lambda)$ on the joint space of ALL Your unknown parameters $\theta = (\phi, \lambda)^\top$; you compute the joint posterior distribution $d\Pi(\theta|y)$ of $\theta = (\phi, \lambda)^\top$ via the Bayesian theorem. Reasonably, Your posterior degree of believe about the parameter of interest ϕ given the data $y = (1_1, ..., y_n)$ is given through the marginal posterior distribution $d\Pi(\phi|y)$.

- To summarize; Specify the Bayesian model as:

$$\begin{cases} y|\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta} \sim dF(y|\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta}) & \text{, the statistical model} \\ \underbrace{(\phi, \lambda)}_{=\theta} \sim d\underbrace{\Pi(\phi, \lambda)}_{=\theta} & \text{, the prior model} \end{cases}$$

The joint posterior of θ given y is $d\Pi(\theta|y) = d\Pi(\lambda|y, \phi)d\Pi(\phi|y)$ is with PDF/PMF

-

$$\pi(\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta}|y) = \frac{f(y|\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta})\pi(\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta})}{f(y)} = \underbrace{\frac{f(y|\phi, \lambda)\pi(\lambda|\phi)}{f(y|\phi)}}_{=\pi(\lambda|y, \phi)} \underbrace{\frac{f(y|\phi)\pi(\phi)}{f(y)}}_{=\pi(\phi|y)} = \pi(\lambda|y, \phi)\pi(\phi|y)$$

The (marginal) likelihood $f(y|\phi)$ of y given ϕ is

$$f(y|\phi) = \underbrace{\int_\Lambda f(y|\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta})d\Pi(\lambda|\phi)}_{=E_{\Pi(\lambda|\phi)}(f(y|\phi, \lambda)|\phi)} = \begin{cases} \int_\Lambda f(y|\phi, \lambda)\pi(\lambda|\phi)d\lambda & \text{, if } \lambda \text{ cont} \\ \\ \sum_{\forall \lambda \in \Lambda} f(y|\phi, \lambda)\pi(\lambda|\phi) & \text{, if } \lambda \text{ discr} \end{cases}$$

The PDF/PMF $\pi(\phi|y)$ of marginal posterior $d\Pi(\phi|y)$ of ϕ is

$$\pi(\phi|y) = \underbrace{\int_\Lambda \pi(\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta}|y)d\lambda}_{=E_{\Pi(\lambda|y)}(\pi(\phi|y, \lambda))} \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \pi(\phi|y) = \frac{f(y|\phi)\pi(\phi)}{f(y)}$$

The predictive distribution $G(z|y)$ of the next outcome $z = (y_{n+1}, ...y_{n+m})$ given y has pdf/pmf

$$g(z|y) = \int f(y|\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta})d\Pi(\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta}|y)$$

and the marginal likelihood $f(y)$ is

$$f(y) = \int f(y|\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta})\pi(\overbrace{\phi, \lambda}^{=\theta})d\phi d\lambda$$

Exercise 25. $(\star\star\star)$Assume observable quantities $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ forming the available data set of size n. Assume   <-story
that the observations are drawn i.i.d. from a sampling distribution which is judged to be in the Normal parametric
family of distributions $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with unknown mean μ and variance σ^2. We are interested in learning μ and the next
outcome $z = y_{n+1}$. We do not care about σ^2.

Assume You specify a Bayesian model   <-set-up

$$\begin{cases} y_i|\mu, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., n & \text{, Statistical model} \\ \mu|\sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\tau_0}) & \text{, prior} \\ \sigma^2 \sim IG(a_0, k_0) & \text{, prior} \end{cases}$$

1. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \theta)^2 = n(\bar{y} - \theta)^2 + ns^2,$$

   where $s^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2$.

2. Show that the joint posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y)$ is such as

$$\mu|y, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_n, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\tau_n})$$

$$\sigma^2|y \sim IG(a_n, k_n)$$

   with

$$\mu_n = \frac{n\bar{y} + \tau_0\mu_0}{n + \tau_0}; \qquad \tau_n = n + \tau_0; \qquad a_n = a_0 + n$$

$$k_n = k_0 + \frac{1}{2}ns_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tau_0 n(\mu_0 - \bar{y})^2}{n + \tau_0}$$

   Hint: It is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \mu_1)^2}{v_1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \mu_2)^2}{v_2}... - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{v_n} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{v}} + C$$

   where

$$\hat{v} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{v_i}\right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{v}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i}{v_i}\right); \quad C = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{\hat{v}} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i^2}{v_i}$$

3. Show that the marginal posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu|y)$ is such as

$$\mu|y \sim T_1\left(\mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n}\frac{1}{\tau_n}, 2a_n\right)$$

   Hint-1: If $x \sim IG(a, b)$, $y = cx$, then $y \sim IG(a, cb)$.
   Hint-2: The definition of Student T is considered as known

4. Show that the predictive distribution $\Pi(z|y)$ is Student T such as

$$z|y \sim T_1\left(\mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n}(\frac{1}{\tau_n} + 1), 2a_n\right)$$

   Hint-1: Consider that

$$N(x|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)N(x|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = N(x|m, v^2)N(\mu_1|\mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

where

$$v^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{-1}; \quad m = v^2\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)$$

Hint-2: The definition of Student T is considered as known

Solution.

1. It is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \theta)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(y_i - \bar{y}) - (\theta - \bar{y})\right]^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(y_i - \bar{y})^2 + (\theta - \bar{y})^2 - 2(y_i - \bar{y})(\theta - \bar{y})\right]$$

$$= ns^2 + n(\bar{y} - \theta)^2, \text{ where } s^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

2. I use the Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) \propto f(y|\mu, \sigma^2)\pi(\mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{N}(y_i|\mu, \sigma^2)\mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma^2\frac{1}{\tau_0})\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a_0, k_0)$$

$$\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(y_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma^2/\tau_0}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{a_0+1}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}k_0\right)$$

$$\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+a_0+1}\exp\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(y_i - \mu)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{1/\tau_0}\right] - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}k_0\right)$$

It is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(y_i - \mu)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{1/\tau_0} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{\underbrace{v_n^2}_{=1/\tau_n}} + C_n$$

where

$$v_n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1/\tau_0}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n + \tau_0} \implies \tau_n = n + \tau_0$$

$$\mu_n = v_n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{y_i}{1} + \frac{\mu_0}{1/\tau_0}\right) \implies \mu_n = \frac{n\bar{y} + \tau_0\mu_0}{n + \tau_0}$$

$$C_n = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mu_n^2}{v_n} - \frac{1}{2}\left(n\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_i^2 + \tau_0\mu_0^2\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{(n\bar{y} + \tau_0\mu_0)^2}{n + \tau_0} - \frac{1}{2}\left(n\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_i^2 + \tau_0\mu_0^2\right)$$

$$= ...\text{Quest. 1}... = -\frac{1}{2}ns_n^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tau_0 n(\mu_0 - \bar{y})^2}{n + \tau_0}$$

So

$$\pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) \propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n}{2}+a_0+1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_n)^2}{1/\tau_n}+C_n\right]-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}k_0\right)$$

$$\propto \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_n)^2}{\sigma^2/\tau_n}\right)}_{\propto \mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\sigma^2/\tau_n)} \times \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\overbrace{\frac{n}{2}+a_0+1}^{=a_n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\overbrace{k_0-C_n}^{=k_n})\right)}_{\propto \mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n,k_n)}$$

$$\propto \mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\sigma^2/\tau_n)\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n,k_n)$$

where

$$\mu_n = \frac{n\bar{y}+\tau_0\mu_0}{n+\tau_0}\,; \qquad\qquad a_n = \frac{n}{2}+a_0\,;$$

$$\tau_n = n+\tau_0\,; \qquad\qquad k_n = k_0 + \frac{1}{2}ns_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tau_0 n(\mu_0-\bar{y})^2}{n+\tau_0}\,.$$

3. It is

$$\pi(\mu|y) = \int \pi(\mu,\sigma^2|y)\mathrm{d}\sigma^2 = \int \mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\sigma^2/\tau_n)\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n,k_n)\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

by change of variable $\xi = \sigma^2\frac{1}{2k_n}$, it is

$$\pi(\mu|y) = \int \mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\xi 2k_n\frac{1}{\tau_n}\frac{2a_n}{2a_n})\mathrm{IG}(\xi|\frac{2a_n}{2},\frac{1}{2})\mathrm{d}\xi = \int \mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\xi\frac{1}{\tau_n}\frac{k_n}{a_n}2a_n)\mathrm{IG}(\xi|\frac{2a_n}{2},\frac{1}{2})\mathrm{d}\xi$$

$$= \mathrm{T}_1(\mu|\mu_n,\frac{k_n}{a_n}\frac{1}{\tau_n},2a_n)$$

4. It is

$$g(z|y) = \int f(z|\mu,\sigma^2)\pi(\mu,\sigma^2|y)\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\sigma^2 = \int \mathrm{N}(z|\mu,\sigma^2)\mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\sigma^2/\tau_n)\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n,k_n)\mathrm{d}\mu\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$= \int \left[\int \mathrm{N}(z|\mu,\sigma^2)\mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\sigma^2/\tau_n)\mathrm{d}\mu\right]\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n,k_n)\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

Normal density is symmetric $\mathrm{N}(z|\mu,\sigma^2)\mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\sigma^2/\tau_n) = \mathrm{N}(\mu|z,\sigma^2)\mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\sigma^2/\tau_n)$, and by using the Hint

$$\int \mathrm{N}(\mu|z,\sigma^2)\mathrm{N}(\mu|\mu_n,\sigma^2/\tau_n)\mathrm{d}\mu = \int \mathrm{N}(\mu|\mathrm{const.,const.})\mathrm{N}\left(z|\mu_n,\sigma^2\left[\frac{1}{\tau_n}+1\right]\right)\mathrm{d}\mu = \mathrm{N}\left(z|\mu_n,\sigma^2\left[\frac{1}{\tau_n}+1\right]\right)$$

So

$$g(z|y) = \int \mathrm{N}\left(z|\mu_n,\sigma^2\left[\frac{1}{\tau_n}+1\right]\right)\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n,k_n)\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

by change the variable $\xi = \sigma^2\frac{1}{2k_n}$, it is

$$g(z|y) = \int \mathrm{N}\left(z|\mu_n,\xi\left[\frac{1}{\tau_n}+1\right]\frac{k_n}{a_n}2a_n\right)\mathrm{IG}(\xi|\frac{2a_n}{2},\frac{1}{2})\mathrm{d}\xi = \mathrm{T}_1\left(z|\mu_n,\left[\frac{1}{\tau_n}+1\right]\frac{k_n}{a_n},2a_n\right)$$

The following is about the Normal linear model of regression.

Exercise 26. ($\star\star\star$)(Normal linear regression model with unknown error variance)   <-story

Consider we are interested in recovering the mapping

$$x \overset{\eta(x)}{\longmapsto} y$$

in the sense that y is the response (output quantity) that depends on x which is the independent variable (input quantity) in a procedure; E.g.:,

- y: precipitation in log scale

- $x = (\text{longitude}, \text{latitude})$: geographical coordinates.

It is believed that the mapping $\eta(x)$ can be represented as an expansion of d known polynomial functions $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{d-1}$ such as

$$\eta(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \phi_j(x)\beta_j = \Phi(x)^\top \beta; \quad \text{with } \Phi(x) = (\phi_0(x), ..., \phi_{d-1}(x))^\top$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is unknown.

Assume observable quantities (data) in pairs (x_i, y_i) for $i = 1, ..., n$; (E.g. from the i-th station at location x_i I got the reading y_i). Assume that the response observations $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ may be contaminated by noise with unknown variance; such that

$$y_i = \eta(x_i) + \epsilon_i$$

where $\epsilon_i \sim \mathrm{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ with unknown σ^2.

You are interested in learning β, but you do not care about σ^2. Also you want to learn the value of y_f at an untried x_f (i.e. the precipitation at any other location).

Consider the Bayesian model $\qquad\qquad$ <-set-up

$$y|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2); \text{ the sampling distr}$$
$$\beta|\sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu_0, V_0\sigma^2); \text{prior distr}$$
$$\sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{IG}(a_0, k_0)\text{prior distr}$$

where Φ is the design matrix $[\Phi]_{i,j} = \Phi_j(x_i)$.

1. Show that the joint posterior distribution $\mathrm{d}\Pi(\beta, \sigma^2|y)$ is such as

$$\beta|y, \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu_n, V_n\sigma^2); \qquad\qquad \sigma^2|y \sim \mathrm{IG}(a_n, k_n)$$

with

$$V_n^{-1} = \Phi^\top\Phi + V_0^{-1}; \qquad \mu_n = V_n\left((\Phi^\top\Phi)^{-1}\Phi y + V_0^{-1}\mu_0\right); \qquad a_n = \frac{n}{2} + a_0$$

$$k_n = \frac{1}{2}(y - \Phi\hat{\beta}_n)^\top(y - \Phi\hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2}\mu_n^\top V_n^{-1}\mu_n + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_0^\top V_0^{-1}\mu_0 + y^\top\Phi^\top(\Phi^\top\Phi)^{-1}\Phi y\right) + k_0$$

Hint-1:

$$(y - \Phi\beta)^\top(y - \Phi\beta) = (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top\left[\Phi^\top\Phi\right](\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) + S_n; \quad S_n = (y - \Phi\hat{\beta}_n)^\top(y - \Phi\hat{\beta}_n); \quad \hat{\beta}_n = (\Phi^\top\Phi)^{-1}\Phi y$$

Hint-2: If $\Sigma_1 > 0$ and $\Sigma_2 > 0$ symmetric

$$-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_1)\Sigma_1^{-1}(x - \mu_1)^\top - \frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_2)\Sigma_2^{-1}(x - \mu_2)^\top = -\frac{1}{2}(x - m)V^{-1}(x - m)^\top + C$$

where

$$V^{-1} = \Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_2^{-1}; \quad m = V\left(\Sigma_1^{-1}\mu_1 + \Sigma_2^{-1}\mu_2\right); \quad C = \frac{1}{2}m^\top V^{-1}m - \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_1^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}\mu_1 + \mu_2^\top \Sigma_2^{-1}\mu_2\right)$$

2. Show that the marginal posterior of β given y is

$$\beta|y \sim \mathrm{T}_d(\mu_n, V_n \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n)$$

3. Show that the predictive distribution of an outcome $y_f = \Phi_f\beta + \epsilon$ with $\Phi_f = (\phi_0(x_f), ..., \phi_{d-1}(x_f))$ and $\epsilon \sim \mathrm{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ at untried location x_f is

$$y_f|y \sim \mathrm{T}_d(\mu_n, [\Phi^\top\Phi + 1]\frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n)$$

Consider that

$$\mathrm{N}(x|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)\,\mathrm{N}(x|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = \mathrm{N}(x|m, v^2)\,\mathrm{N}(\mu_1|\mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

where

$$v^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{-1}; \quad m = v^2\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)$$

Hint-2: The definition of Student T is considered as known

Solution.

1. I use the Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) \propto f(y|\mu, \sigma^2)\pi(\mu, \sigma^2) = \mathrm{N}(y|\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)\mathrm{N}(\beta|\mu_0, \sigma^2 V_0)\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a_0, k_0)$$

$$\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - \Phi\beta)^\top(I\sigma^2)^{-1}(y - \Phi\beta)\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta - \mu_0)^\top(V_0\sigma^2)^{-1}(\beta - \mu_0)\right)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{a_0+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}k_0\right)$$

but

$$(y - \Phi\beta)^\top(y - \Phi\beta) = (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top\left[\Phi^\top\Phi\right](\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) + S_n; \quad S_n = (y - \Phi\hat{\beta}_n)^\top(y - \Phi\hat{\beta}_n); \quad \hat{\beta}_n = (\Phi^\top\Phi)^{-1}\Phi y$$

so

$$\pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) \propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top\left[\Phi^\top\Phi\right](\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}S_n\right)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta - \mu_0)^\top(V_0\sigma^2)^{-1}(\beta - \mu_0)\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{a_0+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}k_0\right)$$

$$\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top\left[\Phi^\top\Phi\right](\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\beta - \mu_0)^\top V_0^{-1}(\beta - \mu_0)\right)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+a_0+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}S_n - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}k_0\right)$$

but

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top\left[\Phi^\top\Phi\right](\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \mu_0)^\top V_0^{-1}(\beta - \mu_0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\beta - \mu_n)^\top V_n^{-1}(\beta - \mu_n) + \frac{1}{2}C_n$$

$$V_n^{-1} = \Phi^\top \Phi + V_0^{-1}; \qquad \mu_n = V_n \left(\Phi^\top \Phi \hat{\beta}_n + V_0^{-1} \mu_0 \right) = V_n \left((\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y + V_0^{-1} \mu_0 \right)$$

$$C_n = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\top V_n^{-1} \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_0^\top V_0^{-1} \mu_0 + \hat{\beta}_n^\top \left[\Phi^\top \Phi \right] \hat{\beta}_n \right) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\top V_n^{-1} \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_0^\top V_0^{-1} \mu_0 + y^\top \Phi^\top (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y \right)$$

So

$$\pi(\mu, \sigma^2 | y) \propto \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{|V_n \sigma^2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\beta - \mu_n)^\top \left[V_n \sigma^2 \right]^{-1} (\beta - \mu_n) \right)}_{\propto N_d(\beta | \mu_n, V_n \sigma^2)} \times \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\overbrace{\frac{n}{2} + a_0}^{a_n} + 1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \overbrace{\left[\frac{1}{2} S_n - C_n + k_0 \right]}^{= k_n} \right)}_{\propto IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n)}$$

So

$$\begin{cases} \mu | \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_n, \sigma^2 V_n) \\ \sigma^2 \sim IG(a_n, k_n) \end{cases}$$

2. It is

$$\pi(\beta | y) = \int \pi(\beta, \sigma^2 | y) \mathrm{d}\sigma^2 = \int N(\beta | \mu_n, V_n \sigma^2) IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n) \mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

by change the variable $\xi = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{2k_n}$, it is

$$\pi(\beta | y) = \int N(\beta | \mu_n, \xi 2 k_n V_n \frac{2a_n}{2a_n}) IG(\xi | \frac{2a_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \mathrm{d}\xi = \int N(\beta | \mu_n, \xi V_n \frac{k_n}{a_n} 2a_n) IG(\xi | \frac{2a_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \mathrm{d}\xi$$

$$= T_d(\beta | \mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n} V_n, 2a_n)$$

3. It is

$$g(y_f | y) = \int f(y_f | \Phi_f \beta, \sigma^2) \pi(\beta, \sigma^2 | y) \mathrm{d}\beta \mathrm{d}\sigma^2 = \int N(y_f | \Phi_f \beta, \sigma^2) N(\beta | \mu_n, V_n \sigma^2) IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n) \mathrm{d}\beta \mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$= \int \underbrace{\left[\int N(y_f | \Phi_f \beta, \sigma^2) N(\beta | \mu_n, V_n \sigma^2) \mathrm{d}\beta \right]}_{= A} IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n) \mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

by change of variable for $\xi' = \Phi_f \beta \sim N(\Phi_f \mu_n, \Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f \sigma^2)$

$$A = \int N(y_f | \xi', \sigma^2) N(\xi' | \Phi_f \mu_n, \Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f \sigma^2) \mathrm{d}\xi'$$

because Normal is symmetric around the mean

$$A = \int N(\xi' | y_f, \sigma^2) N(\xi' | \Phi_f \mu_n, \Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f \sigma^2) \mathrm{d}\xi'$$

by using the Hint

$$A = \int N(\xi' | \text{const.}, \text{const.}) N\left(y_f | \Phi_f \mu_n, \sigma^2 \left[\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1 \right] \right) \mathrm{d}\xi = N\left(y_f | \Phi_f \mu_n, \sigma^2 \left[\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1 \right] \right)$$

So

$$g(y_f | y) = \int N\left(y_f | \Phi_f \mu_n, \sigma^2 \left[\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1 \right] \right) IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n) \mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

by change the variable $\xi = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{2k_n}$, it is

$$g(y_f|y) = \int \mathrm{N}\left(y_f|\Phi_f\mu_n, \xi\left[\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1\right]\frac{k_n}{a_n}2a_n\right)\mathrm{IG}(\xi|\frac{2a_n}{2},\frac{1}{2})\mathrm{d}\xi = \mathrm{T}_1\left(y_f|\Phi_f\mu_n, \left[\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1\right]\frac{k_n}{a_n},2a_n\right)$$

So

$$y_f|y \sim \mathrm{T}_1\left(\Phi_f\mu_n, \left[\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1\right]\frac{k_n}{a_n},2a_n\right)$$

, or equiv.

$$y(x_f)|y \sim \mathrm{T}_1\left(\phi^\top(x_f)\mu_n, \left[\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1\right]\frac{k_n}{a_n},2a_n\right)$$

Exercise 27. ($\star\star$)Let $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ be observables drawn iid from sampling distribution $y_i|\theta \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathrm{N}(\theta, \theta^2)$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is unknown. Specify a conjugate prior density for θ up to an unknown normalizing constant.

Solution. The sampling distribution is

$$f(y_i|\theta) = \mathrm{N}(y_i|\theta,\theta^2) \ \propto (\theta^2)^{-1/2}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(y_i - \theta)^2}{\theta^2}) \propto |\theta|^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}y_i^2\frac{1}{\theta^2} + y_i\frac{1}{\theta})$$

and hence it belongs to the exponential family with $g(\theta) = |\theta|^{-1}$, $c_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $\phi_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$, $h_1(y_i) = y_i^2$, $c_2 = 1$, $\phi_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $h_2(y_i) = y_i$.

The corresponding conjugate prior has pdf such as

$$\pi(\theta) = \tilde{\pi}(\theta|\tau) \propto |\theta|^{-\tau_0}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\theta^2}\tau_1 + \frac{1}{\theta}\tau_2), \qquad \text{where } \tau = (\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2).$$

I actually cannot recognize it as a standard distribution in this case. The posterior distribution has pdf such as

$$\pi(\theta|y) \propto f(y|\theta)\pi(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{N}(y_i|\theta,\theta^2)\pi(\theta) \propto |\theta|^{-(\tau_0+n)}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\theta^2}(\tau_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2) + \frac{1}{\theta}(\tau_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i))$$

Namely, $\pi(\theta|y) = \tilde{\pi}(\theta|\tau^*)$, with $\tau* = (\tau_0 + n, \tau_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \tau_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)$; so it is conjugate.

Exercise 28. ($\star\star$)If the sampling distribution $F(\cdot|\theta)$ is discrete and the prior $\Pi(\theta)$ is proper, then the posterior $\Pi(\theta|y)$ is always proper.

Solution. It is

$$f(y) \leq \sum_{\forall y} f(y) = \sum_{\forall y}\overbrace{\int f(y|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}^{f(y)=} \overset{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int \sum_{\forall y} f(y|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta) = \int \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta) = 1$$

Exercise 29. ($\star\star$)If the sampling distribution $F(\cdot|\theta)$ is continuous and the prior $\Pi(\theta)$ is proper, then the posterior $\Pi(\theta|y)$ is almost always proper.

Solution. It is

$$\int f(y)\mathrm{d}y = \int_{\forall y}\overbrace{\int_{\forall\theta} f(y|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}^{f(y)=}\mathrm{d}y \overset{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int_{\forall\theta}\int_{\forall y} f(y|\theta)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta) = \int \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta) = 1$$

So it is $f(y) < \infty$ for every set of y (possibly) apart from a finite number of y's with 'probability' zero.

The Limit Comparison Theorem for Improper Integrals

Type_ I_comparison_test: Let integrable functions $f(x)$, and $g(x)$ for $x \geq a$.

Let

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq g(x), \quad \text{for } x \geq a$$

Then

$$\int_a^\infty g(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty \implies \int_a^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

$$\int_a^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x = \infty \implies \int_a^\infty g(x)\mathrm{d}x = \infty$$

Type I limit test: Let integrable functions $f(x)$, and $g(x)$ when $x \geq a$, and let $g(x)$ be positive.

Let

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

Then

- If $c \in (0, \infty)$:
$$\int_a^\infty g(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty \Longleftrightarrow \int_a^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

- If $c = 0$:
$$\int_a^\infty g(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty \implies \int_a^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

- If $c = \infty$:
$$\textcolor{red}{\int_a^\infty g(x)\mathrm{d}x = \infty \implies \int_a^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x = \infty}$$

Type II limit test: Let integrable functions $f(x)$, and $g(x)$ when $a < x \leq b$, and let $g(x)$ be positive.

Let

$$\lim_{x \to a^+} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

Then

- If $c \in (0, \infty)$:
$$\int_a^b g(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty \Longleftrightarrow \int_a^b f(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

- If $c = 0$:
$$\int_a^b g(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty \implies \int_a^b f(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

- If $c = \infty$:
$$\textcolor{red}{\int_a^b g(x)\mathrm{d}x = \infty \implies \int_a^b f(x)\mathrm{d}x = \infty}$$

Note: A useful test function is

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^p \mathrm{d}x \quad \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{, when } p > 1 \\ = \infty & \text{, when } p \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Exercise 30. (⋆⋆)Consider the Bayesian model

$$
\begin{cases}
x|\sigma & \sim \mathrm{N}(0, \sigma^2) \\
\sigma & \sim \mathrm{Ex}(\lambda)
\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathrm{Ex}(\lambda)$ is the exponential distribution with mean $1/\lambda$. Show that the posterior distribution is not defined always.

- HINT: Precisely, show that the posterior is not defined in the case that you collect only one observation $x = 0$.

Solution.

It is

$$
f(x) \propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{N}(x|0, \sigma^2)\mathrm{Ex}(\sigma|\lambda)\mathrm{d}\sigma = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-0)^2)\lambda\exp(-\sigma\lambda)\mathrm{d}\sigma
$$

$$
f(x = 0) \propto \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma}\exp(-\sigma\lambda)\mathrm{d}\sigma
$$

We will use a convergence criteria in order to check if $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma}\exp(-\sigma\lambda)\mathrm{d}\sigma = \infty$.

I will use the Limit Comparison Test to check if $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma}\exp(-\sigma\lambda)\mathrm{d}\sigma = \infty$. Consider $h(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma}\exp(-\sigma\lambda)$. The function $h(\sigma)$ has an improper behavior at 0, as it is not bounded there. Let $g(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma}$. According to the Limit Comparison Test, it is

$$
\lim_{\sigma\to 0^+}\frac{h(\sigma)}{g(\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma\to 0^+}\frac{\frac{1}{\sigma}\exp(-\sigma\lambda)}{\frac{1}{\sigma}} = 1 \neq 0
$$

and

$$
\int_0^\infty g(\sigma)\mathrm{d}\sigma = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma}\mathrm{d}\sigma = \infty.
$$

Therefore, it will be

$$
\underbrace{\int_0^\infty h(\sigma)\mathrm{d}\sigma = \infty}_{=f(x=0)}
$$

as well.

Exercise 31. (⋆⋆)Consider the Bayesian model

$$
\begin{cases}
x|\sigma & \sim \mathrm{N}(0, \sigma^2) \\
\sigma & \sim \Pi(\sigma)
\end{cases}
$$

where $\Pi(\sigma)$ is an improper prior distribution with density such as $\pi(\sigma) \propto \sigma^{-1}\exp(-a\sigma^{-2})$ for $a > 0$. Show that we can use this prior on Bayesian inference.

Solution.

We will check the properness condition. It is

$$
f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{N}(x|0, \sigma^2)\mathrm{Ex}(\sigma|\lambda)\mathrm{d}\sigma \propto \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-0)^2)\sigma^{-1}\exp(-a\sigma^{-2})\mathrm{d}\sigma
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 + 2a))\mathrm{d}\sigma
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}}\exp(-\frac{\xi}{2}(x^2 + 2a))\mathrm{d}\xi
$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

for $\xi = 1/\sigma^2$. It is

$$f(x) \propto \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} \exp(\underbrace{-\frac{\xi}{2}\underbrace{(x^2 + 2a)}_{<0}})\mathrm{d}\xi \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}}\mathrm{d}\xi < \infty$$

$$\underbrace{\phantom{\exp(-\frac{\xi}{2}(x^2+2a))}}_{\in(0,1)}$$

So the posterior is defined.

<div align="center">The Following exercise is part of Homework 1</div>

Exercise 32. $(\star\star)$Let x be an observation. Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\theta & \sim \mathrm{Pn}(\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\mathrm{Pn}(\theta)$ is the Poisson distribution with expected value θ. Consider a prior $\Pi(\theta)$ with density such as $\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$. Show that the posterior distribution is not always defined.

Hint-1: It suffices to show that the posterior is not defined in the case that you collect only one observation $x = 0$.

Hint-2: Poisson distribution: $x \sim \mathrm{Pn}(\theta)$ has PMF

$$\mathrm{Pn}(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!} 1(x \in \mathbb{N})$$

Solution. The prior with $\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$ is improper because

$$\int \pi(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta \propto \int \frac{1}{\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta = \infty$$

So I need to check the proneness condition,

$$\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{Pn}(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta}_{\propto f(x)} \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{posterior distribution is defined} \\ = \infty & \text{posterior distribution is not defined} \end{cases}$$

I will show that the posterior distribution is not defined given that I have collected a single observation $x = 0$. So I need to show that

$$\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{Pn}(x = 0|\theta)\pi(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta}_{\propto f(x=0)} = \infty$$

It is

$$f(x) \propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{Pn}(x|\theta)\frac{1}{\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\theta)\frac{\theta^x}{x!}\frac{1}{\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$f(x = 0) \propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp(-\theta)\frac{\theta^0}{0!}\frac{1}{\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\theta)\frac{1}{\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta$$

We will use a convergence criteria in order to check if $\int_0^\infty \exp(-\theta)\frac{1}{\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta = \infty$.

