Bayesian Statistics III/IV (MATH3361/4071)

Michaelmas term 2021

## **Exercise Sheet: Bayesian Statistics**

Lecturer & author: Georgios P. Karagiannis

georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

## Part I

# Matrix & vector calculus

The exercises about Matrix & vector calculus are optional and can be skipped.

**Exercise 1.**  $(\star)$ Let A, B be  $K \times K$  invertible matrices. Show that

$$(A+B)^{-1} = A^{-1}(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}B^{-1}$$

**Exercise 2.**  $(\star\star)$ [Woodbury matrix identity] Verify that

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U (C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1} VA^{-1}$$

if A and C are non-singular.

Exercise 3.  $(\star\star)$ [Sherman-Morrison formula] Let A be a  $K\times K$  invertible matrix and u and v two  $K\times 1$  column vectors. Verify that

$$(A + uv^{\top})^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + v^{\top} A^{-1} u} A^{-1} uv^{\top} A^{-1}$$

if  $1 + v^{\top} A^{-1} u \neq 0$ , and if A is non-singular.

**Exercise 4.**  $(\star\star\star)$ [Block partition matrix inversion] Let A be  $K\times K$  invertible matrix, and let  $B=A^{-1}$  its inverse.

Consider Partition

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}; B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Namely,  $B_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} \end{bmatrix}_{11}$  is the upper corner of the  $A^{-1}$ , etc...

24 Show that

$$A_{11}^{-1} = B_{11} = B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}$$
$$A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} = -B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}$$

Hint: Start by noticing that

$$AB = I \iff \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} & = I \\ A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} & = 0 \end{cases}$$

### Part II

# Random variables

Exercise 5. (\*)Let  $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a univariate random variable with CDF  $F_y(\cdot)$ . Consider a bijective function  $h: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}$  with z = h(y), and  $h^{-1}$  its inverse. The PDF of z is

$$F_z(z) = \begin{cases} F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } h \not \\ \\ 1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } h \searrow \end{cases}$$

Exercise 6.  $(\star)$ Let  $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a univariate random variable with PDF  $f_y(\cdot)$ . Consider a bijective function  $h: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $h^{-1}$  be the inverse function of h. Consider a univariate random variable such that z = h(y).

The PDF of z is

$$f_z(z) = f_y(y) |\det(\frac{dy}{dz})| = f_y(h^{-1}(z)) |\det(\frac{d}{dz}h^{-1}(z))|$$

**Exercise 7.** (\*)Let  $y \sim \operatorname{Ex}(\lambda)$  r.v. with Exponential distribution with rate parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $f_{\operatorname{Ex}(\lambda)}(y) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda y) \mathbb{1}(y \geq 0)$ . Let  $z = 1 - \exp(-\lambda y)$ . Calculate the PDF of z, and recognize its distribution.

#### **Exercise 8.** $(\star)$ Prove the following properties

1. Let matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times d}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , and z = c + Ay then

$$E(z) = E(c + Ay) = c + AE(y)$$

2. Let random variables  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , and let functions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  defined on  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , then

$$E(\psi_1(z) + \psi_2(y)) = E(\psi_1(z)) + E(\psi_2(y))$$

3. If random variables  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  are independent then

$$E(\psi_1(z)\psi_2(y)) = E(\psi_1(z))E(\psi_2(y))$$

for any functions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  defined on  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

#### **Exercise 9.** $(\star)$ Prove the following properties of the covariance matrix

1. 
$$Cov(z, y) = E(zy^{\top}) - E(z) (E(y))^{\top}$$

2. 
$$Cov(z, y) = (Cov(y, z))^{\top}$$

3.  $Cov_{\pi}(c_1 + A_1z, c_2 + A_2y) = A_1Cov_{\pi}(x, y)A_2^{\top}$ , for fixed matrices  $A_1, A_2$ , and vectors  $c_1, c_2$  with suitable dimensions.

