

Exercise Sheet: Bayesian Statistics

Lecturer & author: Georgios P. Karagiannis

georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

Part I

Matrix & vector calculus

The exercises about Matrix & vector calculus are optional and can be skipped.

Exercise 1. (★) Let A, B be $K \times K$ invertible matrices. Show that

$$(A + B)^{-1} = A^{-1}(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}B^{-1}$$

Solution. It is

$$\begin{aligned}(A + B)^{-1} &= A^{-1}(I + A^{-1}B)^{-1} \\ &= A^{-1}(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}B^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2. (★★)[Woodbury matrix identity] Verify that

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

if A and C are non-singular.

Solution.

By checking that $(A + UCV)(A + UCV)^{-1} = I$

$$\begin{aligned}(A + UCV) \times &\left[A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1} \right] \\ &= I + UCV A^{-1} - (U + UCV A^{-1}U)(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1} \\ &= I + UCV A^{-1} - UC(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1} \\ &= I + UCV A^{-1} - UCV A^{-1} = I.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

Exercise 3. (★★)[Sherman–Morrison formula] Let A be a $K \times K$ invertible matrix and u and v two $K \times 1$ column vectors. Verify that

$$(A + uv^{\top})^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + v^{\top}A^{-1}u}A^{-1}uv^{\top}A^{-1}$$

if $1 + v^T A^{-1} u \neq 0$, and if A is non-singular.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A + uv^T)(A + uv^T)^{-1} &= (A + uv^T) \left(A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u} \right) \\
 &= AA^{-1} + uv^T A^{-1} - \frac{AA^{-1}uv^T A^{-1} + uv^T A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u} \\
 &= I + uv^T A^{-1} - \frac{uv^T A^{-1} + uv^T A^{-1}uv^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u} \\
 &= I + uv^T A^{-1} - \frac{u(1 + v^T A^{-1}u)v^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u} \\
 &= I + uv^T A^{-1} - uv^T A^{-1} \\
 &= I
 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4. (★★)[Block partition matrix inversion] Let A be $K \times K$ invertible matrix, and let $B = A^{-1}$ its inverse. Consider Partition

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}; B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Namely, $B_{11} = [A^{-1}]_{11}$ is the upper corner of the A^{-1} , etc...

Show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{11}^{-1} &= B_{11} = B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} \\
 A_{11}^{-1} A_{12} &= -B_{12} B_{22}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hint: Start by noticing that

$$AB = I \iff \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = I \\ A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Solution. It is

$$AB = I \iff \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = I \\ A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = 0 \end{cases}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} &= 0 \iff \\
 A_{11}^{-1}(A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22})B_{22}^{-1} &= 0 \iff \\
 B_{12}B_{22}^{-1} + A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} = -B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}$$

54 Also

$$\begin{aligned} 55 \quad & A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} = 0 \iff \\ 56 \quad & (A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22})B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} = 0 \iff \\ 57 \quad & A_{11}B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} + A_{12}B_{21} = 0 \\ 58 \quad & A_{12}B_{21} = -A_{11}B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} \end{aligned}$$

59 Then, we plug in the above in $A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = I$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 60 \quad & A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} = I \iff \\ 61 \quad & A_{11}B_{11} - A_{11}B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} = I \iff \\ 62 \quad & B_{11} - B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21} = A_{11}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

63 So

$$64 \quad A_{11}^{-1} = B_{11} = B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}$$

Part II

Random variables

Exercise 5. (*) Let $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a univariate random variable with CDF $F_y(\cdot)$. Consider a bijective function $h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ with $z = h(y)$, and h^{-1} its inverse. The PDF of z is

$$F_z(z) = \begin{cases} F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } h \nearrow \\ 1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) & \text{if } h \searrow \end{cases}$$

Solution. It is $z = h(y) \Leftrightarrow y = h^{-1}(z)$

For if $h \nearrow$ it is

$$F_z(z) = P(Z \leq z) = P(h^{-1}(Z) \leq h^{-1}(z)) = P(Y \leq h^{-1}(z)) = F_Y(h^{-1}(z))$$

For if $h \searrow$ it is

$$F_z(z) = P(Z \leq z) = P(h^{-1}(Z) \geq h^{-1}(z)) = P(Y \geq h^{-1}(z)) = 1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z))$$

Exercise 6. (*) Let $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a univariate random variable with PDF $f_y(\cdot)$. Consider a bijective function $h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and let h^{-1} be the inverse function of h . Consider a univariate random variable such that $z = h(y)$. The PDF of z is

$$f_z(z) = f_y(y) \left| \det\left(\frac{dy}{dz}\right) \right| = f_y(h^{-1}(z)) \left| \det\left(\frac{d}{dz} h^{-1}(z)\right) \right|$$

Solution. It is $z = h(y) \Leftrightarrow y = h^{-1}(z)$

For if $h \nearrow$ it is

$$F_z(z) = P(Z \leq z) = P(h^{-1}(Z) \leq h^{-1}(z)) = P(Y \leq h^{-1}(z)) = F_Y(h^{-1}(z))$$

and

$$f_z(z) = \frac{d}{dz} F_z(z) = \frac{d}{dz} F_Y(h^{-1}(z)) = \frac{d}{dh^{-1}} F_Y(h^{-1}) \det\left(\frac{d}{dz} h^{-1}(z)\right)$$

For if $h \searrow$ it is

$$F_z(z) = P(Z \leq z) = P(h^{-1}(Z) \geq h^{-1}(z)) = P(Y \geq h^{-1}(z)) = 1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z))$$

and

$$f_z(z) = \frac{d}{dz} F_z(z) = \frac{d}{dz} [1 - F_Y(h^{-1}(z))] = -\frac{d}{dh^{-1}} F_Y(h^{-1}) \det\left(\frac{d}{dz} h^{-1}(z)\right)$$

but $\det\left(\frac{d}{dz} h^{-1}(z)\right) < 0$ because $h \searrow$. So in both cases:

$$f_z(z) = f_y(h^{-1}(z)) \left| \det\left(\frac{d}{dz} h^{-1}(z)\right) \right|$$

Exercise 7. (*) Let $y \sim \text{Ex}(\lambda)$ r.v. with Exponential distribution with rate parameter $\lambda > 0$, and $f_{\text{Ex}(\lambda)}(y) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda y) 1(y \geq 0)$. Let $z = 1 - \exp(-\lambda y)$. Calculate the PDF of z , and recognize its distribution.

Solution. It is $z = 1 - \exp(-\lambda y) \iff y = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - z)$, and $z \in [0, 1]$. So $h^{-1}(z) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_z(z) &= f_{\text{Ex}(\lambda)}(h^{-1}(z)) \times \left| \det \left(\frac{d}{dz} h^{-1}(z) \right) \right| = f_{\text{Ex}(\lambda)} \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - z) \right) \times \left| \det \left(\frac{d}{dz} -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - z) \right) \right| \\ &= \exp \left(-\lambda \frac{-1}{\lambda} \log(1 - z) \right) 1 \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - z) \geq 0 \right) \times \left| -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - z} \right| = 1(z \in [0, 1]) \end{aligned}$$

From the density, we recognize that $z \sim \text{U}(0, 1)$ follows a uniform distribution.

Exercise 8. (★) Prove the following properties

1. Let matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times d}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^q$, and $z = c + Ay$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(z) = \mathbb{E}(c + Ay) = c + A\mathbb{E}(y)$$

2. Let random variables $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and let functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 defined on \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Y} , then

$$\mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z) + \psi_2(y)) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z)) + \mathbb{E}(\psi_2(y))$$

3. If random variables $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ are independent then

$$\mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z)\psi_2(y)) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z))\mathbb{E}(\psi_2(y))$$

for any functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 defined on \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Y} .

Solution.

1. It is

$$\mathbb{E}(z) = \mathbb{E}(c + Ay) = \int (c + Ay) dF(y) = c + A \int y dF(y) = c + A\mathbb{E}(y)$$

2. It is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z) + \psi_2(y)) &= \int (\psi_1(z) + \psi_2(y)) dF((z, y)) = \int \psi_1(z) dF((z, y)) + \int \psi_2(y) dF((z, y)) \\ &= \int \psi_1(z) dF(z) + \int \psi_2(y) dF(y) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z)) + \mathbb{E}(\psi_2(y)) \end{aligned}$$

3. If random variables $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ then

$$dF(z, y) = dF(z)dF(y)$$

It is

$$\mathbb{E}(\psi_1(z)\psi_2(y)) = \int (\psi_1(z)\psi_2(y)) dF((z, y)) = \left(\int \psi_1(z) dF(z) \right) \left(\int \psi_2(y) dF(y) \right)$$

Exercise 9. (★) Prove the following properties of the covariance matrix

$$1. \text{Cov}(z, y) = \mathbb{E}(zy^\top) - \mathbb{E}(z)(\mathbb{E}(y))^\top$$

$$2. \text{Cov}(z, y) = (\text{Cov}(y, z))^\top$$

$$3. \text{Cov}_\pi(c_1 + A_1 z, c_2 + A_2 y) = A_1 \text{Cov}_\pi(z, y) A_2^\top, \text{ for fixed matrices } A_1, A_2, \text{ and vectors } c_1, c_2 \text{ with suitable dimensions.}$$

4. If z and y are independent random vectors then $\text{Cov}(z, y) = 0$

Solution.

1. It is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(z, y) &= \mathbb{E}((z - \mathbb{E}(z))(y - \mathbb{E}(y))^\top) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(zy^\top - z\mathbb{E}(y)^\top - \mathbb{E}(z)y^\top + \mathbb{E}(z)\mathbb{E}(y)^\top) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(zy^\top) - \mathbb{E}(z)(\mathbb{E}(y))^\top\end{aligned}$$

2. It is

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{Cov}(y, z))^\top &= (\mathbb{E}((y - \mathbb{E}(y))(z - \mathbb{E}(z))^\top))^\top = \mathbb{E}(((y - \mathbb{E}(y))(z - \mathbb{E}(z))^\top)^\top)^\top \\ &= \mathbb{E}((z - \mathbb{E}(z))(y - \mathbb{E}(y))^\top) = \text{Cov}(z, y)\end{aligned}$$

3. It is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(c_1 + A_1 z, c_2 + A_2 y) &= \mathbb{E}((c_1 + A_1 z)(c_2 + A_2 y)^\top) - \mathbb{E}(c_1 + A_1 z)(\mathbb{E}(c_2 + A_2 y))^\top \\ &= \dots = A_1 (\mathbb{E}(zy^\top) - \mathbb{E}(z)(\mathbb{E}(y))^\top) A_2^\top = A_1 \text{Cov}(z, y) A_2^\top\end{aligned}$$

4. Obviously since

$$\text{Cov}(z, y) = 0 \iff \text{Cov}(z_i, y_j) = \begin{cases} i = j \\ i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Exercise 10. (★) Prove that the (i, j) -th element of the covariance matrix between vector z and y is the covariance between their elements z_i and y_j :

$$[\text{Cov}(z, y)]_{i,j} = \text{Cov}(z_i, y_j)$$

Solution.

It is

$$\begin{aligned}[\text{Cov}(z, y)]_{i,j} &= [\mathbb{E}(zy^\top) - \mathbb{E}(z)(\mathbb{E}(y))^\top]_{i,j} = \\ &= [\mathbb{E}(zy^\top)]_{i,j} - [\mathbb{E}(z)(\mathbb{E}(y))^\top]_{i,j} \\ &= \mathbb{E}(z_i y_j^\top) - \mathbb{E}(z_i)(\mathbb{E}(y_j))^\top = \text{Cov}(z_i, y_j)\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 11. (★) Prove the following properties of $\text{Var}(Y)$ for a random vector $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$

1. $\text{Var}(y) = \mathbb{E}(yy^\top) - \mathbb{E}(y)(\mathbb{E}(y))^\top$
2. $\text{Var}(c + Ay) = A\text{Var}(y)A^\top$, for fixed matrix A , and vectors c with suitable dimensions.
3. $\text{Var}(y) \geq 0$; (semi-positive definite)

Solution.

1. $\text{Var}(y) = \text{Cov}(y, y) = \mathbb{E}(yy^\top) - \mathbb{E}(y)(\mathbb{E}(y))^\top$
2. $\text{Var}(c + Ay) = \text{Cov}(c + Ay, c + Ay) = A\text{Cov}(y, y)A^\top = A\text{Var}(y)A^\top$

3. For any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^q$

$$\begin{aligned} t^\top \text{Var}(y)t &= t^\top \mathbb{E}((y - \mathbb{E}(y))(y - \mathbb{E}(y))^\top) t \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\left(t^\top (y - \mathbb{E}(y))\right) \left(t^\top (y - \mathbb{E}(y))\right)^\top\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(zz^\top) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^d z_j^2\right) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $z = t^\top (y - \mathbb{E}(y))$.

Exercise 12. (★) Prove the following properties of characteristic functions

1. $\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = e^{it^\top A} \varphi_x(B^\top t)$ if $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ are constants
2. $\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \varphi_x(t) \varphi_y(t)$ if and only if x and y are independent
3. if $M_x(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{t^\top x})$ is the moment generating function, then $M_x(t) = \varphi_x(-it)$

Solution.

1. It is

$$\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it^\top (A+Bx)}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{A+it^\top Bx}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it^\top A} e^{iB^\top tx}) = e^{it^\top A} \mathbb{E}(e^{i(B^\top t)x}) = e^{it^\top A} \varphi_x(B^\top t)$$

2. straightforward

3. straightforward

Exercise 13. (★) Show that if $X \sim \text{Ex}(\lambda)$ then $\varphi_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$.