Consider $h(\theta) = \exp(-\theta)\frac{1}{\theta}$. The function $h(\theta)$ has an improper behavior at 0, as it is not bounded there. Let $g(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$. According to the Limit Comparison Test, it is

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0^+} \frac{h(\theta)}{g(\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \to 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{\theta}\exp(-\theta)}{\frac{1}{\theta}} = 1 \neq 0$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty g(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta = \infty.$$

Therefore, it will be

$$\underbrace{\int_0^\infty h(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta = \infty}_{=f(x=0)}$$

as well.

The next exercise is about the Sequential processing of data via Bayes theorem

Exercise 33. $(\star\star)$Assume that observable quantities $x_1, x_2, ...$ are generated i.i.d by a process that can be modeled as a sampling distribution $\mathrm{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$with known σ^2 and unknown μ.

1. Assume that you have collected an observation x_1. Specify a prior $\Pi(\mu)$ on μ as $\mu \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ where μ_0, σ_0^2 are known.

   • Derive the posterior $\Pi(\theta|x_1)$.

   Next assume that you additionally aobserve an additional observation x_2 after collecting x_1. Consider the posterior $\Pi(\mu|x_1)$ as the current state of your knowledge about θ.

   • Derive the posterior $\Pi(\mu|x_1, x_2)$ in the light of the new additional observation x_2.

2. Assume that you have collected two observations (x_1, x_2). Specify a prior $\Pi(\mu)$ on μ as $\mu \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ where μ_0, σ_0^2 are known.

   • Derive the posterior $\Pi(\theta|x_1, x_2)$ in the light of the observations (x_1, x_2).

3. What do you observe:

Hint: We considered the identity

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y - \mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(y - \hat\mu)^2}{\hat\sigma^2} + c(\hat\mu, \hat\sigma^2),$$

$$c(\hat\mu, \hat\sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2})^2(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2})^{-1}; \quad \hat\sigma^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2})^{-1}; \quad \hat\mu = \hat\sigma^2(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2})$$

where $c(\hat\mu, \hat\sigma^2)$ is constant w.r.t. y.

Solution.

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

1. the posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu|x_1)$ has PDF

$$\pi(\mu|x_1) \propto \overbrace{N(x_1|\mu,\sigma^2)}^{\text{likelihood}} \overbrace{N(\mu|\mu_0,\sigma_0^2)}^{\text{prior}}$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_1-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2})\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2})$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_1-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2})$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}) \propto N(\mu|\hat{\mu}_1,\hat{\sigma}_1^2) \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2})^{-1}$, and $\hat{\mu}_1 = \hat{\sigma}_1^2(\frac{x_1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2})$. In (3), we recognized the kernel of the Normal PDF. Hence, $\mu|x_1 \sim N(\hat{\mu}_1,\hat{\sigma}_1^2)$

Then the posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu|x_1,x_2)$ has PDF

$$\pi(\mu|x_1,x_2) \propto \overbrace{(x_2|\mu,\sigma^2)}^{\text{likelihood}} \overbrace{N(\mu|\hat{\mu}_1,\hat{\sigma}_1^2)}^{\text{prior}}$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_2-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2})\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2})$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_2-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2})$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2}) \propto N(\mu|\hat{\mu}_2,\hat{\sigma}_2^2) \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2})^{-1} = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2})^{-1}$, and $\hat{\mu}_2 = \hat{\sigma}_1^2(\frac{x_2}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\hat{\mu}_1}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}) = \hat{\sigma}_2^2(\frac{x_1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{x_2}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2})$. In (3), we recognized the kernel of the Normal PDF. Hence, $\mu|x_1,x_2 \sim N(\hat{\mu}_2,\hat{\sigma}_2^2)$.

2. The posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu|x_1,x_2)$ has PDF

$$\pi(\mu|x_1,x_2) \propto \overbrace{N(x_1|\mu,\sigma^2)N(x_2|\mu,\sigma^2)}^{\text{likelihood}} \overbrace{N(\mu|\mu_0,\sigma_0^2)}^{\text{prior}}$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_1-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2})\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_2-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2})\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2})$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_1-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_2-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2})$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2}) \propto N(\mu|\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2) \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2})^{-1}$, and $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^2(\frac{x_1}{\sigma^2}+\frac{x_2}{\sigma^2}+\frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2})$. In (5), we recognized the kernel of the Normal PDF. Hence, $\mu|x_1,x_2 \sim N(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2)$

3. It is easy to see that $\hat{\mu}_2 = \hat{\mu}$, and $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2$, from (1) and (2). We observe the two Learning Scenarios are equivalent in the sense that they lead to the same posterior $d\Pi(\mu|x_1,x_2)$ at the end posterior $d\Pi(\mu|x_1,x_2)$ in a single application of Bayes theorem with the full data $x = (x_1,x_2)$.

Part V

Exchangeability

> We work on the proofs of the following theorems:
>
> - Marginal distributions of finite exchangeable sequences $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k$ are invariant under permutations; i.e.:
> $$\mathrm{d}F(y_{\mathfrak{p}(1)}, y_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}, \ldots, y_{\mathfrak{p}(k)}) = \mathrm{d}F(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}_n. \tag{6}$$
> In particular, for $k = 1$, it follows that all y_i are identically distributed (but not necessarily independently, as stated in the Lecture notes)
>
> - (Marginal) Expectations of finite exchangeable sequences $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k$ are all identical:
> $$\mathrm{E}(g(y_i)) = \mathrm{E}(g(y_1)) \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., k \text{ and all functions } g \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R} \tag{7}$$
>
> - (Marginal) Variances of finite exchangeable sequences $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k$ are all identical:
> $$\mathrm{Var}(y_i) = \mathrm{Var}(y_1). \tag{8}$$
>
> - Covariances between elements of finite exchangeable sequences $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k$ are all identical:
> $$\mathrm{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = \mathrm{Cov}(y_1, y_2) \text{ whenever } i \neq j. \tag{9}$$
>
> **Just for your information** The properties above are implied by the following general theorem. However, you should not use this theorem, directly, to solve the exercises below...
>
> **Theorem.** *Consider an exchangeable sequence $y_1, \ldots, y_n$. Let $g \colon \mathcal{Y}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ be any function of k of these, where $k \leq n$. Then, for any permutation $\pi \in \Pi_n$,*
> $$E(g(Y_{\mathfrak{p}(1)}, Y_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}, \ldots, Y_{\mathfrak{p}(k)})) = E(g(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_k)) \tag{10}$$

This is not an exercise to solve. Feel free to read the solution of this exercise, as it may help you understand the the Interpretation of the 'representation Theorem with $0 - 1$ quantities'.

Exercise 34. ($\star\star\star\star\star$)(Representation Theorem with $0 - 1$ quantities). If $y_1, y_2, \ldots$ is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of $0 - 1$ random quantities with probability measure P, there exists a distribution function Π such that the joint mass function $p(y_1, ..., y_n)$ for $y_1, ..., y_n$ has the form

$$p(x_1, ..., x_n) = \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\theta^{y_i}(1-\theta)^{1-y_i}}_{f_{\mathrm{Br}(\theta)}(y_i|\theta)} \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)$$

where

$$\Pi(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Pr}(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq t) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \overset{\mathrm{as}}{=} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

aka θ is the limiting relative frequency of 1s, by SLLN

Hint: (Helly's theorem [modified]) Given a sequence of distribution functions $\{F_1, F_2, ...\}$ that satisfy the tightness condition; [for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a such that for all sufficiency large i it is $F_i(a) - F_i(-a) > 1 - \epsilon$], there exists a distribution F and a sub-sequence $\{F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, ...\}$ such that $F_{i_j} \to F$.

Solution. Let the sum of random quantities be $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$, and assume that the sum S_n is equal to value s_n; i.e. $S_n = t_n$. By exchangeability, for $0 \le t_n < n$, it is

$$p(S_n = t_n) = \binom{n}{t_n} p(y_{\mathfrak{p}(1)}, ..., y_{\mathfrak{p}(n)})$$

for any permutation operator $\mathfrak{p}$. For finite N, let $N \ge n \ge t_n \ge 0$,

$$p(S_n = t_n) = \sum_{t_N=0}^{N} p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N)$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{t_N=0}^{t_n-1} p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N)}_{=0} \tag{11}$$

$$+ \sum_{y_N=y_n}^{N-(n-y_n)} p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N)$$

$$+ \underbrace{\sum_{t_N=N-(n-t_n)+1}^{N} p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N)}_{=0} \tag{12}$$

$$= \sum_{y_N=y_n}^{N-(n-y_n)} p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N)$$

The terms in (11, 12) are zero because $p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) = 0$ for $t_N < t_n$ and $t_N > N - (n - t_n)$ because we contrition on $S_N = t_N$.

We work out on $p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N)$ which is the conditional probability for S_n given $S_N = t_N$. We observe that the random variable $S_n | S_N = t_N$ follows a Hypergeometric distribution $S_n | S_N = t_N \sim \text{Hy}(t_N, N - t_N, n)$. This is because it describes a Hypergeometric experiment[2]. i.e., $S_n = t_n$ is the number of successes (random draws for which the object drawn has a specified feature) in n random draws without replacement, from a finite population of size N that contains exactly $S_N = t_N$ objects of that feature, wherein each draw is either a success or a failure (aka $x_i = 0$ or 1). Hence, $p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N)$ is a Hypergeometric PMF, namely

$$p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) = \text{Hy}(S_n = t_n | t_N, N - t_N, n) = \frac{\binom{t_N}{t_n}\binom{N-t_N}{n-t_n}}{\binom{N}{n}}, \ 0 \le t_n \le n$$

Rewriting the binomial coefficients by rearranging the terms in the product, we get

$$p(S_n = t_n) = \sum \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \binom{t_N}{t_n} \binom{N-t_N}{n-t_n} p(S_N = t_N)$$

$$= \binom{n}{t_n} \sum \frac{(t_N)_{t_n} (N - t_N)_{n-t_n}}{(N)_n} p(S_N = t_N)$$

where $(y)_r = y(y-1)...(y-r+1)$.

Now, define a function $\Pi_N(\theta)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ as the step function which is zero for $\theta < 0$, and has steps of size $p(S_N = t_N)$ at $\theta = t_N / N$ for $t_N = 0, 1, 2, ..., N$. Then, by changing variable we get,

$$p(S_n = t_n) = \binom{n}{t_n} \int_0^1 \frac{(\theta N)((1-\theta)N)_{n-t_n}}{(N)_n} d\Pi_N(\theta).$$

---

[2]https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypergeometric_distribution

This result holds for any finite N. Now we need to consider $N \to \infty$. In the limit, we get

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{(\theta N)((1-\theta)N)_{n-t_n}}{(N)_n} = \theta^{t_n}(1-\theta)^{n-t_n} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{y_i}(1-\theta)^{1-y_i} \tag{13}$$

Note that function $\Pi_N(t)$ is a step function, starting at zero and ending at one with N steps of varying sizes at particular values of t. By Helly's theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{\Pi_{N_1}, \Pi_{N_2}, ...\}$ such that

$$\lim_{N_j \to \infty} \Pi_{N_j} = \Pi$$

where Π is a distribution function.

Exercise 35. ($\star\star$)Clearly a set of independent and identically distributed random variables form an exchangeable sequence. Thus sampling with replacement generates an exchangeable sequence. What about sampling without replacement? Prove that sampling n items from N distinct objects without replacement (where $n \leq N$) is exchangeable.

Solution. Sampling without replacement is clearly not iid. However, it is exchangeable. Assume that we sample n items from N distinct objects without replacement, we have that:

$$f(y_1, ..., y_n) = \frac{1}{N^{\underline{n}}} = \frac{(N-n)!}{N!} \tag{14}$$

Clearly, the probability mass function does not depend on the ordering of the sequence. Therefore the sequence is exchangeable.

Exercise 36. ($\star\star$)Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ be an exchangeable sequence, and let g be any function on $\mathcal{Y}$. Show, directly from the definition of exchangeability in the summary notes) that $\mathrm{E}(g(Y_i))$ does not depend on i:

$$\mathrm{E}(g(Y_i)) = \mathrm{E}(g(Y_1)) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \ldots, n\} \tag{15}$$

For ease of exposition, you may restrict your proof to the case $i = 2$.

Solution. For ease of exposition, we show that $\mathrm{E}(g(Y_1)) = \mathrm{E}(g(Y_2))$. The general case follows similarly.

$$\mathrm{E}(g(Y_1)) = \sum_{(y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathcal{Y}^n} g(y_1) f(y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_n) \tag{16}$$

and by exchangeability, we can swap the indices 1 and 2 in the probability mass function, so

$$= \sum_{(y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathcal{Y}^n} g(y_1) f(y_2, y_1, y_3, \ldots, y_n) \tag{17}$$

and swapping y_1 and y_2 (we can always do this, exchangeability is not used here),

$$= \sum_{(y_2, y_1, y_3, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathcal{Y}^n} g(y_2) f(y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_n) = \mathrm{E}(g(Y_2)) \tag{18}$$

Exercise 37. ($\star\star$)Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ be an exchangeable sequence. Use

$$\mathrm{E}(g(Y_i)) = \mathrm{E}(g(Y_1)) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \ldots, n\} \tag{19}$$

to show that $\mathrm{Var}(Y_i)$ does not depend on i:

$$\mathrm{Var}(Y_i) = \mathrm{Var}(Y_1) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \ldots, n\} \tag{20}$$

Solution. By the usual properties of variance,

$$\mathrm{Var}(Y_i) = \mathrm{E}(Y_i^2) - \mathrm{E}(Y_i)^2 \tag{21}$$

and now applying 19 twice

$$\mathrm{Var}(Y_i) = \mathrm{E}(Y_1^2) - \mathrm{E}(Y_1)^2 = \mathrm{Var}(Y_1)$$

Exercise 38. ($\star\star$)Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ be an exchangeable sequence. By expanding $var(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k)$, show that when $i \neq j$,

$$cov(Y_i, Y_j) \geq -\frac{var(Y_1)}{n-1} \tag{22}$$

Solution. It is

$$0 \leq var\left(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n var(Y_k) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n cov\left(Y_k, Y_\ell\right) \tag{23}$$

and because, by exchangeability, $var\left(Y_k\right) = var\left(Y_1\right)$ and $cov\left(Y_k, Y_\ell\right) = cov\left(Y_i, Y_j\right)$ for all $k \neq \ell$,

$$= n\, var\left(Y_1\right) + (n^2 - n)cov\left(Y_i, Y_j\right) \tag{24}$$

where the $n^2 - n$ factor can be derived as follows: note that the pairs of indices (k, ℓ) appearing in the sum can be put into a matrix—the sum does not include the diagonal of this matrix (n pairs), but otherwise covers precisely half of it, and the full matrix has n^2 pairs, so there are $(n^2 - n)/2$ terms in the sum.

Consequently,

$$\mathrm{Cov}\left(Y_i, Y_j\right) \geq -\frac{n\,var\left(Y_1\right)}{n^2 - n} = -\frac{var\left(Y_1\right)}{n-1} \tag{25}$$

Exercise 39. ($\star$)What does

$$cov(Y_i, Y_j) \geq -\frac{var(Y_1)}{n-1}$$

imply about the correlation of infinite exchangeable sequences?

Solution. The correlation must be non-negative: because, as $n \to \infty$, $cov(Y_i, Y_j) \geq 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

Part VI

Sufficiency

Exercise 40. ($\star\star$)Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ex}(\theta), \ \forall i = 1, ..., n \\ \theta & \sim \text{Ga}(a, b) \end{cases}$$

Hint-1: The PDF of $x \sim \text{G}(a, b)$ is $\text{Ga}(x|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-bx) 1_{(0, +\infty)}(x)$

Hint-2: The PDF of $x \sim \text{Ex}(\theta)$ is $\text{Ex}(x|\theta) = \text{Ga}(x|1, \theta)$

1. Show that the parametric model is member of the Exponential family, and the sufficient statistic for a sample of observables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$.

2. Show that the posterior distribution θ given x is Gamma and compute its parameters.

3. Show that the predictive distribution $G(z|x)$ of a future z given $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, has PDF

$$g(z|x) = \frac{a^*(b^*)^{a^*}}{(z + b^*)^{a^*+1}} 1(x \geq 0)$$

Solution.

1. The parametric model is

$$\text{Ex}(x|\theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta x) 1(x \geq 0)$$

It is member of the exponential family

$$\text{Ef}_1(x|u, g, h, c, \phi, \theta, c) = u(x) g(\theta) \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta) h_j(x))$$

with $u(x_{1:n}) = 1$, $g(\theta) = \theta$, $c_1 = -1$, $\phi_1(\theta) = \theta$, $h_1(x) = x$. The sufficient statistic is $t_n = (n, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)$.

2. I can get the posterior by using the Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\theta|x) \propto f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta|a, b) \qquad \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Ex}(x_i|\theta) \text{Ga}(\theta|a, b)$$

$$\propto \theta^n \exp(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i) \theta^{a-1} \exp(-\theta b) \ \propto \theta^{a+n-1} \exp(-\theta(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + b))$$

$$\propto \text{Ga}(\theta|\underbrace{a + n}_{=a^*}, \underbrace{b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}_{=b^*})$$

3. By using the definition of the predictive distribution, it is ...

$$g(z|x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(z|\theta)\pi(\theta|x)\mathrm{d}\theta \overset{z \gtreqqless 0}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta \exp(-\theta z) \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \theta^{a^*-1} \exp(-\theta b^*)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta^{a^*+1-1} \exp(-\theta(z+b^*))\mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \frac{\Gamma(a^*+1)}{(z+b^*)^{a^*+1}} = \frac{a^*(b^*)^{a^*}}{(z+b^*)^{a^*+1}}$$

$$= \frac{a^*(b^*)^{a^*}}{(z+b^*)^{a^*+1}}$$

Exercise 41. ($\star\star\star$)Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} \mathrm{Mu}_k(\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \mathrm{Di}_k(a) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$, with $\Theta = \{\theta \in (0,1)^k | \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_k = \{x \in \{0,...,n\}^k | \sum_{j=1}^k x_j = 1\}$.

Hint-1: Mu_k denotes the Multinomial probability distribution with PMF

$$\mathrm{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j} & \text{, if } x \in \mathcal{X}_k \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Hint-2: $\mathrm{Di}_k(a)$ denotes the Dirichlet distribution with PDF

$$\mathrm{Di}_k(\theta|a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(a_j)} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{a_j-1} & \text{, if } \theta \in \Theta \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1. Show that the parametric model (78) is a member of the $k-1$ exponential family.
2. Compute the likelihood $f(x_{1:n}|\theta)$, and find the sufficient statistic $t_n := t_n(x_{1:n})$.
3. Compute the posterior distribution. State the name of the distribution, and expresses its parameters with respect to the observations and the hyper-parameters of the prior. Justify your answer.
4. Compute the probability mass function of the predictive distribution for a future observation $y = x_{n+1}$ in closed form.

   Hint $\Gamma(x) = (x-1)\Gamma(x-1)$.

Solution.

1. There are $k-1$ independent parameters in $\mathrm{Mu}_k(\theta)$ because $\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1$. I consider as parameters $(\theta_1,...,\theta_{k-1})$ and the last one is a function of them as $\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j$.

   It is

$$\mathrm{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_j} (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{1-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j} = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j) \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j \log(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}))$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20

This is the $k-1$ exponential family PDF with

$$u(x) = 1; \qquad\qquad g(\theta) = \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\theta_j\right); \qquad\qquad c = (1,...,1)$$

$$h(x) = (x_1,...x_{k-1}); \qquad \phi(\theta) = \left(\log\left(\frac{\theta_1}{1-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\theta_j}\right),...,\log\left(\frac{\theta_{k-1}}{1-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\theta_j}\right)\right),$$

2. The likelihood is

$$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i,j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{x_{*,j}} = \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\theta_j\right)^n \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}x_{*,j}\log\left(\frac{\theta_j}{1-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\theta_j}\right)\right)$$

and the sufficient statistic is

$$t_n = (n, x_{*,1},...,x_{*,k-1})$$

3. It is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta)\mathrm{Di}_k(\theta|a) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{x_{*,j}}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{a_j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{x_{*,j}+a_{*,j}-1} \propto \mathrm{Di}_k(\theta|\tilde{a})$$

where $\tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_1,...,\tilde{a}_k)$, with $\tilde{a}_j = a_j + x_{*,j}$ for $j = 1,...,k$. So the posterior is $\theta|x_{1:n} \sim \mathrm{Di}_k(\tilde{a})$.

4. It is

$$p(y|x_{1:n}) = \int \mathrm{Mu}_k(y|\theta)\mathrm{Di}_k(\theta|\tilde{a})\mathrm{d}\theta = \int \prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{y_j}\frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{\tilde{a}_j-1}\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)}\int\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{y_j+\tilde{a}_j-1}\mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(y_j+\tilde{a}_j)}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(y_j+\tilde{a}_j))}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(a_j+x_{*,j}))}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j}))}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a_*+x_{*,*})}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}y_j+a_*+x_{*,*})}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a_*+n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(1+a_*+n)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(n+a_*)}{\Gamma(1+a_*+n)}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\frac{\Gamma(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}$$

so $p(y|x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{n+a_*}(a_{j'}+x_{*,j'})$, where j' such that $y_{j'} = 1$.

Exercise 42. $(\star\star)$Suppose that the vector $\mathbf{x} = (x,y,z)$ has a trinomial distribution depending on the index n and the parameter $\varpi = (\pi,\rho,\sigma)$ where $\pi + \rho + \sigma = 1$, that is

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\varpi) = \frac{n!}{x!\,y!\,z!}\pi^x\rho^y\sigma^z \qquad (x+y+z=n).$$

Show that this distribution is in the two-parameter exponential family.

Solution. It is

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\varpi) = \frac{n!}{x!\,y!\,z!}\pi^x \rho^y \sigma^z$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\frac{n!}{x!\,y!\,(n-x-y)!}\right)}_{=u(x,y)}\underbrace{\exp\{n\log(1-\pi-\rho)\}}_{=g(\pi,\rho)}\exp[\underbrace{1}_{=c_1}\underbrace{x}_{=h_1(x,y)}\underbrace{\log\{\pi/(1-\pi-\rho)\}}_{=\phi_1(\pi,\rho)} + \underbrace{1}_{=c_2}\underbrace{y}_{=h_2(x,y)}\underbrace{\log\{\rho/(1-\pi-\rho)\}}_{=\phi_2(\pi,\rho)}]$$

$$= u(x,y) \times g(\pi,\rho) \times \exp[c_1 h_1(x,y)\phi_1(\pi,\rho) + c_2 h_2(x,y)\phi_2(\pi,\rho)]$$

Exercise 43. $(\star)$Establish the formula

$$(n_0^{-1} + n^{-1})^{-1}(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 = n\bar{x}^2 + n_0\theta_0^2 - n_1\theta_1^2$$

where $n_1 = n_0 + n$ and $\theta_1 = (n_0\theta_0 + n\bar{x})/n_1$.

This formula is often used to 'complete the square' in quadratiforms.

Solution. Elementary manipulation gives

$$n\bar{x}^2 + n_0\theta_0^2 - (n + n_0)\left(\frac{n\bar{x} + n_0\theta_0}{n + n_0}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n + n_0}[\{n(n + n_0) - n^2\}\bar{x}^2 + \{n_0(n + n_0) - n_o^2\}\theta_0^2 - 2(nn_0)\bar{x}\theta]$$

$$= \frac{nn_0}{n + n_0}[\bar{x}^2 + \theta_0^2 - 2\bar{x}\theta_0] = (n_0^{-1} + n^{-1})^{-1}(\bar{x} - \theta)^2.$$

The following is a proof of a theorem

Exercise 44. $(\star\star\star)$Let $y_1, y_2...$ be an infinitely exchangeable sequence of random quantities. Let $t = t(y_1, ..., y_n)$ be a statistic for a finite $n \geq 1$. Then t is predictive sufficient if, and only if, it is parametric sufficient.

Solution. Let $\mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y : t = t(y)\}$, and let $z = (y_{n+1}, ..., y_{n+m})$ then

$$p(z|t) = \frac{p(z,t)}{p(t)} = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{Y}(t)} p(y_{n+1:n+m}, y_{1:n})\mathrm{d}y_{1:n}}{p(t)}$$

$$(repr.\,theor.) = \frac{1}{p(t)}\int_{\mathcal{Y}(t)}\left[\int_\Theta \prod_{i=1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)\right]\mathrm{d}y_{1:n} = \frac{1}{p(t)}\int_\Theta \left[\int_{\mathcal{Y}(t)} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta)\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_i|\theta)\mathrm{d}y_1\cdots\mathrm{d}y_n\right]\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p(t)}\int_\Theta \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta)f(t|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)$$

$$= \int_\Theta \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta)\underbrace{\frac{f(t|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}{p(t)}}_{=\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|t)} = \int_\Theta \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|t).$$

So

$$p(z|t) = \int_\Theta \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|t); \qquad\qquad p(z|y) = \int_\Theta \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|y)$$

Therefore, we have parametric sufficiency $p(z|t) = p(z|y)$ if and only if $\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|t) = \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|y)$ for all $\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)$.

The following is a proof of a theorem

Exercise 45. (⋆⋆⋆)(This is a theorem in Handout 5) Prove the following statement.

Let $t : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{T}$ be a statistic. Then t is a parametric sufficient statistic for θ in the Bayesian sense if and only if the likelihood function $L(\cdot|\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{Y} \times \Theta$ can be factorized as the product of a kernel function k on $\mathcal{Y} \times \Theta$ and a residue function ρ on Θ as

$$L(y; \theta) = k(t(y)|\theta)\rho(y). \tag{27}$$

Solution.

($\impliedby$) I need to show that for any set $\Theta' \subseteq \Theta$ it is $\Pi(\Theta'|y) = \Pi(\Theta'|t)$, where $t = t(y)$.

For any Θ' and Y', it is

$$\Pi(\Theta'|Y') = \int \Pi(\Theta'|y)\mathrm{d}F(y|Y') = \mathrm{E}_{y|Y'}(\Pi(\Theta'|y) \,|\, Y')$$

Let's take $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y : t(y) = t'\}$ for some t' .

It is

$$\Pi(\Theta'|y = y') = \Pi(\Theta'|\mathcal{Y}') = \mathrm{E}_{y|Y'}(\Pi(\theta|y) = \mathrm{E}_{y|\mathcal{Y}'}\left(\int_{\Theta'} \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|y)\right) = \mathrm{E}_{y|\mathcal{Y}'}\left(\frac{\int_{\Theta'} L(y; \theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} L(y; \theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)} \,|\, \mathcal{Y}'\right)$$

$$= \mathrm{E}_{y|\mathcal{Y}'}\left(\frac{\int_{\Theta'} k(t(y)|\theta)\rho(y)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} k(t(y)|\theta)\rho(y)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)} \,|\, \mathcal{Y}'\right) = \mathrm{E}_{y|\mathcal{Y}'}\left(\frac{\int_{\Theta'} k(t(y)|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} k(t(y)|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)} \,|\, Y'\right)$$

Because the fraction inside the expectation is the same for all values $y \in \mathcal{Y}'$, we may suppress it. So

$$\Pi(\Theta'|y) = \frac{\int_{\Theta'} k(t(y)|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} k(t(y)|\theta)\mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)} = \Pi(\Theta'|t)$$

($\implies$) I ll construct a 'kernel' $\kappa(y|\theta)$ invariant for each $y \in \mathcal{Y}(t)$ where $\mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y : t(y) = t\}$. I set

$$\kappa(y|\theta) = \frac{f(y|\theta)}{f(y)} \,; \qquad\qquad \rho(y) = f(y) \,; \tag{28}$$

so by Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\theta|y) = \frac{f(y|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{f(y)} = \pi(\theta)\frac{f(y|\theta)}{f(y)} = \pi(\theta)\kappa(y|\theta)$$

Because t is parametric sufficient for any $y, y' \in \mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y : t(y) = t\}$ it is

$$\pi(\theta|y) = \pi(\theta|t) = \pi(\theta|y')$$

so

$$\pi(\theta|y) = \pi(\theta|y') \implies \pi(\theta)\kappa(y|\theta) = \pi(\theta)\kappa(y'|\theta) \overset{\pi(\theta)\neq 0}{\implies} \kappa(y|\theta) = \kappa(y'|\theta)$$

From every $t \in \mathcal{T}$ I choose one $y \in \mathcal{Y}(t)$, I set $k(t|\theta) = \kappa(y|\theta)$, and I substitute it in (28), so I get

$$\kappa(y|\theta) = \frac{f(y|\theta)}{f(y)} \implies f(y|\theta) = \kappa(y|\theta)f(y) \implies f(y|\theta) = k(t(y)|\theta)\rho(y)$$

Part VII

Priors

Exercise 46. ($\star\star$)Let $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ be observable quantities, generated from an exponential family of distributions as

$$y_i|\theta \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ef}(u, g, h, c, \phi, \theta, c), \ i = 1, ..., n$$

with density

$$\text{Ef}(y_i|u, g, h, c, \phi, \theta, c) = u(y_i)g(\theta)^n \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta) h_j(y_i)\right)$$

and assume a conjugate prior $\Pi(\theta)$ with pdf/pmf

$$\pi(\theta) = \tilde{\pi}(\theta|\tau) \propto g(\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j\right)$$

with normalizing constant

$$K(\tau) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Theta} g(\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j\right) d\theta < \infty & \text{cont.} \\[2em] \sum_{\forall \theta \in \Theta} g(\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j\right) < \infty & \text{discr.} \end{cases}$$

1. Show that the posterior $\Pi(\theta|y)$ of θ has pdf/pmf $\pi(\theta|y) = \tilde{\pi}(\theta|\tau^*)$ with $\tau^* = (\tau_0^*, \tau_1^*, ..., \tau_k^*)$, $\tau_0^* = \tau_0 + n$, and $\tau_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j(x_i) + \tau_j$ for $j = 1, ..., k$, and pdf/pmf

$$\pi(\theta|y) = \pi(\theta|\tau^*) \propto g(\theta)^{\tau^*} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j^*\right) \tag{29}$$

Notice that the operation $*$ here is addition $\tau * t_n(y) \longmapsto \tau + t_n(y) = \tau^*$ where $t_n(y)$ is the sufficient statistic

$$t_n(y) = \left(n, \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_1(y_i), ..., \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_k(y_i)\right)$$

2. Show that the predictive distribution $G(z|y)$ for a new outcome $z = (y_{n+1}, ..., y_{n+m})$ has pdf/ pmf

$$g(z|y) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} u(z_i) \frac{K(\tau + t_n(y) + t_m(z))}{K(\tau + t_n(y))} \tag{30}$$

where $t_m(z) = (m, \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_1(z_i), ..., \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_k(z_i))$.