4. If z and y are independent random vectors then Cov(z, y) = 0

Exercise 10. (\*)Prove that the (i, j)-th element of the covariance matrix between vector z and y is the covariance between their elements  $z_i$  and  $y_j$ :

$$[Cov(z, y)]_{i,j} = Cov(z_i, y_j)$$

**Exercise 11.**  $(\star)$ Prove the following properties of Var(Y) for a random vector  $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ 

- 1.  $Var(y) = E(yy^{\top}) E(y) (E(y))^{\top}$
- 2.  $Var(c + Ay) = AVar(y)A^{T}$ , for fixed matrix A, and vectors c with suitable dimensions.
- 3.  $Var(y) \ge 0$ ; (semi-positive definite)

**Exercise 12.**  $(\star)$ Prove the following properties of characteristic functions

- 1.  $\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = e^{it^T A} \varphi_x(B^T t)$  if  $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  are constants
- 2.  $\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \varphi_x(t)\varphi_y(t)$  if and only if x and y are independent
  - 3. if  $M_x(t) = \mathrm{E}(e^{t^T x})$  is the moment generating function, then  $M_x(t) = \varphi_x(-it)$

Exercise 13. (\*)Show that if  $X \sim \operatorname{Ex}(\lambda)$  then  $\varphi_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$ .

76 Exercise 14. (★)

- 1. Find  $\varphi_X(t)$  if  $X \sim \text{Br}(p)$ .
- 2. Find  $\varphi_Y(t)$  if  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$

Exercise 15.  $(\star\star\star)$ Prove the following statement related to the Bayesian theorem:

Assume a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ . Let a random variable  $y : \Omega \to \mathcal{Y}$  with distribution  $F(\cdot)$ . Consider a partition  $y = (x, \theta)$  with  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Then the probability density function (PDF), or the probability mass function (PMF) of  $\theta | x$  is

$$f(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)}$$
(1)

Hint Consider cases where x is discrete and continuous. In the later case use the mean value theorem:

$$\int_{A} f(x)g(x)dx = f(\xi) \int_{A} g(x)dx$$

where  $\xi \in A$  if A is connected, and  $g(x) \ge 0$  for  $x \in A$ .

**Exercise 16.**  $(\star)$ Prove that:

1. if 
$$Z \sim N(0, I)$$
 then  $\varphi_Z(t) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^Tt)$  , where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

2. if  $X \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$  then  $\varphi_X(t) = \exp(it^T \mu - \frac{1}{2} t^T \Sigma t)$  , where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

Hint: Assume as known that if  $Z \sim N(0,1)$  then  $\varphi_Z(t) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2)$ , where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Exercise 17.  $(\star)$ Show the following properties of the Characteristic Function

- 1.  $\varphi_x(0) = 1$  and  $|\varphi_x(t)| \le 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 
  - 2.  $\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = e^{it^T A} \varphi_x(B^T t)$  if  $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  are constants
  - 3. x and y are independent then  $\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \varphi_x(t)\varphi_y(t)$  (we do not proov the other way around)
- 4. if  $M_x(t) = \mathrm{E}(e^{t^T x})$  is the moment generating function, then  $M_x(t) = \varphi_x(-it)$

### Part III

# **Probability calculus**

Exercise 18. (\*)Let a random variable  $x \sim \mathrm{IG}(a,b)$ , a fixed value c > 0, and y = cx then  $y \sim \mathrm{IG}(a,cb)$ .

**Exercise 19.**  $(\star\star\star)$ Consider that x given z is distributed according to  $Ga(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})$ , and that z is distributed according to  $Ga(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$ ; i.e.

$$\begin{cases} x|z & \sim \operatorname{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2}) \\ z & \sim \operatorname{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}) \end{cases}$$

Here,  $Ga(\alpha, \beta)$  is the Gamma distribution with shape and rate parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and PDF

$$f_{Ga(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} \mathbb{1}(x > 0)$$

1. Show that the compound distribution of x is F  $x \sim F(n, m)$ , where F(n, m) is F distribution with numerator and denumerator degrees of freedom n and m, and PDF

$$f_{\mathsf{F}(n,m)}(x) = \frac{1}{x \,\mathrm{B}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \sqrt{\frac{(n \, x)^n \, m^m}{(n \, x + m)^{n+m}}} \mathbf{1}(x > 0)$$

2. Show that

$$E_{F(n,m)}(x) = \frac{m}{m-2}$$

3. Show that

$$Var_{F(n,m)}(x) = \frac{2m^2(n+m-2)}{n(m-2)^2(m-4)}$$

**Hint:** If  $\xi \sim \text{IG}(a,b)$  then  $E_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(a,b)}(\xi) = \frac{b}{a-1}$ , and  $\text{Var}_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(a,b)}(\xi) = \frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)}$ 