Solution. It is

$$\varphi_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itX} \underbrace{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mathbf{1}(X > 0)}_{=f_{\text{Ex}}(x|\lambda)} dx = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x(\lambda - itX)} dx = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$$

Exercise 14. (★)

1. Find $\varphi_X(t)$ if $X \sim \text{Br}(p)$.
2. Find $\varphi_Y(t)$ if $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$

Solution.

1. It is

$$\varphi_X(t) = \sum_{x=0,1} e^{itX} P(X=x) = e^{it0}(1-p) + e^{it1}p = (1-p) + pe^{it}$$

2. Because Binomial r.v. results as a summation of n IID Bernoulli r.v., it is $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, where $X_i \sim \text{Br}(p)$ $i = 1, \dots, n$ and IID. Then

$$\varphi_Y(t) = \varphi_{\sum X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_{X_i}(t) = ((1-p) + pe^{it})^n$$

Exercise 15. (★★) Prove the following statement related to the Bayesian theorem:

Assume a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Let a random variable $y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with distribution $F(\cdot)$. Consider a partition $y = (x, \theta)$ with $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$. Then the probability density function (PDF), or the probability mass function (PMF) of $\theta|x$ is

$$f(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)} \quad (1)$$

Hint Consider cases where x is discrete and continuous. In the later case use the mean value theorem :

$$\int_A f(x)g(x)dx = f(\xi) \int_A g(x)dx$$

where $\xi \in A$ if A is connected, and $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in A$.

Solution. We consider separately two cases.

x is discrete: _

Let $\Theta_0 \subseteq \Theta$ be any sub-set of Θ ; I need to show that

$$P(\theta \in \Theta_0|x) = \frac{\int_{\Theta_0} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \int_{\Theta_0} \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)}d\theta & , \theta \text{ cont.} \\ \sum_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)} & , \theta \text{ discr.} \end{cases}$$

By Bayes theorem it is

$$P(\theta \in \Theta_0|x) = \frac{P(\Theta_0, x)}{P(x)}$$

where $P(x) = \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)$ and $P(\Theta_0, x) = \int_{\Theta_0} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)$.

x is continuous: _

Let $\Theta_0 \subseteq \Theta$ be any sub-set of Θ ; because the probability $P(x) = 0$, I need to show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} P(\theta \in \Theta_0|B_r(x)) = \frac{\int_{\Theta_0} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \int_{\Theta_0} \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)}d\theta & , \theta \text{ cont.} \\ \sum_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \frac{f(x|\theta)f(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)dF(\theta)} & , \theta \text{ discr.} \end{cases}$$

for an open ball $B_r(x) = \{x' \in \mathcal{X} : |x' - x| < r\}$. By Bayes theorem

$$P(\theta \in \Theta_0|B_r(x)) = \frac{P(\Theta_0, B_r(x))}{P(B_r(x))}$$

where

$$P(\Theta_0, B_r(x)) = \int_{\Theta_0} \left[\int_{B_r(x)} f(\zeta|\theta)d\zeta \right] dF(\theta)$$

$$P(B_r(x)) = \int_{\Theta} \left[\int_{B_r(x)} f(\zeta|\theta)d\zeta \right] dF(\theta)$$

By mean value theorem¹ there exists $\zeta' \in B_r(y)$ such as

$$\int_{B_r(x)} f(\zeta|\theta) d\zeta = f(\zeta'|\theta) \int_{B_r(x)} d\zeta = f(\zeta'|\theta) \|B_r(x)\|$$

Then

$$P(\theta \in \Theta_0 | B_r(x)) = \frac{\int_{\Theta_0} [f(\zeta'|\theta) \|B_r(x)\|] dF(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} [f(\zeta'|\theta) \|B_r(x)\|] dF(\theta)} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{\Theta_0} f(\zeta|\theta) dF(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(\zeta|\theta) dF(\theta)}$$

Exercise 16. (★) Prove that:

1. if $Z \sim N(0, I)$ then $\varphi_Z(t) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^T t)$, where $Z \in \mathbb{R}^d$
2. if $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ then $\varphi_X(t) = \exp(it^T \mu - \frac{1}{2}t^T \Sigma t)$, where $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Hint: Assume as known that if $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ then $\varphi_Z(t) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2)$, where $Z \in \mathbb{R}$

Solution.

1. It is

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_Z(t) &= E(\exp(it^T Z)) = E(\exp(i \sum_{j=1}^d (t_j Z_j))) = E(\prod_{j=1}^d \exp(it_j Z_j)) = \prod_{j=1}^d E(\exp(it_j Z_j)) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^d \varphi_{Z_j}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^d \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t_j^2) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d t_j^2) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^T t) \end{aligned}$$

2. Assume a matrix L such as $\Sigma = LL^T$. It is $X = \mu + LZ$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_X(t) &= \varphi_{\mu + LZ}(t) = e^{it^T \mu} \varphi_Z(L^T t) = e^{it^T \mu} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(L^T t)^T L^T t) \\ &= e^{it^T \mu} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^T L L^T t) = \exp(it^T \mu - \frac{1}{2}t^T \Sigma t) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 17. (★) Show the following properties of the Characteristic Function

1. $\varphi_x(0) = 1$ and $|\varphi_x(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$
2. $\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = e^{it^T A} \varphi_x(B^T t)$ if $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ are constants
3. x and y are independent then $\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \varphi_x(t) \varphi_y(t)$ (we do not prove the other way around)
4. if $M_x(t) = E(e^{t^T x})$ is the moment generating function, then $M_x(t) = \varphi_x(-it)$

Solution.

1. It is $\varphi_x(0) = E(e^{i0^T x}) = E(1) = 1$. Also

$$|\varphi_x(t)| = |E(e^{it^T x})| = \left| \int (\cos(t^T x) + i \sin(t^T x)) dF(x) \right| \leq \int |\cos(t^T x) + i \sin(t^T x)| dF(x) \leq \int 1 dF(x) = 1$$

2. It is

$$\varphi_{A+Bx}(t) = E(e^{it^T (A+Bx)}) = E(e^{it^T A + B^T t^T x}) = E(e^{Ai} e^{i(B^T t)^T x}) = e^{it^T A} \varphi_x(B^T t)$$

¹ $\int_A f(x)g(x)dx = f(\xi) \int_A g(x)dx$ where $\xi \in A$ if A is connected, and $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in A$.

235 3. It is

236
$$\varphi_{x+y}(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it^T(x+y)}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it^T x} e^{it^T y}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it^T x}) \mathbb{E}(e^{it^T y}) = \varphi_x(t) \varphi_y(t)$$

Part III

Probability calculus

Exercise 18. (★) Let a random variable $x \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$, a fixed value $c > 0$, and $y = cx$ then $y \sim \text{IG}(a, cb)$.

Solution. It is $y = cx$ and $x = \frac{1}{c}y$

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) = f_{\text{IG}(a,b)}(x) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right| &\propto \left(\frac{1}{c}y \right)^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\frac{1}{c}y}\right) 1_{(0,+\infty)}\left(\frac{1}{c}y\right) \frac{1}{c} \\ &\propto y^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{cb}{y}\right) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(y) = f_{\text{IG}(a,cb)}(y) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 19. (★★) Consider that x given z is distributed according to $\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})$, and that z is distributed according to $\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$; i.e.

$$\begin{cases} x|z &\sim \text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2}) \\ z &\sim \text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}) \end{cases}$$

Here, $\text{Ga}(\alpha, \beta)$ is the Gamma distribution with shape and rate parameters α and β , and PDF

$$f_{\text{Ga}(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} 1(x > 0)$$

1. Show that the compound distribution of x is $F(x) \sim F(n, m)$, where $F(n, m)$ is F distribution with numerator and denominator degrees of freedom n and m , and PDF

$$f_{F(n,m)}(x) = \frac{1}{x B(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \sqrt{\frac{(nx)^n m^m}{(nx+m)^{n+m}}} 1(x > 0)$$

2. Show that

$$E_{F(n,m)}(x) = \frac{m}{m-2}$$

3. Show that

$$\text{Var}_{F(n,m)}(x) = \frac{2m^2(n+m-2)}{n(m-2)^2(m-4)}$$

Hint: If $\xi \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$ then $E_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(a,b)}(\xi) = \frac{b}{a-1}$, and $\text{Var}_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(a,b)}(\xi) = \frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)}$

Solution.

1. It is

$$f_{\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nz}{2})}(x|z) = \frac{(\frac{nz}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{nz}{2}x} 1(x > 0) ; \quad f_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})}(z) = \frac{(\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} z^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{m}{2}z} 1(z > 0)$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \int f_{\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nx}{2})}(x|z) f_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})}(z) dz \\
 &= \int \overbrace{\frac{(\frac{nx}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{nx}{2}x} 1(x>0)}^{=f_{\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nx}{2})}(x|z)} \overbrace{\frac{(\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} z^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{m}{2}z} 1(z>0)}^{=f_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})}(z)} dz \\
 &= \frac{(\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \frac{(\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} 1(x>0) x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_0^\infty z^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{nx}{2}z} z^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{m}{2}z} dz \\
 &= \frac{(\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \frac{(\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} 1(x>0) x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_0^\infty z^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{nx}{2})z} dz \\
 &= \frac{(\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\text{B}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} 1(x>0) x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left(\frac{m}{2} + \frac{nx}{2}\right)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{m}{2})} \\
 &= \frac{(n)^{\frac{n}{2}} (m)^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\text{B}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \frac{1}{x} \sqrt{\frac{x^n}{(m+nx)^{n+m}}} 1(x>0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{x \text{B}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \sqrt{\frac{(nx)^n m^m}{(nx+m)^{n+m}}} 1(x>0)
 \end{aligned}$$

2. It is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}(x) &= \mathbb{E}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nx}{2})}(x|z) \right) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} (\xi) = \frac{\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{m}{2} - 1} = \frac{m}{m-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. It is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(x) &= \mathbb{E}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\text{Var}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nx}{2})}(x|z) \right) + \text{Var}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{nx}{2})}(x|z) \right) \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{2}{nz^2} \right) + \text{Var}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) = \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{z^2} \right) + \text{Var}_{\text{Ga}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} (\xi^2) + \text{Var}_{\xi \sim \text{IG}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})} (\xi) \\
 &= \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{(\frac{m}{2})^2}{(\frac{m}{2}-1)(\frac{m}{2}-2)} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{m}{2}-1} \right) = \dots = \frac{2m^2(n+m-2)}{n(m-2)^2(m-4)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 20. (★★) Prove the following statement:

Let $x \sim \text{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $y = (x - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)$. Then

$$y \sim \chi_d^2$$

Solution. It is

$$y = (x - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) = \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} (x - \mu) \right)^\top \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} (x - \mu) \right) = z^\top z = \sum_{i=1}^d z_i^2$$

where $z = \Sigma^{-1/2} (x - \mu)$, and $z \sim \text{N}_d(0, I)$. Because $z_i \sim \text{N}(0, 1)$, it is $\sum_{i=1}^d z_i^2 \sim \chi_d^2$ (from stats concepts 2).

Exercise 21. (★★)Let

$$\begin{cases} x|\xi & \sim \mathbf{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi) \\ \xi & \sim \text{IG}(a, b) \end{cases}$$

with PDF

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right) \\ f_{\text{IG}(a, b)}(\xi) &= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \xi^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\xi}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

Show that the marginal PDF of x is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int f_{\mathbf{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) f_{\text{IG}(a, b)}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{2a^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{\det(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma)}} \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2a}(x-\mu)^\top \left(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma\right)^{-1}(x-\mu)\right]^{-\frac{(2a+d)}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

FYI: For $a = b = \frac{v}{2}$, the marginal PDF is the PDF of the d -dimensional Student T distribution.

Solution. It is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int f_{\mathbf{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi)}(x|\xi) f_{\text{IG}(a, b)}(\xi) d\xi = \\ &= \underbrace{\int \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma\xi)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \frac{\Sigma^{-1}}{\xi}(x-\mu)\right)}_{=\mathbf{N}_d(x|\mu, \Sigma\xi)} \underbrace{\frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \xi^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\xi}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\xi) d\xi}_{=\text{IG}(\xi|a, b)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int \xi^{-a-1-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\xi} \left[\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) + b\right]\right) d\xi \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \Gamma\left(a + \frac{d}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) + b\right]^{-(a+\frac{d}{2})} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma)}} \frac{b^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(a)} \Gamma\left(a + \frac{d}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) \frac{1}{b} + 1\right]^{-\frac{(2a+d)}{2}} \\ &= \frac{2a^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{\det(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma)}} \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2a}(x-\mu)^\top \left(\frac{b}{a}\Sigma\right)^{-1}(x-\mu)\right]^{-\frac{(2a+d)}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

The Following exercise is part of Homework 1

Exercise 22. (★★★)

Let $x \sim \mathbf{T}_d(\mu, \Sigma, \nu)$. Recall that $x \sim \mathbf{T}_d(\mu, \Sigma, \nu)$ is the marginal distribution $f_x(x) = \int f_{x|\xi}(x|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) d\xi$ of (x, ξ) where

$$\begin{aligned} x|\xi &\sim \mathbf{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi v) \\ \xi &\sim \text{IG}\left(\frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Consider partition such that

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \Sigma_{21}^\top \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ and $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$.

Address the following:

1. Show that the marginal distribution of x_1 is such that

$$x_1 \sim \mathcal{T}_{d_1}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1, \nu)$$

Hint: Try to use the form $f_x(x) = \int f_{x|\xi}(x|\xi)f_\xi(\xi)d\xi$.