Solution.

1. According to the Bayes theorem, where

$$\pi(\theta|y) \propto f(y|\theta)\pi(\theta) \propto g(\theta)^n \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j(y_i)))) \, g(\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j)$$

$$\propto g(\theta)^{n+\tau_0} \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \phi_j(\theta)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j(y_i) + \tau_j))) \propto \tilde{\pi}(\theta|y, \tau + t(y)).$$

Yes, the operation $*$ here is addition $\tau * t_n(y) \longmapsto \tau + t_n(y) = \tau^*$ where $t_n(y)$ is the sufficient statistic

$$t_n(y) = \left(n, \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_1(y_i), ..., \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_k(y_i) \right)$$

2. According to the predictive pdf/pmf equation, and assuming that θ is a continuous random quantity, it is

$$g(z|y) = \int_\Theta \prod_{l=1}^{m} f(z_l|\theta)\pi(\theta|y)\mathrm{d}\theta = \int_\Theta \prod_{l=1}^{m} u(z_l)g(\theta)^m \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j\phi_j(\theta)(\sum_{l=1}^{m} h_j(z_l))))$$

$$\times \frac{1}{K(\tau + t_n(y))} g(\theta)^{n+\tau_0} \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j\phi_j(\theta)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j(y_i) + \tau_j)))\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \prod_{l=1}^{m} u(z_l) \frac{1}{K(\tau + t_n(y))} \underbrace{\int_\Theta g(\theta)^{n+m+\tau_0} \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j\phi_j(\theta)(\sum_{l=1}^{m} h_j(z_l) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j(y_i) + \tau_j)))\mathrm{d}\theta}_{=K(\tau+t_n(y)+t_m(z))}$$

For the case where θ is a discrete random quantity, the proof is similar.

Exercise 47. $(\star\star)$

1. Show that the skew-logistic family of distributions, with

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^{\theta+1}} \tag{31}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, labeled by $\theta > 0$, is a member of the exponential family and identify the factors u, g, h, ϕ, θ, c.

2. Show that the Gamma distribution

$$f(\theta|\alpha_0, \beta_0) = \frac{\beta_0^{\alpha_0}}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \theta^{\alpha_0-1} e^{-\beta_0\theta} \tag{32}$$

with hyperparameters $w_0 := (\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ (where $\alpha_0 > 1$ and $\beta_0 > 0$) is conjugate for i.i.d. sampling from for the skew-logistic distribution. Relate the hyperparameters (τ_0, τ_1) to the standard parameters (α_0, β_0) of the gamma distribution.

3. Given an i.i.d. sample x_1, ..., x_n from the skew-logistic distribution, and assuming that the prior is Gamma(α_0, β_0), derive the posterior distribution of θ.

4. Given an i.i.d. sample x_1, ..., x_n from the skew-logistic distribution, and assuming that the prior is Gamma(α_0, β_0), derive the predictive PDF for a future $y = x_{n+1}$ up to a normalising constant.

5. Give a minimal sufficient statistic for θ under i.i.d. sampling from the skew-logistic distribution. Would this statistic still be sufficient if we had chosen a prior for θ which was not a Gamma distribution?

Solution.

1. It is

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^{\theta+1}}$$

$$= e^{-x}\theta \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x})} \exp\left(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-x})\right)$$

$$= e^{-x}\theta \exp\left(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-x})\right)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})}\theta \exp\left(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-x})\right)$$

So it is a member of the exponential distribution family

$$\mathrm{Ef}_k(x|u, g, h, \phi, \theta, c) = u(x)g(\theta)\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j\phi_j(\theta)h_j(x))$$

with $k = 1$, and

$$u(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})}, \qquad g(\theta) = \theta, \qquad h(x) = \log(1 + e^{-x}), \qquad \phi(\theta) = \theta, \qquad c = -1.$$

2. Following the corresponding theorem,

$$\pi(\theta|\tau) \propto g(\theta)^{\tau_0}\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j\phi_j(\theta)\tau_j)$$

$$\propto \theta^{\tau_0}\exp(-1\theta\tau_1) \propto \theta^{(\tau_0+1)-1}\exp(-\theta\tau_1)$$

where we recognize the Gamma PDF which identifies a Gamma distribution $\theta|\tau \sim \mathrm{Ga}(\tau_0 + 1, \tau_1)$.

Also

$$K(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g(\theta)^{\tau_0}\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_j(\theta)\tau_j)\mathrm{d}\theta = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta^{\tau_0}\exp(-\theta\tau_1)\mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\tau_0 + 1)}{\tau_1^{\tau_0+1}}$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{Ga}(\theta|\tau_0 + 1, \tau_1)\mathrm{d}\theta = 1$. To easy the notation with $\theta|\tau \sim \mathrm{Ga}(a, b)$, we can set $(a, b) = (\tau_0 + 1, \tau_1)$.

3. By using the Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) \propto f(x_{1:n}|\theta)\pi(\theta|a, b) \qquad \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i|\theta)\mathrm{Ga}(\theta|a, b)$$

$$\propto \theta^n \exp(-\theta\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log(1 + e^{-x_i}))\theta^{a-1}\exp(-\theta b)$$

$$\propto \theta^{a+n-1}\exp(-\theta(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log(1 + e^{-x_i}) + b))$$

$$\propto \mathrm{Ga}(\theta|\underbrace{a + n}_{=a^*}, \underbrace{b + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\log(1 + e^{-x_i})}_{=b^*}))$$

4. By using the definition for the predictive PDF, it is

$$f(y|x_{1:n}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(y|\theta)\text{Ga}(\theta|a^*, b^*)\text{d}\theta$$

$$\propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{e^{-y}}{(1+e^{-y})}\theta \exp(-\theta \log(1+e^{-y}))\theta^{a^*-1}\exp(-\theta b^*)\text{d}\theta$$

$$\propto \frac{e^{-y}}{(1+e^{-y})}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\theta^{a^*+1-1}\exp(-\theta(b^*+\log(1+e^{-y})))\text{d}\theta$$

$$\propto \frac{e^{-y}}{(1+e^{-y})}\frac{\Gamma(a^*+1)}{(b^*+\log(1+e^{-y}))^{a^*+1}}$$

$$\propto \frac{e^{-y}}{(1+e^{-y})}\frac{1}{(b^*+\log(1+e^{-y}))^{a^*+1}}$$

5. Because the parametric model is member of the exponential family, the minimal sufficient statistic is $(n, \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i)) = (n, \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1+e^{-x_i}))$. By the Neyman factorisation theorem sufficiency only depends on the likelihood: it does not depend on our choice of prior.

The exercise below is theoretical, and very challenging.

Exercise 48. ($\star\star\star$)Prove the following statement.

Consider a PDF/PMF $f(x|\theta)$ with $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$ where $\mathcal{X}$ does not depend on θ. If there exist a parametrised conjugate prior family $\mathcal{F} = (\pi(\theta|\tau), \tau \in T)$ with $\dim(\Lambda) < \infty$, then $f(x|\tau)$ is number of the exponential family.

Hint: Use the Pitman-Koopman Lemma:

> **Lemma.** *(Pitman-Koopman Lemma) If a family of distributions is such that for a large enough sample size there exist a sufficient statistic of constant dimension, then the family is an exponential family if the support does not depend on θ.*

PS: Please think about the importance of this result (!!!)

Solution. Assume $\exists \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\mathcal{F} = (\pi(\theta|\tau), \tau \in T)$, with $\dim(T) < \infty$. Let's take $\pi(\theta|\tau)$ as a (conjugate) prior. Then the posterior is $\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) \propto f(x_{1:n}|\theta)\pi(\theta|\tau)$. Due to the conjugacy $\exists \tau^*(x_{1:n})$ s.t.

$$\pi(\theta|\tau^*(x_{1:n})) = \frac{f(x_{1:n}|\theta)\pi(\theta|\tau)}{p(x_{1:n})} \iff$$

$$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \underbrace{\frac{\pi(\theta|\tau^*(x_{1:n}))}{\pi(\theta|\tau)}}_{=h(\tau^*(x_{1:n}),\theta)}\underbrace{p(x_{1:n})}_{=g(x_{1:n})}$$

Due to Newman factorisation criterion $\tau^*(x_{1:n})$ is a sufficient statistic, and its dimentionality does not depend on θ. Then because of the Pitman-Koopman Lemma, the $f(x_{1:n}|\theta)$ is a member of the exponential family.

- Before that, we knew that parametric models which are members of the exponential family have conjugate priors. The result above says that if there is a conjugate prior for a parametric model whose sample space does not depend on the unknown parameter, then the parametric model is member of the exponential family. Of course it does not apply to Uniform or Pareto parametric models whose sample space depends on the unknown parameter.

Exercise 49. ($\star\star$)Suppose that you have a prior distribution for the probability θ of success in a certain kind of gambling game which has mean 0.4, and that you regard your prior information as equivalent to 12 trials. You then play the game 25 times and win 12 times. What is your posterior distribution for θ?

Solution. I will make Bayesian Statistical Inference. The parametric model is the Bernoulli distribution, because the experiment is a Bernoulli experiment. The limiting frequency of successes, aka $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is the uncertain parameter for which I want to perform inference. To account uncertainty about the unknown parameter θ, I will assign a prior distribution. For my computational convenience, I will try to see if there exist a conjugate prior. If it exists, I will assign a conjugate prior for θ. So...

Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Br}(\theta), \ \forall i = 1:n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

- I will try to find a conjugate prior in order to do it

  The likelihood is such that

  $$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Br}(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{x_i}(1-\theta)^{1-x_i} = (1-\theta)^n \exp\left(\log\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)$$

  The parametric model $f(\cdot|\theta)$ is the Bernoulli distribution which belongs to the exponential family with $u(x) = 1, g(\theta) = (1-\theta), c_1 = 1, \phi_1(\theta) = \log\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right), h_1(x) = x$.

  The corresponding conjugate prior has pdf such as

  $$\pi(\theta|\tau) = K(\tau)(1-\theta)^{\tau_0}\exp\left(\log\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)\tau_1\right) = K(\tau)\theta^{(\tau_1+1)-1}(1-\theta)^{(\tau_0-\tau_1+1)-1}$$

  where $K(\tau) = \int_0^1 \theta^{(\tau_1+1)-1}(1-\theta)^{(\tau_0-\tau_1+1)-1}\mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\tau_1+1)\Gamma(\tau_0-\tau_1+1)}{\Gamma(\tau_0+2)}$

  Since we recognize that the prior distribution is Beta, we perform a re-parametrization, as

  $$\pi(\theta|\tau) = \text{Be}(\theta|a, b)$$

  where $a = \tau_1 + 1, b = \tau_0 - \tau_1 + 1$.

- According to my prior info:

  - my prior info worth 12 trials, so the effective number of observations that the prior distribution contributes is $\tau_0 = 12$,
  - the a priori mean of θ is 0.4, so $\text{E}(\theta) = \frac{a}{a+b} = 0.4$. So
    * $a + b = (\tau_1 + 1) + (\tau_0 - \tau_1 + 1) = \tau_0 + 2 = 14$
    * $\frac{a}{a+b} = 0.4 \iff a = 5.6$ and $b = 8.4$

- By using the Bayes theorem, or the theorem about the conjugate posteriors of parametric models in Exponential family, I get a posterior

$$\theta|x_{1:n} \sim \text{Be}(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + a}_{=a^*}, \underbrace{n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + b}_{=b^*}) \equiv \text{Be}(12 + 5.6, 13 + 8.4) \equiv \text{Be}(17.6, 21.4)$$

Exercise 50. (⋆⋆)Find a (two-dimensional) sufficient statistic for (α, β) given an n-sample $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ from the two-parameter gamma distribution

$$p(x|\alpha, \beta) = \{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)\}^{-1} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x/\beta) \qquad (0 < x < \infty)$$

where the parameters α and β can take any values in $0 < \alpha < \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty$.

Solution. Because

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x/\beta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \exp(-1 x/\beta + 1(a-1)\log(x))$$

it belongs to the exponential family, the sufficient statistic is $(n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i))$ or equivalently $(n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \prod_{i=1}^n x_i)$.

Exercise 51. (⋆⋆)Suppose that your prior for θ with PDF

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{N}(\theta|0, 1) + \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{N}(\theta|1, 1)$$

that a single observation $x \sim \mathrm{N}(\theta, 1)$ turns out to equal 2. What is your posterior probability that $\theta > 1$?

Hint

> We should use the following identity, discussed in the Lecture notes, :
>
> $$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y-\mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y-\hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2),$$
>
> $$c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2})^2 (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2})^{-1};$$
>
> $$\hat{\sigma}^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2})^{-1} \quad ; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^2 (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2})$$
>
> where $c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ is constant w.r.t. y.

Solution. The prior is of the form

$$\pi(\theta) = \varpi_1 \mathrm{N}(\theta|\mu_1, 1) + \varpi_2 \mathrm{N}(\theta|\mu_2, 1)$$

where $\varpi_1 = 2/3$, $\varpi_2 = 1/3$, $\mu_1 = 0$, and $\mu_2 = 1$.

- The posterior pdf will be of the form

$$\pi(\theta|x = 2) = \varpi_1^* \pi_1(\theta|x = 2) + \varpi_2^* \pi_2(\theta|x = 2)$$

  with each component computed as follows.

- For the components of the mixture: For the 1st component, it is

$$\pi_1(\theta|x=2) \propto f(x|\theta)\pi_1(\theta) \propto \mathrm{N}(x|\theta,1)\mathrm{N}(\theta|\mu_1,1)$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{1})\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\mu_1)^2}{1})$$

$$= \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-x)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\mu_1)^2}{1})$$

$$\overset{\text{Hint}}{\propto} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}) \propto \mathrm{N}(\theta|\hat{\mu}_1,\hat{\sigma}_1^2)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = 1/2$ $\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{x+\mu_1}{2} = 1$, because of $x=2$, and $\mu_1 = 0$, and Hint . So

$$\pi_1(\theta|x=2) = \mathrm{N}(\theta|1,1/2).$$

For the 2nd component, it is

$$\pi_2(\theta|x=2) \propto f(x|\theta)\pi_2(\theta) \propto \mathrm{N}(x|\theta,1)\mathrm{N}(\theta|\mu_2,1)$$

$$\propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{1})\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\mu_2)^2}{1})$$

$$= \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-x)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\mu_2)^2}{1})$$

$$\overset{\text{Hint}}{\propto} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2}) \propto \mathrm{N}(\theta|\hat{\mu}_2,\hat{\sigma}_2^2)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = 1/2$, $\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{x+\mu_2}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$, because of $x=2$, $\mu_2 = 1$, and Hint.

So

$$\pi_2(\theta|x=2) = \mathrm{N}(\theta|3/2,1/2)$$

- For the posterior weights, it is

$$\varpi_1^* = \frac{\varpi_1 f_1(x)}{\varpi_1 f_1(x) + \varpi_2 f_2(x)}; \qquad\qquad \varpi_2^* = \frac{\varpi_2 f_2(x)}{\varpi_1 f_1(x) + \varpi_2 f_2(x)};$$

So, I compute

$$f_1(x) = \int f(x|\theta)\pi_1(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta \quad = \int \mathrm{N}(x|\theta,1)\mathrm{N}(\theta|\mu_1,1)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-x)^2}{1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\mu_1)^2}{1})\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-x)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\mu_1)^2}{1})\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$\overset{\text{Hint}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x^2}{1} + \frac{\mu_1^2}{1}) + \frac{1}{2}(x+\mu_1)^2(\frac{1}{1}+\frac{1}{1})^{-1})\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\underbrace{\int \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2})\mathrm{d}\theta}_{=\sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_1^2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+\mu_1^2) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{(x+\mu_1)^2}{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_1^2}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+\mu_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}(x+\mu_1)^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}(2^2+1^2) + \frac{1}{4}(2+1)^2)$$

because of Hint, $\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{x+\mu_1}{2} = 1$, $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = 1/2$, $x = 2$, $\mu_1 = 0$. Hence,

$$f_1(x = 2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-1) \approx 0.10.$$

Also, I compute

$$f_2(x) = \int f(x|\theta)\pi_2(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta \qquad = \int \mathrm{N}(x|\theta, 1)\mathrm{N}(\theta|\mu_2, 1)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - x)^2}{1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - \mu_2)^2}{1})\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - x)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - \mu_2)^2}{1})\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$\overset{\text{Hint}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - \hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x^2}{1} + \frac{\mu_2^2}{1}) + \frac{1}{2}(x + \mu_2)^2(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1})^{-1})\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \underbrace{\int \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - \hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2})\mathrm{d}\theta}_{=\sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_2^2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + \mu_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{(x + \mu_2)^2}{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_2^2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + \mu_2^2) + \frac{1}{4}(x + \mu_2)^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(2^2 + (\frac{3}{2})^2) + \frac{1}{4}(2 + \frac{3}{2})^2)$$

because of Hint, and $\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{x+\mu_2}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$, $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = 1/2$, since $x = 2$, $\mu_2 = 1$. Hence,

$$f_2(x = 2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{4}) \approx 0.22$$

So

$$\varpi_1^* = \frac{\varpi_1 f_1(x = 2)}{\varpi_1 f_1(x = 2) + \varpi_2 f_2(x = 2)} = \frac{2\exp(-1)}{2\exp(-1) + \exp(-1/4)} \approx 0.48$$

$$\varpi_2^* = \frac{\varpi_2 f_2(x = 2)}{\varpi_1 f_1(x = 2) + \varpi_2 f_2(x = 2)} = \frac{\exp(-1/4)}{2\exp(-1) + \exp(-1/4)} \approx 0.52$$

• The posterior becomes

$$\pi(\theta|x = 2) = \varpi_1^* \mathrm{N}(\theta|1, 1/2) + \varpi_2^* \mathrm{N}(\theta|3/2, 1/2)$$

• It is

$$\pi(\theta > 1|x = 2) = 1 - \pi(\theta \leq 1|x = 2) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{1} \pi(\theta|x = 2)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= 1 - \varpi_1^* \int_{-\infty}^{1} \pi_1(\theta|x = 2)\mathrm{d}\theta - \varpi_2^* \int_{-\infty}^{1} \pi_2(\theta|x = 2)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= 1 - \varpi_1^* \int_{-\infty}^{1} \mathrm{N}(\theta|1, \frac{1}{2})\mathrm{d}\theta - \varpi_2^* \int_{-\infty}^{1} \mathrm{N}(\theta|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= 1 - \varpi_1^* \Phi(\frac{0}{\sqrt{1/2}}) - \varpi_2^* \Phi(-\sqrt{1/2})$$

$$= 1 - \varpi_1^* \Phi(0) - \varpi_2^* \Phi(-\sqrt{1/2})$$

$$\approx 0.63$$

Exercise 52. $(\star\star)$Let $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ be observables. Consider a Bayesian model such as

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\lambda & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pn}(\lambda), \ \forall i = 1, ..., n \\ \lambda & \sim \Pi(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

Hint-1 Poisson distribution $x \sim \text{Pn}(\lambda)$ has PMF: $\text{Pn}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!}\lambda^x \exp(-\lambda)1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Hint-2 Gamma distribution $x \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$ has PDF: $\text{Ga}(x|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}x^{a-1}\exp(-bx)1_{(0,\infty)}(x)$, with $a > 0$ and $b > 0$.

Hint-2 Negative Binomial distribution $x \sim \text{Nb}(r, \theta)$ has PMF: $\text{Nb}(x|r, \theta) = \binom{r+x-1}{r-1}\theta^r(1-\theta)^x 1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$ with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $r \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, and $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$.

1. Compute the likelihood in the aforesaid Bayesian model.

2. Show that the sampling distribution is a member of the exponential family.

3. Specify the PDF of the conjugate prior distribution $\Pi(\lambda)$ of λ, and identify the parametric family of distributions as $\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$, with $a > 0$, and $b > 0$. While you are deriving the conjugate prior distribution of λ, discuss which of the prior hyper-parameters can be considered as the 'strength of the prior information and which can be considered as summarizing the prior information.

4. Compute the PDF of the posterior distribution of λ, identify the posterior distribution as a Gamma distribution $\text{Ga}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$, and compute the posterior hyper-parameters $\tilde{a}$, and $\tilde{b}$.

5. Compute the PMF of the predictive distribution of a future outcome $y = x_{n+1}$, identify the name of the resulting predictive distribution, and compute its parameters.

Solution.

1. The likelihood is

$$f(x|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Pn}(x_i|\lambda) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{x_i!}\right)\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i}\exp(-n\lambda) \tag{33}$$

2. The k parameter exponential family of distributions has the form

$$\text{Ef}_k(x|u, g, h, \phi, \theta, c) = u(x)g(\theta)\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k}c_j\phi_j(\theta)h_j(x)); \qquad x \in \mathcal{X}$$

and if sampling space $\mathcal{X}$ does not depend on θ it is also called regular. So I just need to bring the sampling density distribution in this form. It is

$$\text{Pn}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!}\lambda^x\exp(-\lambda)1_{\mathbb{N}}(x) = \frac{1}{x!}\exp(-\lambda)\exp(x\log(\lambda))1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$$

So $\text{Pn}(\lambda)$ is member of the regular 1-parameter exponential family with

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{x!}1_{\mathbb{N}}(x), \qquad g(\lambda) = \exp(-\lambda), \qquad h_1(x) = x, \qquad \phi_1(\lambda) = \log(\lambda), \qquad c_1 = 1.$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

The sampling space $\mathcal{X}$ does not depend on the uncertain parameter λ and hence it is a regular exponential family of distributions.

3. There are two ways to derive the conjugate prior. I will present both.

Way-1 (Theorem in the Handout)

The sampling distribution is member of the 1- regular exponential distribution family, as the density of the sampling density distribution $\text{Pn}(x|\lambda)$ can be written in the form

$$\text{Pn}(x|\lambda) = u(x)g(\lambda)\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j\phi_j(\lambda)h_j(x)); \qquad x \in \mathcal{X}$$

with

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{x!}1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(x), \qquad g(\lambda) = \exp(-\lambda), \qquad h_1(x) = x, \qquad \phi_1(\lambda) = \log(\lambda), \qquad c_1 = 1.$$

Since the sampling space $\mathcal{X}$ of the sampling distribution does not depend on the unknown parameter λ, (Theorem 20 from the Handout) the conjugate prior is

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\lambda) &\propto g(\lambda)^{\tau_0}\exp(c_1\tau_1\phi_1(\lambda)) \\
&= \exp(-\lambda\tau_0)\exp(\tau_1\log(\lambda)) \\
&= \lambda^{\tau_1}\exp(-\lambda\tau_0) \\
&\propto \text{Ga}(\lambda|a,b), \text{ for } a = \tau_1 + 1, \ b = \tau_0
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

So the conjugate prior is $\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(\lambda|a,b)$ with $a > 0$ and $b > 0$.

Way-2 (Theorem in the Handout)

The likelihood can be written as

$$f(x|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\text{Pn}(x_i|\lambda) \ = \underbrace{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i}\exp(-n\lambda)}_{=k(t(x)|\lambda)}\underbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{x_i!}\right)}_{=\rho(x)} \tag{35}$$

where a kernel of the likelihood is $k(t(x)|\lambda) = \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i}\exp(-n\lambda)$, with sufficient statistics $t(x) = (n, \sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i)$, and $\rho(x) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{x_i!}\right)$ is the residual term of it. The dimensionality of the sufficient statistic $t(x)$ does not depend on the sample size n, and the observables are iid. Hence, (Theorem 12 in the Handout) the conjugate prior results as the aforesaid likelihood kernel from (35) where the sufficient statistics are replaced by a priori hyper-parameters $\tau = (\tau_0, \tau_1)$, such as

$$\pi(\lambda) \propto k(\tau|\lambda) = \lambda^{\tau_1}\exp(-\tau_0\lambda) \propto \text{Ga}(\lambda|a,b), \text{ for } a = \tau_1 + 1, \ b = \tau_0 \tag{36}$$

where I recognize the kernel of the Gamma distribution. So the conjugate prior is $\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(a,b)$ with $a > 0$ and $b > 0$.

In (34) and (36), as strength of the prior information can be considered the parameter τ_0 (and hence b) because it substitutes the sample size n in the likelihood (33). In (34) and (36), as prior information summary can be considered the parameter τ_1 (and hence a) because it substitutes the summary $\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i$ in the likelihood (33).

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

4. According to the definition, the posterior PDF can be computed via the Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\lambda|x) \propto f(x|\lambda)\pi(\lambda) \quad \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Pn}(x_i|\lambda)\mathrm{Ga}(\lambda|a,b)$$

$$\propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{x_i!}\right)\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i}\exp(-n\lambda) \times \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}\lambda^{a-1}\exp(-\lambda b)$$

$$\propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i+a-1}\exp(-\lambda(n+b))$$

$$\propto \mathrm{Ga}(\lambda|\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i+a,n+b)$$

So the posterior distribution is $\lambda|x \sim \mathrm{Ga}(\tilde{a},\tilde{b})$, $\tilde{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i+a$, $\tilde{b}=n+b$.

- Alternatively, we could use the Theorem in the Lecture notes stating the properties of the Conjugate priors... I.e. $\lambda|x \sim \mathrm{Ga}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i+(\tau_1+1),n+(\tau_0))$ –It is up to you...

5. According to the definition, the predictive PMF is

$$g(y|x) = \int_{(0,\infty)}f(y|\lambda)\pi(\lambda|x)\mathrm{d}\lambda \quad = \int_{(0,\infty)}\mathrm{Pn}(y|\lambda)\mathrm{Ga}(\lambda|\tilde{a},\tilde{b})\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

$$= \int_{(0,\infty)}\frac{1}{y!}\lambda^y\exp(-\lambda)1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y)\frac{\tilde{b}^{\tilde{a}}}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})}\lambda^{\tilde{a}-1}\exp(-\lambda\tilde{b})\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!}\frac{\tilde{b}^{\tilde{a}}}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})}1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y)\int_{(0,\infty)}\lambda^{y+\tilde{a}-1}\exp(-\lambda(\tilde{b}+1))\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!}\frac{\tilde{b}^{\tilde{a}}}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})}\frac{\Gamma(y+\tilde{a})}{(\tilde{b}+1)^{y+\tilde{a}}}1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y) \quad = \frac{1}{y!}(\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}}(\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y\frac{\Gamma(y+\tilde{a})}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})}1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!}(\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}}(\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y\frac{(y+\tilde{a}-1)(y+\tilde{a}-2)\cdots(\tilde{a})\Gamma(\tilde{a})}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})}1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!}(\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}}(\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y(y+\tilde{a}-1)(y+\tilde{a}-2)\cdots(\tilde{a})1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!}(\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}}(\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y\frac{(y+\tilde{a}-1)!}{(\tilde{a}-1)!}1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y) \quad = \frac{(y+\tilde{a}-1)!}{(\tilde{a}-1)!y!}(\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}}(\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y)$$

$$= \binom{y+\tilde{a}-1}{\tilde{a}-1}(\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}}(1-\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^y1_{\mathbb{N}-\{0\}}(y) \quad = \mathrm{Nb}(y|\tilde{a},\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})$$

where $\tilde{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i+a$, $\tilde{b}=n+b$.

Exercise 53. ($\star\star$)Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\mathrm{IID}}{\sim} \mathrm{Mu}_k(\theta), \ i=1,...,n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$, with $\Theta = \{\theta \in (0,1)^k|\sum_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j=1\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_k = \{x \in \{0,1\}^k|\sum_{j=1}^{k}x_j=1\}$.

Hint-1: Mu_k denotes the Multinomial probability distribution with PMF

$$\mathrm{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{x_j} & \text{, if } x \in \mathcal{X}_k \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

Hint-2: $\text{Di}_k(a)$ denotes the Dirichlet distribution with PDF

$$\text{Di}_k(\theta|a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(a_j)} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{a_j-1} & \text{, if } \theta \in \Theta \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1. Derive the conjugate prior distribution for θ, and recognize that it is a Dirichlet distribution family of distributions.

2. Verify that the prior distribution you derived above is indeed conjugate by using the definition.

Solution.

1. There are two alternative ways to derive the conjugate prior here.

    (a) [Way (a)] I can factorize the likelihood in a form that the likelihood kernel is a function of a sufficient statistic whose dimension is independent on the sample size n, and then derive the conjugate by substituting the sufficient statistic elements by prior hyper-parameters.

    There are $k-1$ independent parameters in $\text{Mu}_k(\theta)$ because $\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1$. I consider as parameters $(\theta_1, ..., \theta_{k-1})$ and the last one is a function of them as $\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j$.

    The likelihood is

    $$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_{i,j}} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}} = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} \theta_k^{x_{*,k}}$$

    where $x_{*,j} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}$. So

    $$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j \right)^{n - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{*,j}} = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^n \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{*,j} \log(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}) \right)$$

    and the sufficient statistic is

    $$t_n = (n, x_{*,1}, ..., x_{*,k-1})$$

    (b) [Way (b)] Alternatively, we can observe that the sampling space $\mathcal{X}_k$ does not depend on the parameters. So we can show that the sampling distribution is an exponential family of distributions, identify its components, and then derive the conjugate prior.

    There are $k-1$ independent parameters in $\text{Mu}_k(\theta)$ because $\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1$. I consider as parameters $(\theta_1, ..., \theta_{k-1})$ and the last one is a function of them as $\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j$.