Exercise 20.  $(\star\star)$ Prove the following statement:

Let 
$$x \sim \mathbf{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
, and  $y = (x - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)$ . Then

$$y \sim \chi_d^2$$

Exercise 21.  $(\star\star)$ Let

$$\begin{cases} x|\xi & \sim \mathbf{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma \xi) \\ \xi & \sim \mathbf{IG}(a, b) \end{cases}$$

with PDF

$$f_{N_d(\mu,\Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$$
$$f_{IG(a,b)}(\xi) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \xi^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\xi}\right) 1_{(0,\infty)}(\xi)$$

Show that the marginal PDF of x is

$$f(x) = \int f_{N_d(\mu, \Sigma \xi)}(x|\xi) f_{IG(a,b)}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= \frac{2a^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{\det(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma)}} \frac{\Gamma\left(a + \frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(a)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2a}(x - \mu)^{\top} \left(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma\right)^{-1} (x - \mu)\right]^{-\frac{(2a + d)}{2}}$$
(2)

FYI: For  $a = b = \frac{v}{2}$ , the marginal PDF is the PDF of the d-dimensional Student T distribution.

133

Exercise 22.  $(\star\star\star)$ 

Let  $x \sim \mathrm{T}_d(\mu, \Sigma, \nu)$ . Recall that  $x \sim \mathrm{T}_d(\mu, \Sigma, \nu)$  is the marginal distribution  $f_x(x) = \int f_{x|\xi}(x|\xi) f_{\xi}(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi$  of  $(x, \xi)$  where

$$x|\xi \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma \xi v)$$
138 
$$\xi \sim IG(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

Consider partition such that

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}; \qquad \qquad \mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}; \qquad \qquad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \Sigma_{21}^\top \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$  and  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ .

142 Address the following:

1. Show that the marginal distribution of  $x_1$  is such that

$$x_1 \sim T_{d_1}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1, \nu)$$

**Hint:** Try to use the form  $f_x(x) = \int f_{x|\xi}(x|\xi) f_{\xi}(\xi) d\xi$ .

2. Show that

$$\xi | x_1 \sim \text{IG}(\frac{1}{2}(d_1 + v), \frac{1}{2}\frac{Q + v}{v})$$

where  $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^{\top} \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mu_1 - x_1)$ 

**Hint:** The PDF of  $y \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$  is

$$f(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y-\mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(y-\mu)\right)$$

**Hint:** The PDF of  $y \sim IG(a, b)$  is

$$f_{\text{IG}(a,b)}(y) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} y^{-a-1} \exp(-\frac{b}{y}) \mathbb{1}_{(0,+\infty)}(y)$$

3. Let  $\xi' = \xi \frac{v}{Q+v}$ , with  $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^{\top} \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mu_1 - x_1)$ , show that

$$\xi'|x_1 \sim \text{IG}(\frac{v+d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

4. Show that the conditional distribution of  $x_2|x_1$  is such that

$$x_2|x_1 \sim T_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$$

where

$$\mu_{2|1} = \mu_2 + \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (x_1 - \mu_1)$$

$$\dot{\Sigma}_{2|1} = \frac{\nu + (\mu_1 - x_1)^{\top} \Sigma_{1}^{-1} (\mu_1 - x_1)}{\nu + d_1} \Sigma_{2|1}$$

$$\Sigma_{2|1} = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_{21}^{\top}$$

 $\nu_{2|1} = \nu + d_1$ 

Hint: You can use the Example [Marginalization & conditioning] from the Lecture Handout

Exercise 23.  $(\star\star\star)$ Show that

1. If  $x_i \sim N_d(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$  for i = 1, ..., n and  $y = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i$ , then

$$y \sim N_d(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top)$$

2. If  $x_i \sim T_d(\mu_i, \Sigma_i, v)$  for i = 1, ..., n and  $z = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i$ , then

$$z \sim \mathsf{T}_d(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top, v)$$

### Part IV

# **Bayesian paradigm and calculations**

**Exercise 24.** ( $\star$ )Consider an i.i.d. sample  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  from the skew-logistic distribution with PDF

$$f(y_i|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})^{\theta+1}}$$

with parameter  $\theta \in (0, \infty)$ . To account for the uncertainty about  $\theta$  we assign a Gamma prior distribution with PDF

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} \mathbb{1}(\theta \in (0, \infty)),$$

and fixed hyper parameters a, b specified by the researcher's prior info.