2. Show that

$$\xi|x_1 \sim \text{IG}\left(\frac{1}{2}(d_1 + v), \frac{1}{2}\frac{Q + v}{v}\right)$$

where $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(\mu_1 - x_1)$.

Hint: The PDF of $y \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ is

$$f(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(y - \mu)\right)$$

Hint: The PDF of $y \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$ is

$$f_{\text{IG}(a,b)}(y) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} y^{-a-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{y}\right) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(y)$$

3. Let $\xi' = \xi \frac{v}{Q+v}$, with $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(\mu_1 - x_1)$, show that

$$\xi'|x_1 \sim \text{IG}\left(\frac{v + d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

4. Show that the conditional distribution of $x_2|x_1$ is such that

$$x_2|x_1 \sim \mathcal{T}_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{2|1} &= \mu_2 + \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (x_1 - \mu_1) \\ \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1} &= \frac{\nu + (\mu_1 - x_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mu_1 - x_1)}{\nu + d_1} \Sigma_{2|1} \\ \Sigma_{2|1} &= \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_1^{-1} \Sigma_{21}^\top \\ \nu_{2|1} &= \nu + d_1 \end{aligned}$$

Hint: You can use the Example [Marginalization & conditioning] from the Lecture Handout

Solution.

1. From what is given, it is $x|\xi \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma\xi v)$ and $\xi \sim \text{IG}(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ namely,

$$f_x(x) = \int f_{x_1, x_2|\xi}(x_1, x_2|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) d\xi = \int f_{x_2|\xi, x_1}(x_2|\xi, x_1) f_{x_1|\xi}(x_1|\xi) f_\xi(\xi) d\xi$$

It is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{x_1}(x_1) &= \int \int f_{x_1, x_2 | \xi}(x_1, x_2 | \xi) f_{\xi}(\xi) d\xi dx_2 = \int \int f_{x_2 | \xi, x_1}(x_2 | \xi, x_1) f_{x_1 | \xi}(x_1 | \xi) f_{\xi}(\xi) d\xi dx_2 \\ &= \int \left(\int f_{x_2 | \xi, x_1}(x_2 | \xi, x_1) dx_2 \right) f_{x_1 | \xi}(x_1 | \xi) f_{\xi}(\xi) d\xi = \int f_{x_1 | \xi}(x_1 | \xi) f_{\xi}(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Because $x_1 | \xi \sim N_{d_1}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1 \xi v)$, and $\xi \sim \text{IG}(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, it is $x_1 \sim T_{d_1}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1, \nu)$ from the statement of the question.

2. From what is given, it is $x | \xi \sim N_d(\mu, \Sigma \xi v)$, and hence $x_1 | \xi \sim N_d(\mu_1, \Sigma_1 \xi v)$ as marginal of a Normal distribution. From the Bayes Theorem, it is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\xi | x_1}(\xi | x_1) &\propto f_{x_1 | \xi}(x_1 | \xi) f_{\xi}(\xi) \\ &\propto \xi^{-\frac{d_1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - \mu_1)^{\top} (\Sigma_1 \xi v)^{-1} (x_1 - \mu_1)\right) \times \xi^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\xi} \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &\propto \xi^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\xi} \frac{1}{2} \left[(x_1 - \mu_1)^{\top} \Sigma_1^{-1} (x_1 - \mu_1) \frac{1}{v} + 1\right]\right) \\ &\propto \xi^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\xi} \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q + v}{v}\right) \end{aligned}$$

This is the kernel of the Inverse Gamma distribution, and hence I can recognize that

$$\xi | x_1 \sim \text{IG}\left(\frac{1}{2}(d_1 + v), \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q + v}{v}\right).$$

3. Let $\xi' = \xi \frac{v}{Q+v}$, with $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^{\top} \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mu_1 - x_1)$. Then it is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi' | x_1) &= f_{\text{IG}(\frac{1}{2}(d_1+v), \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q+v}{v})}(\xi | x_1) \left| \frac{d\xi}{d\xi'} \right| \propto (Q\xi')^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{Q+v}{v} \frac{1}{\frac{Q+v}{v}\xi'}\right) 1_{(0,+\infty)}\left(\frac{Q+v}{v}\xi'\right) \frac{Q+v}{v} \\ &\propto (\xi')^{-\frac{d_1+v}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\xi'}\right) 1_{(0,+\infty)}(\xi') = f_{\text{IG}(\frac{v+d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(\xi') \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\xi' | x_1 \sim \text{IG}\left(\frac{v + d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

4. I will try to show that

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 | \xi', x_1 &\sim N_{d_2}\left(\mu_{2|1}, (v + d_1) \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1} \xi'\right) \\ \xi' | x_1 &\sim \text{IG}\left(\frac{v + d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$x_2 | x_1 \sim T_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$$

since because

$$f_{x_2 | x_1}(x_2 | x_1) = \int f_{x_2 | \xi, x_1}(x_2 | \xi, x_1) f_{\xi}(\xi | x_1) d\xi$$

- I have calculated that

$$\xi' | x_1 \sim \text{IG}\left(\frac{v + d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

where $\xi' = \xi \frac{v}{Q+v}$ with $Q = (\mu_1 - x_1)^{\top} \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mu_1 - x_1)$.

- It is (from multivariate Normal properties of the Example in the Hint)

$$x_2|\xi, x_1 \sim N_{d_2} \left(\mu_{2|1}, \underbrace{(\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_1^{-1}\Sigma_{21}^\top)}_{=\Sigma_{2|1}}\xi v \right) \equiv N_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \Sigma_{2|1}v\xi)$$

where $\mu_{2|1} = \mu_2 + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_1^{-1}(x_1 - \mu_1)$. If I rearrange the parameters in order to appear $\xi' = \xi \frac{v}{Q+v}$ in the covariance I get

$$x_2|\xi, x_1 \sim N_{d_2} \left(\mu_{2|1}, \Sigma_{2|1}v\xi' \frac{v+Q}{v} \frac{v+d_1}{v+d_1} \right)$$

By setting

$$\dot{\Sigma}_{2|1} = \Sigma_{2|1} \frac{v+Q}{v+d_1}$$

I get

$$x_2|\xi', x_1 \sim N_{d_2} \left(\mu_{2|1}, (v+d_1)\dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}\xi' \right)$$

So I have

$$\begin{aligned} x_2|\xi', x_1 &\sim N_{d_2} \left(\mu_{2|1}, (v+d_1)\dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}\xi' \right) \\ \xi'|x_1 &\sim \text{IG} \left(\frac{v+d_1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which gives that $x_2|x_1 \sim T_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$ with $\nu_{2|1} = v+d_1$. So the distribution of $x_2|x_1$ is $x_2|x_1 \sim T_{d_2}(\mu_{2|1}, \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}, \nu_{2|1})$.

Note: Alternatively, one could prove sub-questions (2) and (4) by performing several pages of Matrix calculations to show that

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x|\mu, \Sigma) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+d}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})\nu^{\frac{d}{2}}\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}\det(\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\nu}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) \right)^{-\frac{\nu+d}{2}} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+d_1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})\nu^{\frac{d_1}{2}}\pi^{\frac{d_1}{2}}\det(\Sigma_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\nu}(x_1-\mu_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}(x_1-\mu_1) \right)^{-\frac{\nu+d_1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu_{2|1}+d_2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu_{2|1}}{2})\nu_{2|1}^{\frac{d_2}{2}}\pi^{\frac{d_2}{2}}\det(\dot{\Sigma}_{2|1})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\nu_{2|1}}(x_2-\mu_{2|1})^\top \dot{\Sigma}_{2|1}^{-1}(x_2-\mu_{2|1}) \right)^{-\frac{\nu_{2|1}+d_2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

see Raiffa, H., & Schlaifer, R. (1961; Section 8.3). Applied statistical decision theory. This requires a lot of vector and matrix calculus.

Exercise 23. (★★) Show that

1. If $x_i \sim N_d(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $y = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i$, then

$$y \sim N_d \left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top \right)$$

2. If $x_i \sim \text{T}_d(\mu_i, \Sigma_i, v)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $z = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i$, then

$$z \sim \text{T}_d\left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top, v\right)$$

Solution.

1. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$a^\top y = a^\top \left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i \right) = a^\top c + \sum_{i=1}^n a^\top B_i x_i = a^\top c + \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^\top a)^\top x_i$$

follows a univariate Normal distribution. So y follows a d -dimensional Normal by definition. Also

$$\mathbb{E}(y) = \mathbb{E}\left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i\right) = c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$$

and

$$\text{Var}(y) = \text{Var}\left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \text{Var}(x_i) B_i^\top = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top$$

So by definition $y \sim \text{N}_d\left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top\right)$.

2. It is

$$z = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i x_i = c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \left(\mu_i + y_i \sqrt{v\xi} \right) = \left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \mu_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n B_i y_i \right) \sqrt{v\xi}$$

for $y_i \sim \text{N}_d(0, \Sigma_i)$ and $\xi \sim \text{IG}(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, and hence

$$z = \left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \mu_i \right) + \tilde{y} \sqrt{v\xi}$$

where $\tilde{y} \sim \text{N}_d(0, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top)$. Hence, $z \sim \text{T}_d\left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \Sigma_i B_i^\top, v\right)$ by definition.

Part IV

Bayesian paradigm and calculations

Exercise 24. (★) Consider an i.i.d. sample y_1, \dots, y_n from the skew-logistic distribution with PDF

$$f(y_i|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})^{\theta+1}}$$

with parameter $\theta \in (0, \infty)$. To account for the uncertainty about θ we assign a Gamma prior distribution with PDF

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} 1(\theta \in (0, \infty)),$$

and fixed hyper parameters a, b specified by the researcher's prior info.

1. Derive the posterior distribution of θ .
2. Derive the predictive PDF for a future $z = y_{n+1}$.

Solution. It is

$$f(y_i|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})^{\theta+1}} = \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})} \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-y_i}))$$

1. By using the Bayes theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|y) &\propto f(y|\theta)\pi(\theta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\theta)\pi(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})^{\theta+1}} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} 1(\theta \in (0, \infty)) \\ &\propto \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-y_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i})} \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-y_i})) \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} 1(\theta \in (0, \infty)) \\ &\propto \theta^{n+a-1} \exp\left(-\theta \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-y_i}) + b\right]\right) 1(\theta \in (0, \infty)) \propto \text{Ga}(\theta|a + n, b + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-y_i})) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\theta|y \sim \text{Ga}\left(\underbrace{a + n}_{=a^*}, \underbrace{b + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-y_i})}_{=b^*}\right)$$

2. By using the definition for the predictive PDF, it is

$$\begin{aligned} f(z|y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z|\theta)\pi(\theta|y)d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})} \theta \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-z})) \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \theta^{a^*-1} \exp(-\theta b^*) d\theta \\ &= \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta^{a^*+1-1} \exp(-\theta(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-z}))) d\theta \\ &= \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})} \frac{\Gamma(a^* + 1)}{(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-z}))^{a^*+1}} = \frac{e^{-z}}{(1 + e^{-z})} \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-z}))^{a^*+1}} a^* \end{aligned}$$

The following exercise is about Bayesian treatment in problems with nuisance unknown parameters

- Assume observable quantities $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Assume that the sampling distribution is $F(y|\theta)$ labeled by an unknown parameter $\theta \in \Theta$. Let $\theta = (\phi, \lambda)^\top$ with $\phi \in \Phi$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Assume You are interested in learning parameter $\phi \in \Phi$, and You are not interested in learning the unknown parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$; but both ϕ, λ are parts of the statistical model parameterisation. The unknown quantity $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is called nuisance parameter. We can call $\phi \in \Phi$ parameter of interest.
- In Bayesian Stats, learning (or quantifying uncertainty about) parameter of interest ϕ under the presence of a nuisance parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is performed according to the Bayesian paradigm as usual: You specify a prior $\Pi(\phi, \lambda)$ with PDF/PMF $\pi(\phi, \lambda) = \pi(\phi|\lambda)\pi(\lambda)$ on the joint space of ALL Your unknown parameters $\theta = (\phi, \lambda)^\top$; you compute the joint posterior distribution $d\Pi(\theta|y)$ of $\theta = (\phi, \lambda)^\top$ via the Bayesian theorem. Reasonably, Your posterior degree of believe about the parameter of interest ϕ given the data $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is given through the marginal posterior distribution $d\Pi(\phi|y)$.
- To summarize; Specify the Bayesian model as:

$$\begin{cases} y|\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta} \sim dF(y|\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta}) & , \text{ the statistical model} \\ \underbrace{(\phi, \lambda)}_{=\theta} \sim d\Pi(\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta}) & , \text{ the prior model} \end{cases}$$

The joint posterior of θ given y is $d\Pi(\theta|y) = d\Pi(\lambda|y, \phi)d\Pi(\phi|y)$ is with PDF/PMF

•

$$\pi(\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta}|y) = \frac{f(y|\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta})\pi(\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta})}{f(y)} = \underbrace{\frac{f(y|\phi, \lambda)\pi(\lambda|\phi)}{f(y|\phi)}}_{=\pi(\lambda|y, \phi)} \underbrace{\frac{f(y|\phi)\pi(\phi)}{f(y)}}_{=\pi(\phi|y)} = \pi(\lambda|y, \phi)\pi(\phi|y)$$

The (marginal) likelihood $f(y|\phi)$ of y given ϕ is

$$f(y|\phi) = \underbrace{\int_{\Lambda} f(y|\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta})d\Pi(\underbrace{\lambda|\phi}_{=\theta})}_{=E_{\Pi(\lambda|\phi)}(f(y|\phi, \lambda)|\phi)} = \begin{cases} \int_{\Lambda} f(y|\phi, \lambda)\pi(\lambda|\phi)d\lambda & , \text{ if } \lambda \text{ cont} \\ \sum_{\forall \lambda \in \Lambda} f(y|\phi, \lambda)\pi(\lambda|\phi) & , \text{ if } \lambda \text{ discr} \end{cases}$$

The PDF/PMF $\pi(\phi|y)$ of marginal posterior $d\Pi(\phi|y)$ of ϕ is

$$\pi(\phi|y) = \underbrace{\int_{\Lambda} \pi(\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta}|y)d\lambda}_{=E_{\Pi(\lambda|y)}(\pi(\phi|y, \lambda))} \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \pi(\phi|y) = \frac{f(y|\phi)\pi(\phi)}{f(y)}$$

The predictive distribution $G(z|y)$ of the next outcome $z = (y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+m})$ given y has pdf/pmf

$$g(z|y) = \int f(z|\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta})d\Pi(\underbrace{\phi, \lambda|y}_{=\theta})$$

and the marginal likelihood $f(y)$ is

$$f(y) = \int f(y|\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta})\pi(\underbrace{\phi, \lambda}_{=\theta})d\phi d\lambda$$

Exercise 25. (★★) Assume observable quantities $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ forming the available data set of size n . Assume that the observations are drawn i.i.d. from a sampling distribution which is judged to be in the Normal parametric family of distributions $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with unknown mean μ and variance σ^2 . We are interested in learning μ and the next outcome $z = y_{n+1}$. We do not care about σ^2 .