    It is

    $$\text{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_j} (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j} = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j) \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j \log(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}))$$

    This is the $k-1$ exponential family PDF with

    $$u(x) = 1; \qquad\qquad g(\theta) = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j); \qquad\qquad\qquad c = (1, ..., 1)$$

    $$h(x) = (x_1, ...x_{k-1}); \qquad \phi(\theta) = (\log(\frac{\theta_1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}), ..., \log(\frac{\theta_{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j})),$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

Then either by substituting the sufficient statistics in way (a), or by using the components of the exponential family of distributions in way (b) Let $\tau = (\tau_0, ..., \tau_{k-1})$. It is

$$\pi(\theta|\tau) \propto (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{\tau_0} \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j \log(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}))$$

$$\propto \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{\tau_j} (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{\tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j} \propto \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{\tau_j} \theta_k^{\tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j}$$

Here, I recognize the Dirichlet distribution with $a_j = \tau_j$ for $j = 1, ..., k-1$ and $a_k = \tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j$.

2. Well, the posterior is Dirichlet too. It is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta) \text{Di}_k(\theta|a) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j^{a_j - 1} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j^{x_{*,j} + a_{*,j} - 1} \propto \text{Di}_k(\theta|\tilde{a})$$

where $\tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_1, ..., \tilde{a}_k)$, with $\tilde{a}_j = a_j + x_{*,j}$ for $j = 1, ..., k$. So the posterior is $\theta|x_{1:n} \sim \text{Di}_k(\tilde{a})$.

Exercise 54. ($\star\star$)Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\theta & \sim \text{Ga}(a, \theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Ga}(a, \theta)$ is the Gamma distribution with expected value a/θ and density function

$$f(x|a, \theta) = \frac{\theta^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-\theta x) 1 \, (x \geq 0).$$

Specify a Jeffrey's prior density for θ.

Solution.

It is

$$\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta) = \frac{\theta^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-\theta x) 1 \, (x \geq 0) \implies$$

$$\log(\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta)) = a \log(\theta) - \log(\Gamma(a)) + (a-1) \log(x) - \theta x \implies$$

$$\frac{\text{d}}{\text{d}\theta} \log(\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta)) = \frac{a}{\theta} - x \implies$$

$$\frac{\text{d}^2}{\text{d}\theta^2} \log(\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta)) = -\frac{a}{\theta^2} \implies$$

$$\underbrace{-\text{E}(\frac{\text{d}^2}{\text{d}\theta^2} \log(\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta)))}_{=I(\theta)} = \frac{a}{\theta^2} \implies$$

so $\pi(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)} \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$.

The Exercise below has been set as Homework 2

Exercise 55. (⋆⋆)Assume observation x sampled from a Maxwell distribution with density

$$f(x|\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\theta^{3/2}x^2\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\theta x^2).$$

Find the Jeffreys prior density for the parameter θ.

Solution. It is

$$f(x|\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\theta^{3/2}x^2\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\theta x^2) \Longrightarrow$$

$$\log(f(x|\theta)) = \log(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}x^2) + \frac{3}{2}\log(\theta) - \frac{1}{2}\theta x^2 \Longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\log(f(x|\theta)) = \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \Longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\log(f(x|\theta)) = -\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\theta^2} \Longrightarrow$$

$$\underbrace{-E(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\log(f(x|\theta)))}_{=I(\theta)} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\theta^2} \Longrightarrow$$

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)} \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$$

Hence, we take $\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$.

Exercise 56. (⋆⋆)Consider the trinomial distribution

$$p(x,y|\pi,\rho) = \frac{n!}{x!\,y!\,z!}\pi^x\rho^y\sigma^z, \qquad (x+y+z=n)$$

$$\propto \pi^x\rho^y(1-\pi-\rho)^{n-x-y}.$$

Specify a Jeffreys' prior for (π,ρ).

HINT: It is $E(x) = n\pi$, $E(y) = n\rho$.

Solution.

It is

$$\partial^2 L/\partial\pi^2 = -x/\pi^2 - z/(1-\pi-\rho)^2$$

$$\partial^2 L/\partial p^2 = -y/p^2 - z/(1-\pi-\rho)^2$$

$$\partial^2 L/\partial\pi\partial p = -z/(1-\pi-\rho)^2$$

and

$$I(\pi,\rho\,|\,x,y,z) = -E\begin{pmatrix} -x/\pi^2 - z/(1-\pi-\rho)^2 & -z/(1-\pi-\rho)^2 \\ -z/(1-\pi-\rho)^2 & -y/p^2 - z/(1-\pi-\rho)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} n/\pi + n/(1-\pi-\rho) & n/(1-\pi-\rho) \\ n/(1-\pi-\rho) & n/\rho + n/(1-\pi-\rho) \end{pmatrix}$$

Because $E(x) = n\pi$, $E(y) = np$, $E(z) = n(1 - \pi - \rho)$, and

$$\det I(\pi, \rho \,|\, x, y, z) = (n/\pi + n/(1 - \pi - \rho))(n/\rho + n/(1 - \pi - \rho)) - (n/(1 - \pi - \rho))^2$$

$$= ...$$

$$= n\{\pi\rho(1 - \pi - \rho)\}^{-1}$$

So the Jeffrey's prior is

$$p(\pi, \rho) \propto \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \pi - \rho)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Exercise 57. ($\star\star$)Suppose that x has a Pareto distribution $\mathrm{Pa}(\xi, \gamma)$ where ξ is known but γ is unknown, that is,

$$p(x|\gamma) = \gamma \xi^\gamma x^{-\gamma - 1} I_{(\xi, \infty)}(x).$$

Use Jeffreys' rule to find a suitable reference prior for γ.

Solution.

It is $\partial p(x|\gamma)/\partial\gamma = 1/\gamma + \log\xi - \log x$ and $\partial^2 p(x|\gamma)/\partial\gamma^2 = -1/\gamma^2$. So $I(\gamma|x) = 1/\gamma^2$ Hence the Jeffrey's prior is $p(\gamma) \propto 1/\gamma$.

Exercise 58. ($\star\star$)Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{Ga}(\alpha, \beta), \; \forall i = 1, ..., n \\ (\alpha, \beta) & \sim \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \end{cases}$$

where $\mathrm{Ga}(a, \beta)$ is the Gamma distribution with expected value α/β. Specify a Jeffrey's prior for $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Hint-1: Gamma distr.: $x \sim \mathrm{Ga}(a, b)$ has pdf $f(x) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-bx) 1_{(0, +\infty)}(x)$, and Expected value $E_{\mathrm{Ga}}(x|a, b) = \frac{a}{b}$

Hint-2: You may also need that the second derivative of the logarithm of a Gamma function is the 'polygamma function of order 1'. Ie,

- $F^{(0)}(\alpha) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} \log(\Gamma(a))$
- $F^{(1)}(\alpha) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} \log(\Gamma(a))$

Hint-3: You may leave your answer in terms of function $F^{(1)}(\alpha)$.

Solution. It is $\pi(\alpha, \beta) \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathscr{I}(\alpha, \beta))} \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathscr{I}_1(\alpha, \beta))}$ where

$$\mathscr{I}_1(\alpha, \beta) = -E_{F(x|\alpha, \beta)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) & \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha \mathrm{d}\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha \mathrm{d}\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) & \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\beta^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ with}$$

So

$$f(x|\alpha, \beta) = \mathrm{Ga}(x|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(a)} x^{\alpha - 1} \exp(-\beta x) \Longrightarrow$$

$$\log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) = a \log(\beta) - \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) - \beta x + (\alpha - 1) \log(x)$$

So

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) = \log(\beta) - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) + \log(x)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) = -F^{(1)}(\alpha)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - x$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\beta^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha\mathrm{d}\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) = \frac{1}{\beta}$$

and

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(a,b)} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \right) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) = -F^{(1)}(\alpha)$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(a,b)} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\beta^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \right) = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(a,b)} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha\mathrm{d}\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \right) = \frac{1}{\beta}$$

Hence

$$\mathscr{I}_1(\alpha, \beta) = -\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ga}(a,b)} \begin{bmatrix} -F^{(1)}(\alpha) & \frac{1}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{\beta} & -\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F^{(1)}(\alpha) & -\frac{1}{\beta} \\ -\frac{1}{\beta} & \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathscr{I}(\alpha, \beta))} \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathscr{I}_1(\alpha, \beta))} = \sqrt{F^{(1)}(\alpha)\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}} = \frac{1}{\beta}\sqrt{F^{(1)}(\alpha)\alpha + 1}$$

Exercise 59. ($\star\star\star$)Consider the the model of Normal linear regression where the observables are pairs (ϕ_i, y_i) for $i = 1, ..., n$, assumed to be modeled according to the sampling distribution

$$y_i|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}(\phi_i^\top \beta, \sigma^2)$$

for $i = 1, ..., n$ with unknown $(\beta, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Namely,

$$y|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}_n(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)$$

where $y = (y_1, ...y_n)$, and Φ is the design matrix. Here β is d-dimensional. Find the Jeffreys' priors for (β, σ^2).

Hint: Recall your AMV: $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}x^\top Ax = 2Ax$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(c + Ax) = A$, and $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(A(x))^\top = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}A(x)\right)^\top$.

Hint: If $y|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}_n(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)$, then $\mathrm{E}_{y|\beta,\sigma^2\sim\mathrm{N}_n(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)} \left((y - \Phi\beta)^\top (y - \Phi\beta) \right) = n\sigma^2$.

Solution. Let's set $\xi = \sigma^2$ to simplify notation... The log likelihood is

$$\log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(\xi) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\xi}(y - \Phi\beta)^\top (y - \Phi\beta)$$

Let's compute the derivatives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) = -\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\xi^2}\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\xi^2}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}\left(-\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\xi^2}\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)\right) = \frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\xi^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^3}\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) = -\frac{1}{\xi}\Phi^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\beta^2}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\left(-\frac{1}{\xi}\Phi^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)\right) = -\frac{1}{\xi}\Phi^\top\Phi$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}\left(-\frac{1}{\xi}\Phi^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)\right) = \frac{1}{\xi^2}\Phi^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)$$

Lets compute the components of the Fisher information. The sampling distribution of y is $y|\beta,\xi \sim \mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)$ with $\mathrm{E}(y|\beta,\xi) = \Phi\beta$ and $\mathrm{Var}(y|\beta,\xi) = I\sigma^2$. So

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\xi^2}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right)\right) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)}\left(\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\xi^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^3}\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)\right) = +\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\xi^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^3}n\xi = -\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\xi^2}$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\beta^2}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right)\right) = -\frac{1}{\xi}\Phi^\top\Phi$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right)\right) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)}\left(\frac{1}{\xi^2}\Phi^\top\left(y-\Phi\beta\right)\right) = \frac{1}{\xi^2}\Phi^\top\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)}(y) - \Phi\beta\right) = 0$$

Then

$$\mathscr{I} = -\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}\log(f(y|\theta))\right) = -\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\Phi\beta,I\sigma^2)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\beta^2}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) & \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) & \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\xi^2}\log\left(f(y|\beta,\xi)\right) \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\xi}\Phi^\top\Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\xi^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

So the Jeffreys prior for (β,ξ) has density such as

$$\pi^{(\mathrm{JP})}(\beta,\xi) \propto \sqrt{\det\left(\mathscr{I}\right)} = \sqrt{\det\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\Phi^\top\Phi\right)\det\left(\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\xi^2}\right)} = \left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}\sqrt{\det\left(\Phi^\top\Phi\right)\det\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \propto \left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$$

Exercise 60. ($\star\star$)Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta \overset{\mathrm{iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{Pn}(\theta), \ \forall i = 1,...,n \\ \theta \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\mathrm{Pn}(\theta)$ is the Poisson distribution with expected value θ. Specify a Jeffreys' prior for θ.

Hint: Poisson distribution: $x \sim \mathrm{Pn}(\theta)$ has PMF

$$\mathrm{Pn}(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!} 1(x \in \mathbb{N})$$

Solution.

It is

$$\text{Pn}(x|\theta) = \exp(-\theta)\frac{\theta^x}{x!} \implies$$

$$\log(\text{Pn}(x|\theta)) = -\theta + x\log(\theta) - \log(x!) \implies$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\log(\text{Pn}(x|\theta)) = -1 + x\frac{1}{\theta} \implies$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}\log(\text{Pn}(x|\theta)) = -x\frac{1}{\theta^2} \implies$$

$$\underbrace{-\text{E}(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}\log(\text{Pn}(x|\theta)))}_{=I(\theta)} = \frac{1}{\theta} \implies$$

so $\pi(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)} \propto \frac{1}{\theta^{1/2}}$.

Exercise 61. $(\star\star)$Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pn}(\theta), \ \forall i = 1, ..., n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Pn}(\theta)$ is the Poisson distribution with expected value θ. Specify a Maximum entropy prior under the constrain $\text{E}(\theta) = 2$ and reference measure such as $\pi_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}}$. In particular, you also have to state the name of the derived Maximum entropy prior distribution and report the values of its parameters.

Hint-1: Poisson distribution: $x \sim \text{Pn}(\theta)$ has PMF

$$\text{Pn}(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!}1(x \in \mathbb{N})$$

Hint-2: Gamma distribution: $x \sim \text{Ga}(a,b)$ has PDF

$$\text{Ga}(x|a,b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}x^{a-1}\exp(-\beta x)1(x > 0)$$

Solution.

It is $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \pi_0(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta = +\infty$. The maximum entropy prior is such that

$$\pi(\theta|\lambda) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}}\exp(\lambda\theta) = \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp(\lambda\theta)$$

where I can recognize $\theta|\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(\frac{1}{2}, -\lambda)$.

The expected value of the Gamma distribution $\text{Ga}(a,b)$ is

$$E_{\text{Ga}(a,b)}(x) = \int x\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}x^{a-1}\exp(-\beta x)1(x > 0) = \frac{a}{b}\int \frac{b^{a+1}}{\Gamma(a+1)}x^{a+1-1}\exp(-\beta x)1(x > 0) = \frac{a}{b}$$

Because $2 = \text{E}(\theta|\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2\lambda}$, it is $\lambda = -\frac{1}{4}$. Hence,

$$\theta|\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4})$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

Part VIII

Decision theory

Exercise 62. ($\star\star\star$) Show that, under the squared error loss, if two unbiased and independent real estimators δ_1 and δ_2
are distinct and satisfy
$$R(\theta, \delta_1) = \mathrm{E}_F(\theta - \delta_1(x))^2 = R(\theta, \delta_2) = \mathrm{E}_F(\theta - \delta_2(x))^2,$$

the estimator δ_1 is not admissible.

Hint: Consider $\delta_3 = (\delta_1 + \delta_2)/2$ or $\delta_4 = \delta_1^a \delta_2^{1-a}$.

Hint: Jensen's inequality: Let $g(\cdot)$ be a convex function and X be a random variable follwoing a distribution F then

$$g(\mathrm{E}_F(X)) \le \mathrm{E}_F(g(X))$$

Extend this result to all strictly convex losses and construct a counter-example when the loss function is not convex.

Solution.

• δ_1 is not admissible if there exists an estimator δ_0 such that $R(\theta, \delta_1) \ge R(\theta, \delta_0)$. Let $\delta_0 = \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2}$. It is

$$R(\theta, \delta_0) \le \mathrm{E}_F(\ell(\theta, \delta_0)) = \mathrm{E}_F(\theta - \frac{\delta_1(x) + \delta_2(x)}{2})^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{E}_F((\theta - \delta_1(x)) + (\theta - \delta_2(x)))^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{E}_F(\theta - \delta_1(x))^2 + \mathrm{E}_F(\theta - \delta_2(x))^2 + 2\underbrace{\mathrm{E}_F(\theta - \delta_1(x))(\theta - \delta_2(x)))}_{=0 \text{ (assuming independence \& unbias)}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{E}_F(\theta - \delta_1(x))^2 + \mathrm{E}_F(\theta - \delta_2(x))^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(R(\theta, \delta_1) + R(\theta, \delta_2)) = \frac{1}{2}R(\theta, \delta_1)$$

thus $R(\theta, \delta_1) \ge R(\theta, \delta_0)$.

• Let us consider a strictly convex loss function $\ell(\theta, \delta)$ then

$$R(\theta, \delta_1) = \mathrm{E}_F(\ell(\theta, \delta_1)) \ge \ell(\theta, \mathrm{E}_F(\delta_1))$$

because of Jensen's inequality. If $\delta' = \mathrm{E}_F(\delta_1)$ then $R(\theta, \delta_1) \ge R(\theta, \delta')$ and hence δ_1 is not admissible.

Part IX

Point estimation

Exercise 63. ($\star\star$)Consider a Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\sigma^2 & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2), & i = 1, ..., n \\ \sigma^2 & \sim IG(a, b) \end{cases}$$

where, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is known and $a > 0$, $b > 0$. We denote the observables as $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$.

Find the Bayesian parametric point estimator of σ^2, for the squared, and zero-one loss functions.

Hint: The inverse Gamma distr.: $x \sim IG(a, b)$ has pdf $f(x) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{-a-1} \exp(-\frac{b}{x}) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(x)$, and $E(x) = \frac{b}{a-1}$.

Hint: The posterior distribution of σ^2 is $IG(d\sigma^2|a + \frac{1}{2}n, b + \frac{n}{2}s^2)$, where s^2 is the sample variance.

Solution.

- For the mean loss functions, the estimator is the posterior mean

$$\hat{\delta} = \int \sigma^2 IG(\sigma^2|a + \frac{1}{2}n, b + \frac{n}{2}s^2) d\sigma^2$$

$$= \int \sigma^2 \frac{(b + \frac{n}{2}s^2)^{a+\frac{1}{2}n}}{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2}n)} (\sigma^2)^{-(a+\frac{1}{2}n)-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(b + \frac{n}{2}s^2)) d\sigma^2$$

$$= \frac{(b + \frac{n}{2}s^2)^{a+\frac{1}{2}n}}{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2}n)} \int (\sigma^2)^{-(a+\frac{1}{2}n-1)-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(b + \frac{n}{2}s^2)) d\sigma^2$$

$$= \frac{(b + \frac{n}{2}s^2)^{a+\frac{1}{2}n}}{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2}n)} \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2}n - 1)}{(b + \frac{n}{2}s^2)^{a+\frac{1}{2}n-1}}$$

$$= \frac{b + \frac{n}{2}s^2}{a + \frac{1}{2}n - 1}$$

- For the zero-one loss function, the estimator is the posterior mode

$$\hat{\delta} = \arg\max_{\forall \sigma^2}(\log(IG(\sigma^2|a + \frac{1}{2}n, b + \frac{n}{2}s^2))).$$

So $\log(\pi(\sigma^2|x)) \propto -(a + \frac{1}{2}n - 1)\log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(b + \frac{n}{2}s^2)$.

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\sigma^2}\log(\pi(\sigma^2|x))|_{\sigma^2=\hat{\delta}}$$

$$\hat{\delta} = \frac{b + \frac{n}{2}s^2}{a + \frac{1}{2}n + 1}.$$

Exercise 64. ($\star\star$)Consider a Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} Ex(\theta), & i = 1, ..., n \\ \theta & \sim Ga(a, b) \end{cases}$$

where $a > 0$, $b > 0$. We denote the observables as $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$.

1. Find the Bayesian parametric point estimator of θ, for the squared, and zero-one loss functions.

   Hint: The posterior distribution of θ is $\text{Ga}(a + n, b + n\bar{x})$

2. Find the Bayesian predictive point estimator of unobserved y, for the squared, and zero-one loss functions.

   Hint The predictive pmf of y is

$$g(y|x) = \frac{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n}}{\Gamma(a + n)} \frac{\Gamma(a + n + 1)}{\Gamma(1)} (b + n\bar{x} + y)^{-(a+n)-1}$$

Hint: Gamma distr.: $x \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$ has pdf $f(x) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-bx) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(x)$

Hint: Exponential distr.: $x \sim \text{Ex}(b)$ has pdf $f(x) = b \exp(-bx) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(x)$,

Solution.

1. The posterior distribution of θ is $\text{Ga}(a + n, b + n\bar{x})$, where $\bar{x}$ is the sample mean, because

$$\pi(\theta|x) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Ex}(x_i|\theta) \text{Ga}(\theta|a, b)$$
$$\propto \theta^{(a+n)-1} \exp(-\theta(b + n\bar{x}))$$

   For the squared loss functions, the estimator is

$$\delta(x) = \int \theta \text{Ga}(\theta|a + n, b + n\bar{x}) \mathrm{d}\theta$$
$$= \int \theta \frac{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n}}{\Gamma(a + n)} \theta^{(a+n)-1} \exp(-\theta(b + n\bar{x})) \mathrm{d}\theta$$
$$= \frac{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n}}{\Gamma(a + n)} \int \theta^{(a+n+1)-1} \exp(-\theta(b + n\bar{x})) \mathrm{d}\theta$$
$$= \frac{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n}}{\Gamma(a + n)} \frac{\Gamma(a + n + 1)}{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n+1}}$$
$$= \frac{a + n}{b + n\bar{x}},$$

   since $\Gamma(x + 1) = x\Gamma(x)$.

   For the zero-one loss function, the estimator is $\delta(x) = \arg\max_{\forall \sigma^2}(\log(\text{Ga}(\theta|a + n, b + n\bar{x})))$. So $\log(\pi(\theta|x_{1:n})) \propto (a + n - 1)\log(\theta) - \theta(b + n\bar{x})$.

$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \log(\pi(\theta|x))|_{\theta=\delta(x)}$$
$$\delta(x) = \frac{a + n - 1}{b + n\bar{x}}.$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

2. For the squared loss functions, the estimator is the predictive expected value

$$\delta(x) = \int y g(y|x) \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \int y \frac{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n}}{\Gamma(a+n)} \frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{\Gamma(1)} (b + n\bar{x} + y)^{-(a+n)-1} \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \frac{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n}}{\Gamma(a+n)} \frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{\Gamma(1)} \int y^{2-1} (b + n\bar{x} + y)^{-(a+n-1)-2} \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \frac{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n}}{\Gamma(a+n)} \frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{\Gamma(1)} \frac{\Gamma(a+n-1)}{(b + n\bar{x})^{a+n-1}} \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(a+n-1+2)}$$

$$= \frac{b + n\bar{x}}{a + n - 1}.$$

For the zero-one loss function, the estimator is the predictive mode. But, the predictive distribution does not have a mode because

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} \log(g(y|x)) < 0$$

for all $y \geq 0$.

Exercise 65. $(\star\star)$Consider observables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$. Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} \mathrm{N}(\theta, 1), \quad i = 1, ..., n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\pi(\theta) \propto 1$ and that we have only one observable. Consider the LINEX loss function

$$\ell(\theta, \delta) = \exp(c(\theta - \delta)) - c(\theta - \delta) - 1$$

1. Show that $\ell(\theta, \delta) \geq 0$

2. Find the Bayes estimator $\hat{\delta}$ under LINEX loss function and under the given Bayesian model.

Hint-1: Random variable B follows a log-normal distribution $B \sim \mathrm{LN}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ with parameters μ_A, σ_A^2 if $B = \exp(A)$ where $A \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$.

Hint-2: If $B \sim \mathrm{LN}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ then $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{LN}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)}(B) = \exp(\mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{2})$.

Hint-3: It is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_1)^2}{v_1^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_2)^2}{v_2^2} \cdots - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{v_n^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{v}^2} + C$$

where

$$\hat{v}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{v_i^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{v}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{v_i^2} \right); \quad C = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{\hat{v}^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^2}{v_i^2}$$

Solution. So

1. Let $g(x) = \exp(cx) - cx - 1$ with $x = \theta - \delta$. I observe that g is differential with $g'(x) = c(\exp(cx) - 1)$. Also $g(\cdot)$ has a minimum at $x = 0$, as $g'(0) = 0$, $g''(0) > 0$, and in general

$$g'(x) : \begin{cases} < 0, & \text{for } x < 0 \\ = 0, & \text{for } x = 0 \\ > 0, & \text{for } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Moreover, it is $\lim_{x \to -\infty} g(x) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} g(x) = +\infty$ and $g(0) = 0$. Hence $g(x) > 0$, $\forall x > 0$ In other words, $\ell(\theta, \delta) \geq 0$, $\forall \theta > 0$.

2.

- It is

$$\rho(\pi, \delta | x) = \mathrm{E}_\Pi(\ell(\theta, \delta) | x) = \mathrm{E}_\Pi(\exp(c(\theta - \delta)) - c(\theta - \delta) - 1 | x)$$
$$= \exp(-cd)\mathrm{E}_\Pi(\exp(c\theta) | x) - c(\mathrm{E}_\Pi(\theta | x) - \delta) - 1)$$

- I will minimize the posterior expected risk to find the Bayes estimator (rule). So, it is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\delta} \rho(\pi, \delta | x) = -c \exp(-c\delta) \mathrm{E}_\Pi(\exp(c\theta) | x) + c$$

$$0 = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\delta} \rho(\pi, \delta | x) \right|_{\delta = \delta^\pi}$$

$$0 = -c \exp(-c\delta^\pi) \mathrm{E}_\Pi(\exp(c\theta) | x) + c$$

$$\delta^\pi = \frac{1}{c} \log(\mathrm{E}^\pi(\exp(c\theta) | x))$$

- By using the Bayes theorem,

$$\pi(\theta | x) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{N}(x_i | \theta, 1) \pi(\theta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{N}(x_i | \theta, 1)$$

$$\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \theta)^2 \right) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2}{\hat{v}^2} + \text{const...} \right)$$

with

$$\hat{v}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{v_i^2} \right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}; \qquad \hat{\theta} = \hat{v}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_i}{v_i^2} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1} \right) = \bar{x}$$

So the posterior distribution is

$$\theta | x \sim \mathrm{N}(\bar{x}, 1/n)$$

- Now lets assume that $\mathrm{E}_\Pi(\exp(c\theta) | x) < \infty$.
- Then $\mathrm{E}_\Pi(\exp(c\theta) | x) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\bar{x}, 1/n)}(\underbrace{\exp(c\theta)}_{=\tilde{\theta}} | x) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{LN}(c\bar{x}, c^2/n)}(\tilde{\theta} | x) = \exp(c\bar{x} + c^2/2n)$ (as an expected value of LN distribution). Hence,

$$\delta^\pi(x) = c\bar{x} + c^2/2n$$

.

Exercise 66. ($\star\star$)Suppose we wish to estimate the values of a collection of discrete random variables $\vec{X} = X_1, \ldots, X_n$. We have a posterior joint probability mass function for these variables, $p(\vec{x} | y) = p(x_1, \ldots, x_n | y)$ based on some data y. We decide to use the following loss function:

$$\ell(\hat{\vec{x}}, \vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \delta(\hat{x}_i, x_i)) \tag{37}$$

where $\delta(a, b) = 1$ if $a = b$ and zero otherwise.

1. Derive an expression for the estimated values, found by minimizing the expectation of the loss function. [Hint: use linearity of expectation.]

2. When the probability distribution is a posterior distribution in some problem, this type of estimate is sometimes called 'maximum posterior marginal' (MPM) estimate. Explain why this name is appropriate.

3. Explain in words what the loss function is measuring. Compare with the loss function for MAP estimation.

Solution.

1. We have that

$$E\left(\ell(\hat{\vec{x}}, \vec{X})|y\right) = E\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta(\hat{x}_i, X_i)|y\right) \tag{38}$$

$$= n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\delta(\hat{x}_i, X_i)|y\right) = n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i} \delta(\hat{x}_i, x_i) p\left(x_i|y\right) = n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p\left(\hat{x}_i|y\right) \tag{39}$$

To minimize this, it suffices to minimize each term of the sum separately, and so we have for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ separately:

$$\hat{x}_i^* = \arg\max_{x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i} p\left(x_i|y\right) \tag{40}$$

[The x_i in (40) corresponds to the $\hat{x}_i$ in ; we simply drop the hat to keep notation as simple as possible.]

Contrast this with the MAP estimate, which requires optimisation over the full joint pmf:

$$\hat{\vec{x}}^* = \arg\max_{\vec{x} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}} p\left(\hat{x}_i|y\right) \tag{41}$$

2. The individual terms of the sum in (39) are the posterior marginal distributions for each x_i found from the joint distribution $p\left(\hat{x}_i|y\right)$. The estimate for each x_i is found by maximizing its own posterior marginal distribution, hence the name.

3. MPM estimation has attractive properties. Like MAP estimation, it can be defined on any set (albeit with the same caveats as for MAP with continuous variables). On the other hand, it does not insist that all variables 'match' to get the minimum loss. Rather, it counts the number that match by summing over the individual zero-one losses. The loss function for MAP estimation, on the other hand, imposes a different penalization since it is

$$\ell(\hat{\vec{x}}, \vec{x}) = 1 - \delta(\hat{\vec{x}}, \vec{x}) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta(\hat{x}_i, x_i) \tag{42}$$

where by taking the product, the loss will be one unless *all* variables match.

The following exercise is given as a Homework 3. Borrowed from Dr I. H. Jermyn @ Durham U.

Exercise 67. $(\star\star)$ [3] This exercise is based on a problem that arises in image processing. Look at the first row of Fig 1. If we were to observe the sunflower field from above, the sunflowers would be spread uniformly over it. Viewed from an angle, the sunflowers cluster at the top of the picture due to the effect of perspective. We would like to be able to tell from this clustering at what angle the camera was pointing and its height above the ground. We will not solve this problem here (it is rather difficult in general), but instead look at an idealized and simplified version of it.

---

[3]This exercise is a modified version of the one from Dr Jermyn's lecture notes in Bayesian statistics 2015-2016

Consider the left hand image in the second row of the figure. It shows 200 points sampled at random uniformly from the unit square. On the right, is a transformation of these points similar to that undergone by the sunflower image, except that here only the 'y-coordinate', the vertical position in the image, has been affected.


Figure 1: Two sampled point patterns. (Sunflower image © Soren Breiting / Alamy.)

If we were asked whether the right hand image had been sampled from a uniform distribution on the unit square, I am sure we would all say 'no'. The question is how we can justify this response. The first part of the exercise is an alternative to the examples given in lectures, showing the need to use Bayesian and not ad hoc methods to obtain sensible answers in many inference problems.