- 1. Derive the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ .
- 2. Derive the predictive PDF for a future  $z = y_{n+1}$ .

**Exercise 25.**  $(\star\star\star)$ (Nuisance parameters are involved)

<-story

Assume observable quantities  $y=(y_1,...,y_n)$  forming the available data set of size n. Assume that the observations are drawn i.i.d. from a sampling distribution which is judged to be in the Normal parametric family of distributions  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We are interested in learning  $\mu$  and the next outcome  $z=y_{n+1}$ . We do not care about  $\sigma^2$ .

Assume You specify a Bayesian model

<-set-up

$$\begin{cases} y_i|\mu,\sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu,\sigma^2), \text{ for all } i=1,...,n \\ \mu|\sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu_0,\sigma^2\frac{1}{\tau_0}) \\ \sigma^2 \sim \mathrm{IG}(a_0,k_0) \end{cases}, \text{ prior}$$

1. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \theta)^2 = n(\bar{y} - \theta)^2 + ns^2,$$

where  $s^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ .

2. Show that the joint posterior distribution  $\Pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y)$  is such as

$$\mu|y, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_n, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\tau_n})$$
  
$$\sigma^2|y \sim IG(a_n, k_n)$$

with

$$\mu_n = \frac{n\bar{y} + \tau_0 \mu_0}{n + \tau_0};$$
  $\tau_n = n + \tau_0;$   $a_n = a_0 + n$ 

$$k_n = k_0 + \frac{1}{2}ns_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tau_0 n(\mu_0 - \bar{y})^2}{n + \tau_0}$$

**Hint:** It is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_1)^2}{v_1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_2)^2}{v_2} \dots - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\mu_n)^2}{v_n} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mu-\hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{v}} + C$$

where

$$\hat{v} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{v_i}\right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{v}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_i}{v_i}\right); \quad C = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{\hat{v}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_i^2}{v_i}$$

3. Show that the marginal posterior distribution  $\Pi(\mu|y)$  is such as

$$\mu|y \sim \mathsf{T}_1\left(\mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n} \frac{1}{\tau_n}, 2a_n\right)$$

**Hint-1:** If  $x \sim IG(a, b)$ , y = cx, then  $y \sim IG(a, cb)$ .

**Hint-2:** The definition of Student T is considered as known

4. Show that the predictive distribution  $\Pi(z|y)$  is Student T such as

$$z|y \sim \mathsf{T}_1\left(\mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n}(\frac{1}{\tau_n}+1), 2a_n\right)$$

Hint-1: Consider that

$$N(x|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) N(x|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = N(x|m, v^2) N(\mu_1|\mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

where

$$v^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{-1}; \quad m = v^2 \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)$$

**Hint-2:** The definition of Student T is considered as known

The following is about the Normal linear model of regression. The calculations are too challenging;; (not anymore...)

Exercise 26.  $(\star\star\star)$ (Normal linear regression model with unknown error variance)

<-story

Consider we are interested in recovering the mapping

$$x \stackrel{\eta(x)}{\longmapsto} y$$

in the sense that y is the response (output quantity) that depends on x which is the independent variable (input quantity) in a procedure; E.g.:,

- y: precipitation in log scale
- x = (longitude, latitude): geographical coordinates.

It is believed that the mapping  $\eta(x)$  can be represented as an expansion of d known polynomial functions  $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{d-1}$  such as

$$\eta(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \phi_j(x) \beta_j = \Phi(x)^{\top} \beta; \text{ with } \Phi(x) = (\phi_0(x), ..., \phi_{d-1}(x))^{\top}$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is unknown.

Assume observable quantities (data) in pairs  $(x_i, y_i)$  for i = 1, ..., n; (E.g. from the i-th station at location  $x_i$  I got the reading  $y_i$ ). Assume that the response observations  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$  may be contaminated by noise with unknown

variance; such that

$$y_i = \eta(x_i) + \epsilon_i$$

where  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  with unknown  $\sigma^2$ .