Assume You specify a Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} y_i | \mu, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n & , \text{Statistical model} \\ \mu | \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\tau_0}) & , \text{prior} \\ \sigma^2 \sim \text{IG}(a_0, k_0) & , \text{prior} \end{cases}$$

1. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta)^2 = n(\bar{y} - \theta)^2 + ns^2,$$

$$\text{where } s^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2.$$

2. Show that the joint posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu, \sigma^2 | y)$ is such as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu | y, \sigma^2 &\sim N(\mu_n, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\tau_n}) \\ \sigma^2 | y &\sim \text{IG}(a_n, k_n) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mu_n = \frac{n\bar{y} + \tau_0\mu_0}{n + \tau_0}; \quad \tau_n = n + \tau_0; \quad a_n = a_0 + n$$

$$k_n = k_0 + \frac{1}{2}ns_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tau_0n(\mu_0 - \bar{y})^2}{n + \tau_0}$$

Hint: It is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_1)^2}{v_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_2)^2}{v_2} \dots - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{v_n} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{v}} + C$$

where

$$\hat{v} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{v_i} \right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{v} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{v_i} \right); \quad C = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{\hat{v}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^2}{v_i}$$

3. Show that the marginal posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu | y)$ is such as

$$\mu | y \sim T_1 \left(\mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n} \frac{1}{\tau_n}, 2a_n \right)$$

Hint-1: If $x \sim \text{IG}(a, b)$, $y = cx$, then $y \sim \text{IG}(a, cb)$.

Hint-2: The definition of Student T is considered as known

4. Show that the predictive distribution $\Pi(z | y)$ is Student T such as

$$z | y \sim T_1 \left(\mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_n} + 1 \right), 2a_n \right)$$

Hint-1: Consider that

$$N(x | \mu_1, \sigma_1^2) N(x | \mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = N(x | m, v^2) N(\mu_1 | \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

where

$$v^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad m = v^2 \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2} \right)$$

Hint-2: The definition of Student T is considered as known

Solution.

1. It is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [(y_i - \bar{y}) - (\theta - \bar{y})]^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(y_i - \bar{y})^2 + (\theta - \bar{y})^2 - 2(y_i - \bar{y})(\theta - \bar{y}) \right] \\ &= ns^2 + n(\bar{y} - \theta)^2, \text{ where } s^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \end{aligned}$$

2. I use the Bayes theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mu, \sigma^2 | y) &\propto f(y | \mu, \sigma^2) \pi(\mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n N(y_i | \mu, \sigma^2) N(\mu | \mu_0, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\tau_0}) \text{IG}(\sigma^2 | a_0, k_0) \\ &\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma^2 / \tau_0} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{a_0+1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} k_0 \right) \\ &\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + a_0 + 1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{1/\tau_0} \right] - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} k_0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

It is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{1/\tau_0} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{\underbrace{v_n^2}_{=1/\tau_n}} + C_n$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1/\tau_0} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n + \tau_0} \implies \tau_n = n + \tau_0 \\ \mu_n &= v_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{1} + \frac{\mu_0}{1/\tau_0} \right) \implies \mu_n = \frac{n\bar{y} + \tau_0\mu_0}{n + \tau_0} \\ C_n &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_n^2}{v_n} - \frac{1}{2} \left(n \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + \tau_0\mu_0^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n\bar{y} + \tau_0\mu_0)^2}{n + \tau_0} - \frac{1}{2} \left(n \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + \tau_0\mu_0^2 \right) \\ &= \dots \text{Quest. 1} \dots = -\frac{1}{2} ns_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau_0 n (\mu_0 - \bar{y})^2}{n + \tau_0} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) &\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + a_0 + 1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{1/\tau_n} + C_n\right] - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} k_0\right) \\ &\propto \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{\sigma^2/\tau_n}\right)}_{\propto N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma^2/\tau_n)} \times \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2} + a_0 + 1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\overbrace{k_0 - C_n}^{=a_n})\right)}_{\propto \text{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n, k_n)} \\ &\propto N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma^2/\tau_n) \text{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n, k_n)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n &= \frac{n\bar{y} + \tau_0\mu_0}{n + \tau_0}; & a_n &= \frac{n}{2} + a_0; \\ \tau_n &= n + \tau_0; & k_n &= k_0 + \frac{1}{2}ns_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tau_0n(\mu_0 - \bar{y})^2}{n + \tau_0}.\end{aligned}$$

3. It is

$$\pi(\mu|y) = \int \pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) d\sigma^2 = \int N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma^2/\tau_n) \text{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n, k_n) d\sigma^2$$

by change of variable $\xi = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{2k_n}$, it is

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\mu|y) &= \int N(\mu|\mu_n, \xi 2k_n \frac{1}{\tau_n} \frac{2a_n}{2a_n}) \text{IG}(\xi|\frac{2a_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) d\xi = \int N(\mu|\mu_n, \xi \frac{1}{\tau_n} \frac{k_n}{a_n} 2a_n) \text{IG}(\xi|\frac{2a_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) d\xi \\ &= T_1(\mu|\mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n} \frac{1}{\tau_n}, 2a_n)\end{aligned}$$

4. It is

$$\begin{aligned}g(z|y) &= \int f(z|\mu, \sigma^2) \pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) d\mu d\sigma^2 = \int N(z|\mu, \sigma^2) N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma^2/\tau_n) \text{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n, k_n) d\mu d\sigma^2 \\ &= \int \left[\int N(z|\mu, \sigma^2) N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma^2/\tau_n) d\mu \right] \text{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n, k_n) d\sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

Normal density is symmetric $N(z|\mu, \sigma^2) N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma^2/\tau_n) = N(\mu|z, \sigma^2) N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma^2/\tau_n)$, and by using the Hint

$$\int N(\mu|z, \sigma^2) N(\mu|\mu_n, \sigma^2/\tau_n) d\mu = \int N(\mu|\text{const.}, \text{const.}) N\left(z|\mu_n, \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{\tau_n} + 1\right]\right) d\mu = N\left(z|\mu_n, \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{\tau_n} + 1\right]\right)$$

So

$$g(z|y) = \int N\left(z|\mu_n, \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{\tau_n} + 1\right]\right) \text{IG}(\sigma^2|a_n, k_n) d\sigma^2$$

by change the variable $\xi = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{2k_n}$, it is

$$g(z|y) = \int N\left(z|\mu_n, \xi \left[\frac{1}{\tau_n} + 1\right] \frac{k_n}{a_n} 2a_n\right) \text{IG}(\xi|\frac{2a_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) d\xi = T_1\left(z|\mu_n, \left[\frac{1}{\tau_n} + 1\right] \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n\right)$$

The following is about the Normal linear model of regression.

Exercise 26. (★★)(Normal linear regression model with unknown error variance)

<-story

Consider we are interested in recovering the mapping

$$x \xrightarrow{\eta(x)} y$$

in the sense that y is the response (output quantity) that depends on x which is the independent variable (input quantity) in a procedure; E.g.:

- y : precipitation in log scale
- $x = (\text{longitude, latitude})$: geographical coordinates.

It is believed that the mapping $\eta(x)$ can be represented as an expansion of d known polynomial functions $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{d-1}$ such as

$$\eta(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \phi_j(x) \beta_j = \Phi(x)^\top \beta; \quad \text{with } \Phi(x) = (\phi_0(x), \dots, \phi_{d-1}(x))^\top$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is unknown.

Assume observable quantities (data) in pairs (x_i, y_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n$; (E.g. from the i -th station at location x_i I got the reading y_i). Assume that the response observations $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ may be contaminated by noise with unknown variance; such that

$$y_i = \eta(x_i) + \epsilon_i$$

where $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ with unknown σ^2 .

You are interested in learning β , but you do not care about σ^2 . Also you want to learn the value of y_f at an untried x_f (i.e. the precipitation at any other location).

Consider the Bayesian model

<-set-up

$$y|\beta, \sigma^2 \sim N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2); \text{ the sampling distr}$$

$$\beta|\sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, V_0\sigma^2); \text{ prior distr}$$

$$\sigma^2 \sim \text{IG}(a_0, k_0) \text{ prior distr}$$

where Φ is the design matrix $[\Phi]_{i,j} = \Phi_j(x_i)$.

1. Show that the joint posterior distribution $d\Pi(\beta, \sigma^2|y)$ is such as

$$\beta|y, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_n, V_n\sigma^2); \quad \sigma^2|y \sim \text{IG}(a_n, k_n)$$

with

$$V_n^{-1} = \Phi^\top \Phi + V_0^{-1}; \quad \mu_n = V_n \left((\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top y + V_0^{-1} \mu_0 \right); \quad a_n = \frac{n}{2} + a_0$$

$$k_n = \frac{1}{2} (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n)^\top (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\top V_n^{-1} \mu_n + \frac{1}{2} (\mu_0^\top V_0^{-1} \mu_0 + y^\top \Phi^\top (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y) + k_0$$

Hint-1:

$$(y - \Phi\beta)^\top (y - \Phi\beta) = (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top [\Phi^\top \Phi] (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) + S_n; \quad S_n = (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n)^\top (y - \Phi \hat{\beta}_n); \quad \hat{\beta}_n = (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top y$$

Hint-2: If $\Sigma_1 > 0$ and $\Sigma_2 > 0$ symmetric

$$-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} (x - \mu_1) - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_2)^\top \Sigma_2^{-1} (x - \mu_2) = -\frac{1}{2} (x - m)^\top V^{-1} (x - m) + C$$

where

$$V^{-1} = \Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_2^{-1}; \quad m = V (\Sigma_1^{-1} \mu_1 + \Sigma_2^{-1} \mu_2); \quad C = \frac{1}{2} m^\top V^{-1} m - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_1^\top \Sigma_1^{-1} \mu_1 + \mu_2^\top \Sigma_2^{-1} \mu_2)$$

2. Show that the marginal posterior of β given y is

$$\beta|y \sim T_d(\mu_n, V_n \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n)$$

3. Show that the predictive distribution of an outcome $y_f = \Phi_f \beta + \epsilon$ with $\Phi_f = (\phi_0(x_f), \dots, \phi_{d-1}(x_f))$ and $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ at untried location x_f is

$$y_f|y \sim T_d(\mu_n, [\Phi^\top \Phi + 1] \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n)$$

Consider that

$$N(x|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) N(x|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = N(x|m, v^2) N(\mu_1|\mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

where

$$v^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad m = v^2 \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{\sigma_2^2} \right)$$

Hint-2: The definition of Student T is considered as known

Solution.