The second part of the exercise is about inferring the camera angle given the data points using Bayesian methods, and tests various technical issues.

1. **Classical treatment.**

    A classical statistical technique to address this problem might go like this. Let's define a 'statistic', a quantity to be calculated from the data, and whose properties we will study to create a test. For example, in a coin tossing experiment, we will get some sequence of heads and tails. A statistic might be the number of heads.

    In this case, one possibility is to divide the unit square into two halves, top and bottom, and to count the number r of points in the bottom half.

    (a) If we assume that both point patterns were sampled from a uniform distribution on the unit square, which of the two sets of points is more probable? Does this help to justify the inference that the right hand image was not sampled from a uniform distribution?

(b) If the total number of points is n, what is the probability distribution for r (the 'sampling distribution') under the assumption of sampling from the uniform distribution on the unit square?

(c) What are the mean and variance of this distribution?

(d) For the right hand image in the bottom row of Fig 1, by visual inspection, extract (approximately) the value of the statistic r.

(e) Using a normal approximation to the sampling distribution, perform a significance test under the null hypothesis H_0 that the sampling was uniform. What is your conclusion?

(f) How do we reconcile the answer to Sub-question 1a with the result of the hypothesis test? When we calculate the probability of observing r points in the bottom half of the unit square, what are we actually calculating?

(g) What would be the result of the hypothesis test if we defined r as the number of points in the left half of the unit square?

(h) Is this a reasonable thing to do? Why?

What is being introduced in the last question is a possible alternative hypothesis, specifying the nature of the non-uniformity. The problem is that this alternative hypothesis has no place in the classical statistical testing methodology: all we have is H_0.

As a result of this deficiency of standard hypothesis testing, other methods have been developed. Likelihood methods in classical statistics take alternatives into account by using two (or more) hypotheses and comparing the probabilities of the data under each of them.

In our example, we could take a non-uniform model, and then compute the probabilities of the data under both uniform and non-uniform models. This would work in one sense: the probability of the data would be higher under some non-uniform models than under the uniform model. Unfortunately, there are many non-uniform models. Some of them, those with probability densities concentrated around the data points, assign very high probability to the observed data, and yet we do not accept them as valid explanations. This is a usuall phenomenon in Frequentist statistics: there are many hypotheses that predict the observed data with near certainty, and maximum likelihood is powerless to discount them.

2. **Bayesian treatment.**

To disallow these extreme possibilities (if indeed they are unreasonable), we have to assign probabilities to the possible non-uniformities. One way to do this is via a choice of a parameterized family of non-uniformities. Any parameterized model implicitly assigns probability zero to any non-family member, and hence is Bayesian by default.

In the case of the image processing problem, we know a lot about the types of distortion that arise (essentially perspective), and we can construct a reasonable family quite easily. Without going into details, and making several approximations, the coordinates $(x, y) \in [0,1]^2$ of a point in the image distorted by camera viewing angle are related to the coordinates $(u, v) \in [0,1]^2$ of the same point in the undistorted image that would be taken by a camera looking vertically downwards, by the following equations:

$$x = u \tag{43}$$

$$y = \frac{v(1+t)}{1+tv} \tag{44}$$

where $t = \tan(\alpha)$ is the tangent of the angle α between the camera viewing direction and vertically downwards—in fact, this was the exact transformation used to convert the left hand image in Fig 1 to the right hand image.

(a) Suppose we knew t. Derive the probability density $f(u, v|t)$ for a point (x, y) in the distorted image, given t, and given that the sampling density was uniform on the unit square in the undistorted image, i.e.

$$f(u, v|t) = 1 \tag{45}$$

(b) Write down the corresponding probability density, given t, of a set of points (x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n) sampled independently from the non-uniform density.

(c) Suppose we have no reason to favour any particular value of $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$ before we see the data. Write down the prior probability density for α.

(d) From the answer to Sub-question 2c, derive the prior probability density of t. [Hint: $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\tan^{-1} t) = \frac{1}{1+t^2}$.]

(e) Hence write down the posterior probability density for t up to an overall normalization factor, given the data points (x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n).

(f) From this result, derive the equation satisfied by the MAP estimate of t. (Taking logarithms makes things easier.)

(g) By expanding the log posterior probability density about 0 to second order in t, find the MAP estimate for t when t is small.

(h) Find the MAP estimate of α when α is small.

Solution.

1. **Classical treatment.**

   (a) The probability that a single point falls in the infinitesimal element $\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v$ at point (u, v) is:

   $$\mathrm{d}F(u, v) = \mathsf{P}_F(u \in \mathrm{d}u, v \in \mathrm{d}v) = \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \tag{46}$$

   The probability that n points sampled independently fall in the infinitesimal elements $\mathrm{d}u_1\mathrm{d}v_1$, ..., $\mathrm{d}u_n\mathrm{d}v_n$ at points (u_1, v_1), ..., (u_n, v_n) is therefore

   $$\mathrm{d}F(u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_n, v_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}F(u_i, v_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}u_i\mathrm{d}v_i \tag{47}$$

   This does not depend on the data points (u_i, v_i) and so is the same for both patterns.[4]

   If we want to justify the idea that the set of points in the right hand image did not come from a uniform distribution, this obviously does not help, since both cases are the same.

   (b) The probability of one point landing in the bottom half of the square is $\frac{1}{2}$ for a uniform distribution. The probability of any *given* set of r points lying in the bottom half (and thus $n - r$ lying in the top half) is then $(\frac{1}{2})^r(\frac{1}{2})^{n-r}$. The probability of some set of r points lying in the bottom half is thus given by the binomial distribution:

   $$\mathsf{P}(r|n, \text{uniform}) = \binom{n}{r}\frac{1}{2^n} \tag{48}$$

   (c) The mean of a binomial distribution is np and the variance is $np(1 - p)$, meaning, in this case, that the mean is $\frac{n}{2}$ and the variance is $\frac{n}{4}$. For the given example, these are mean 100 and standard deviation $\sqrt{50} \simeq 7$.

   (d) There are about $r = 30$ points in the bottom half of the square. (The exact number is not so relevant here.)

---

[4]There is a subtlety here. The above probability is that the points fall in the given elements with the given labelling. Because there are $n!$ ways to label n points, strictly speaking, the probability of a configuration is $n!$ times the above, with the understanding that now the distribution is defined on sets of unlabelled points.

(e) The standardized value of the statistic r is $z = (r - 100)/7$, so for $r = 30$ we get $z = -10$, i.e. 10 standard deviations below the mean. The probability of finding this or a more extreme value in the bottom half of the square is then $2(1 - \Phi(10))$, a number that is vanishingly small. The null hypothesis is thus convincingly rejected.

(f) Superficially there seems to be a contradiction between the fact that the probabilities of the two sets of data are the same, but the hypothesis test so strongly rejects the null hypothesis. In fact, of course, the probability of the data and the probability computed in the hypothesis test are completely different. The former is the probability of a particular set of positions for points. The latter is a different in two ways. Remember that the probability of an individual configuration is constant under the uniform hypothesis. Then first, the probability of a particular value of r is given by the integral of this constant over all possible positions of the points that keeps the same number in the bottom half of the square. Second, the probability in the hypothesis test is then the sum of these probabilities for all values of r 'more extreme', i.e. further from the mean, than the value we observe. For the hypothesis test, then, we calculate the probability of a large, indeed in this case infinite, set of conceivable data sets, none of which we have actually observed.

Naturally, with such a difference in the probabilities, the conclusions to be drawn are different.

(g) The test does not justify rejecting the null hypothesis.

(h) It seems unreasonable because we know or suspect that the non-uniformity is in the vertical direction, and the statistic should be some measure of this non-uniformity. However, this alternative hypothesis has no place in the classical statistical testing methodology: all we have is H_0.

2. **Bayesian treatment.**

(a) Note that

$$u(x, y) = x \tag{49}$$

$$v(x, y) = \frac{y}{1 + t - ty} \tag{50}$$

which is an 1-1 transformation. Thus the Jacobian is [5]

$$J = \frac{\mathrm{d}(u, v)}{\mathrm{d}(x, y)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(1+t-ty)1-y(-t)}{(1+t-ty)^2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1 + t}{(1 + t - ty)^2} \tag{51}$$

Since the pdf on (U, V) is uniform, we find that the pdf is

$$f(x, y | t) = f(u(x, y), v(x, y) | t) \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}(u, v)}{\mathrm{d}(x, y)} \right| = \frac{(1 + t)}{(1 + t - ty)^2} \tag{52}$$

and hence the distribution is such that

$$\mathrm{d}F(x, y | t) = \underbrace{\frac{1 + t}{(1 + t - ty)^2}}_{= f(x,y|t)} \mathrm{d}(x, y) \tag{53}$$

---

[5]Remember how to calculate the PDF or PMF when we perform transformation of random variables (in multivariate case). $\mathrm{d}(u(x, y), v(x, y))$ is an informal way of writing a transformed infinitesimal area, i.e. it denotes the area of the parallelogram covered by $(u(x, y), v(x, y))$ when both x and y are changed infinitesimally over a rectangle at (x, y) with dimensions $(\delta x, \delta y)$:

$$|J| = \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}(u(x, y), v(x, y))}{\mathrm{d}(x, y)} \right| = \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \right|$$

(b) Since the points are sampled independently, the resulting pdf is simply the product of the individual pdfs:

$$f(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n | t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1+t)}{(1+t-ty_i)^2} = \frac{(1+t)^n}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+t-ty_i)^2} \tag{54}$$

(c) If we have no reason to favour any particular value of α, it would make sense to use a uniform distribution over α, i.e. the probability density with respect to α will be constant. Since $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$, we have probability distribution density

$$\pi(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tag{55}$$

which is normalized; and hence probability such that

$$d\Pi(\alpha) = \underbrace{\frac{2}{\pi}}_{=\pi(\alpha)} d\alpha \tag{56}$$

(d) Since $\alpha(t) = \tan^{-1}(t)$, we have[6]

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt}(t) = \frac{1}{1+t^2} \tag{57}$$

so the pdf is

$$\pi(t) = \pi(\alpha(t)) \left| \frac{d\alpha}{dt}(t) \right| = \underbrace{\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+t^2}}_{=\pi(t)} \tag{58}$$

and the distribution such that

$$d\Pi(t) = \underbrace{\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+t^2}}_{=\pi(t)} dt \tag{59}$$

(e) The posterior density of t given the data points is

$$\pi(t | x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n) \propto f(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n | t) \pi(t) \tag{60}$$

$$\propto \frac{(1+t)^n}{1+t^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(1+t-ty_i)^2} \tag{61}$$

(f) The log posterior probability density is, up to an additive constant,

$$\log\left(\pi(t | x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n)\right) = C + n\ln(1+t) - \ln(1+t^2) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1+tz_i) \tag{62}$$

with $z_i = 1 - y_i$.

Differentiating with respect to t, and setting the result equal to zero gives the equation satisfied by the MAP estimate:

$$\frac{n}{1+t} - 2\sum_i \frac{z_i}{1+z_i t} = \frac{2t}{1+t^2} \tag{63}$$

(g) Consider that

$$g(t) = C + n\ln(1+t) - \ln(1+t^2) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1+tz_i)$$

---

[6]Remember how we can calculate the PDF or the PMF when we perform a transformation of random variables

then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}g(t) = \frac{n}{1+t} - \frac{2t}{1+t^2} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{z_i}{1+tz_i}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}g(t) = \frac{-n}{(1+t)^2} + \frac{2t^2-2}{(1+t^2)^2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{z_i^2}{(1+tz_i)^2}$$

Then by using Taylor expansion of 2nd order around 0, it is

$$\tilde{g}(t) + g(0) + \frac{1}{1!}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}g(t)|_{t=0}(t-0) + \frac{1}{2!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}g(t)|_{t=0}(t-0)^2 + \text{tiny stuff...}$$

$$\approx C + (n - 2n\bar{z})t + (-n - 2 + 2n\overline{z^2})t^2 + \text{tiny stuff...}$$

So, I will try to find the MAP estimate $\hat{t}^*$ by using this crude Taylor expansion approximation valid for values of t around zero 0, right... it is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\tilde{g}(t)|_{t=\hat{t}^*} = 0$$

$$(n - 2n\bar{z}) - (n + 2 - 2n\overline{z^2})2t = 0$$

$$\hat{t}^* = \frac{\bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}}{\overline{z^2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}}$$

Hence the MAP estimate is for small t approximately

$$\hat{t}^* = \frac{\bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}}{\overline{z^2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}} \tag{64}$$

Some interpretation story ... Notice that the $\frac{1}{n}$ term comes from the prior pdf for t with respect to dt. The more data we have, the less significant it is. The rest of the formula measures the deviation of the mean point (note that $\bar{z} = 1 - \bar{y}$) from the central $z = \frac{1}{2}$ point, which makes sense. The value of $\hat{t}^*$ will only be small, and hence the approximation will only be consistent, if $\bar{z}$ is closer to $\frac{1}{2}$ than $\overline{z^2}$. In addition, for those who checked, this is only a maximum (as opposed to a minimum), within the scope of this approximation, when $\overline{z^2} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$.

(h)

$$\pi(\alpha|x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n) \propto f(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n|\alpha)\pi(\alpha) \tag{65}$$

$$\propto (1 + t(\alpha))^n \prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(1 + t(\alpha) - t(\alpha)y_i)^2} \tag{66}$$

where $t(\alpha) = \tan(\alpha)$—this is essentially the same as before up to the Jacobian factor $1/(1+t^2)$. To find the equation satisfied by the MAP estimate we can now take logarithms:

$$\log\left(\pi(\alpha|x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n)\right) = C + n\ln(1 + t(\alpha)) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ln(1 + t(\alpha)z_i) \tag{67}$$

and differentiate with respect to α. By the chain rule, the derivative is zero when

$$\left(\frac{n}{1+t(\alpha)} - 2\sum_{i}\frac{z_i}{1 + z_i t(\alpha)}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}(\alpha) = 0 \tag{68}$$

On first order expansion, now also using first order approximation $t(\alpha) = \alpha$,

$$n(1 - \alpha) - 2\sum_i z_i(1 - z_i\alpha) = 0 \tag{69}$$

and thus the MAP estimate is

$$\hat{\alpha}^* = \frac{\bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}}{\overline{z^2} - \frac{1}{2}} \tag{70}$$

Note that $\hat{t}^* \neq \alpha^*$ even though $t = \alpha$ for small t (or equivalently, for small α). In general, the MAP estimate does not respect transformations.

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

Part X

Credible regions

Exercise 68. ($\star\star\star$)Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\sigma^2 & \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} \text{N}(\mu, \sigma^2), & i = 1, ..., n \\ \sigma^2 & \sim \text{IG}(a, b) \end{cases} \tag{71}$$

where μ is known, and the prior hyper-parameters a, b are known.

1. Calculate the posterior distribution of σ^2 of Bayesian model (71). Justify your calculations with brief comments.

    Hint: It is
    $$\sigma^2|x_1, ..., x_n \sim \text{IG}(a^*, b^*)$$
    with $a^* = \frac{n}{2} + a$ and $b^* = b + \frac{1}{2}ns^2$.

2. Prove that the predictive distribution for a future $y = x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$, given the Bayesian model (71), is Student T such as
    $$\text{T}(\mu, \frac{b + \frac{1}{2}ns^2}{a + \frac{1}{2}n}, 2a + n)$$

    Justify your calculations with brief comments.

3. Find the C_γ (posterior) parametric HPD credible interval for σ^2. In particular, find the system of equations whose roots are the boundaries of the HPD credible interval. Justify your calculations with brief comments.

4. Find the C_γ predictive HPD credible interval for a future $y = x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Justify your calculations with brief comments.

5. Consider a sample size $n = 40$, sample variance $s^2 = 2$, fixed hyper-parameters $a = 10$, $b = 5$, and known $\mu = 1$. Consider that the 97.5% quantile of the standard Student t distribution with 14 degrees of freedom is equal to $t_{60, 0.975} \approx 2$. Compute the values of the boundaries of the 95% predictive HPD credible interval $C_{\gamma=0.05}$ for $y = x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Justify your calculations with brief comments.

Hint: $x \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$, then the PDF of x is

$$f_{\text{IG}(a,b)}(x) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{-a-1} \exp(-\frac{b}{x}) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(x)$$

Hint: Student distribution: $x \sim \text{T}(\mu, v, a)$ iff $\sqrt{1/v}(x - \mu) \sim \text{T}(0, 1, a)$; $\text{T}(0, 1, a)$ is the standard Student t_a distribution.

Hint: Student distribution: $x \sim \text{T}(\mu, v, a)$, then $E(x) = \mu$ and $Var(x) = \frac{a}{a-2}v$.

Solution. Denote the sequence of observables as $x_{1:n} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$.

1. By using Bayes theorem, it is

$$\pi(\sigma^2|x_{1:n}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{N}(x_i|\mu,\sigma^2)\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a,b) \;\propto\; (\frac{1}{\sigma^2})^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2})(\frac{1}{\sigma^2})^{a+1}\exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}b)$$

$$\propto\; (\frac{1}{\sigma^2})^{\frac{n}{2}+a+1}\exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2))$$

$$\propto \mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a+\frac{n}{2},b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2)$$

So it is

$$\sigma^2|x_{1:n} \sim \mathrm{IG}(a^*,b^*)$$

with $a^* = \frac{n}{2}+a$ and $b^* = b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2$.

2. By using the definition of the predictive distribution, it is

$$p(y|x_{1:n}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{N}(y|\mu,\sigma^2)\mathrm{IG}(\sigma^2|a^*,b^*)\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$\propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2})(\frac{1}{\sigma^2})^{a^*+1}\exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}b^*)$$

$$\propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\frac{1}{\sigma^2})^{a^*+\frac{1}{2}+1}\exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(b^*+\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^2))$$

$$= \Gamma(a^*+\frac{1}{2})\,(b^*+\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^2)^{-(a^*+\frac{1}{2})}\;\propto\;(b^*+\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^2)^{-(a^*+\frac{1}{2})}$$

$$\propto (1+\frac{1}{2a^*}\frac{a^*}{b^*}(y-\mu)^2)^{-\frac{2a^*+1}{2}}\;\propto\;\mathrm{T}(y|\mu,\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2}{a+\frac{1}{2}n},2a+n)$$

Here, once again, to compute the integral, we used the fact that the Gamma PDF integrates to 1.

3. We use the theorem from the notes to compute the credible interval C_γ. However, IG is not symmetric, and hence the theorem leads to the following system which we can solve with a computer:

$$0 = F_{\mathrm{IG}(a^*,b^*)}(U) - F_{\mathrm{IG}(a^*,b^*)}(L) - (1-\gamma)$$

$$0 = f_{\mathrm{IG}(a^*,b^*)}(\sigma^2=U) - f_{\mathrm{IG}(a^*,b^*)}(\sigma^2=L)$$

with $a^* = \frac{n}{2}+a$ and $b^* = b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2$, and f^{IG} and F^{IG} denote the PDF and CDF.

- Please notice that this way to compute HPD interval makes sense only in the case that the posterior $\pi(\sigma^2|x_{1:n})$ has a mode. For example, in the case of the exponential distribution ($\mathrm{Ex}(\xi|\lambda)$) whose PDF monotonically decreases, the produced Credible Interval would be of the form $(0, U]$ where $U = q^*_{,\lambda,1-\gamma}$ where $q^*_{,\lambda,1-\gamma}$ is the $1-\gamma$ quantile of the $\mathrm{Ex}(\xi|\lambda)$ distribution. To better understand this, please draw the plot of IG PDF when the left tail goes to infinity, and apply the mnemonic rule with the horizontal line that we discussed int he classroom.

4. We use the theorem from the notes to compute the HPD credible interval. Moreover the predictive distribution is symmetric. Hence,

$$\int_L^U p(y|x_{1:n})\mathrm{d} = 1 - \gamma$$

$$\mathsf{P}_{T\left(\mu,\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2}{a+\frac{1}{2}n},2a+n\right)}(y < U) = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2},$$

$$\mathsf{P}_{T(0,1,2a+n)}\left(\tilde{y} < \frac{U - \mu}{\sqrt{\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2}{a+\frac{1}{2}n}}}\right) = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

Then $U = \mu + q^* \sqrt{\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2}{a+\frac{1}{2}n}}$ where $q^* = t_{2a+n,1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ is the quantile of the standard Student t distribution with

$2a + n$ degrees of freedom such that $F_{\mathrm{St}}(q^*|0,1,2a+n) = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}$. By symmetry $L = \mu - q^* \sqrt{\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2}{a+\frac{1}{2}n}}$. So

$$C_\gamma = \left(\mu - t_{2a+n,1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2}{a+\frac{1}{2}n}}, \mu + t_{2a+n,1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}ns^2}{a+\frac{1}{2}n}}\right)$$

5. It is $a^* = \frac{n}{2} + a = 30$, $b^* = b + \frac{1}{2}ns^2 = 45$, $\mu = 1$, and $q^* = t_{\mathrm{df}=2a+n=60\,,\,1-\frac{\gamma}{2}=0.975} \approx 2$. By substituting the values, we get, $C_{\gamma=0.05} = [1 - 2\sqrt{3/2}\,,\,1 + 2\sqrt{3/2}] = [-1.45\,,\,3.45]$

Exercise 69. (⋆⋆)Consider the Bayesian model

$$y_i|\theta \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{N}\left(\theta, \sigma^2\right),\ i = 1, ..., n$$

$$\theta \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2\right)$$

where μ_0, σ_0^2 are fixed hyper-parameters, and θ unknown.

1. Derive the $1 - \frac{a}{2}$ HPD credible posterior interval for θ.

   Hint-1: It is

   $$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x - \mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{(x - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + \text{const ind of } x$$

   where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}\right)^{-1}$ and $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right)$.

   Hint-2: The 97.5% quantile of the standard Normal distribution is 1.959964.

2. What size your dataset need to have in order to satisfy a 0.95% HPD credible posterior interval for θ which has length of 1 unit? Consider that $\sigma^2 = 4$ and $\sigma_0^2 = 9$.

Solution. Let $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$.

1. The posterior pdf of θ is

$$\pi\left(\theta|y\right) \propto f\left(y|\theta\right) \times \pi\left(\theta\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n f\left(y_i|\theta\times\right)\pi\left(\theta\right) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(y_i - \theta)^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\left[\frac{(\theta - y_i)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\theta - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right]\right) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\theta - \mu_n)^2}{\sigma_n^2} + \text{const...}\right)$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

with

$$\sigma_n^2 = \left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}\right)^{-1} \text{ and } \mu_n = \sigma_n^2\left(\frac{\bar{y}}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2}\right)$$

Hence

$$\theta|y \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2\right)$$

To find the 2-sides the $1 - \frac{a}{2}$ HPD credible posterior interval for θ, aka $[L, U]$, I consider the theorem in the Handouts. Namely:

$$1 - a = \int_L^U \mathrm{N}(\theta|\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)\mathrm{d}\theta = \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}(\mu_n,\sigma_n^2)}(\theta < U) - \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}(\mu_n,\sigma_n^2)}(\theta < L) = \Phi\left(\frac{U - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{L - \mu_n}{\sigma_n^2}\right)$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ denotes the CDF of $\mathrm{N}(0, 1)$. Also, it has to be

$$\pi\left(U|y\right) = \pi\left(L|y\right)$$

and because the PDF of $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2\right)$ is symmetric around μ_n

$$L - \mu_n = \mu_n - U \implies L = 2\mu_n - U$$

So

$$1 - a = \Phi\left(\frac{U - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{L - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{U - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{2\mu_n - U - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right) = 2\Phi\left(\frac{U - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right) - 1 \implies$$

$$1 - \frac{a}{2} = \Phi\left(\frac{U - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right) \implies z_{1-\frac{a}{2}} = \frac{U - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \implies U = \mu_n + z_{1-\frac{a}{2}}\sigma_n^2$$

and hence $L = \mu_n - z_{1-\frac{a}{2}}\sigma_n^2$.

So the $1 - \frac{a}{2}$ HPD credible posterior interval for θ is

$$\left[\mu_n - z_{1-\frac{a}{2}}\sigma_n \ , \ \mu_n + z_{1-\frac{a}{2}}\sigma_n\right] = \left[\mu_n - z_{1-\frac{a}{2}}\left/\sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}\right)}\right. \ , \ \mu_n + z_{1-\frac{a}{2}}\left/\sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}\right)}\right.\right]$$

2. The length of the $1 - \frac{a}{2}$ HPD credible posterior interval for θ is

$$\ell_n = 2z_{1-\frac{a}{2}}\left/\sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}\right)}\right.$$

So

$$1 = \ell_n = 2z_{1-\frac{a}{2}}\left/\sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}\right)}\right. = 2 \times 1.959964\left/\sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{9}\right)}\right.$$

and hence $n \approx 62$.

Exercise 70. ($\star\star\star\star$)A random sample, $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, of size n is taken from $\mathrm{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$, where σ^2 is known. Suppose there exist positive constants; a, ϵ, M and c (small values of a and ϵ are of interest), such that in the interval I_a, defined by

$$\bar{x} - \lambda_a\sqrt{\sigma^2/n} \le \theta \le \bar{x} + \lambda_a\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20

by Georgios Karagiannis

where $2\Phi(-\lambda_a) = a$, the prior density of θ lies between $c(1 - \epsilon)$ and $c(1 + \epsilon)$: and outside I_a it is bounded by Mc. Then the posterior density $\pi(\theta|x)$ satisfies the inequalities

$$\frac{1 - \epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)(1 - a) + Ma}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2/n}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{x} - \theta)^2}{\sigma^2/n}) \leq \pi(\theta|x) \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{(1 - \epsilon)(1 - a) + Ma}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2/n}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{x} - \theta)^2}{\sigma^2/n})$$

inside I_a, and

$$0 \leq \pi(\theta|x) \leq \frac{M}{(1 - \epsilon)(1 - a)}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2/n}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2)$$

outside I_a.

Comment This is a nice result that shows how the posterior PDF of the mean of the (what we call) Normal model with known variance behaves.

Solution. I more detailed proof can be found in [Lindley, D. (1965; Section 5.2). Introduction to Probability and Statistics from a Bayesian Viewpoint. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.]

The likelihood (after inserting a convenient constant) is

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) = (2\pi\phi/n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\{-\tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - \theta)^2/(\sigma^2/n)\}.$$

Hence by Bayes' Theorem, within I_α

$$Ac(1 - \varepsilon)(2\pi\sigma^2/n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\{-\tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - \theta)^2/(\sigma^2/n)\} \leqslant \pi(\theta|\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\leqslant Ac(1 + \varepsilon)(2\pi\sigma^2/n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\{-\tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - \theta)^2/(\sigma^2/n)\}$$

and outside I_α

$$0 \leqslant \pi(\theta|\boldsymbol{x}) \leqslant AMc(2\pi\sigma^2/n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\{-\tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - \theta)^2/(\sigma^2/n)\},$$

where A is a constant equal to $p(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}$. Using the right hand inequality for the region inside I_α we get

$$\int_{I_\alpha}\pi(\theta|\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\theta \leqslant Ac(1 + \varepsilon)\int_{I_\alpha}(2\pi\sigma^2/n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\{-\tfrac{1}{2}(\bar{x} - \theta)^2/(\sigma^2/n)\}\,\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= Ac(1 + \varepsilon)\int_{-\lambda_\alpha}^{\lambda_\alpha}(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}t^2)\,\mathrm{d}t, \text{ where } t = (\bar{x} - \theta)/\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}$$

$$= Ac(1 + \varepsilon)[\Phi(\lambda_\alpha) - \Phi(-\lambda_\alpha)] = Ac(1 + \varepsilon)(1 - \alpha)$$

since $\Phi(-\lambda_\alpha) = 1 - \Phi(\lambda_\alpha)$. Similarly, the same integral exceeds $AQc(1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \alpha)$, and, if J_α is the outside of I_α,

$$0 \leqslant \int_{J_\alpha}\pi(\theta|\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\theta \leqslant AMc\alpha.$$

Combining these results we have, since $\int_{I_\alpha \cup J_\alpha}\pi(\theta|\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\theta = 1$,

$$Ac(1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \alpha) \leqslant 1 \leqslant Ac[(1 + \varepsilon)(1 - \alpha) + M\alpha],$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon)(1 - \alpha) + M\alpha} \leqslant Ac \leqslant \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)(1 - \alpha)}.$$

The result now follows on remarking that the maximum value of the exponential in J_α occurs at the end-points $\theta \pm \lambda_\alpha\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}$, where it has the value $\exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_\alpha^2)$.

Part XI

Hypothesis tests

Exercise 71. $(\star\star)$Consider a Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\lambda & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pn}(\lambda), \ \forall i = 1, ..., n \\ \lambda & \sim \Pi(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

Hint-1 Poisson distribution has PMF: $\text{Pn}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!}\lambda^x \exp(-\lambda)1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$

Hint-2 Gamma distribution has PDF: $\text{Ga}(x|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}x^{a-1}\exp(-bx)1_{(0,\infty)}(x)$, with $E(x) = a/b$, $Var(x) = $

a/b^2.

Hint-3 Negative Binomial distribution has PMF: $\text{Nb}(x|r, \theta) = \binom{r+x-1}{r-1}\theta^r(1-\theta)^x 1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$. with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. Show that the sampling distribution (param. model) is a member of the exponential family

2. Compute the likelihood

3. Specify the PDF of the conjugate prior distribution of λ, and identify the distribution.

4. Compute the PDF of the posterior distribution of λ, and identify the distribution.

5. Compute the PMF of the predictive distribution of $y = x_{n+1}$,and identify the distribution.

6. Consider that we are interested in testing the hypothesis whether $\lambda = \lambda_0$, (where λ_0 is a fixed known number),

or not.

   (a) Design the test of hypotheses in Bayesian framework: Namely, set pair of hypotheses, specify priors,

   and compute the associated Bayes Factor.