You are interested in learning  $\beta$ , but you do not care about  $\sigma^2$ . Also you want to learn the value of  $y_f$  at an untried  $x_f$  (i.e. the precipitation at any other location).

33 Consider the Bayesian model

<-set-up

$$y|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)$$
; the sampling distr  $\beta|\sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, V_0\sigma^2)$ ; prior distr  $\sigma^2 \sim IG(a_0, k_0)$  prior distr

where  $\Phi$  is the design matrix  $[\Phi]_{i,j} = \Phi_j(x_i)$ .

1. Show that the joint posterior distribution  $d\Pi(\beta, \sigma^2|y)$  is such as

$$\beta | y, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_n, V_n \sigma^2);$$
  $\sigma^2 | y \sim IG(a_n, k_n)$ 

with

$$V_n^{-1} = \Phi^{\top} \Phi + V_0^{-1}; \qquad \mu_n = V_n \left( (\Phi^{\top} \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y + V_0^{-1} \mu_0 \right); \qquad a_n = \frac{n}{2} + a_0$$
$$k_n = \frac{1}{2} (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n)^{\top} (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^{\top} V_n^{-1} \mu_n + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu_0^{\top} V_0^{-1} \mu_0 + y^{\top} \Phi^{\top} (\Phi^{\top} \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y \right) + k_0$$

Hint-1:

$$(y - \Phi \beta)^{\top} (y - \Phi \beta) = (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^{\top} \left[ \Phi^{\top} \Phi \right] (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) + S_n; \quad S_n = (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n)^{\top} (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n); \quad \hat{\beta}_n = (\Phi^{\top} \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y$$

**Hint-2:** If  $\Sigma_1 > 0$  and  $\Sigma_2 > 0$  symmetric

$$-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_1)\Sigma_1^{-1}(x-\mu_1)^{\top} - \frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_2)\Sigma_2^{-1}(x-\mu_2)^{\top} = -\frac{1}{2}(x-m)V^{-1}(x-m)^{\top} + C$$

wher

$$V^{-1} = \Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_2^{-1}; \quad m = V\left(\Sigma_1^{-1}\mu_1 + \Sigma_2^{-1}\mu_2\right); \quad C = \frac{1}{2}m^{\mathsf{T}}V^{-1}m - \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_1^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma_1^{-1}\mu_1 + \mu_2^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma_2^{-1}\mu_2\right)$$

2. Show that the marginal posterior of  $\beta$  given y is

$$\beta|y \sim \mathsf{T}_d(\mu_n, V_n \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n)$$

3. Show that the predictive distribution of an outcome  $y_f = \Phi_f \beta + \epsilon$  with  $\Phi_f = (\phi_0(x_f), ..., \phi_{d-1}(x_f))$  and  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  at untried location  $x_f$  is

$$y_f|y \sim \mathsf{T}_d(\mu_n, [\Phi^{\top}\Phi + 1]\frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n)$$

Consider that

$$N(x|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) N(x|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = N(x|m, v^2) N(\mu_1|\mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

where

$$v^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{-1}; \quad m = v^2 \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)$$

259

**Exercise 27.**  $(\star\star)$ Let  $y=(y_1,...,y_n)$  be observables drawn iid from sampling distribution  $y_i|\theta \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\theta,\theta^2)$  for all i=1,...,n, where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  is unknown. Specify a conjugate prior density for  $\theta$  up to an unknown normalizing constant.

2

**Exercise 28.**  $(\star\star)$ If the sampling distribution  $F(\cdot|\theta)$  is discrete and the prior  $\Pi(\theta)$  is proper, then the posterior  $\Pi(\theta|y)$  is always proper.

26

**Exercise 29.**  $(\star\star)$ If the sampling distribution  $F(\cdot|\theta)$  is continuous and the prior  $\Pi(\theta)$  is proper, then the posterior  $\Pi(\theta|y)$  is almost always proper.