1. I use the Bayes theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) &\propto f(y|\mu, \sigma^2) \pi(\mu, \sigma^2) = N(y|\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2) N(\beta|\mu_0, \sigma^2 V_0) \text{IG}(\sigma^2|a_0, k_0) \\ &\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (y - \Phi\beta)^\top (I\sigma^2)^{-1} (y - \Phi\beta) \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\beta - \mu_0)^\top (V_0 \sigma^2)^{-1} (\beta - \mu_0) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{a_0+1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} k_0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

but

$$(y - \Phi\beta)^\top (y - \Phi\beta) = (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top [\Phi^\top \Phi] (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) + S_n; \quad S_n = (y - \Phi\hat{\beta}_n)^\top (y - \Phi\hat{\beta}_n); \quad \hat{\beta}_n = (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\top y$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mu, \sigma^2|y) &\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top [\Phi^\top \Phi] (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} S_n \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\beta - \mu_0)^\top (V_0 \sigma^2)^{-1} (\beta - \mu_0) \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{a_0+1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} k_0 \right) \\ &\propto \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top [\Phi^\top \Phi] (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\beta - \mu_0)^\top V_0^{-1} (\beta - \mu_0) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} + a_0 + 1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} S_n - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} k_0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

but

$$-\frac{1}{2} (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)^\top [\Phi^\top \Phi] (\beta - \hat{\beta}_n) - \frac{1}{2} (\beta - \mu_0)^\top V_0^{-1} (\beta - \mu_0) = -\frac{1}{2} (\beta - \mu_n)^\top V_n^{-1} (\beta - \mu_n) + \frac{1}{2} C_n$$

$$V_n^{-1} = \Phi^\top \Phi + V_0^{-1}; \quad \mu_n = V_n \left(\Phi^\top \Phi \hat{\beta}_n + V_0^{-1} \mu_0 \right) = V_n \left((\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y + V_0^{-1} \mu_0 \right)$$

$$C_n = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\top V_n^{-1} \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_0^\top V_0^{-1} \mu_0 + \hat{\beta}_n^\top [\Phi^\top \Phi] \hat{\beta}_n \right) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^\top V_n^{-1} \mu_n - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_0^\top V_0^{-1} \mu_0 + y^\top \Phi^\top (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \Phi y \right)$$

So

$$\pi(\mu, \sigma^2 | y) \propto \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{|V_n \sigma^2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\beta - \mu_n)^\top [V_n \sigma^2]^{-1} (\beta - \mu_n) \right)}_{\propto N_d(\beta | \mu_n, V_n \sigma^2)} \times \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} + a_0 + 1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} S_n - C_n + k_0 \right] \right)}_{\propto IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n)}$$

So

$$\begin{cases} \mu | \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_n, \sigma^2 V_n) \\ \sigma^2 \sim IG(a_n, k_n) \end{cases}$$

2. It is

$$\pi(\beta | y) = \int \pi(\beta, \sigma^2 | y) d\sigma^2 = \int N(\beta | \mu_n, V_n \sigma^2) IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n) d\sigma^2$$

by change the variable $\xi = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{2k_n}$, it is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\beta | y) &= \int N(\beta | \mu_n, \xi 2k_n V_n \frac{2a_n}{2a_n}) IG(\xi | \frac{2a_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) d\xi = \int N(\beta | \mu_n, \xi V_n \frac{k_n}{a_n} 2a_n) IG(\xi | \frac{2a_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) d\xi \\ &= T_d(\beta | \mu_n, \frac{k_n}{a_n} V_n, 2a_n) \end{aligned}$$

3. It is

$$\begin{aligned} g(y_f | y) &= \int f(y_f | \Phi_f \beta, \sigma^2) \pi(\beta, \sigma^2 | y) d\beta d\sigma^2 = \int N(y_f | \Phi_f \beta, \sigma^2) N(\beta | \mu_n, V_n \sigma^2) IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n) d\beta d\sigma^2 \\ &= \int \underbrace{\left[\int N(y_f | \Phi_f \beta, \sigma^2) N(\beta | \mu_n, V_n \sigma^2) d\beta \right]}_{=A} IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n) d\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

by change of variable for $\xi' = \Phi_f \beta \sim N(\Phi_f \mu_n, \Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f \sigma^2)$

$$A = \int N(y_f | \xi', \sigma^2) N(\xi' | \Phi_f \mu_n, \Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f \sigma^2) d\xi'$$

because Normal is symmetric around the mean

$$A = \int N(\xi' | y_f, \sigma^2) N(\xi' | \Phi_f \mu_n, \Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f \sigma^2) d\xi'$$

by using the Hint

$$A = \int N(\xi' | \text{const.}, \text{const.}) N(y_f | \Phi_f \mu_n, \sigma^2 [\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1]) d\xi' = N(y_f | \Phi_f \mu_n, \sigma^2 [\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1])$$

So

$$g(y_f | y) = \int N(y_f | \Phi_f \mu_n, \sigma^2 [\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1]) IG(\sigma^2 | a_n, k_n) d\sigma^2$$

by change the variable $\xi = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{2k_n}$, it is

$$g(y_f|y) = \int \mathcal{N}\left(y_f|\Phi_f\mu_n, \xi [\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1] \frac{k_n}{a_n} 2a_n\right) \text{IG}\left(\xi|\frac{2a_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) d\xi = T_1\left(y_f|\Phi_f\mu_n, [\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1] \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n\right)$$

So

$$y_f|y \sim T_1\left(\Phi_f\mu_n, [\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1] \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n\right)$$

, or equiv.

$$y(x_f)|y \sim T_1\left(\phi^\top(x_f)\mu_n, [\Phi_f^\top V_n \Phi_f + 1] \frac{k_n}{a_n}, 2a_n\right)$$

Exercise 27. (★★) Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be observables drawn iid from sampling distribution $y_i|\theta \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \theta^2)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is unknown. Specify a conjugate prior density for θ up to an unknown normalizing constant.

Solution. The sampling distribution is

$$f(y_i|\theta) = \mathcal{N}(y_i|\theta, \theta^2) \propto (\theta^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_i - \theta)^2}{\theta^2}\right) \propto |\theta|^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} y_i^2 \frac{1}{\theta^2} + y_i \frac{1}{\theta}\right)$$

and hence it belongs to the exponential family with $g(\theta) = |\theta|^{-1}$, $c_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $\phi_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$, $h_1(y_i) = y_i^2$, $c_2 = 1$, $\phi_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $h_2(y_i) = y_i$.

The corresponding conjugate prior has pdf such as

$$\pi(\theta) = \tilde{\pi}(\theta|\tau) \propto |\theta|^{-\tau_0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \tau_1 + \frac{1}{\theta} \tau_2\right), \quad \text{where } \tau = (\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2).$$

I actually cannot recognize it as a standard distribution in this case. The posterior distribution has pdf such as

$$\pi(\theta|y) \propto f(y|\theta)\pi(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(y_i|\theta, \theta^2)\pi(\theta) \propto |\theta|^{-(\tau_0+n)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\theta^2} (\tau_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2) + \frac{1}{\theta} (\tau_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)\right)$$

Namely, $\pi(\theta|y) = \tilde{\pi}(\theta|\tau^*)$, with $\tau^* = (\tau_0 + n, \tau_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2, \tau_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i)$; so it is conjugate.

Exercise 28. (★★) If the sampling distribution $F(\cdot|\theta)$ is discrete and the prior $\Pi(\theta)$ is proper, then the posterior $\Pi(\theta|y)$ is always proper.

Solution. It is

$$f(y) \leq \sum_{\forall y} f(y) = \sum_{\forall y} \overbrace{\int f(y|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}^{f(y)=} \stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int \sum_{\forall y} f(y|\theta) d\Pi(\theta) = \int d\Pi(\theta) = 1$$

Exercise 29. (★★) If the sampling distribution $F(\cdot|\theta)$ is continuous and the prior $\Pi(\theta)$ is proper, then the posterior $\Pi(\theta|y)$ is almost always proper.

Solution. It is

$$\int f(y) dy = \int_{\forall y} \overbrace{\int_{\forall \theta} f(y|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}^{f(y)=} dy \stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int_{\forall \theta} \int_{\forall y} f(y|\theta) dy d\Pi(\theta) = \int d\Pi(\theta) = 1$$

So it is $f(y) < \infty$ for every set of y (possibly) apart from a finite number of y 's with 'probability' zero.

The Limit Comparison Theorem for Improper Integrals

General: Let integrable functions $f(x)$, and $g(x)$ for $x \geq a$.

Let

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq g(x), \quad \text{for } x \geq a$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty &\implies \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty \\ \int_a^\infty f(x) dx = \infty &\implies \int_a^\infty g(x) dx = \infty \end{aligned}$$

Type I: Let integrable functions $f(x)$, and $g(x)$ for $x \geq a$, and let $g(x)$ be positive.

Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

Then

- If $c \in (0, \infty)$:

$$\int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty \iff \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty$$

- If $c = 0$:

$$\int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty \implies \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty$$

- If $c = \infty$:

$$\int_a^\infty f(x) dx = \infty \implies \int_a^\infty g(x) dx = \infty$$

Type II: Let integrable functions $f(x)$, and $g(x)$ for $a < x \leq b$, and let $g(x)$ be positive.

Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow a^+} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

Then

- If $c \in (0, \infty)$:

$$\int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty \iff \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty$$

- If $c = 0$:

$$\int_a^\infty g(x) dx < \infty \implies \int_a^\infty f(x) dx < \infty$$

- If $c = \infty$:

$$\int_a^\infty f(x) dx = \infty \implies \int_a^\infty g(x) dx = \infty$$

Note: A useful test function is

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^p dx \begin{cases} < \infty & , \text{ when } p > 1 \\ = \infty & , \text{ when } p \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Exercise 30. (★★) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\sigma & \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \\ \sigma & \sim \text{Ex}(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Ex}(\lambda)$ is the exponential distribution with mean $1/\lambda$. Show that the posterior distribution is not defined always.

- HINT: Precisely, show that the posterior is not defined in the case that you collect only one observation $x = 0$.

Solution.

It is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} N(x|0, \sigma^2) \text{Ex}(\sigma|\lambda) d\sigma = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-0)^2\right) \lambda \exp(-\sigma\lambda) d\sigma \\ f(x=0) &\propto \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp(-\sigma\lambda) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

We will use a convergence criteria in order to check if $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp(-\sigma\lambda) d\sigma = \infty$.

I will use the Limit Comparison Test to check if $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp(-\sigma\lambda) d\sigma = \infty$. Consider $h(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp(-\sigma\lambda)$. The function $h(\sigma)$ has an improper behavior at 0, as it is not bounded there. Let $g(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma}$. According to the Limit Comparison Test, it is

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h(\sigma)}{g(\sigma)} = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma} \exp(-\sigma\lambda)}{\frac{1}{\sigma}} = 1 \neq 0$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty g(\sigma) d\sigma = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma} d\sigma = \infty.$$

Therefore, it will be

$$\underbrace{\int_0^\infty h(\sigma) d\sigma}_{=f(x=0)} = \infty$$

as well.

Exercise 31. (★★) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\sigma & \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \\ \sigma & \sim \Pi(\sigma) \end{cases}$$

where $\Pi(\sigma)$ is an improper prior distribution with density such as $\pi(\sigma) \propto \sigma^{-1} \exp(-a\sigma^{-2})$ for $a > 0$. Show that we can use this prior on Bayesian inference.

Solution.

We will check the properness condition. It is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} N(x|0, \sigma^2) \text{Ex}(\sigma|\lambda) d\sigma \propto \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-0)^2\right) \sigma^{-1} \exp(-a\sigma^{-2}) d\sigma \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 + 2a)\right) d\sigma \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{2}(x^2 + 2a)\right) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi = 1/\sigma^2$. It is

$$f(x) \propto \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} \exp(\underbrace{-\frac{\xi}{2}(x^2 + 2a)}_{\substack{<0 \\ \in(0,1)}}) d\xi \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi}} d\xi < \infty$$

So the posterior is defined.

The Following exercise is part of Homework 1

Exercise 32. (**) Let x be an observation. Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\theta & \sim \text{Pn}(\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Pn}(\theta)$ is the Poisson distribution with expected value θ . Consider a prior $\Pi(\theta)$ with density such as $\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$. Show that the posterior distribution is not always defined.

Hint-1: It suffices to show that the posterior is not defined in the case that you collect only one observation $x = 0$.

Hint-2: Poisson distribution: $x \sim \text{Pn}(\theta)$ has PMF

$$\text{Pn}(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!} 1(x \in \mathbb{N})$$

Solution. The prior with $\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$ is improper because

$$\int \pi(\theta) d\theta \propto \int \frac{1}{\theta} d\theta = \infty$$

So I need to check the proneness condition,

$$\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \text{Pn}(x|\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta}_{\propto f(x)} \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{posterior distribution is defined} \\ = \infty & \text{posterior distribution is not defined} \end{cases}$$

I will show that the posterior distribution is not defined given that I have collected a single observation $x = 0$. So I need to show that

$$\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \text{Pn}(x=0|\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta}_{\propto f(x=0)} = \infty$$

It is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \text{Pn}(x|\theta) \frac{1}{\theta} d\theta = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\theta) \frac{\theta^x}{x!} \frac{1}{\theta} d\theta \\ f(x=0) &\propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp(-\theta) \frac{\theta^0}{0!} \frac{1}{\theta} d\theta = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\theta) \frac{1}{\theta} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

We will use a convergence criteria in order to check if $\int_0^\infty \exp(-\theta) \frac{1}{\theta} d\theta = \infty$.

Consider $h(\theta) = \exp(-\theta)\frac{1}{\theta}$. The function $h(\theta)$ has an improper behavior at 0, as it is not bounded there. Let $g(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$. According to the Limit Comparison Test, it is

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h(\theta)}{g(\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-\theta)}{\frac{1}{\theta}} = 1 \neq 0$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty g(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\theta} d\theta = \infty.$$

Therefore, it will be

$$\underbrace{\int_0^\infty h(\theta) d\theta}_{=f(x=0)} = \infty$$

as well.

The next exercise is about the Sequential processing of data via Bayes theorem

Exercise 33. (★★) Assume that observable quantities x_1, x_2, \dots are generated i.i.d by a process that can be modeled as a sampling distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with known σ^2 and unknown μ .

1. Assume that you have collected an observation x_1 . Specify a prior $\Pi(\mu)$ on μ as $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ where μ_0, σ_0^2 are known.

- Derive the posterior $\Pi(\theta|x_1)$.

Next assume that you additionally observe an additional observation x_2 after collecting x_1 . Consider the posterior $\Pi(\mu|x_1)$ as the current state of your knowledge about θ .

- Derive the posterior $\Pi(\mu|x_1, x_2)$ in the light of the new additional observation x_2 .