   (b) Compute the posterior probability that $\lambda = \lambda_0$.

   (c) Perform the hypothesis test to test if $\lambda = 2$ or not based on the Jeffrey's scaling rule, by considering that

   • we have collected two observations $x_1 = 2$, $x_2 = 3$,

   • a priori the probability that $\{\lambda = 2\}$ is 0.5,

   • given $\{\lambda \neq 2\}$, the prior distr. of λ is a conjugate one with $E(\lambda) = 2$, and $\text{Var}(\lambda) = 1$.

Solution.

1. It is

$$\text{Pn}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!}\lambda^x \exp(-\lambda)1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x!}\exp(-\lambda)\exp(x\log(\lambda))1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$$

So $\text{Pn}(\lambda)$ is member of the regular 1-parameter exponential family with

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{x!}, \qquad g(\lambda) = \exp(-\lambda), \qquad h_1(x) = x, \qquad \phi_1(\lambda) = \log(\lambda), \qquad c_1 = 1.$$

2. The likelihood is

$$f(x_{1:n}|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\text{Pn}(x_i|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{x_i!}\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i}\exp(-n\lambda)$$

3. The parametric model is member of the exponential distribution family. The likelihood can be written in form (via the exponential distribution family):

$$f(x_{1:n}|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Pn}(x_i|\lambda) \ = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_i!} (\exp(-\lambda))^n \exp((\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i) \log(\lambda))$$

Based on the Theorem in the notes, the PDF of the conjugate prior has the form

$$\pi(\lambda) \propto g(\lambda)^{\tau_0} \exp(c_1 \tau_1 \phi_1(\lambda))$$
$$= \exp(-\lambda \tau_0) \exp(\tau_1 \log(\lambda))$$
$$= \lambda^{\tau_1} \exp(-\lambda \tau_0)$$
$$\propto \text{Ga}(\lambda|a,b), \text{ for } a = \tau_1 + 1, \ b = \tau_0$$

So the e conjugate prior is $\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(\lambda|a,b)$, where $a > 0$, and $b > 0$.

4. According to the definition, the posterior PDF can be computed via the Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\lambda|x_{1:n}) \propto f(x_{1:n}|\lambda)\pi(\lambda) \quad \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Pn}(x_i|\lambda)\text{Ga}(\lambda|a,b)$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_i!} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \exp(-n\lambda) \times \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-\lambda b)$$

$$\propto \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + a - 1} \exp(-\lambda(n+b))$$

$$\propto \text{Ga}(\lambda| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + a, n + b)$$

So the posterior distribution is $\lambda|x_{1:n} \sim \text{Ga}(\tilde{a},\tilde{b})$, $\tilde{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + a$, $\tilde{b} = n + b$.

- Alternatively, we could use the Theorem in the Lecture notes stating the properties of the Conjugate priors... I.e. $\lambda|x_{1:n} \sim \text{Ga}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + (\tau_1 + 1), n + (\tau_0))$ –It is up to you...

5. According to the definition, the predictive PMF is

$$f(y|x_{1:n}) = \int_{(0,\infty)} f(y|\lambda)\pi(\lambda|x_{1:n})d\lambda \quad = \int_{(0,\infty)} \text{Pn}(y|\lambda)\text{Ga}(\lambda|\tilde{a},\tilde{b})d\lambda$$

$$= \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{1}{y!} \lambda^y \exp(-\lambda) \frac{\tilde{b}^{\tilde{a}}}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})} \lambda^{\tilde{a}-1} \exp(-\lambda\tilde{b})d\lambda$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!} \frac{\tilde{b}^{\tilde{a}}}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})} \int_{(0,\infty)} \lambda^{y+\tilde{a}-1} \exp(-\lambda(\tilde{b}+1))d\lambda$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!} \frac{\tilde{b}^{\tilde{a}}}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})} \frac{\Gamma(y+\tilde{a})}{(\tilde{b}+1)^{y+\tilde{a}}} \quad = \frac{1}{y!} (\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}} (\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y \frac{\Gamma(y+\tilde{a})}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!} (\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}} (\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y \frac{(y+\tilde{a}-1)(y+\tilde{a}-2)\cdots(\tilde{a})\Gamma(\tilde{a})}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!} (\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}} (\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y (y+\tilde{a}-1)(y+\tilde{a}-2)\cdots(\tilde{a})$$

$$= \frac{1}{y!} (\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}} (\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y \frac{(y+\tilde{a}-1)!}{(\tilde{a}-1)!} \quad = \frac{(y+\tilde{a}-1)!}{(\tilde{a}-1)!y!} (\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}} (\frac{1}{\tilde{b}+1})^y$$

$$= \binom{y+\tilde{a}-1}{\tilde{a}-1} (\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^{\tilde{a}} (1-\frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})^y \quad = \text{Nb}(y|\tilde{a}, \frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{b}+1})$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

6.

(a)

• The pair of hypotheses for this test is

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : & x_i \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} \text{Pn}(\lambda_0 = 2), \ \text{for all } i = 1, ..., n \\ H_1 : & x_i \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} \text{Pn}(\lambda), \ \lambda > 0 \ \text{for all } i = 1, ..., n \end{cases} \tag{72}$$

where H_0 is a single hypothesis, and H_1 is the general alternative.[7]

• The overall prior can be specified as

$$\pi(\lambda) = \pi_0 1_{\{\lambda_0\}}(\lambda) + (1 - \pi_0)\text{Ga}(\lambda | a, b)$$

for $\pi_0 > 0$, which in this case is $\pi_0 = 1/2$, and $\lambda_0 = 2$.

• Do not get confused that the above notation in H_1 in (72) states $\lambda > 0$. Given H_1, λ is a continu-
ous random variable. Because λ is a continuous random variable and $\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$ given H_1, the
probability that $\lambda = 2$ given on H_1.

• The Bayes factor is

$$B_{01}(x_{1:n}) = \frac{p_0(x_{1:n})}{p_1(x_{1:n})} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Pn}(x_i | \lambda_0)}{\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Pn}(x_i | \lambda)\text{Ga}(\lambda | a, b)\text{d}\lambda}$$

where

$$p_0(x_{1:n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Pn}(x_i | \lambda_0) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} \lambda_0^{n\bar{x}} \exp(-n\lambda_0)$$

and

$$p_1(x_{1:n}) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Pn}(x_i | \lambda)\text{Ga}(\lambda | a, b)\text{d}\lambda = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{n\bar{x}+a-1} \exp(-(n+b)\lambda)\text{d}\lambda$$

$$= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} \frac{\Gamma(n\bar{x} + a)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{b^a}{(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a}}$$

So

$$B_{01}(x_{1:n}) = \frac{\lambda_0^{n\bar{x}} \exp(-n\lambda_0)}{\frac{b^a \Gamma(n\bar{x}+a)}{\Gamma(a)(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a}}} = \lambda_0^{n\bar{x}}(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a} \exp(-n\lambda_0) \frac{1}{b^a} \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(n\bar{x}+a)}$$

$$= \lambda_0^{n\bar{x}} \exp(-n\lambda_0) \frac{(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a}}{b^a} \frac{\Gamma(a)}{(n\bar{x}+a-1)\cdots a\Gamma(a)}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_0^{n\bar{x}} \exp(-n\lambda_0)}{(n\bar{x}+a-1)\cdots a} \frac{(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a}}{b^a}$$

(b) Obviously, for the posterior probability that $\pi(\lambda = \lambda_0 | x_{1:n})$, it is

$$\pi(\lambda = \lambda_0 | x_{1:n}) = \pi(H_0 | x_{1:n}) = (1 + \frac{1 - \pi_0}{\pi_0} \frac{p_1(x_{1:n})}{p_0(x_{1:n})})^{-1}$$

$$= (1 + \frac{1 - \pi_0}{\pi_0} \frac{b^a(n\bar{x}+a-1)\cdots a}{\lambda_0^{n\bar{x}}(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a} \exp(-n\lambda_0)})^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{\pi_0 \lambda_0^{n\bar{x}}(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a} \exp(-n\lambda_0)}{\pi_0 \lambda_0^{n\bar{x}}(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a} \exp(-n\lambda_0) + (1 - \pi_0)b^a(n\bar{x}+a-1)\cdots a}$$

---

[7]In frequentist statistics we would denote $\begin{cases} H_0 : & \lambda = 2 \\ H_1 : & \lambda \neq 0 \end{cases}$

(c) This is actually the aforesaid hypothesis test with $\lambda_0 = 2$.

- Based on the prior information, it is $\pi_0 = 0.5$, and $a = 4$, and $b = 2$ because

$$\begin{cases} E^{\text{Ga}(a,b)}(\lambda) = 2 \\ Var^{\text{Ga}(a,b)}(\lambda) = 1 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a/b = 2 \\ a/b^2 = 1 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a/b = 2 \\ 2/b = 1 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a = 4 \\ b = 2 \end{cases}$$

- Based on the sample I have $n\bar{x} = 2 + 3 = 5$, $n = 2$
- Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{01}(x_{1:n}) &= \frac{\lambda_0^{n\bar{x}}(n+b)^{n\bar{x}+a}\exp(-n\lambda_0)}{b^a(n\bar{x}+a-1)\cdots a} \\ &= \frac{2^5(2+2)^{5+4}\exp(-2\times 2)}{2^4(5+4-1)\cdots 4} = \frac{2^5\times 4^9\times\exp(-4)}{16\times 8\times 7\times 6\times 5\times 4} \\ &\approx 1.42 \end{aligned}$$

- Then $B_{01}(x_{1:n}) \approx 1.42$, and $\log_{10}(B_{01}(x_{1:n})) \approx 0.15$. According to Jeffrey's scaling rule, H_0 is supported

---

Exercise 72. ($\star\star\star$) Let $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ observables and consider the Bayesian hypothesis test

$$H_0 : y_i|\theta_0 \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} N(\theta_0, \sigma^2), \ i = 1, ..., n \qquad \text{vs} \qquad H_1 : \begin{cases} y_i|\theta \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2), \ i = 1, ..., n \\ \theta \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) \end{cases}$$

with $\pi_j = P_\Pi(\theta \in \Theta_j)$ for $j = 0, 1$. Let $\theta_0, \sigma^2, \mu_0, \sigma_0^2$ be fixed values.

1. Let $B_{01}(y)$ denote the Bayes factor $B_{01}(y)$ defined as the ratio of the posterior probabilities of H_0 and H_1 over the ratio of the prior probabilities of H_0 and H_1. Calculate

$$B_{01}(y) = \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \sigma_0^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y}-\theta_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right)}{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y}-\mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}+\sigma_0^2}\right)} ;$$

2. Let $P_\Pi(H_0|y)$ denote the posterior probability of the null hypothesis H_0. Calculate:

$$P_\Pi(H_0|y) = \left(1 + \frac{1-\pi_0}{\pi_0}\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}+\sigma_0^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y}-\mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}+\sigma_0^2}\right)}{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y}-\theta_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right)}\right)^{-1}$$

Hint-1: It is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{(x-\mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + C(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}\right)^{-1}; \qquad \hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right); \qquad C(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2}\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\mu_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}}_{=\text{independent of } x}$$

Hint-2: It is $\sum_{i=1}^n(x_i - \theta)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n(x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta)^2$

Solution. The overall prior is

$$\pi(\mu) = \pi_0 1_{\{\theta_0\}}(\mu) + (1 - \pi_0)N(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

with $\pi_0 = 1/2$ (although the value does not play any role here), and known μ_0 and σ_0^2.

1. The Bayes factor is

$$B_{01}(y) = \frac{f_0(y)}{f_1(y)}$$

So

$$f_0(y) = f(y|\theta_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} N(y_i|\theta_0, \sigma^2) = (\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2})^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(y_i - \theta_0)^2}{\sigma^2})$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2 + n(\bar{y} - \theta_0)^2)}_{=ns^2}))$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n}s^2) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n}(\bar{y} - \theta_0)^2)$$

$$f_1(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y|\theta)d\Pi_1(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} N(y_i|\mu, \sigma^2)N(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)d\mu$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2 + n(\bar{y} - \mu)^2)}_{=ns^2}) \times$$

$$\times (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\sigma_0^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}(\mu - \mu_0)^2)d\mu$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}(\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\sigma_0^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n}s^2)$$

$$\times \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n}(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}(\mu - \mu_0)^2)d\mu}_{=A(\mu)}$$

$$A(\mu) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n}(\bar{y} - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}(\mu - \mu_0)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\bar{y}^2}{\sigma^2/n} + \frac{\mu_0^2}{\sigma_0^2}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\frac{\bar{y}}{\sigma^2/n} + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2})^2}{\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y} - \mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \sigma_0^2}$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2})^{-1}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(A(\mu))d\mu = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y} - \mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \sigma_0^2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right) d\mu = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y} - \mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \sigma_0^2}\right)(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\sigma}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

So

$$f_1(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}(\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\sigma_0^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n}s^2)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\exp\left(A(\mu)\right)d\mu$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}(\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\sigma_0^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n}s^2\right)(2\pi\hat{\sigma}^2)^{1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y}-\mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}+\sigma_0^2}\right)$$

It is

$$\mathrm{B}_{01}(y) = \frac{f_0(y)}{f_1(y)} = \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y}-\theta_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}+\sigma_0^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y}-\mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}+\sigma_0^2}\right)}$$

2. It is

$$\mathrm{P}_{\Pi}(\mathrm{H}_0|y) = \left(1 + \frac{1-\pi_0}{\pi_0}\mathrm{B}_{01}(y)^{-1}\right)^{-1} = \left(1 + \frac{1-\pi_0}{\pi_0}\frac{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}+\sigma_0^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(yx-\mu_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}+\sigma_0^2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{y}-\theta_0)^2}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right)}\right)^{-1}$$

Exercise 73. ($\star\star\star$)Recall the linear regression model mapping in a linear form the dependent variable y with a set of regressors $\{\Phi_j\}_{j\in\mathscr{M}}$ where $\mathscr{M}$ is the set of size d that includes the labels of the available regressors; e.g.

$$y_i|\beta,\sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\sum_{j\in\mathscr{M}}\Phi_{i,j}\beta_j, I\sigma^2\right), \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., n$$

where the regression coefficients $\{\beta_j\}_{j\in\mathscr{M}}$ and the noise variance σ^2 are unknown.

Let $\mathscr{M}_0$ and $\mathscr{M}_1$ denote two sets of regressors (nested or not) with $\dim(\mathscr{M}_j) = d_j$. We are interested in testing whether the linear model with $\mathscr{M}_0$ set of regressors or that with $\mathscr{M}_0$ set of regressors models the data generating processes 'better'. I.e. we test

$$\mathrm{H}_0 : \begin{cases} y|\beta_{\mathscr{M}_0},\sigma^2 & \sim \mathrm{N}(\Phi_{\mathscr{M}_0}\beta_{\mathscr{M}_0}, I\sigma^2) \\ \beta_{\mathscr{M}_0}|\sigma^2 & \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu_{\mathscr{M}_0}, V_{\mathscr{M}_0}\sigma^2) \\ \sigma^2 & \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{IG}(a,k) \end{cases} \quad \text{v.s.} \quad \mathrm{H}_1 : \begin{cases} y|\beta_{\mathscr{M}_1},\sigma^2 & \sim \mathrm{N}(\Phi_{\mathscr{M}_1}\beta_{\mathscr{M}_1}, I\sigma^2) \\ \beta_{\mathscr{M}_1}|\sigma^2 & \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu_{\mathscr{M}_1}, V_{\mathscr{M}_1}\sigma^2) \\ \sigma^2 & \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{IG}(a,k) \end{cases}$$

1. Calculate the Bayes factor $B_{01}(y)$ as

$$B_{01}(y); = \sqrt{\frac{|V_1|}{|V_0|}}\sqrt{\frac{|V_0^*|}{|V_1^*|}}\left(\frac{k_0^*}{k_1^*}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}-a};$$

2. Calculate the posterior marginal probability $\mathrm{P}_{\Pi}(\mathrm{H}_0|y)$ as

$$\mathrm{P}_{\Pi}(\mathrm{H}_0|y) = \left(1 + \frac{1-\pi_0}{\pi_0}\sqrt{\frac{|V_0|}{|V_1|}}\sqrt{\frac{|V_1^*|}{|V_0^*|}}\left(\frac{k_1^*}{k_0^*}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}-a}\right)^{-1}$$

where for $j = 0, 1$

$$k_j^* = k + \frac{1}{2}\mu_j^\top V_j^{-1}\mu_j - \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_j^*\right)^\top \left(V_j^*\right)^{-1}\mu_j^* + \frac{1}{2}y^\top y$$

$$V_j^* = \left(V_j^{-1} + \Phi_j^\top \Phi_j\right)^{-1}; \qquad \mu_j^* = V_j^*\left(V_j^{-1}\mu_j + \Phi_j^\top y\right)$$

Hint: You may use the following identity:

$$(y - \Phi\beta)^\top(y - \Phi\beta) + (\beta - \mu)^\top V^{-1}(\beta - \mu) = (\beta - \mu^*)^\top (V^*)^{-1}(\beta - \mu^*) + S^*;$$

$$S^* = \mu^\top V^{-1}\mu - (\mu^*)^\top (V^*)^{-1}(\mu^*) + y^\top y; \qquad V^* = \left(V^{-1} + \Phi^\top \Phi\right)^{-1}; \qquad \mu^* = V^*\left(V^{-1}\mu + \Phi^\top y\right)$$

Solution. For simplicity, we suppress the indexing denoting the sub-set of the regressors.

1. For simplicity, we suppress the indexing denoting the sub-set of the regressors. It is

$$f(y) = \int \mathrm{N}\left(y|\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2\right)\mathrm{N}\left(\beta|\mu, V\sigma^2\right)\mathrm{IG}\left(\sigma^2|a, k\right)\,\mathrm{d}\beta\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$= \int \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \Phi\beta)^\top(y - \Phi\beta)\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{|\sigma^2 V|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\beta - \mu)^\top V^{-1}(\beta - \mu)\right)$$

$$\times \frac{k^a}{\Gamma(a)}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{a+1}\exp\left(-\frac{k}{\sigma^2}\right)\mathrm{d}\beta\mathrm{d}\sigma^2 \; = \; \dots$$

$$f(y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{|V|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{k^a}{\Gamma(a)}$$

$$\times \int \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{d}{2}+a+1}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \Phi\beta)^\top(y - \Phi\beta) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\beta - \mu)^\top V^{-1}(\beta - \mu) - \frac{k}{\sigma^2}\right)\mathrm{d}\beta\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{|V|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{k^a}{\Gamma(a)}$$

$$\times \int \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{d}{2}+a+1}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left[\frac{(y - \Phi\beta)^\top(y - \Phi\beta) + (\beta - \mu)^\top V^{-1}(\beta - \mu)}{2} + k\right]\right)\mathrm{d}\beta\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{|V|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{k^a}{\Gamma(a)}$$

$$\times \int \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{d}{2}+a+1}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}S + k\right)\right)\left[\int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(\beta - v)^\top (V^*)^{-1}(\beta - \mu^*)\right)\mathrm{d}\beta\right]\right]\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{d}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{|V|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{k^a}{\Gamma(a)}\int \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{d}{2}+a+1}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}S + k\right)\right) \times \left[(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}|V^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{|V^*|}{|V|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{k^a}{\Gamma(a)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+a\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}S + k\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+a}} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{|V^*|}{|V|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{k^a}{\Gamma(a)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+a\right)}{(k^*)^{\frac{n}{2}+a}}$$

Where

$$S = \mu^\top V^{-1} \mu - (\mu^*)^\top (V^*)^{-1} (\mu^*) + y^\top y$$

$$k^* = k + \frac{1}{2} S; \qquad V^* = \left(V^{-1} + \Phi^\top \Phi\right)^{-1}; \qquad \mu^* = V^* \left(V^{-1}\mu + \Phi^\top y\right)$$

For simplicity, we use the indexing $\cdot_0$ and $\cdot_1$ instead of $\cdot_{\mathscr{M}_0}$ and $\cdot_{\mathscr{M}_1}$ in what follows. So the Bayes factor is

$$B_{01}(y) = \frac{f_0(y)}{f_1(y)} = \sqrt{\frac{|V_1|}{|V_0|}} \sqrt{\frac{|V_0^*|}{|V_1^*|}} \left(\frac{k_0^*}{k_1^*}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}-a}$$

2. So

$$\mathrm{P}_\Pi\left(\mathrm{H}_0|y\right) = \left(1 + \frac{1-\pi_0}{\pi_0} \mathrm{B}_{01}(y)^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

The Exercise below has been set as Homework 4

Exercise 74. ($\star\star$)

1. Consider the Single vs. General alternative Bayesian hypothesis test

$$\mathrm{H}_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \qquad \text{vs} \qquad \mathrm{H}_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0.$$

or more formally

$$\mathrm{H}_0 : \begin{cases} y|\theta_0 & \sim F(y|\theta_0) \\ \theta_0 \text{ is fixed} \end{cases} \qquad \text{vs} \qquad \mathrm{H}_1 : \begin{cases} y|\theta & \sim F(y|\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi_1(\theta),\ \theta \in \Theta_1 \end{cases} \tag{73}$$

Show that the Bayes factor B_{01} of H_0 and H_1 can be computed as

$$\mathrm{B}_{01} = \frac{\pi_1\left(\theta_0|y\right)}{\pi_1\left(\theta_0\right)}$$

where $\pi_1(\cdot)$ and $\pi_1(\cdot|\cdot)$, denote the PDF of $\Pi_1(\cdot)$ and $\Pi_1(\cdot|\cdot)$.

2. In the above hypothesis test, assume that $y|\theta \sim \mathrm{Bin}(n,\theta)$ has Binomial sampling distribution with unknown parameter θ.

   (a) Find an approximation of B_{01}, when n is large.

      Hint: [From Stats 2] How the aforesaid likelihoods or the posteriors do behave as n becomes big?

   (b) For large n, show that $\mathrm{B}_{01} > 1$ when $z_0 = \frac{p-\theta_0}{\sqrt{u}}$, with $p = \frac{y}{n}$ and $u = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$, satisfies $|z| < \max\left(\sqrt{k}, 0\right)$ for some k that depends of n, p, and $\pi_1\left(\theta_0\right)$.

   (c) Let the conditional prior $\Pi_1(\theta)$ be a Uniform distribution with positive mass above the interval $[0,1]$. Show that this choice of the conditional prior $\Pi_1(\theta)$ can create a "paradox" when compared with fixed size tests.

Solution.

1. From Bayesian theorem it is

$$\pi_1(\theta|y) = \frac{f(y|\theta)\pi_1(\theta)}{f_1(y)}$$

So

$$\pi_1(\theta_0|y) = \frac{f(y|\theta_0)\pi_1(\theta_0)}{f_1(y)} = \frac{f_0(y)\pi_1(\theta_0)}{f_1(y)} = B_{01}\pi_1(\theta_0)$$

because $\int_{\{\theta=\theta_0\}} f(y|\theta)1\,(\theta \in \{\theta_0\})\,\mathrm{d}\theta = f_0(y) = \int_{\{\theta=\theta_0\}} f(y|\theta)1\,(\theta \in \{\theta_0\})\,\mathrm{d}\theta = f(y|\theta_0).$

Hence

$$B_{01} = \frac{\pi_1(\theta_0|y)}{\pi_1(\theta_0)}$$

2.

   (a) From Stats 2, we can find say about the density of the posterior of $\theta|y$ that

$$\pi_1(\theta|y) \approx N\left(\theta|\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}, 1/\mathscr{I}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}})\right)$$

   where $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{y}{n} = p$ and $1/\mathscr{I}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) = p(1-p)/n = u$.
   So

$$B_{01} = \frac{\pi_1(\theta_0|y)}{\pi_1(\theta_0)} \approx \frac{(2\pi u)^{-1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta_0-p)^2}{u}\right)}{\pi_1(\theta_0)}$$

   (b) For

$$B_{01} > 1 \Longleftrightarrow -\log(B_{01}) < 0 \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\frac{(\theta_0-p)^2}{u} < \underbrace{-\log(2\pi u)}_{k^*} - 2\log(\pi_1(\theta_0)) \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\frac{(\theta_0-p)^2}{u} < k^* - 2\log(\pi_1(\theta_0))$$

   So re result follows for

$$k = k^* - 2\log(\pi_1(\theta_0))$$

   where

$$k^* = -\log(2\pi u) = -\log\left(2\pi\frac{p(1-p)}{n}\right)$$

   (c) I have $\pi_1(\theta_0) = 1$. Then $B_{01} > 1$ when $|z| < \sqrt{k^*}$.

   - Assume I choose $\sqrt{k^*} = 3$, and I investigate what I get here:
   - In frequentist stasts, I suppose that the classical hypothesis test would reject H_0 whenever $|z| < \sqrt{k^*} = \sqrt{3}$ (this corresponds to in sig. level 1%). So if I get $|z| = 3$, I would reject H_0.
   - In Bayesian stats, $|z| = 3$ corresponds to

$$B_{01} \approx \frac{(2\pi u)^{-1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta_0-p)^2}{u}\right)}{\pi_1(\theta_0)} = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}3\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}3\right)}{1} = 1$$

   - For the record, I can get $\sqrt{k^*} = 2$ for a sample size $n = 2\pi p(1-p)\exp(9) \approx 16206\pi p(1-p)$

Part XII

Inference under model uncertainty

Exercise 75. $(\star\star)$Given a finite collection of models $\{\mathscr{M}_0, \mathscr{M}_1, \mathscr{M}_2, ..., \mathscr{M}_K\}$ the marginal model posterior probability of $\mathscr{M}_k$ can be calculated from Bayes factors as

$$\pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y) = \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0)} B_{k,0}(y) \left/ \sum_{k'=0}^{K} \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_{k'})}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0)} B_{k',0}(y) \right., \text{ for } k = 1, ..., K$$

Solution. It is straightforward by considering

$$\sum_{k'=0}^{K} \pi(\mathscr{M}_{k'}|y) = 1 \iff \sum_{k'=0}^{K} \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_{k'}|y)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0|y)} = \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0|y)} \frac{1}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y)} \iff \pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y) = \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0|y)} \left/ \sum_{k'=0}^{K} \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_{k'}|y)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0|y)} \right.$$

$$\iff \pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y) = \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0)} \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_k)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_0)} \left/ \sum_{k'=0}^{K} \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_{k'})}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0)} \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_{k'}|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_{k'})}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_0)} \right.$$

$$\iff \pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y) = \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0)} B_{k,0}(y) \left/ \sum_{k'=0}^{K} \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_{k'})}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_0)} B_{k',0}(y) \right.$$

Exercise 76. $(\star\star)$Let $B_{k,j}(y)$ be the Bayes factor of model $\mathscr{M}_k$ against model $\mathscr{M}_j$, for all $\forall k, i, j \in \mathcal{K}$. . Show that $B_{k,j}(y) = B_{k,i}(y)B_{i,j}(y)$, for all $\forall k, i, j \in \mathcal{K}$.

Solution. It is

$$B_{k,j}(y) = \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_k)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_j|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_j)} = \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_k|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_k)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_i|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_i)} \frac{\pi(\mathscr{M}_i|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_i)}{\pi(\mathscr{M}_j|y)/\pi(\mathscr{M}_j)} = B_{k,i}(y)B_{i,j}(y)$$

Exercise 77. $(\star\star)$Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} y|\theta_k, \mathscr{M}_k \sim F(y|\theta_k, k) \\ \\ \theta_k|\mathscr{M}_k \sim \Pi(\theta_k|k) \\ \\ \mathscr{M}_k \sim \Pi(k) \end{cases} \tag{74}$$

Let z be a vector of future outcomes. Let the conditional predictive distribution for z given the observables y and for model $\mathscr{M}_k$ be G($z|y$). Let the marginal predictive distribution for z given the observables y be G($z|y$); This is the predictive distribution produced by BMA.

Show that

  1. the predictive expectation of the future outcome produced by BMA is

$$\mathrm{E}_G(z|y) = \mathrm{E}_\Pi(\mathrm{E}_G(z|y, k)|y) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mathrm{E}_G(z|y, k)\pi(k|y), \forall k \in \mathcal{K}$$

2130   2. the predictive variance of the future outcome produced by BMA is

$$\text{Var}_G\left(z|y\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \left(\text{Var}_G\left(z|y,k\right) + \left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y,k\right)\right)^2 \right) \pi(k|y) - \left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y\right)\right)^2, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}$$

2132 **Solution.**

2133   1. It is

$$\text{E}_G\left(z|y\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \int_{\Theta_k} z\mathrm{d}G\left(z|y,k\right)\pi(k|y) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \text{E}_G\left(z|y,k\right)\pi(k|y) = \text{E}_\Pi\left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y,k\right)|y\right)$$

2135   2. It is

$$\text{Var}_G\left(z|y\right) = \text{E}_G\left(z|y\right) - \left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y\right)\right)^2 = \text{E}_\Pi\left(\text{E}_G\left(z^2|y,k\right)|y\right) - \left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y\right)\right)^2$$

$$= \text{E}_\Pi\left(\text{Var}_G\left(z|y,k\right) + \left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y,k\right)\right)^2|y\right) - \left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y\right)\right)^2$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \left(\text{Var}_G\left(z|y,k\right) + \left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y,k\right)\right)^2 \right) \pi(k|y) - \left(\text{E}_G\left(z|y\right)\right)^2$$

    Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

Hierarchical Bayes

Exercise 78. ($\star$)Regarding the fully hierarchical model (**??**), the full conditionals distributions of each element of $\vartheta = (\theta, \phi_1, ..., \phi_{m-1}) \in \Theta \times \Phi$ are given as:

$$\pi(\vartheta_j | y, \vartheta_{-j}) = \pi(\vartheta_j | y, \vartheta_{j-1}, \vartheta_{j+1})$$

with the convention

$$\vartheta_j = \begin{cases} \theta & , j = 1 \\ \phi_{j-1} & , j = 2, ..., m \\ \phi_m & , j = m \end{cases}$$

and $\vartheta_{-j} = (\vartheta_1, ..., \vartheta_{j-1}, \vartheta_{j+1}, ...\vartheta_m)$.