268

## The Limit Comparison Theorem for Improper Integrals

**General:** Let integrable functions f(x), and g(x) for  $x \ge a$ .

Let

$$0 \le f(x) \le g(x)$$
, for  $x \ge a$ 

Then

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) \mathrm{d}x < \infty \implies \int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d}x < \infty$$
$$\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d}x = \infty \implies \int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) \mathrm{d}x = \infty$$

**Type I:** Let integrable functions f(x), and g(x) for  $x \ge a$ , and let g(x) be positive.

Let

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

Then

• If  $c \in (0, \infty)$ :

$$\int_{a}^{\infty}g(x)\mathrm{d}x<\infty\Longleftrightarrow\int_{a}^{\infty}f(x)\mathrm{d}x<\infty$$

• If c = 0:

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) dx < \infty \implies \int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx < \infty$$

• If  $c = \infty$ :

$$\int_a^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x = \infty \implies \int_a^\infty g(x)\mathrm{d}x = \infty$$

**Type II:** Let integrable functions f(x), and g(x) for  $a < x \le b$ , and let g(x) be positive.

Let

$$\lim_{n \to a^+} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

Then

• If  $c \in (0, \infty)$ :

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) \mathrm{d}x < \infty \Longleftrightarrow \int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

269

• If 
$$c = 0$$
:

$$\int_a^\infty g(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty \implies \int_a^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

• If  $c=\infty$ :

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \infty \implies \int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \infty$$

**Note:** A useful test function is

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^p \mathrm{d}x \quad \begin{cases} <\infty &, \text{ when } p>1 \\ =\infty &, \text{ when } p\leq 1 \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 30.**  $(\star\star)$ Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x | \sigma & \sim \mathbf{N}(0, \sigma^2) \\ \sigma & \sim \mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{Ex}(\lambda)$  is the exponential distribution with mean  $1/\lambda$ . Show that the posterior distribution is not defined always.

• HINT: Precisely, show that the posterior is not defined in the case that you collect only one observation x = 0.

#### **Exercise 31.** $(\star\star)$ Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x | \sigma & \sim \mathbf{N}(0, \sigma^2) \\ \sigma & \sim \Pi(\sigma) \end{cases}$$

where  $\Pi(\sigma)$  is an improper prior distribution with density such as  $\pi(\sigma) \propto \sigma^{-1} \exp(-a\sigma^{-2})$  for a > 0. Show that we can use this prior on Bayesian inference.

### **Exercise 32.** $(\star\star)$ Let x be an observation. Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x | \theta & \sim \text{Pn}(\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where  $Pn(\theta)$  is the Poisson distribution with expected value  $\theta$ . Consider a prior  $\Pi(\theta)$  with density such as  $\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$ .

Show that the posterior distribution is not always defined.

Hint-1: It suffices to show that the posterior is not defined in the case that you collect only one observation x=0.

**Hint-2:** Poisson distribution:  $x \sim Pn(\theta)$  has PMF

$$Pn(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!} 1(x \in \mathbb{N})$$

The next exercise is about the Sequential processing of data via Bayes theorem

Exercise 33. (\*\*)Assume that observable quantities  $x_1, x_2, ...$  are generated i.i.d by a process that can be modeled as a sampling distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with known  $\sigma^2$  and unknown  $\mu$ .

1. Assume that you have collected an observation  $x_1$ . Specify a prior  $\Pi(\mu)$  on  $\mu$  as  $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  where  $\mu_0, \sigma_0^2$  are known.

• Derive the posterior  $\Pi(\theta|x_1)$ .

Next assume that you additionally another an additional observation  $x_2$  after collecting  $x_1$ . Consider the posterior  $\Pi(\mu|x_1)$  as the current state of your knowledge about  $\theta$ .

- Derive the posterior  $\Pi(\mu|x_1,x_2)$  in the light of the new additional observation  $x_2$ .
- 2. Assume that you have collected two observations  $(x_1, x_2)$ . Specify a prior  $\Pi(\mu)$  on  $\mu$  as  $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  where  $\mu_0, \sigma_0^2$  are known.
  - Derive the posterior  $\Pi(\theta|x_1,x_2)$  in the light of the observations  $(x_1,x_2)$ .
  - 3. What do you observe:

**Hint:** We considered the identity

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(y-\mu_{i})^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(y-\hat{\mu})^{2}}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} + c(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^{2}),$$

$$c(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^{2}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_{i}^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_{i}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{-1}; \quad \hat{\sigma}^{2} = (\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_{i}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{-1}; \quad \hat{\sigma}^{2} = (\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_{i}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{-1}; \quad \hat{\sigma}^{2} = (\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}})^{-1}; \quad \hat{\sigma}^{2} = (\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{$$

where  $c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$  is constant w.r.t. y.