2. Assume that you have collected two observations (x_1, x_2) . Specify a prior $\Pi(\mu)$ on μ as $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ where μ_0, σ_0^2 are known.

- Derive the posterior $\Pi(\theta|x_1, x_2)$ in the light of the observations (x_1, x_2) .

3. What do you observe:

Hint: We considered the identity

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y - \mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2),$$

$$c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^{-1}; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right)$$

where $c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ is constant w.r.t. y .

Solution.

1. the posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu|x_1)$ has PDF

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\mu|x_1) &\propto \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(x_1|\mu, \sigma^2)}^{\text{likelihood}} \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)}^{\text{prior}} \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_1 - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_1 - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \propto \mathcal{N}(\mu|\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\sigma}_1^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2})^{-1}$, and $\hat{\mu}_1 = \hat{\sigma}_1^2(\frac{x_1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2})$. In (3), we recognized the kernel of the Normal PDF. Hence, $\mu|x_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\sigma}_1^2)$

Then the posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu|x_1, x_2)$ has PDF

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\mu|x_1, x_2) &\propto \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(x_2|\mu, \sigma^2)}^{\text{likelihood}} \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(\mu|\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\sigma}_1^2)}^{\text{prior}} \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_2 - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_2 - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \propto \mathcal{N}(\mu|\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2})^{-1} = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2})^{-1}$, and $\hat{\mu}_2 = \hat{\sigma}_2^2(\frac{x_2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\hat{\mu}_1}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}) = \hat{\sigma}_2^2(\frac{x_1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{x_2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2})$. In (3), we recognized the kernel of the Normal PDF. Hence, $\mu|x_1, x_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2)$.

2. The posterior distribution $\Pi(\mu|x_1, x_2)$ has PDF

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\mu|x_1, x_2) &\propto \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(x_1|\mu, \sigma^2)\mathcal{N}(x_2|\mu, \sigma^2)}^{\text{likelihood}} \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)}^{\text{prior}} \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_1 - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_2 - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_1 - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_2 - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right) \propto \mathcal{N}(\mu|\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = (\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2})^{-1}$, and $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^2(\frac{x_1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{x_2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2})$. In (5), we recognized the kernel of the Normal PDF. Hence, $\mu|x_1, x_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$

3. It is easy to see that $\hat{\mu}_2 = \hat{\mu}$, and $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2$, from (1) and (2). We observe the two Learning Scenarios are equivalent in the sense that they lead to the same posterior $d\Pi(\mu|x_1, x_2)$ at the end posterior $d\Pi(\mu|x_1, x_2)$ in a single application of Bayes theorem with the full data $x = (x_1, x_2)$.

Exchangeability

We work on the proofs of the following theorems:

- Marginal distributions of finite exchangeable sequences y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k are invariant under permutations; i.e.:

$$dF(y_{p(1)}, y_{p(2)}, \dots, y_{p(k)}) = dF(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \text{ for all } p \in \mathfrak{P}_n. \quad (6)$$

In particular, for $k = 1$, it follows that all y_i are identically distributed (but not necessarily independently, as stated in the Lecture notes)

- (Marginal) Expectations of finite exchangeable sequences y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k are all identical:

$$E(g(y_i)) = E(g(y_1)) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and all functions } g: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (7)$$

- (Marginal) Variances of finite exchangeable sequences y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k are all identical:

$$\text{Var}(y_i) = \text{Var}(y_1). \quad (8)$$

- Covariances between elements of finite exchangeable sequences y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k are all identical:

$$\text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = \text{Cov}(y_1, y_2) \text{ whenever } i \neq j. \quad (9)$$

Just for your information The properties above are implied by the following general theorem. However, you should not use this theorem, directly, to solve the exercises below...

Theorem. Consider an exchangeable sequence y_1, \dots, y_n . Let $g: \mathcal{Y}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function of k of these, where $k \leq n$. Then, for any permutation $\pi \in \Pi_n$,

$$E(g(Y_{p(1)}, Y_{p(2)}, \dots, Y_{p(k)})) = E(g(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)) \quad (10)$$

This is not an exercise to solve. Feel free to read the solution of this exercise, as it may help you understand the the Interpretation of the ‘representation Theorem with 0 – 1 quantities’.

Exercise 34. (★★★★)(Representation Theorem with 0 – 1 quantities). If y_1, y_2, \dots is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of 0 – 1 random quantities with probability measure P , there exists a distribution function Π such that the joint mass function $p(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ for y_1, \dots, y_n has the form

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\theta^{y_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-y_i}}_{f_{\text{Br}(\theta)}(y_i | \theta)} d\Pi(\theta)$$

where

$$\Pi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq t\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \stackrel{\text{as}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

aka θ is the limiting relative frequency of 1s, by SLLN

Hint: (Helly’s theorem [modified]) Given a sequence of distribution functions $\{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$ that satisfy the tightness condition; [for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a such that for all sufficiency large i it is $F_i(a) - F_i(-a) > 1 - \epsilon$], there exists a distribution F and a sub-sequence $\{F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, \dots\}$ such that $F_{i_j} \rightarrow F$.

Solution. Let the sum of random quantities be $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$, and assume that the sum S_n is equal to value s_n ; i.e. $S_n = t_n$. By exchangeability, for $0 \leq t_n < n$, it is

$$p(S_n = t_n) = \binom{n}{t_n} p(y_{\mathbf{p}(1)}, \dots, y_{\mathbf{p}(n)})$$

for any permutation operator \mathbf{p} . For finite N , let $N \geq n \geq t_n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(S_n = t_n) &= \sum_{t_N=0}^N p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N) \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{t_N=0}^{t_n-1} p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N)}_{=0} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{y_N=y_n}^{N-(n-y_n)} p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N) \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{t_N=N-(n-t_n)+1}^N p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N)}_{=0} \\ &= \sum_{y_N=y_n}^{N-(n-y_n)} p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) p(S_N = t_N) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The terms in (11, 12) are zero because $p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) = 0$ for $t_N < t_n$ and $t_N > N - (n - t_n)$ because we contrition on $S_N = t_N$.

We work out on $p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N)$ which is the conditional probability for S_n given $S_N = t_N$. We observe that the random variable $S_n | S_N = t_N$ follows a Hypergeometric distribution $S_n | S_N = t_N \sim \text{Hy}(t_N, N - t_N, n)$. This is because it describes a Hypergeometric experiment². i.e., $S_n = t_n$ is the number of successes (random draws for which the object drawn has a specified feature) in n random draws without replacement, from a finite population of size N that contains exactly $S_N = t_N$ objects of that feature, wherein each draw is either a success or a failure (aka $x_i = 0$ or 1). Hence, $p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N)$ is a Hypergeometric PMF, namely

$$p(S_n = t_n | S_N = t_N) = \text{Hy}(S_n = t_n | t_N, N - t_N, n) = \frac{\binom{t_N}{t_n} \binom{N-t_N}{n-t_n}}{\binom{N}{n}}, \quad 0 \leq t_n \leq n$$

Rewriting the binomial coefficients by rearranging the terms in the product, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(S_n = t_n) &= \sum \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \binom{t_N}{t_n} \binom{N-t_N}{n-t_n} p(S_N = t_N) \\ &= \binom{n}{t_n} \sum \frac{(t_N)_{t_n} (N-t_N)_{n-t_n}}{(N)_n} p(S_N = t_N) \end{aligned}$$

where $(y)_r = y(y-1)\dots(y-r+1)$.

Now, define a function $\Pi_N(\theta)$ on \mathbb{R} as the step function which is zero for $\theta < 0$, and has steps of size $p(S_N = t_N)$ at $\theta = t_N/N$ for $t_N = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then, by changing variable we get,

$$p(S_n = t_n) = \binom{n}{t_n} \int_0^1 \frac{(\theta N)((1-\theta)N)_{n-t_n}}{(N)_n} d\Pi_N(\theta).$$

²https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypergeometric_distribution

This result holds for any finite N . Now we need to consider $N \rightarrow \infty$. In the limit, we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\theta N)((1 - \theta)N)^{n-t_n}}{(N)_n} = \theta^{t_n} (1 - \theta)^{n-t_n} = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{y_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-y_i} \quad (13)$$

Note that function $\Pi_N(t)$ is a step function, starting at zero and ending at one with N steps of varying sizes at particular values of t . By Helly's theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{\Pi_{N_1}, \Pi_{N_2}, \dots\}$ such that

$$\lim_{N_j \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{N_j} = \Pi$$

where Π is a distribution function.

Exercise 35. (★★) Clearly a set of independent and identically distributed random variables form an exchangeable sequence. Thus sampling with replacement generates an exchangeable sequence. What about sampling without replacement? Prove that sampling n items from N distinct objects without replacement (where $n \leq N$) is exchangeable.

Solution. Sampling without replacement is clearly not iid. However, it is exchangeable. Assume that we sample n items from N distinct objects without replacement, we have that:

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{N^n} = \frac{(N - n)!}{N!} \quad (14)$$

Clearly, the probability mass function does not depend on the ordering of the sequence. Therefore the sequence is exchangeable.

Exercise 36. (★★) Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be an exchangeable sequence, and let g be any function on \mathcal{Y} . Show, directly from the definition of exchangeability in the summary notes) that $E(g(Y_i))$ does not depend on i :

$$E(g(Y_i)) = E(g(Y_1)) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \quad (15)$$

For ease of exposition, you may restrict your proof to the case $i = 2$.

Solution. For ease of exposition, we show that $E(g(Y_1)) = E(g(Y_2))$. The general case follows similarly.

$$E(g(Y_1)) = \sum_{(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{Y}^n} g(y_1) f(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) \quad (16)$$

and by exchangeability, we can swap the indices 1 and 2 in the probability mass function, so

$$= \sum_{(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{Y}^n} g(y_1) f(y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n) \quad (17)$$

and swapping y_1 and y_2 (we can always do this, exchangeability is not used here),

$$= \sum_{(y_2, y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{Y}^n} g(y_2) f(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) = E(g(Y_2)) \quad (18)$$

Exercise 37. (★★) Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be an exchangeable sequence. Use

$$E(g(Y_i)) = E(g(Y_1)) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \quad (19)$$

to show that $\text{Var}(Y_i)$ does not depend on i :

$$\text{Var}(Y_i) = \text{Var}(Y_1) \text{ for all } i \in \{2, \dots, n\} \quad (20)$$

Solution. By the usual properties of variance,

$$\text{Var}(Y_i) = E(Y_i^2) - E(Y_i)^2 \quad (21)$$

and now applying twice

$$\text{Var}(Y_i) = E(Y_i^2) - E(Y_i)^2 = \text{Var}(Y_1)$$

Exercise 38. (**) Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be an exchangeable sequence. By expanding $\text{var}(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k)$, show that when $i \neq j$,

$$\text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \geq -\frac{\text{var}(Y_1)}{n-1} \quad (22)$$

Solution. It is

$$0 \leq \text{var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{var}(Y_k) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n \text{cov}(Y_k, Y_\ell) \quad (23)$$

and because, by exchangeability, $\text{var}(Y_k) = \text{var}(Y_1)$ and $\text{cov}(Y_k, Y_\ell) = \text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j)$ for all $k \neq \ell$,

$$= n \text{var}(Y_1) + (n^2 - n) \text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \quad (24)$$

where the $n^2 - n$ factor can be derived as follows: note that the pairs of indices (k, ℓ) appearing in the sum can be put into a matrix—the sum does not include the diagonal of this matrix (n pairs), but otherwise covers precisely half of it, and the full matrix has n^2 pairs, so there are $(n^2 - n)/2$ terms in the sum.

Consequently,

$$\text{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \geq -\frac{n \text{var}(Y_1)}{n^2 - n} = -\frac{\text{var}(Y_1)}{n-1} \quad (25)$$

Exercise 39. (*) What does

$$\text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \geq -\frac{\text{var}(Y_1)}{n-1}$$

imply about the correlation of infinite exchangeable sequences?

Solution. The correlation must be non-negative: because, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \geq 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

Part VI

Sufficiency

Exercise 40. (★★) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i | \theta & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ex}(\theta), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ \theta & \sim \text{Ga}(a, b) \end{cases}$$

Hint-1: The PDF of $x \sim \text{G}(a, b)$ is $\text{Ga}(x|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-bx) 1_{(0, +\infty)}(x)$

Hint-2: The PDF of $x \sim \text{Ex}(\theta)$ is $\text{Ex}(x|\theta) = \text{Ga}(x|1, \theta)$

1. Show that the parametric model is member of the Exponential family, and the sufficient statistic for a sample of observables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
2. Show that the posterior distribution θ given x is Gamma and compute its parameters.
3. Show that the predictive distribution $G(z|x)$ of a future z given $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, has PDF

$$g(z|x) = \frac{a^*(b^*)^{a^*}}{(z + b^*)^{a^*+1}} 1(x \geq 0)$$

Solution.

1. The parametric model is

$$\text{Ex}(x|\theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta x) 1(x \geq 0)$$

It is member of the exponential family

$$\text{Ef}_1(x|u, g, h, c, \phi, \theta, c) = u(x)g(\theta) \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) h_j(x)\right)$$

with $u(x_{1:n}) = 1$, $g(\theta) = \theta$, $c_1 = -1$, $\phi_1(\theta) = \theta$, $h_1(x) = x$. The sufficient statistic is $t_n = (n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$.