Solution. Straightforward by using the Bayesian theorem.

Exercise 79. ($\star\star\star$)[Relevance Vector Machine]

> ### Regarding the statistical model: Long story short (supplementary material)
>
> Consider that we are interested in recovering the mapping
>
> $$x \overset{\eta}{\longmapsto} \eta(x)$$
>
> in the sense that $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is the response (output quantity) that depends on $x = (x_1, ..., x_d) \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ which is the independent variable (input quantity) in a procedure; E.g.:,
>
> - y: precipitation in log scale
>
> - $x = (\text{longitude}, \text{latitude})$: geographical coordinates.
>
> Consider a set of observed data $\{(y_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, which may be contaminated by additive noise of unknown variance; i.e.
>
> $$y_i = \eta(x_i) + \epsilon_i,$$
>
> where $\epsilon_i \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} \mathrm{N}\left(0, \sigma^2\right)$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$ is unknown. We wish to recover $\eta(x)$ by using the Tikhonov regularization on the functional space $\mathcal{H}$ such that
>
> $$\eta = \arg \min_{\forall \tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n L\left(y_i - \tilde{\eta}\left(x_i\right)\right) + \lambda \|\tilde{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right\} \tag{75}$$
>
> By assuming that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS), the solution to (75) is such that
>
> $$\eta(x) = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n k(x, x_j)\beta_j = k(x)^\top \beta$$
>
> where $k(x) = (1, k(x, x_1), ..., k(x, x_n))^\top$, $k(x, x_j)$ is the reproducing kernel (such as $k_\phi(x, x_j) = \exp\left(-\phi \|x - x_j\|^2\right)$ for some known parameter $\phi > 0$), and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an unknown vector.

Consider the following Bayesian model[8]

---

[8]Dixit, A., & Roy, V. (2021). Posterior impropriety of some sparse Bayesian learning models. Statistics & Probability Letters, 171, 109039.

$$\begin{cases} y|\beta, \sigma^2 & \sim \mathrm{N}\left(K\beta, I\sigma^2\right) \\ \beta|\lambda & \sim \mathrm{N}\left(0, D^{-1}\right), \quad D = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n) \\ \lambda_i & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{d}\Pi\left(\lambda_i\right) \propto \lambda_i^{a-1} \exp\left(-b\lambda_i\right) \mathrm{d}\lambda_i, \quad \forall i = 1, ..., n \\ \sigma^2 & \sim \mathrm{d}\Pi\left(\sigma^2\right) \propto \left(\sigma^2\right)^{c-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}d\right) \mathrm{d}\sigma^2 \\ \beta, \sigma^2 & \text{a priori independent} \end{cases}$$

where K is a known matrix with size $n \times (n+1)$ such that

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & k(x_1, x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & k(x_n, x_1) & \cdots & k(x_n, x_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The quantities $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$, $d > 0$, and $\phi > 0$ are considered as fixed.

1. When $b = 0$, show that a necessary condition for a valid posterior inference is $a \in (-1/2, 0)$ for any choice of prior for τ (i.e. any choice of (c, d)).

2. Let $P = K\left(K^\top K\right)^{-1} K^\top$. Show that (2a) and (2b) are sufficient conditions for the Bayesian model to lead to a valid posterior inference

   (a) if $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, or

   (b) if $y^\top \left(I - P\right) y + 2d > 0$ and $c > -\frac{n}{2}$

3. Does the the improper Uniform prior on the joint $\log\left(\lambda_i\right)$ and $\log\left(\sigma^2\right)$, i.e. $\pi\left(\log\left(\lambda_i\right), \log\left(\sigma^2\right)\right) \propto 1$, lead to a valid inference?

4. Does the Jeffreys' prior $\pi\left(\lambda_i\right) \propto 1/\lambda_i$ lead to a valid inference?

Hint-1:

$$(y - K\beta)^\top (y - K\beta) + (\beta - \mu)^\top V^{-1}(\beta - \mu) = (\beta - \mu^*)^\top \left(V^*\right)^{-1} \left(\beta - \mu^*\right) + S^*;$$

$$S^* = \mu^\top V^{-1}\mu - \left(\mu^*\right)^\top \left(V^*\right)^{-1} \left(\mu^*\right) + y^\top y; \qquad V^* = \left(V^{-1} + K^\top K\right)^{-1}; \qquad \mu^* = V^* \left(V^{-1}\mu + K^\top y\right)$$

Hint-2: Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U\left(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U\right)^{-1} VA^{-1}$$

Hint-3:

$$-\frac{y^\top y}{2\sigma^2} \leq -\frac{y^\top \left(I\sigma^2 + KD^{-1}K^\top\right)^{-1} y}{2} \leq -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} y^\top \left(I - P\right) y$$

where $P = K\left(K^\top K\right)^{-1} K$.

Hint-4: It is given that $\int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{t^{-(a+1)}}{(\xi+t)^{1/2}} \mathrm{d}t < \infty$ if and only if $a \in (-1/2, 0)$.

Solution. The posterior pdf is given by

$$\pi\left(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda | y\right) = \frac{f\left(y|\beta, \sigma^2\right) \pi\left(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda\right)}{f\left(y\right)}$$

and is proper iff $f(y) < \infty$ where

$$f(y) = \int \left(\int \left(\underbrace{\underbrace{\int f(y|\beta,\sigma^2)\,\pi(\beta|\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}\beta}_{=f(y|\lambda,\sigma^2)}}_{=f(y|\sigma^2)} \right) \pi(\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \right) \pi(\sigma^2)\,\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

It is

$$f(y|\lambda,\sigma^2) = \int f(y|\beta,\sigma^2)\,\pi(\beta,\sigma^2)\,\mathrm{d}\beta$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n+n+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \det(D)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left((y-K\beta)^\top(y-K\beta) + \beta^\top\left(D\sigma^2\right)\beta\right)\right)\mathrm{d}\beta$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n+n+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(D)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\beta-\mu^*)^\top V^*(\beta-\mu^*)\right)\mathrm{d}\beta\right]\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}S^*\right)\right]$$

Because

$$\int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\beta-\mu^*)^\top V^*(\beta-\mu^*)\right)\mathrm{d}\beta = (2\pi)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\det\left(V^*/\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\det\left(K^\top K + D\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}S^*\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\mu^\top\left(D\sigma^2\right)\mu - (\mu^*)^\top(V^*)^{-1}(\mu^*) + y^\top y\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(y^\top y - y^\top K\left(K^\top K + D\sigma^2\right)^{-1}K^\top y\right)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(y^\top\left(I - K\left(K^\top K + D\sigma^2\right)^{-1}K^\top\right)y\right)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(y^\top\left(K^\top D^{-1}K + I\sigma^2\right)^{-1}y\right)\right)$$

So

$$f(y|\lambda,\sigma^2) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\det(D)^{\frac{1}{2}}\det\left(K^\top K + \sigma^2 D\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(y^\top\left(I\sigma^2 + K^\top D^{-1}K\right)^{-1}y\right)\right)$$

1. I have

$$f(y|\sigma^2) = \int f(y|\lambda,\sigma^2)\,\pi(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int\left[\det(D)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[\det\left(K^\top K + D\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(y^\top\left(I\sigma^2 + K^\top D^{-1}K\right)^{-1}y\right)\right)\left[\prod_{i=0}^{n}\lambda_i^{a-1}\right]\mathrm{d}\lambda_0\ldots\mathrm{d}\lambda_n$$

because $b = 0$.

- It is $\exp\left(-\frac{y^\top y}{2\sigma^2}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{y^\top\left(I\sigma^2 + K^\top D^{-1}K\right)^{-1}y}{2}\right)$

- It is $\det\left(D\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
- If $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{n=1}$ are eigenvalues of $K^\top K$ and $e_{\max} = \max\left(\{e_j\}\right)$, then $K^\top K + D\sigma^2 \leq Ie_{\max} + D\sigma^2$, consequently $\det\left(K^\top K + D\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq \prod_{j=0}^{n}\left(\lambda_j\sigma^2 + e_{\max}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Then

$$f\left(y|\sigma^2\right) \geq (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \prod_{j=0}^{n}\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n}\left(\lambda_j\sigma^2 + e_{\max}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^\top y\right) \prod_{j=0}^{n}\lambda_j^{a-1}\mathrm{d}\lambda_0 \ldots \mathrm{d}\lambda_n$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^\top y\right) \int \ldots \int \prod_{j=0}^{n}\left[\lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[\prod_{j=0}^{n}\left(\lambda_j\sigma^2 + e_{\max}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[\prod_{j=0}^{n}\lambda_j^{a-1}\right]\mathrm{d}\lambda_0 \ldots \mathrm{d}\lambda_n$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^\top y\right) \prod_{j=0}^{n}\int \frac{\lambda_j^{a-\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\lambda_j\sigma^2 + e_{\max}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\mathrm{d}\lambda_j$$

Let $t_i = 1/\lambda_i$, then

$$f\left(y|\sigma^2\right) \geq (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^\top y\right) \prod_{j=0}^{n}\int \frac{t_{jj}^{-a-1}}{\left(t_j + \frac{\sigma^2}{e_{\max}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\mathrm{d}\lambda_j$$

which is finite if and only if $a \in (-1/2, 0)$.

2.

(a) If $a > 0$, $b > 0$ then $\lambda_i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ga}\left(a, b\right)$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$, and if $c > 0$, $d > 0$ then $\tau \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ga}\left(c, d\right)$ which are proper. So $\Pi\left(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda, \tau\right)$ is a proper prior, and hence it leads to proper posterior.

(b) I have

$$f\left(y|\sigma^2\right) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \left[\det\left(D\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[\det\left(K^\top K + D\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(y^\top \left(I\sigma^2 + K^\top D^{-1}K\right)^{-1} y\right)\right)\pi\left(\lambda\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

It is $\det\left(D\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \prod_{i=0}^{n}\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Also, it is $K^\top K + D\sigma^2 \geq D\sigma^2$ then $\det\left(K^\top K + D\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \prod_{j=0}^{n}\left(\lambda_j\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence

$$f\left(y|\sigma^2\right) \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sigma^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}y^\top \left(I - P\right)y\right) \int \pi\left(\lambda\right)\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

which implies that $f\left(y|\sigma^2\right) < \infty$ if $\pi\left(\lambda\right)$ is proper. Yet,

$$f\left(y\right) = \int f\left(y|\sigma^2\right)\pi\left(\sigma^2\right)\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

$$\leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int \left(\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}+c+1}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{y^\top \left(I - P\right)y}{2} + d\right)\right)\mathrm{d}\sigma^2$$

which is finite if $y^\top \left(I - P\right)y + 2d > 0$ and $c > -\frac{n}{2}$.

(c) No. This implies $\pi\left(\lambda, \sigma^2\right) \propto \sigma^2 \prod_{j=0}^{n}\lambda_j^{-1}$. It is improper prior as $\int \pi\left(\lambda, \sigma^2\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\lambda, \sigma^2\right) = \infty$, and $(a, b, c, d) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ which violates the necessary conditions.

(d) No, it violates the necessary conditions.

Part XIV

Empirical Bayes

Exercise 80. $(\star\star)$Consider the following Bayesian model:

$$y_i|\theta_i \sim \text{Ex}(\theta_i);$$

$$\theta_i \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$$

where $i = 1, ..., n$, $a > 2$, and $b > 2$.

1. Compute the posterior empirical Bayes estimate for θ_n under quadratic loss by learning estimates $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ of a and b from the method of moments.

2. Formulate the system of non-linear equations whose solution lead to the estimated of $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ of a and b from the ML-II method.

3. Compute the estimate $\hat{y}_{n+1}$ of y_{n+1} as the average of the marginal predictive $g(y_{n+1})$. Compute the EB posterior distribution of θ_{n+1}, as a function of the estimates $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are known from part 2 and the estimate $\hat{y}_{n+1}$.

Solution. It is

$$g(y) = \int_\Theta \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\theta_i)\pi(\theta_i)\mathrm{d}\theta_i = \prod_{i=1}^n \int_\theta f(y_i|\theta_i)\pi(\theta_i)\mathrm{d}\theta_i = \prod_{i=1}^n g(y_i)$$

with

$$f(y_i|\theta_i)\pi(\theta_i) = \left[\theta_i e^{-\theta_i y_i}\right]\left[\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}\theta^{a-1}e^{-b\theta}\right] = \overbrace{\frac{(y_i + b)^{a+1}}{\Gamma(a+1)}\theta^{(a+1)-1}e^{-\theta(y_i+b)}}^{\text{Ga}(y_i|a+1, y_i+b)}a\frac{b^a}{(y_i+b)^{a+1}} \tag{76}$$

1. The Bayes estimate for θ_n under quadratic loss is

$$\text{E}_\Pi(\theta_n|y_n) = \frac{a+1}{y_n+b}$$

as the average of the posterior

$$\pi(\theta_n|y_n) \propto f(y_n|\theta_n)\pi(\theta_n) \propto \text{Ga}(\theta_n|a+1, y_n+b)$$

from (76).

I need to learn estimates $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ of a and b via MoM. Assume $\bar{y}$ and s_y^2 the sample average variance. It is

$$\text{E}_G(y_i) = \text{E}_\Pi(\text{E}_F(y_i|\theta_i)) = \text{E}_\Pi(\theta_i^{-1}) = \frac{b}{a-1}$$

$$\text{Var}_G(y_i) = \text{E}_\Pi(\text{Var}_F(y_i|\theta_i)) + \text{Var}_\Pi(\text{E}_F(y_i|\theta_i)) = \text{E}_\Pi\left(\left(\theta_i^{-1}\right)^2\right) + \text{Var}_\Pi(\theta_i^{-1})$$

$$= \left(\text{E}_\Pi(\theta_i^{-1})\right)^2 + 2\text{Var}_\Pi(\theta_i^{-1})$$

$$= \left(\frac{b}{a-1}\right)^2 + \frac{2b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-1)} = \left(\frac{b}{a-1}\right)^2\frac{a}{a-2}$$

So by matching the moments I get

$$
\begin{cases} \bar{y} = & \mathrm{E}_G\left(y_i\right) \\ s_y^2 = & \mathrm{Var}_G\left(y_i\right) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \bar{y} = & \frac{\hat{b}}{a-1} \\ s_y^2 = & \left(\frac{\hat{b}}{\hat{a}-1}\right)^2 \frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{a}-2} \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \hat{a} = & \frac{2s_y^2}{s_y^2 - \bar{y}} \\ \hat{b} = & \bar{y}\frac{s_y^2 + \bar{y}}{s_y^2 - \bar{y}} \end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathrm{E}_\Pi\left(\theta_n | y_n\right) = \frac{\hat{a} + 1}{y_n + \hat{b}}
$$

2. From (76) I get

$$
g(y_i) = \int_\theta f(y_i | \theta_i) \pi(\theta_i) \mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{ab^a}{(y_i + b)^{a+1}}
$$

So

$$
\log\left(g(y)\right) = n\log(a) + na\log(b) - (a+1)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log\left(y_i + b\right)
$$

Then the ML-II estimates $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ of a and b are given from the likelihood equations

$$
0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}\log\left(g(y)\right)\bigg|_{a=\hat{a}, b=\hat{b}}
$$

$$
0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}b}\log\left(g(y)\right)\bigg|_{a=\hat{a}, b=\hat{b}}
$$

where

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}\log\left(g(y)\right) = \frac{n}{a} + n\log(b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\log\left(y_i + b\right)
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}b}\log\left(g(y)\right) = \frac{na}{b} - (a+1)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log\left(y_i + b\right)^{-1}
$$

3. The estimate $\hat{y}_{n+1}$ is

$$
\mathrm{E}_G\left(y_{n+1}\right) = \frac{\hat{b}}{\hat{a} - 1}
$$

by using the same arguments as in part 2. EB posterior distribution of θ_{n+1} is

$$
\pi(\theta_{n+1} | y_{n+1}) = \mathrm{Ga}(\theta_{n+1} | a + 1, y_n + b)
$$

from (76). Hence

$$
\pi^{\mathrm{EB}}(\theta_{n+1} | y_{n+1}) = \mathrm{Ga}(\theta_{n+1} | \hat{a} + 1, \hat{y}_{n+1} + \hat{b})
$$

Part XV

Asymptotic posterior

Exercise 81. (⋆⋆)Consider the following Bayesian model:

$$x_{1:n} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} N_2(x_i|\theta, I_2); \qquad\qquad \text{likelihood}$$

$$\pi(\theta) \propto 1, \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{prior}$$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

1. Find the posterior distribution of $\theta|x_{1:n}$, by using Bayes theorem

2. Find the asymptotic posterior distribution of $\psi|x_{1:n}$, where $\psi = \theta_1/\theta_2$ as $n \to \infty$

Solution.

Exercise 82. (⋆⋆⋆)(Lemma **??**) If conditions (e1) and (e2), then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \pi(m_n|x_{1:n})|\Sigma_n|^{1/2} \leq (2\pi)^{-k/2}.$$

The equality holds when condition (e3) is satisfied.

Hint: Regularity conditions

e1 (Steepness) $\bar{\sigma}_n^2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ where $\bar{\sigma}_n^2$ is the largest eigenvalue of Σ_n

e2 (Smoothness) For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists N and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $n > N$ and $\theta \in B_\delta(m_n)$, $\ddot{U}_n(\theta)$ exists and satisfies

$$I - A(\epsilon) \leq \ddot{U}_n(\theta)\, (\ddot{U}_n(m_n))^{-1} \leq I + A(\epsilon),$$

where I is the $k \times k$ identity matrix, and $A(\epsilon)$ is a $k \times k$ symmetric positive-semidefinete matrix whose largest eigenvalue tends to zero as $\epsilon \to 0$

e3 (Concentration) For any $\delta > 0$, as $n \to \infty$.

$$Q_n := \int_{B_\delta(m_n)} \pi_n(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta \to 1. \tag{77}$$

Solution. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $n > N$, and $\delta > 0$,as in (C-1). Then, by (e2), for any $\theta \in B_\delta(m_n)$,

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) = \pi(m_n|x_{1:n})\exp(U_n(\theta) - U_n(m_n))$$

$$= \pi(m_n|x_{1:n})\exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta - m_n)^\top(I + R_n(\theta^*))(\theta - m_n)),$$

where

$$R_n(\theta^*) = U_n(\theta^*)(\ddot{U}_n(m_n))^{-1} - I,$$

with $\theta^* \in [\theta, m_n]$.

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

Therefore, the probability $P_n = \int_{B_\delta(m_n)} \pi(\theta|x_{1:n})\mathrm{d}\theta$ is bounded above and below by

$$P_n^+ = \pi(m_n|x_{1:n})|\Sigma|^{1/2}|I - A(\epsilon)|^{-1/2}\int_{|z|<s_n}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}z^\top z)\mathrm{d}z$$

$$P_n^- = \pi(m_n|x_{1:n})|\Sigma|^{1/2}|I + A(\epsilon)|^{-1/2}\int_{|z|<t_n}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}z^\top z)\mathrm{d}z$$

where $s_n = \delta(1 - e(\epsilon))^{1/2}/\sigma_n^*$, $t_n = \delta(1 + e^*(\epsilon))^{1/2}/\sigma_n$, with σ_n^2 and $\sigma_n^{*,2}$ being the largest and the smallest eighenvalues of Σ_n, and $e(\epsilon)$, $e^*(\epsilon)$ are those of $A(\epsilon)$.

In the above derivation of $P_n^- \leq P_n \leq P_n^+$, we use the fact that, for any $k \times k$ matrix, $B_{\delta/v_1}(0) \subseteq \{y; (y^\top V y) < \delta\}$ where v_1^2 and v_2^2 are the largest and smallest eigenvalues of V, respectively.

Then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$|I - A(\epsilon)|^{1/2}\lim_{n\to\infty}P_n \leq \pi(m_n|x_{1:n})|\Sigma_n|^{1/2}(2\pi)^{k/2} \leq |I + A(\epsilon)|^{1/2}\lim_{n\to\infty}P_n.$$

Because $|I \pm A(\epsilon)| \to 1$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, and $P_n \leq 1$ for all n, the inequality (**??**) holds. If (e3), then the equality in (**??**) holds.

The following theorem says that given (e1), (e2), (e3), θ has an asymptotic posterior $\mathrm{N}_k(m_n, \Sigma_n)$, where $\dot{U}_n(m_n) = 0$ and $\Sigma_n^{-1} = -\ddot{U}_n(m_n)$.

Exercise 83. ($\star\star\star$)(Asymptotic normality under conjugacy) Assume that a collection of data $x_{1:n} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ from a likelihood in canonical exponential family form

$$f(x_{1:n}|\psi) = a(x_{1:n})\exp(x^\top\psi - b(\psi))$$

with canonical conjugate prior density

$$\pi(\psi; n_0, y_0) = \mathrm{Cef}(\psi|n_0, y_0),$$

where

$$\mathrm{Cef}(\psi; n, y) := c(n, y)\exp(ny^\top\psi - nb(\psi)).$$

The posterior density is

$$\pi(\psi|x_{1:n}) = \mathrm{Cef}(\psi|n_0 + n, ny_0 + n\bar{x}_n),$$

with $\bar{x}_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Then

$$\Sigma_n^{-1/2}(\psi_n - b'(m_n)) \xrightarrow[D]{} N(0, 1),$$

where

$$b'(m_n) = \nabla b(\psi)|_{\psi=m_n} = \frac{n_0 x_0 + n\bar{x}_n}{n_0 + n}$$

$$[b''(m_n)]_{i,j} = (\frac{\partial^2 b(\psi)}{\partial\psi_i\partial\psi_j})|_{\psi=m_n} = (n_0 + n)[\Sigma]_{i,j}^{-1}.$$

Solution. [See Chen, C. F. (1985)] We use the form $\pi(\psi|x_{1:n}) \propto \exp((n_0 + n)h(\psi))$, where $h(\psi) = (b'(m_n))^\top\psi - b(\psi)$, where $h(\psi)$ is strictly conves function. Therefore, for n, $\pi(\psi|x_{1:n})$ is unimodal with maximum at $\psi = m_n$ such that $\nabla h(m_n) = 0$.

Proof. Because $h(\cdot)$ strictly convex, for any $\delta > 0$ and $\theta \notin B_\delta(m_n)$, there exists $\psi^* \in [\psi, m_n]$, with angle θ between $\psi - m_n$ and $\nabla h(\psi^*)$,

$$h(\psi) - h(m_n) = (\psi - m_n)^\top \nabla h(\psi^*)$$
$$= |\psi - m_n||\nabla h(\psi^*)| \cos(\theta)$$
$$< -c|\psi - m_n|,$$

for $c = \inf\{|\nabla h(\psi^*)|; \psi \notin B_\delta(m_n)\} > 0$. Then

$$h(\psi) - h(m_n) < -(n_0 + n)|\psi - m_n|$$
$$< -c_1((\psi - m_n)^\top \Sigma_n^{-1}(\psi - m_n))$$

where $c_1 = c\lambda^{-1}$, with λ^2 the largest eigenvalue of $b''(m_n)$, and hence (C-3.1) is satisfied. Conditions (C-1) and (C-2) hold because

$$(n_0 + n)\Sigma_n^{-1} = b''(m_n)$$
$$L_n''(\psi)(L_n''(m_n))^{-1} = b''(\psi)(b''(m_n))^{-1}$$

the latter not depending on $n_0 + n$, and so the result follows $\qquad \square$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20   by Georgios Karagiannis

Part XVI

Revision

<div align="center">Bayesian point estimation</div>

Exercise 84. $(\star\star)$Consider a Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} y|\theta & \sim \mathrm{N}(\theta, 1) \\ \theta & \sim \mathrm{N}(0, 1) \end{cases}$$

1. Compute the Bayes point estimate $\hat{\delta}$ of θ under the loss $\ell(\theta, \delta) = \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)(\theta - \delta)^2$

2. Show that $\hat{\delta}$ is inadmissible, and discuss why this happens according to the Theorems in Handout 10.

Solution. The posterior of θ given y is $\theta|y \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\mu = \frac{1}{2}y, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2}\right)$ –the derivation is easy.

1. According to Proposition in the Handout, because $\mathrm{E}_\pi\left(\exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)|y\right) > 0$, it is

$$\hat{\delta} = \frac{\mathrm{E}_\pi\left(\theta \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)|y\right)}{\mathrm{E}_\pi\left(\exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)|y\right)}$$

I compute $\Delta_j = \mathrm{E}_\pi\left(\theta^j \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)|y\right)$ up to a multiplicative on j. It is, for $j = 0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j = \mathrm{E}(w(\theta)\theta^j|y) &= \int_\Theta \exp(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2)\theta^j \mathrm{N}(\theta|\frac{1}{2}y, \frac{1}{2})\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \int_\Theta \exp(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2)\theta^j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi 1/2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\frac{1}{2}y - \theta)^2}{1/2})\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \int_\Theta \theta^j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi 1/2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\frac{1}{2}y - \theta)^2}{1/2} + \frac{3}{4}\theta^2)\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \int_\Theta \theta^j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi 1/2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - 2y)^2}{2} + \frac{3}{2}y^2)\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\exp(\frac{3}{2}y^2)\int_\Theta \theta^j \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi 2}}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\theta - 2y)^2}{2})\mathrm{d}\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\exp(\frac{3}{2}y^2)2y & , c = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}\exp(\frac{3}{2}y^2) & , c = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So, I get $\hat{\delta} = \frac{\Delta(1)}{\Delta(0)} = 2y$.

2. Assume $\delta_c(y) = cy$ where my estimator is a member; i.e. $\hat{\delta} = \delta_2$. The risk for $\delta_c(y)$ is

$$R(\theta, \delta_c) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}\left(\exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)(\theta - cy)^2\right) = \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)\left(c^2 + \theta^2(c-1)^2\right)$$

as

$$R(\theta, \delta_c) = \mathrm{E}_F(\ell(\theta, \delta_c(y))|\theta) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}\left(\exp(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2)(\theta - cy)^2\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}(\theta - cy)^2$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}(cy - \theta)^2 = \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}([cy - c\theta] + [c\theta - \theta])^2$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}([cy - c\theta]^2 + [c\theta - \theta]^2 - 2[cy - c\theta])$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)\left(c^2\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}[y - \theta]^2 + \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}[(c - 1)\theta]^2 - 2[c\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}(\theta,1)}(y) - c\theta]\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)\left(c^2 + \theta^2(c - 1)^2\right)$$

I observe that $\hat{\delta} = \delta_2$ is dominated by δ_1. It is

$$R(\theta, \delta_2) = \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)(4 + \theta)^2$$

and

$$R(\theta, \delta_1) = \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)$$

where one can see that $R(\theta, \delta_2) = R(\theta, \delta_1)$ for $\theta \in \{0, -4\}$ and $R(\theta, \delta_2) > R(\theta, \delta_1)$ for all the rest θ. Hence $\hat{\delta} = \delta_2$ is inadmissible.

I observe that $\hat{\delta}$ does not produces a finite Bayes risk

$$r(\pi, \hat{\delta}) = \int R(\theta, \hat{\delta})\pi(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta = \int R(\theta, \hat{\delta})\mathrm{N}(\theta|0, 1)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$\propto \int (4 + \theta)^2 \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}\theta^2\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\theta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \int (4 + \theta)^2 \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\theta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\theta > \int \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\theta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\theta = \infty$$

and hence Bayesian point estimate $\hat{\delta}$ is not necessarily admissive.

Hypothesis test, and differences from classical stats results

Bayesian paradigm, Exponential family of distributions, Conjugate priors, predictions, HPD credible intervals, hypothesis test

Exercise 85. ($\star\star\star$)Consider two categorical random variables X with I levels, and Y with J levels. Consider associated joint and marginal probabilities,

$$\pi_{i,j} = \mathsf{P}\left(X = i, Y = j\right); \quad \pi_{i,+} = \sum_{j=1}^{J}\pi_{i,j} = \mathsf{P}\left(X = i\right); \quad \pi_{+,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{I}\pi_{i,j} = \mathsf{P}\left(Y = j\right); \quad \pi_{+,+} = 1;$$

for $i = 1, ..., I$, and $j = 1, ..., J$. We use vectoriced notation

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y} = (\pi_{i,j})_{I,J} = (\pi_{1,1}, ..., \pi_{1,J}, ..., \pi_{i,j}, ..., \pi_{I,1}, ..., \pi_{I,J})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_X = (\pi_{i,+})_I = (\pi_{1,+}, ..., \pi_{I,+})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y = (\pi_{+,j})_J = (\pi_{+,1}, ..., \pi_{+,J})$$

Assume that there is available a sample classified in a 2 way $I \times J$ contingency table according to the classifier variables X and Y. Let $n_{i,j}$ denote the number of the observations int he (i,j)th cell, and let $n_{i,+} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{i,j}$, $n_{+,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} n_{i,j}$, and $n_{+,+} = \sum_{i,j=1,1}^{I,J} n_{i,j}$ for $i = 1, ..., I$, and $j = 1, ..., J$. In a similar manner as above we define

$$\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y} = (n_{i,j})_{I,J}; \qquad\qquad \boldsymbol{n}_X = (n_{i,+})_I; \qquad\qquad \boldsymbol{n}_Y = (n_{+,j})_J.$$

Hint-1: The Multinomial distribution $x \sim \text{Mult}(n, \pi)$ has pmf

$$f(y|\pi) = \binom{n}{y} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \pi_i^{y_i} 1\,(y_i \in \mathcal{Y}); \binom{n}{y} = \frac{n!}{y_1! \cdots y_n!}; \qquad \mathcal{Y} = \left\{ y \in \{0, ..., n\}^k : \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i = n \right\}$$

Hint-2: The Dirichlet distribution $x \sim \text{Dir}(a)$ has pdf

$$f(x|a) = \frac{1}{B(a)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_i^{a_i-1} 1\,(x_i \in \mathcal{X}); B(a) = \frac{\Gamma(\prod_{i=1}^{k} a_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma(a_i)} \qquad \mathcal{X} = \left\{ x \in (0,1)^k : \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = 1 \right\}$$

Hint-3: The Beta distribution $x \sim \text{Be}(a, b)$ has pdf

$$f(x|a, b) = \frac{1}{B(a, b)} x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1} 1\,(x \in (0,1)); B(a) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \qquad\qquad \mathcal{X} = [0, 1]$$

with $a > 0$ and $b > 0$. It is $\text{E}(x|a, b) = a/(a+b)$, and $\text{Var}(x|a, b) = ab/\left[(a+b)^2(a+b+1)\right]$. When $a = b$, then the PDF is symmetric.