### Part V

# **Exchangeability**

We work on the proofs of the following theorems:

• Marginal distributions of finite exchangeable sequences  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  are invariant under permutations; i.e.:

$$dF(y_{\mathfrak{p}(1)}, y_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}, \dots, y_{\mathfrak{p}(k)}) = dF(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}_n.$$
(3)

In particular, for k = 1, it follows that all  $y_i$  are identically distributed (but not necessarily independently, as stated in the Lecture notes)

• (Marginal) Expectations of finite exchangeable sequences  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  are all identical:

$$E(g(y_i)) = E(g(y_1))$$
 for all  $i = 1, ..., k$  and all functions  $g: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$  (4)

• (Marginal) Variances of finite exchangeable sequences  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  are all identical:

$$Var(y_i) = Var(y_1). (5)$$

• Covariances between elements of finite exchangeable sequences  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  are all identical:

$$Cov(y_i, y_j) = Cov(y_1, y_2) \text{ whenever } i \neq j.$$
(6)

**Just for your information** The properties above are implied by the following general theorem. However, you should not use this theorem, directly, to solve the exercises below...

**Theorem.** Consider an exchangeable sequence  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ . Let  $g: \mathcal{Y}^k \to \mathbb{R}$  be any function of k of these, where k < n. Then, for any permutation  $\pi \in \Pi_n$ ,

$$E(g(Y_{\mathfrak{p}(1)}, Y_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}, \dots, Y_{\mathfrak{p}(k)})) = E(g(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k))$$
(7)

This is not an exercise to solve. Feel free to read the solution of this exercise, as it may help you understand the the Interpretation of the 'representation Theorem with 0-1 quantities'.

**Exercise 34.**  $(\star\star\star\star\star)$  (Representation Theorem with 0-1 quantities). If  $y_1, y_2, ...$  is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of 0-1 random quantities with probability measure P, there exists a distribution function  $\Pi$  such that the joint mass function  $p(y_1, ..., y_n)$  for  $y_1, ..., y_n$  has the form

$$p(x_1, ..., x_n) = \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\theta^{y_i} (1 - \theta)^{1 - y_i}}_{f_{\text{Br}(\theta)}(y_i | \theta)} d\Pi(\theta)$$

317 where

$$\Pi(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \le t) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \stackrel{\text{as}}{=} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

aka  $\theta$  is the limiting relative frequency of 1s, by SLLN

**Hint:** (Helly's theorem [modified]) Given a sequence of distribution functions  $\{F_1, F_2, ...\}$  that satisfy the tightness condition; [for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a such that for all sufficiency large i it is  $F_i(a) - F_i(-a) > 1 - \epsilon$ ], there exists a distribution F and a sub-sequence  $\{F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, ...\}$  such that  $F_{i_j} \to F$ .

323

Exercise 35.  $(\star\star)$ Clearly a set of independent and identically distributed random variables form an exchangeable sequence. Thus sampling with replacement generates an exchangeable sequence. What about sampling without replacement? Prove that sampling n items from N distinct objects without replacement (where  $n \leq N$ ) is exchangeable.

32

**Exercise 36.**  $(\star\star)$ Let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  be an exchangeable sequence, and let g be any function on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Show, directly from the definition of exchangeability in the summary notes) that  $E(g(Y_i))$  does not depend on i:

$$E(g(Y_i)) = E(g(Y_1)) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$$
(8)

For ease of exposition, you may restrict your proof to the case i = 2.

33

Exercise 37.  $(\star\star)$ Let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  be an exchangeable sequence. Use

$$E(g(Y_i)) = E(g(Y_1)) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$$
 (9)

to show that  $Var(Y_i)$  does not depend on i:

$$Var(Y_i) = Var(Y_1) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$$

$$\tag{10}$$

33

**Exercise 38.**  $(\star\star)$ Let  $Y_1,\ldots,Y_n$  be an exchangeable sequence. By expanding  $var(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k)$ , show that when  $i\neq j$ ,

$$cov(Y_i, Y_j) \ge -\frac{var(Y_1)}{n-1} \tag{11}$$

340

**Exercise 39.**  $(\star)$ What does

$$cov(Y_i, Y_j) \ge -\frac{var(Y_1)}{n-1}$$

imply about the correlation of infinite exchangeable sequences?

344