2. I can get the posterior by using the Bayes theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|x) &\propto f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta|a, b) && \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Ex}(x_i|\theta)\text{Ga}(\theta|a, b) \\ &\propto \theta^n \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \theta^{a-1} \exp(-\theta b) && \propto \theta^{a+n-1} \exp\left(-\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + b\right)\right) \\ &\propto \text{Ga}\left(\underbrace{\theta}_{=a^*} \mid \underbrace{a+n, b + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}_{=b^*}\right) \end{aligned}$$

3. By using the definition of the predictive distribution, it is ...

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(z|x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(z|\theta)\pi(\theta|x)d\theta \stackrel{z \geq 0}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta \exp(-\theta z) \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \theta^{a^*-1} \exp(-\theta b^*) d\theta \\
 &= \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta^{a^*+1-1} \exp(-\theta(z+b^*)) d\theta = \frac{(b^*)^{a^*}}{\Gamma(a^*)} \frac{\Gamma(a^*+1)}{(z+b^*)^{a^*+1}} = \frac{a^*(b^*)^{a^*}}{(z+b^*)^{a^*+1}} \\
 &= \frac{a^*(b^*)^{a^*}}{(z+b^*)^{a^*+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 41. (★★) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Mu}_k(\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \text{Di}_k(a) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$, with $\Theta = \{\theta \in (0,1)^k \mid \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_k = \{x \in \{0, \dots, n\}^k \mid \sum_{j=1}^k x_j = 1\}$.

Hint-1: Mu_k denotes the Multinomial probability distribution with PMF

$$\text{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j} & , \text{ if } x \in \mathcal{X}_k \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

Hint-2: $\text{Di}_k(a)$ denotes the Dirichlet distribution with PDF

$$\text{Di}_k(\theta|a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(a_j)} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{a_j-1} & , \text{ if } \theta \in \Theta \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1. Show that the parametric model (26) is a member of the $k-1$ exponential family.
2. Compute the likelihood $f(x_{1:n}|\theta)$, and find the sufficient statistic $t_n := t_n(x_{1:n})$.
3. Compute the posterior distribution. State the name of the distribution, and expresses its parameters with respect to the observations and the hyper-parameters of the prior. Justify your answer.
4. Compute the probability mass function of the predictive distribution for a future observation $y = x_{n+1}$ in closed form.

Hint $\Gamma(x) = (x-1)\Gamma(x-1)$.

Solution.

1. There are $k-1$ independent parameters in $\text{Mu}_k(\theta)$ because $\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1$. I consider as parameters $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1})$ and the last one is a function of them as $\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j$.

It is

$$\text{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_j} (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j} = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j) \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j \log\left(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}\right)\right)$$

This is the $k - 1$ exponential family PDF with

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= 1; & g(\theta) &= (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j); & c &= (1, \dots, 1) \\ h(x) &= (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}); & \phi(\theta) &= (\log(\frac{\theta_1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}), \dots, \log(\frac{\theta_{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j})), \end{aligned}$$

2. The likelihood is

$$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}} = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^n \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{*,j} \log(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}) \right)$$

and the sufficient statistic is

$$t_n = (n, x_{*,1}, \dots, x_{*,k-1})$$

3. It is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta) \text{Di}_k(\theta|a) \propto \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{a_j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_{*,j} + a_{*,j} - 1} \propto \text{Di}_k(\theta|\tilde{a})$$

where $\tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k)$, with $\tilde{a}_j = a_j + x_{*,j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. So the posterior is $\theta|x_{1:n} \sim \text{Di}_k(\tilde{a})$.

4. It is

$$\begin{aligned} p(y|x_{1:n}) &= \int \text{Mu}_k(y|\theta) \text{Di}_k(\theta|\tilde{a}) d\theta = \int \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{y_j} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{\tilde{a}_j-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)} \int \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{y_j + \tilde{a}_j - 1} d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(\tilde{a}_j)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(y_j + \tilde{a}_j)}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k (y_j + \tilde{a}_j))} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k (a_j + x_{*,j}))}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(a_j + x_{*,j})} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(y_j + a_j + x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k (y_j + a_j + x_{*,j}))} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a_* + x_{*,*})}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(a_j + x_{*,j})} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(y_j + a_j + x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k y_j + a_* + x_{*,*})} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a_* + n)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(a_j + x_{*,j})} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(y_j + a_j + x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(1 + a_* + n)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n + a_*)}{\Gamma(1 + a_* + n)} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(y_j + a_j + x_{*,j})}{\Gamma(a_j + x_{*,j})} \end{aligned}$$

so $p(y|x_{1:n}) = \frac{1}{n+a_*} (a_{j'} + x_{*,j'})$, where j' such that $y_{j'} = 1$.

Exercise 42. (★★) Suppose that the vector $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ has a trinomial distribution depending on the index n and the parameter $\varpi = (\pi, \rho, \sigma)$ where $\pi + \rho + \sigma = 1$, that is

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\varpi) = \frac{n!}{x! y! z!} \pi^x \rho^y \sigma^z \quad (x + y + z = n).$$

Show that this distribution is in the two-parameter exponential family.

Solution. It is

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\mathbf{x}|\varpi) &= \frac{n!}{x!y!z!} \pi^x \rho^y \sigma^z \\
 &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{n!}{x!y!(n-x-y)!} \right)}_{=u(x,y)} \underbrace{\exp\{n \log(1-\pi-\rho)\}}_{=g(\pi,\rho)} \exp\left[\underbrace{1}_{=c_1} \underbrace{x}_{=h_1(x,y)} \underbrace{\log\{\pi/(1-\pi-\rho)\}}_{=\phi_1(\pi,\rho)} + \underbrace{1}_{=c_2} \underbrace{y}_{=h_2(x,y)} \underbrace{\log\{\rho/(1-\pi-\rho)\}}_{=\phi_2(\pi,\rho)} \right] \\
 &= u(x,y) \times g(\pi,\rho) \times \exp[c_1 h_1(x,y) \phi_1(\pi,\rho) + c_2 h_2(x,y) \phi_2(\pi,\rho)]
 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 43. (★) Establish the formula

$$(n_0^{-1} + n^{-1})^{-1}(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 = n\bar{x}^2 + n_0\theta_0^2 - n_1\theta_1^2$$

where $n_1 = n_0 + n$ and $\theta_1 = (n_0\theta_0 + n\bar{x})/n_1$.

This formula is often used to 'complete the square' in quadratiforms.

Solution. Elementary manipulation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 n\bar{x}^2 + n_0\theta_0^2 - (n+n_0) \left(\frac{n\bar{x} + n_0\theta_0}{n+n_0} \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{n+n_0} [\{n(n+n_0) - n^2\}\bar{x}^2 + \{n_0(n+n_0) - n_0^2\}\theta_0^2 - 2(nn_0)\bar{x}\theta_0] \\
 &= \frac{nn_0}{n+n_0} [\bar{x}^2 + \theta_0^2 - 2\bar{x}\theta_0] = (n_0^{-1} + n^{-1})^{-1}(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The following is a proof of a theorem

Exercise 44. (★★★) Let y_1, y_2, \dots be an infinitely exchangeable sequence of random quantities. Let $t = t(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be a statistic for a finite $n \geq 1$. Then t is predictive sufficient if, and only if, it is parametric sufficient.

Solution. Let $\mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y : t = t(y)\}$, and let $z = (y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+m})$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(z|t) &= \frac{p(z, t)}{p(t)} = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{Y}(t)} p(y_{n+1:n+m}, y_{1:n}) dy_{1:n}}{p(t)} \\
 (repr. theor.) &= \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{\mathcal{Y}(t)} \left[\int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta) d\Pi(\theta) \right] dy_{1:n} = \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{\Theta} \left[\int_{\mathcal{Y}(t)} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta) \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\theta) dy_1 \cdots dy_n \right] d\Pi(\theta) \\
 &= \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta) f(t|\theta) d\Pi(\theta) \\
 &= \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta) \underbrace{\frac{f(t|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}{p(t)}}_{=d\Pi(\theta|t)} = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta) d\Pi(\theta|t).
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$p(z|t) = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta) d\Pi(\theta|t); \quad p(z|y) = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta) d\Pi(\theta|y)$$

Therefore, we have parametric sufficiency $p(z|t) = p(z|y)$ if and only if $d\Pi(\theta|t) = d\Pi(\theta|y)$ for all $d\Pi(\theta)$.

The following is a proof of a theorem

Exercise 45. (★★)(This is a theorem in Handout 5) Prove the following statement.

Let $t : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a statistic. Then t is a parametric sufficient statistic for θ in the Bayesian sense if and only if the likelihood function $L(\cdot|\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{Y} \times \Theta$ can be factorized as the product of a kernel function k on $\mathcal{Y} \times \Theta$ and a residue function ρ on Θ as

$$L(y; \theta) = k(t(y)|\theta)\rho(y). \quad (27)$$

Solution.

(\Leftarrow) I need to show that for any set $\Theta' \subseteq \Theta$ it is $\Pi(\Theta'|y) = \Pi(\Theta'|t)$, where $t = t(y)$.

For any Θ' and Y' , it is

$$\Pi(\Theta'|Y') = \int \Pi(\Theta'|y) dF(y|Y') = E_{y|Y'}(\Pi(\Theta'|y) | Y')$$

Let's take $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y : t(y) = t'\}$ for some t' .

It is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\Theta'|y = y') &= \Pi(\Theta'|\mathcal{Y}') = E_{y|Y'}(\Pi(\Theta'|y)) = E_{y|Y'}\left(\int_{\Theta'} d\Pi(\theta|y)\right) = E_{y|Y'}\left(\frac{\int_{\Theta'} L(y; \theta) d\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} L(y; \theta) d\Pi(\theta)} | \mathcal{Y}'\right) \\ &= E_{y|Y'}\left(\frac{\int_{\Theta'} k(t(y)|\theta)\rho(y) d\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} k(t(y)|\theta)\rho(y) d\Pi(\theta)} | \mathcal{Y}'\right) = E_{y|Y'}\left(\frac{\int_{\Theta'} k(t(y)|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} k(t(y)|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)} | Y'\right) \end{aligned}$$

Because the fraction inside the expectation is the same for all values $y \in \mathcal{Y}'$, we may suppress it. So

$$\Pi(\Theta'|y) = \frac{\int_{\Theta'} k(t(y)|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} k(t(y)|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)} = \Pi(\Theta'|t)$$

(\Rightarrow) I'll construct a 'kernel' $\kappa(y|\theta)$ invariant for each $y \in \mathcal{Y}(t)$ where $\mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y : t(y) = t\}$. I set

$$\kappa(y|\theta) = \frac{f(y|\theta)}{f(y)}; \quad \rho(y) = f(y); \quad (28)$$

so by Bayes theorem

$$\pi(\theta|y) = \frac{f(y|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{f(y)} = \pi(\theta) \frac{f(y|\theta)}{f(y)} = \pi(\theta)\kappa(y|\theta)$$

Because t is parametric sufficient for any $y, y' \in \mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y : t(y) = t\}$ it is

$$\pi(\theta|y) = \pi(\theta|t) = \pi(\theta|y')$$

so

$$\pi(\theta|y) = \pi(\theta|y') \implies \pi(\theta)\kappa(y|\theta) = \pi(\theta)\kappa(y'|\theta) \xrightarrow{\pi(\theta) \neq 0} \kappa(y|\theta) = \kappa(y'|\theta)$$

From every $t \in \mathcal{T}$ I choose one $y \in \mathcal{Y}(t)$, I set $k(t|\theta) = \kappa(y|\theta)$, and I substitute it in (28), so I get

$$\kappa(y|\theta) = \frac{f(y|\theta)}{f(y)} \implies f(y|\theta) = \kappa(y|\theta)f(y) \implies f(y|\theta) = k(t(y)|\theta)\rho(y)$$

Part VII

Priors

Exercise 46. (**) Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be observable quantities, generated from an exponential family of distributions as

$$y_i | \theta \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ef}(u, g, h, c, \phi, \theta, c), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

with density

$$\text{Ef}(y_i | u, g, h, c, \phi, \theta, c) = u(y_i) g(\theta)^n \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) h_j(y_i)\right)$$

and assume a conjugate prior $\Pi(\theta)$ with pdf/pmf

$$\pi(\theta) = \tilde{\pi}(\theta | \tau) \propto g(\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j\right)$$

1. Show that the posterior $\Pi(\theta | y)$ of θ has pdf/pmf $\pi(\theta | y) = \tilde{\pi}(\theta | \tau^*)$ with $\tau^* = (\tau_0^*, \tau_1^*, \dots, \tau_k^*)$, $\tau_0^* = \tau_0 + n$, and $\tau_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^n h_j(y_i) + \tau_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$, and pdf/pmf

$$\pi(\theta | y) = \pi(\theta | \tau^*) \propto g(\theta)^{\tau^*} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j^*\right) \quad (29)$$

The operation $*$ here is addition $\tau * t(y) \mapsto \tau + t(y) = \tau^*$

2. Show that the predictive distribution $G(z | y)$ for a new outcome $z = (y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+m})$ has pdf/ pmf

$$g(z | y) = \prod_{i=1}^m u(z_i) \frac{K(\tau + t(y) + t(z))}{K(\tau + t_n(y))} \quad (30)$$

where $t(z) = (m, \sum_{i=1}^m h_1(z_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m h_k(z_i))$.

Solution.