Address the following:

1. Assume that the sampling distribution of $(n_{i,j})_{I,J}$ is a Multinomial, and consider the Bayesian model

$$\mathscr{B}_1 : \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y} & \sim \text{Mult}\,(n_{+,+}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}) \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y} & \sim \Pi(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}) \end{cases}$$

where $n_{+,+}$ is fixed, and $\Pi(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y})$ is currently unspecified.

   (a) Write down the likelihood of $\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}$ given $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}$
   (b) Show that the sampling distribution is an Exponential family of distributions.
   (c) Derive the conjugate prior family of distributions, and specify the prior distribution $\Pi(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y})$
   (d) Derive the posterior distribution of $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}$ given $\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}$, and write down its density.
   (e) Compute the predictive distribution of a future observation $m_{X,Y} = (m_{i,j})_{I,J}$ where $m_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i = 1, ..., I$ and $j = 1, ..., J$ and $\sum_{i=1,j=1}^{I,J} m_{i,j} = m_{+,+}$ as fixed hyper-parameter. $m_{+,+}$ is fixed with $m_{+,+} = 1$.

2. Assume that the sampling distribution of $(n_{i,j})_{I,J}$ is a Multinomial, and consider the Bayesian model

$$\mathscr{B}_2 : \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y} & \sim \text{Mult}\,(n_{+,+}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}) \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y} & = \lambda(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y) \\ (\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y) & \sim \Pi(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y) \end{cases}$$

which assumes that X an Y are independent, and where $\Pi(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y)$ and $\lambda(\cdot, \cdot)$ are currently unspecified. Here $n_{+,+}$ is fixed.

   (a) Specify the function $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ and re-write Bayesian model $\mathscr{B}_2$ by applying your $h(\cdot, \cdot)$.
   (b) Write down the likelihood of $\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}$ given $\boldsymbol{\pi}_X$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y$.
   (c) Show that the sampling distribution is an Exponential family of distributions.
   (d) Derive the conjugate prior family of distributions, and specify the prior distribution $\Pi(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y)$
   (e) Derive the posterior distribution of $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}$ given $\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}$, and write down its density.
   (f) Compute the predictive distribution of a future observation $m_{X,Y} = (m_{i,j})_{I,J}$ where $m_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 1, ..., I$ and $j = 1, ..., J$ and $\sum_{i=1,j=1}^{I,J} m_{i,j} = m_{+,+} = 1$ as fixed hyper-parameter.
   (g) Compute the 95% HPD credible interval for $\pi_{1,+}$. Assume $I = J = 2$ and assume that $\text{E}(\pi_{1,+}|n_{X,Y}) = 1/2$ and $\text{Var}(\pi_{1,+}|n_{X,Y}) = 1/140$. Consider that the quantiles of the Beta distribution are known.

3. Derive a Hypothesis test where you compare the following hypothesis (here written down in words as)

$$\text{H}_0 : X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent}$$

$$\text{H}_1 : X \text{ and } Y \text{ are not independent}$$

Consider that priors $\pi_0 = \pi\,(\text{H}_0)$ and $\pi_1 = \pi\,(\text{H}_1)$ are already specified and known/.

   (a) Re-write the pair of hypothesis as two Bayesian models under comparison by using priors leading to tractable Bayesian inference.
   (b) Compute the Bayes factor
   (c) Write down the decision rule based on the $c_I - c_{II}$-loss function.

Solution.

   1.

   (a) The likelihood is

$$f(n_{XY}|\pi_{XY}) = \frac{n_{++}!}{\prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} n_{i,j}!} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}}$$

(b) The multinational sampling distribution can be written in the form

$$f(n_{XY}|\pi_{XY}) = \frac{n_{++}!}{\prod_{i=1}^{I}\prod_{j=1}^{J} n_{i,j}!} \prod_{i=1}^{I}\prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} = \frac{n_{++}!}{\prod_{i=1}^{I}\prod_{j=1}^{J} n_{i,j}!} \prod_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} \pi_{I,J}^{n_{I,J}}$$

$$= \frac{n_{++}!}{\prod_{i=1}^{I}\prod_{j=1}^{J} n_{i,j}!} \exp\left(\sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} n_{i,j} \log(\pi_{i,j}) \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(n_{++} - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} n_{i,j} \right) \log\left(1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{n_{++}!}{\prod_{i=1}^{I}\prod_{j=1}^{J} n_{i,j}!} \exp\left(\sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} n_{i,j} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,j}}{1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j}} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + n_{++} \log\left(1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{n_{++}!}{\prod_{i=1}^{I}\prod_{j=1}^{J} n_{i,j}!} \left(1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j} \right)^{n_{++}} \exp\left(\sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} n_{i,j} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,j}}{1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j}} \right) \right)$$

and hence it is a $(IJ-1)$-exponential family of distributions with

$$u(n) = \frac{n_{++}!}{\prod_{i=1}^{I}\prod_{j=1}^{J} n_{i,j}!} n_{++}, \quad g(\pi) = \left(1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j} \right)^{n_{++}}, \quad \phi_{i,j}(\pi) = \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,j}}{1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j}} \right),$$

$h(n) = 1$, and $c_{i,j} = n_{i,j}$, for $i = 1, ..., I-1$, and $j = 1, ..., J-1$.

(c) The sampling distribution is recognized as an exponential family of distributions then the conjugate prior has pdf

$$p(\pi|\tau) \propto g(\pi)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \tau_{i,j} \phi_{i,j}(\pi) \right)$$

$$= \left(1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j} \right)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \tau_{i,j} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,j}}{1 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j}} \right) \right)$$

$$= \prod_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \pi_{i,j}^{\tau_{i,j}} \pi_{I,J}^{\tau_0 - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(I,J)} \tau_{i,j}} \propto \prod_{i=1}^{I}\prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}-1}$$

for some $\tau_0, \tau_{1,1}, ..., \tau_{I-1,J-1}$, and for some $(a_{i,j})_{I,J}$. Hence, it is the Dirichlet distribution

$$\pi_{X,Y}|a \sim \text{Dir}(a)$$

(d) By using the Bayes theorem, I compute the pdf of the posterior distribution as

$$p(\pi_{X,Y}|n_{X,Y}) \propto f(n_{X,Y}|\pi)p(\pi_{X,Y}) \propto \prod_{i,j=1}^{I,J} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} \prod_{i,j=1}^{I,J} \pi_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}-1} = \prod_{i,j=1}^{I,J} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}+a_{i,j}-1} \propto \frac{1}{B(a^*)} \prod_{i,j=1}^{I,J} \pi_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^*-1}$$

and hence the posterior distribution is a Dirichlet distribution as

$$\pi_{X,Y}|n_{X,Y} \sim \text{Dir}(a^*)$$

where $a^* = \left(a^*_{i,j}\right)_{I,J}$ with $a^*_{i,j} = n_{i,j} + a_{i,j}$ for $i = 1, ..., I$ and $j = 1, ..., J$.

(e) I compute the predictive distribution has pmf

$$g(m_{X,Y}|n_{X,Y}) = \int f(m_{X,Y}|\pi_{X,Y})p(\pi_{X,Y}|n_{X,Y})\mathrm{d}\pi_{X,Y}$$

$$= \int \binom{m_{++}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,j}^{m_{i,j}} \frac{1}{B(a^*)} \prod_{i,j=1}^{I,J} \pi_{i,j}^{a^*_{i,j}-1} \mathrm{d}\pi_{X,Y}$$

$$= \binom{m_{++}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{XY}} \frac{1}{B(a^*)} \int \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,j}^{m_{i,j}+a^*_{i,j}-1} \mathrm{d}\pi_{X,Y}$$

$$= \binom{m_{++}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{XY}} \frac{B(a^{**})}{B(a^*)}$$

where $a^{**} = \left(a^{**}_{i,j}\right)_{I,J}$ with $a^{**}_{i,j} = m_{i,j} + n_{i,j} + a_{i,j}$ for $i = 1, ..., I$ and $j = 1, ..., J$.

2.

(a) It is
$$\pi_{i,j} = \mathsf{P}\left(X = i, Y = j\right) = \mathsf{P}\left(X = i\right)\mathsf{P}\left(Y = j\right) = \pi_{i,+}\pi_{+,j}$$

So $\lambda\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y\right) = \boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}$, is such that $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY} = (\pi_{i,j})_{I,J} = (\pi_{i,+}\pi_{+,j})_{I,J}$. Hence, it is

$$\mathscr{B}_2 : \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y \sim \mathrm{Mult}\left(n_{+,+}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y\right)\right) \\ \pi_{i,j} = \pi_{i,+}\pi_{+,j}; \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad i = 1, ..., I, \;\; j = 1, ..., J \\ \left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y\right) \sim \Pi(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y) \end{cases}$$

(b) The likelihood is

$$f(\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}) = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{\forall i,j} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,j}} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{i,j}} = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}}$$

(c) A distribution whose pdf can be formulated as the product of two exponential family distributions is an exponential distribution family. This is obvious from the definition of the exponential family of distributions. Namely,

$$f(\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}) = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{\forall i,j} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,j}} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{i,j}} = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}}$$

$$= \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \frac{1}{\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_X}} \mathrm{Dir}(n_X|\boldsymbol{\pi}_X) \frac{1}{\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_Y}} \mathrm{Dir}(n_Y|\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y)$$

anyway... Note that

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_X}} \mathrm{Dir}(n_X|\boldsymbol{\pi}_X) = \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}} = \exp\left(\sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+} \log\left(\pi_{i,+}\right) + \left(n_{++} - \sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+}\right) \log\left(1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}\right)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(n_{++} \log\left(1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}\right) + \sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,+}}{1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left(1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}\right)^{n_{++}} \exp\left(\sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,+}}{1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}}\right)\right)$$

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20

by Georgios Karagiannis

and similar for

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_Y}} \mathrm{Dir}(n_Y|\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y) = \left(1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}\right)^{n_{++}} \exp\left(\sum_{j\neq J} n_{+,j} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{+,j}}{1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}}\right)\right)$$

We put everything together ...

$$f(\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}) = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}}$$

$$= \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \exp\left(\sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+} \log\left(\pi_{i,+}\right) + \left(n_{++} - \sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+}\right) \log\left(1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}\right)\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{j\neq J} n_{+,j} \log\left(\pi_{+,j}\right) + \left(n_{++} - \sum_{j\neq J} n_{+,j}\right) \log\left(1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}\right)\right)$$

$$= \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \left(1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}\right)^{n_{++}} \left(1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}\right)^{n_{++}}$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,+}}{1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}}\right) + \sum_{j\neq J} n_{+,j} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{+,j}}{1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}}\right)\right)$$

So it is an $I + J - 2$ exponential family of distributions with parameters

$$u(n) = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}}, \qquad g(\pi) = \left(1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}\right)^{n_{++}} \left(1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}\right)^{n_{++}},$$

$$\phi(\pi) = \left(\log\left(\frac{\pi_{1,+}}{1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}}\right), ..., \log\left(\frac{\pi_{I-1,+}}{1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}}\right), \log\left(\frac{\pi_{+,1}}{1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}}\right), ..., \log\left(\frac{\pi_{+,J-1}}{1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}}\right)\right)$$

$h(n_{X,Y}) = (n_{1,+}, ..., n_{i-1,+}, n_{+,1}, ..., n_{+,J-1})$, and $c = 1$, for $i = 1, ..., I - 1$, and $j = 1, ..., J - 1$.

The sufficient statistic is

$$h(n_{X,Y}) = (n_{1,+}, ..., n_{i-1,+}, n_{+,1}, ..., n_{+,J-1})$$

(d) I can use the standard procedure, where I substitute the data quantities in the likelihood kernel, aka

$$f(\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}|\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}) = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \left(1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}\right)^{n_{++}} \left(1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}\right)^{n_{++}}$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,+}}{1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}}\right) + \sum_{j\neq J} n_{+,j} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{+,j}}{1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}}\right)\right)$$

with a kernel

$$k(t(\boldsymbol{n}_{XY})) = \exp\left(\sum_{i\neq I} n_{i,+} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,+}}{1 - \sum_{i\neq I} \pi_{i,+}}\right) + \sum_{j\neq J} n_{+,j} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{+,j}}{1 - \sum_{j\neq J} \pi_{+,j}}\right)\right)$$

sufficient statistic

$$h(n_{X,Y}) = (n_{1,+}, ..., n_{i-1,+}, n_{+,1}, ..., n_{+,J-1})$$

and residual

$$\varrho(n_{X,Y}) = \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \left(1 - \sum_{i \neq I} \pi_{i,+}\right)^{n_{++}} \left(1 - \sum_{j \neq J} \pi_{+,j}\right)^{n_{++}}$$

So the conjugate prior is

$$k(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \exp\left(\sum_{i \neq I} \tau_{i,+} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{i,+}}{1 - \sum_{i \neq I} \pi_{i,+}}\right) + \sum_{j \neq J} \tau_{+,j} \log\left(\frac{\pi_{+,j}}{1 - \sum_{j \neq J} \pi_{+,j}}\right)\right)$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{b_{i,+}} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{b_{+,j}}$$

for some hyper-parameters $(b_{i,+})$ and $(b_{+,j})$ where I can recognize two independent Dirichlet distributions, as

$$p(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y) = \text{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X | n_X, b_X) \text{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y | n_Y, b_Y)$$

where $b_X = (b_{i,+})$ and $b_Y = (b_{+,j})$. Hence,

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_X \sim \text{Dir}(n_X, b_X)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y \sim \text{Dir}(n_Y, b_Y)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_X \perp \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y$$

(e) The posterior is

$$p(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y | n_{X,Y}) \propto f(n_{X,Y} | \boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y) p(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y)$$

$$= \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,j}} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{i,j}} \frac{1}{B(b_X)} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{b_{i,+}-1} \frac{1}{B(b_Y)} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{b_{+,j}-1}$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{b_{i,+}} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{b_{+,j}} = \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}+b_{i,+}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}+b_{+,j}-1}$$

$$\propto \left[\frac{1}{B(b_X^*)} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}+b_{i,+}-1}\right] \left[\frac{1}{B(b_Y^*)} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}+b_{+,j}-1}\right]$$

where $b_X^* = (n_{i,+} + b_{i,+})_I$ and $b_Y^* = (n_{+,j} + b_{+,j})_J$. Hence I can recognize that the posterior distribution is a Dirichlet distribution. So

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_X | n_X \sim \text{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_X | n_X, b_X)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y | n_Y \sim \text{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y | n_Y, b_Y)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_X \perp \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y | n_{X,Y}$$

aka $\boldsymbol{\pi}_X$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y$ are a posteriori independent.

(f) It is $m_{X,Y} = (m_{i,j})_{i,j}$. I compute the predictive distribution has pmf

$$g(m_{X,Y}|n_{X,Y}) = \int f(m_{X,Y}|\pi_{X,Y})p(\pi_{X,Y}|n_{X,Y})\mathrm{d}\pi_{X,Y}$$

$$= \int \binom{m_{++}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{m_{i,+}} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{m_{+,j}} \frac{1}{B(b_X^*)} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}+b_{i,+}-1} \frac{1}{B(b_Y^*)} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}+b_{+,j}-1}\mathrm{d}\pi_{X,Y}$$

$$= \binom{m_{++}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{XY}} \frac{1}{B(b_X^*)} \frac{1}{B(b_Y^*)} \left[\int \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{m_{i,+}+n_{i,+}+b_{i,+}-1}\mathrm{d}\pi_X\right]\left[\int \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{m_{+,j}+n_{+,j}+b_{+,j}-1}\mathrm{d}\pi_Y\right]$$

$$= \binom{m_{++}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{XY}} \frac{1}{B(b_X^*)} \frac{1}{B(b_Y^*)} B(b_X^{**}) B(b_Y^{**}) \quad = \binom{m_{++}}{\boldsymbol{m}_{XY}} \frac{B(b_X^{**})}{B(b_X^*)} \frac{B(b_Y^{**})}{B(b_Y^*)}$$

where:
$$b_X^{**} = (b_{i,+}^{**})_I \quad \text{with} \quad b_{i,+}^{**} = m_{i,+} + n_{i,+} + b_{i,+} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, ..., I$$
and
$$b_Y^{**} = (b_{+,j}^{**})_J \quad \text{with} \quad b_{+,j}^{**} = m_{+,j} + n_{+,j} + b_{+,j} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, ..., J.$$

(g) Well, for $I = J = 2$, it is

$$p(\pi_{1,+}|n_X) = \frac{1}{B(b_X^*)} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}+b_{i,+}-1} = \frac{1}{B(b_{1,+}, b_{2,+})} \pi_{1,+}^{n_{1,+}+b_{1,+}-1} \pi_{2,+}^{n_{2,+}+b_{2,+}-1}$$

so in simple words
$$\pi_{1,+}|n_X \sim \mathrm{Be}\left(b_{1,+}^*, b_{2,+}^*\right)$$
where
$$b_{1,+}^* = n_{1,+} + b_{1,+}$$
and
$$b_{2,+}^* = n_{2,+} + b_{2,+}$$

This is obvious as Beta distribution is a specific case of the Dirichlet distribution. So now we will proceed with the Beta distribution with which we are most familiar, ok.
Also

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{E}(\pi_{1,+}|n_X) = \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathrm{Var}(\pi_{1,+}|n_X) = \frac{1}{140} \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \frac{b_{1,+}^*}{b_{1,+}^*+b_{2,+}^*} = \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{b_{1,+}^* b_{2,+}^*}{(b_{1,+}^*+b_{2,+}^*)^2(b_{1,+}^*+b_{2,+}^*+1)} = \frac{1}{140} \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} b_{1,+}^* = 17 \\ b_{2,+}^* = 17 \end{cases}$$

To find the 2-sides C_a parametric HPD credible interval for $\pi_{1,+}$, I use the Theorem in the Handout about 1D HPD credit intervals. So:

$$1 - a = \int_L^U \mathrm{Be}(\theta|17, 17)\mathrm{d}\theta = \mathsf{P}_{\mathrm{Be}(17,17)}(\theta < U) - \mathsf{P}_{\mathrm{Be}(17,17)}(\theta < L)$$

The posterior is symmetric around 0.5 because $a_n = b_n$, hence

$$\mathrm{Be}(U|17, 17) = \mathrm{Be}(L|17, 17) \implies 0.5 - L = U - 0.5 \implies L = 1 - U$$

Hence,

$$1 - a = \mathsf{P}_{\mathrm{Be}(17,17)}(\theta < U) - \left(1 - \mathsf{P}_{\mathrm{Be}(17,17)}(\theta < U)\right) = 2\mathsf{P}_{\mathrm{Be}(17,17)}(\theta < U) - 1$$

so U is such that $P_{\mathrm{Be}(17,17)}(\theta < U) = 1 - a/2$ and $L = 1 - U$. For $a = 0.95$, the 95% posterior credible interval for θ is

$$[L, U] = [0.36, 0.64].$$

3. I will use the results from the previous parts. To denote the pdf of prior and posteriors I ll use the notation $p(\cdot)$ instead of $\pi(\cdot)$ to avoid confusion.

(a) He compare two models, the hypothesis H_0 is the Bayesian model

$$\mathscr{B}_1 : \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y} | \boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y} & \sim \mathrm{Mult}\,(n_{+,+}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}) \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y} & \sim \mathrm{Dir}\,(a) \end{cases}$$

while the hypothesis H_1 is the Bayesian model

$$\mathscr{B}_2 : \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y} | \boldsymbol{\pi}_X, \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y & \sim \mathrm{Mult}\,(n_{+,+}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_X \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y) \text{ where } \pi_{i,j} = \pi_{i,+} \pi_{+,j}; \ i = 1, ..., I, \ j = 1, ..., J \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_X & \sim \mathrm{Dir}\,(n_X, b_X) \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y & \sim \mathrm{Dir}\,(n_Y, b_Y) \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_X \perp \boldsymbol{\pi}_Y \end{cases}$$

(b) For model $\mathscr{B}_1$ the marginal likelihood is

$$f_1(\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}) = \int f_1(\boldsymbol{n}_{XY} | \boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}) p_1(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY} =$$

$$= \int \left[\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} \right] \left[\frac{1}{B(a)} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}-1} \right] \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}$$

$$= \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \frac{1}{B(a)} \int \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}+a_{i,j}-1} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}$$

$$= \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \frac{B(a^*)}{B(a)}$$

$a^* = \left(a_{i,j}^* \right)_{I,J}$ with $a_{i,j}^* = n_{i,j} + a_{i,j}$ for $i = 1, ..., I$ and $j = 1, ..., J$.

For model $\mathscr{B}_2$ the marginal likelihood is

$$f_2(\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}) = \int f_2(\boldsymbol{n}_{XY} | \boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}) p_2(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{X,Y}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY} =$$

$$= \int \left[\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,j}} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{i,j}} \right] \left[\frac{1}{B(b_X)} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{b_{i,+}-1} \frac{1}{B(b_Y)} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{b_{+,j}-1} \right] \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}$$

$$= \int \left[\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}} \right] \left[\frac{1}{B(b_X)} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{b_{i,+}-1} \frac{1}{B(b_Y)} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{b_{+,j}-1} \right] \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY}$$

$$= \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \frac{1}{B(b_X)B(b_Y)} \left[\int \prod_{i=1}^{I} \pi_{i,+}^{n_{i,+}+b_{i,+}-1} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{XY} \right] \left[\int \prod_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{+,j}^{n_{+,j}+b_{+,j}-1} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\pi}_Y \right]$$

$$= \binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}} \frac{B(b_X^*)B(b_Y^*)}{B(b_X)B(b_Y)}$$

where $b_X^* = (n_{i,+} + b_{i,+})_{i=1,...,I}$ and $b_Y^* = (n_{+,j} + b_{+,j})_{j=1,...,J}$.

Created on 2022/03/23 at 18:42:20 by Georgios Karagiannis

The Bayes factor $B_{01}(\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y})$ comparing hypotheses H_0 and H_1 is

$$B_{01}(\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}) = \frac{f_1(\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y})}{f_2(\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y})} = \frac{\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}}\frac{B(a^*)}{B(a)}}{\binom{n_{++}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{XY}}\frac{B(b_X^*)B(b_Y^*)}{B(b_X)B(b_Y)}} = \cdots$$

(c) We accept model $\mathscr{B}_1$ if $B_{01}(\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}) > \frac{c_{II}}{c_I}\frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0}$, and we accept $\mathscr{B}_2$ if $B_{01}(\boldsymbol{n}_{X,Y}) < \frac{c_{II}}{c_I}\frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0}$.

Bayesian paradigm, Exponential family of distributions, Conjugate priors, hypothesis test

Exercise 86. ($\star\star\star$)Consider a sequence of exchangeable observables $x_{1:n} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, where it is $x_i \in \mathcal{X}_k$, for $i = 1, ..., n$, where $\mathcal{X}_k = \{x \in \{0,1\}^k | \sum_{j=1}^k x_j = 1\}$. In words, x_i is a k-dimensional vector all of whose elements are equal to 0 except for one which is equal to 1, for $i = 1, ..., n$. Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{IID}}{\sim} \text{Mu}_k(\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\cdot) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$, with $\Theta = \{\theta \in (0,1)^k | \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1\}$. Here, Mu_k denotes the Multinomial probability distribution with PMF

$$\text{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j} & \text{, if } x \in \mathcal{X}_k \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{78}$$

1. Show that the parametric model (78) is a member of the $k-1$ exponential family.

2. Compute the likelihood $f(x_{1:n}|\theta)$, and find the sufficient statistic $t_n := t_n(x_{1:n})$.

3. Derive the conjugate prior distribution for θ, and then show that it is a Dirichlet distribution.

   You may use the fact that the Dirichlet distribution $\text{Di}_k(a)$ with parameter $a = (a_1, ..., a_k)$, where $\{a_j > 0; j = 1, ..., k\}$ has PDF

$$\text{Di}_k(\theta|a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(a_j)} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{a_j-1} & \text{, if } \theta \in \Theta \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4. Compute the posterior distribution. State the name of the distribution, and express its parameters with respect to the observations and the hyper-parameters of the prior. Justify your answer.

5. Compute the probability mass function of the predictive distribution for a future observation $y = x_{n+1}$ in closed form.

   Hint $\Gamma(x) = (x-1)\Gamma(x-1)$.

6. Suppose you are interested in checking if a k-sided die is fair or not. You collect n observations $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$, where $x_i \in \mathcal{X}_k$, according to the following experiment. You throw the die n times; at the i-th throw, you record the result as $x_{i,j} = 1$ if the result is the j-th side and as $x_{i,j} = 0$ if the result is otherwise for $j = 1, ..., k$.

   (a) Set up the pair of hypotheses, by stating explicitly the pair of hypothesis, and computing the Bayes factor in closed form.

(b) Suppose that it is a 4-sided die, you throw it 6 times, and it comes up '1', 4 times; '2', 0 times; '3', 1 time; and '4', 1 time. Perform the Bayesian test to check whether the dice is fair or not. State your decision based on Jeffreys' scale rule.

Solution.

1. It is

$$\text{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j^{x_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_j}(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j}$$

$$= (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j) \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j \log(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}))$$

This is the $k-1$ exponential family PDF with

$$u(x) = 1; \qquad\qquad g(\theta) = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j);$$

$$h(x) = (x_1, ... x_{k-1}); \qquad\qquad \phi(\theta) = (\log(\frac{\theta_1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}), ..., \log(\frac{\theta_{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j})),$$

$$c = (1, ..., 1)$$

2. The likelihood is

$$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j^{x_{*,j}}$$

$$= (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^n \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{*,j} \log(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}))$$

and the sufficient statistic is

$$t_n = (n, x_{*,1}, ..., x_{*,k-1})$$

3. Let $\tau = (\tau_0, ..., \tau_{k-1})$. It is

$$\pi(\theta|\tau) \propto (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{\tau_0} \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j \log(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}))$$

$$\propto \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{\tau_j}(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{\tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j} \propto \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{\tau_j} \theta_k^{\tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j}$$

Here, I recognize the Dirichlet distribution with $a_j = \tau_j$ for $j = 1, ..., k-1$ and $a_k = \tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j$.

4. Due to conjugacy, it is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) = \pi(\theta|\tau + t_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{\tau_j + x_{*,j}} \theta_k^{\tau_0 + n - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(\tau_j + x_{*,j})}$$

So the posterior is

$$\text{Di}_k(\theta|\tilde{a}) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j^{\tilde{a}_j - 1} 1_\Theta(\theta)$$

where $\tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_1, ..., \tilde{a}_k)$, with $\tilde{a}_j = a_j + x_{*,j}$ for $j = 1, ..., k$.

5. It is

$$p(y|x_{1:n}) = \int \mathrm{Mu}_k(y|\theta)\mathrm{Di}_k(\theta|\tilde{a})\mathrm{d}\theta = \int \prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{y_j}\frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{\tilde{a}_j-1}\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)}\int\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{y_j+\tilde{a}_j-1}\mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(y_j+\tilde{a}_j)}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(y_j+\tilde{a}_j))}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(a_j+x_{*,j}))}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j}))}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a_*+x_{*,*})}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}y_j+a_*+x_{*,*})}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a_*+n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(1+a_*+n)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(n+a_*)}{\Gamma(1+a_*+n)}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\frac{\Gamma(y_j+a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}$$

so $p(y|x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{n+a_*}(a_{j'}+x_{*,j'})$, where j' such that $y_{j'}=1$.

6.

  (a) Obviously the hypothesis test is

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{H}_0: & \theta = \theta_0, \\ \mathrm{H}_1: & \theta \neq \theta_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta_0 = 1/k$. The Bayes factor is

$$\mathrm{B}_{01}(x_{1:n}) = \frac{p_0(x_{1:n})}{p_1(x_{1:n})} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta_0)}{\int_\Theta \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta)\mathrm{Di}_k(\theta|a)\mathrm{d}\theta}$$

So, it is

$$p_0(x_{1:n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_0^{x_{i,j}} = (\frac{1}{k})^n$$

and it is

$$p_1(x_{1:n}) = \int_\Theta \prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta)\mathrm{Di}_k(\theta|a)\mathrm{d}\theta = \int_\Theta \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{x_{i,j}}\frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{k}a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j)}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{a_j-1}\mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a_*)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j)}\int_\Theta\prod_{j=1}^{k}\theta_j^{x_{*,j}+a_j-1}\mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{\Gamma(a_*)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j)}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(a_*+n)}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(a_*)}{\Gamma(a_*+n)}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\frac{\Gamma(a_j+x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(a_j)} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\prod_{\ell=0}^{x_{*,j}-1}(a_j+\ell)}{\prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1}(a_*+\ell)}$$

So

$$\mathrm{B}_{01}(x_{1:n}) = (\frac{1}{k})^n\frac{\prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1}(a_*+\ell)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\prod_{\ell=0}^{x_{*,j}-1}(a_j+\ell)}$$

(b) I got $k = 4$, $n = 6$, $x_{*,1:4} = (4, 0, 1, 1)$. Also I consider that I have no a priori information, and hence I can use non-informative prior, e.g. $a_{*,1:4} = (1, 1, 1, 1)$, $a_* = 4$. So

$$\mathrm{B}_{01}(x_{1:n}) = (\frac{1}{4})^6 \cdot \frac{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} = 0.61523,$$

$\log_{10}(\mathrm{B}_{01}(x_{1:n})) = -0.2109$. Evidence against H_0: not worth more than a bare.