1. According to the Bayes theorem, where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta | y) &\propto f(y | \theta) \pi(\theta) \propto g(\theta)^n \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_j(y_i)\right)\right) g(\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j\right) \\ &\propto g(\theta)^{n+\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_j(y_i) + \tau_j\right)\right) \propto \tilde{\pi}(\theta | y, \tau + t(y)). \end{aligned}$$

2. According to the predictive pdf/pmf equation, and assuming that θ is a continuous random quantity, it is

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(z|y) &= \int_{\Theta} \prod_{l=1}^m f(z_l|\theta) \pi(\theta|y) d\theta = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{l=1}^m u(z_l) g(\theta)^m \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \left(\sum_{l=1}^m h_j(z_l)\right)\right) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{K(\tau + t(y))} g(\theta)^{n+\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_j(y_i) + \tau_j\right)\right) d\theta \\
 &= \prod_{l=1}^m u(z_l) \frac{1}{K(\tau + t_n(y))} \underbrace{\int_{\Theta} g(\theta)^{n+m+\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \left(\sum_{l=1}^m h_j(z_l) + \sum_{i=1}^n h_j(y_i) + \tau_j\right)\right) d\theta}_{=K(\tau+t(y)+t(z))}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the case where θ is a discrete random quantity, the proof is similar.

Exercise 47. (★★)

1. Show that the skew-logistic family of distributions, with

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^{\theta+1}} \quad (31)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, labeled by $\theta > 0$, is a member of the exponential family and identify the factors u, g, h, ϕ, θ, c .

2. Show that the Gamma distribution

$$f(\theta|\alpha_0, \beta_0) = \frac{\beta_0^{\alpha_0}}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \theta^{\alpha_0-1} e^{-\beta_0 \theta} \quad (32)$$

with hyperparameters $w_0 := (\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ (where $\alpha_0 > 1$ and $\beta_0 > 0$) is conjugate for i.i.d. sampling from for the skew-logistic distribution. Relate the hyperparameters (τ_0, τ_1) to the standard parameters (α_0, β_0) of the gamma distribution.

3. Given an i.i.d. sample x_1, \dots, x_n from the skew-logistic distribution, and assuming that the prior is $\text{Gamma}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$, derive the posterior distribution of θ .

4. Given an i.i.d. sample x_1, \dots, x_n from the skew-logistic distribution, and assuming that the prior is $\text{Gamma}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$, derive the predictive PDF for a future $y = x_{n+1}$ up to a normalising constant.

5. Give a minimal sufficient statistic for θ under i.i.d. sampling from the skew-logistic distribution. Would this statistic still be sufficient if we had chosen a prior for θ which was not a Gamma distribution?

Solution.

1. It is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x|\theta) &= \frac{\theta e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^{\theta+1}} \\
 &= e^{-x} \theta \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x})} \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-x})) \\
 &= e^{-x} \theta \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-x})) \\
 &= \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})} \theta \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-x}))
 \end{aligned}$$

So it is a member of the exponential distribution family

$$\text{Ef}_k(x|u, g, h, \phi, \theta, c) = u(x)g(\theta) \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) h_j(x)\right)$$

with $k = 1$, and

$$u(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})}, \quad g(\theta) = \theta, \quad h(x) = \log(1 + e^{-x}), \quad \phi(\theta) = \theta, \quad c = -1.$$

2. Following the corresponding theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|\tau) &\propto g(\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j\right) \\ &\propto \theta^{\tau_0} \exp(-1\theta\tau_1) \propto \theta^{(\tau_0+1)-1} \exp(-\theta\tau_1) \end{aligned}$$

where we recognize the Gamma PDF which identifies a Gamma distribution $\theta|\tau \sim \text{Ga}(\tau_0 + 1, \tau_1)$.

Also

$$K(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g(\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \phi_j(\theta) \tau_j\right) d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta^{\tau_0} \exp(-\theta\tau_1) d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\tau_0 + 1)}{\tau_1^{\tau_0+1}}$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \text{Ga}(\theta|\tau_0 + 1, \tau_1) d\theta = 1$. To easy the notation with $\theta|\tau \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$, we can set $(a, b) = (\tau_0 + 1, \tau_1)$.

3. By using the Bayes theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) &\propto f(x_{1:n}|\theta) \pi(\theta|a, b) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) \text{Ga}(\theta|a, b) \\ &\propto \theta^n \exp(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-x_i})) \theta^{a-1} \exp(-\theta b) \\ &\propto \theta^{a+n-1} \exp(-\theta(\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-x_i}) + b)) \\ &\propto \text{Ga}(\theta|\underbrace{a+n}_{=a^*}, \underbrace{b + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-x_i})}_{=b^*}) \end{aligned}$$

4. By using the definition for the predictive PDF, it is

$$\begin{aligned} f(y|x_{1:n}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(y|\theta) \text{Ga}(\theta|a^*, b^*) d\theta \\ &\propto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{e^{-y}}{(1 + e^{-y})} \theta \exp(-\theta \log(1 + e^{-y})) \theta^{a^*-1} \exp(-\theta b^*) d\theta \\ &\propto \frac{e^{-y}}{(1 + e^{-y})} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \theta^{a^*+1-1} \exp(-\theta(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-y}))) d\theta \\ &\propto \frac{e^{-y}}{(1 + e^{-y})} \frac{\Gamma(a^* + 1)}{(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-y}))^{a^*+1}} \\ &\propto \frac{e^{-y}}{(1 + e^{-y})} \frac{1}{(b^* + \log(1 + e^{-y}))^{a^*+1}} \end{aligned}$$

5. Because the parametric model is member of the exponential family, the minimal sufficient statistic is $(n, \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i)) = (n, \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-x_i}))$. By the Neyman factorisation theorem sufficiency only depends on the likelihood: it does not depend on our choice of prior.

The exercise below is theoretical, and very challenging.

Exercise 48. (★★) Prove the following statement.

Consider a PDF/PMF $f(x|\theta)$ with $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$ where \mathcal{X} does not depend on θ . If there exist a parametrised conjugate prior family $\mathcal{F} = (\pi(\theta|\tau), \tau \in T)$ with $\dim(\Lambda) < \infty$, then $f(x|\tau)$ is member of the exponential family.

Hint: Use the Pitman-Koopman Lemma:

Lemma. (Pitman-Koopman Lemma) If a family of distributions is such that for a large enough sample size there exist a sufficient statistic of constant dimension, then the family is an exponential family if the support does not depend on θ .

PS: Please think about the importance of this result (!!!)

Solution. Assume $\exists \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\mathcal{F} = (\pi(\theta|\tau), \tau \in T)$, with $\dim(T) < \infty$. Let's take $\pi(\theta|\tau)$ as a (conjugate) prior. Then the posterior is $\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) \propto f(x_{1:n}|\theta)\pi(\theta|\tau)$. Due to the conjugacy $\exists \tau^*(x_{1:n})$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\theta|\tau^*(x_{1:n})) &= \frac{f(x_{1:n}|\theta)\pi(\theta|\tau)}{p(x_{1:n})} \iff \\ f(x_{1:n}|\theta) &= \underbrace{\frac{\pi(\theta|\tau^*(x_{1:n}))}{\pi(\theta|\tau)}}_{=h(\tau^*(x_{1:n}),\theta)} \underbrace{p(x_{1:n})}_{=g(x_{1:n})}\end{aligned}$$

Due to Newman factorisation criterion $\tau^*(x_{1:n})$ is a sufficient statistic, and its dimensionality does not depend on θ . Then because of the Pitman-Koopman Lemma, the $f(x_{1:n}|\theta)$ is a member of the exponential family.

- Before that, we knew that parametric models which are members of the exponential family have conjugate priors. The result above says that if there is a conjugate prior for a parametric model whose sample space does not depend on the unknown parameter, then the parametric model is member of the exponential family. Of course it does not apply to Uniform or Pareto parametric models whose sample space depends on the unknown parameter.

Exercise 49. (★★) Suppose that you have a prior distribution for the probability θ of success in a certain kind of gambling game which has mean 0.4, and that you regard your prior information as equivalent to 12 trials. You then play the game 25 times and win 12 times. What is your posterior distribution for θ ?

Solution. I will make Bayesian Statistical Inference. The parametric model is the Bernoulli distribution, because the experiment is a Bernoulli experiment. The limiting frequency of successes, aka $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is the uncertain parameter for which I want to perform inference. To account uncertainty about the unknown parameter θ , I will assign a prior distribution. For my computational convenience, I will try to see if there exist a conjugate prior. If it exists, I will assign a conjugate prior for θ . So...

Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Br}(\theta), \forall i = 1 : n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

- I will try to find a conjugate prior in order to do it

The likelihood is such that

$$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Br}(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i} = (1-\theta)^n \exp(\log(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$$

The parametric model $f(\cdot|\theta)$ is the Bernoulli distribution which belongs to the exponential family with $u(x) = 1$, $g(\theta) = (1-\theta)$, $c_1 = 1$, $\phi_1(\theta) = \log(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta})$, $h_1(x) = x$.

The corresponding conjugate prior has pdf such as

$$\pi(\theta|\tau) = K(\tau)(1-\theta)^{\tau_0} \exp(\log(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta})\tau_1) = K(\tau)\theta^{(\tau_1+1)-1}(1-\theta)^{(\tau_0-\tau_1+1)-1}$$

$$\text{where } K(\tau) = \int_0^1 \theta^{(\tau_1+1)-1}(1-\theta)^{(\tau_0-\tau_1+1)-1} d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\tau_1+1)\Gamma(\tau_0-\tau_1+1)}{\Gamma(\tau_0+2)}$$

Since we recognize that the prior distribution is Beta, we perform a re-parametrization, as

$$\pi(\theta|\tau) = \text{Be}(\theta|a, b)$$

where $a = \tau_1 + 1$, $b = \tau_0 - \tau_1 + 1$.

- According to my prior info:
 - my prior info worth 12 trials, so the effective number of observations that the prior distribution contributes is $\tau_0 = 12$,
 - the a priori mean of θ is 0.4, so $E(\theta) = \frac{a}{a+b} = 0.4$. So
 - * $a + b = (\tau_1 + 1) + (\tau_0 - \tau_1 + 1) = \tau_0 + 2 = 14$
 - * $\frac{a}{a+b} = 0.4 \iff a = 5.6$ and $b = 8.4$
- By using the Bayes theorem, or the theorem about the conjugate posteriors of parametric models in Exponential family, I get a posterior

$$\theta|x_{1:n} \sim \text{Be}(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + a}_{=a^*}, \underbrace{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + b}_{=b^*}) \equiv \text{Be}(12 + 5.6, 13 + 8.4) \equiv \text{Be}(17.6, 21.4)$$

Exercise 50. (★★) Find a (two-dimensional) sufficient statistic for (α, β) given an n -sample $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ from the two-parameter gamma distribution

$$p(x|\alpha, \beta) = \{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)\}^{-1} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x/\beta) \quad (0 < x < \infty)$$

where the parameters α and β can take any values in $0 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 < \beta < \infty$.

Solution. Because

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}|\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x/\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \exp(-1x/\beta + 1(a-1) \log(x)) \end{aligned}$$

it belongs to the exponential family, the sufficient statistic is $(n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i))$ or equivalently $(n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \prod_{i=1}^n x_i)$.

Exercise 51. (★★) Suppose that your prior for θ with PDF

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{2}{3}N(\theta|0, 1) + \frac{1}{3}N(\theta|1, 1)$$

that a single observation $x \sim N(\theta, 1)$ turns out to equal 2. What is your posterior probability that $\theta > 1$?

Hint We should use the following identity, discussed in the Lecture notes, :

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y - \mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y - \hat{\mu})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2), \\ c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^{-1}, \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^{-1} \quad ; \quad \hat{\mu} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $c(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ is constant w.r.t. y .

Solution. The prior is of the form

$$\pi(\theta) = \varpi_1 N(\theta|\mu_1, 1) + \varpi_2 N(\theta|\mu_2, 1)$$

where $\varpi_1 = 2/3$, $\varpi_2 = 1/3$, $\mu_1 = 0$, and $\mu_2 = 1$.

- The posterior pdf will be of the form

$$\pi(\theta|x=2) = \varpi_1^* \pi_1(\theta|x=2) + \varpi_2^* \pi_2(\theta|x=2)$$

with each component computed as follows.

- For the components of the mixture: For the 1st component, it is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\theta|x=2) &\propto f(x|\theta)\pi_1(\theta) \propto N(x|\theta, 1)N(\theta|\mu_1, 1) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \theta)^2}{1}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - \mu_1)^2}{1}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - x)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - \mu_1)^2}{1}\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Hint}}{\propto} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - \hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \propto N(\theta|\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\sigma}_1^2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = 1/2$, $\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{x+\mu_1}{2} = 1$, because of $x = 2$, and $\mu_1 = 0$, and Hint. So

$$\pi_1(\theta|x=2) = N(\theta|1, 1/2).$$

For the 2nd component, it is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(\theta|x=2) &\propto f(x|\theta)\pi_2(\theta) \propto N(x|\theta, 1)N(\theta|\mu_2, 1) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - \theta)^2}{1}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - \mu_2)^2}{1}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - x)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - \mu_2)^2}{1}\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Hint}}{\propto} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - \hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \propto N(\theta|\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = 1/2$, $\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{x+\mu_2}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$, because of $x = 2$, $\mu_2 = 1$, and Hint.

So

$$\pi_2(\theta|x=2) = \mathbf{N}(\theta|3/2, 1/2)$$

- For the posterior weights, it is

$$\varpi_1^* = \frac{\varpi_1 f_1(x)}{\varpi_1 f_1(x) + \varpi_2 f_2(x)}; \quad \varpi_2^* = \frac{\varpi_2 f_2(x)}{\varpi_1 f_1(x) + \varpi_2 f_2(x)};$$

So, I compute

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \int f(x|\theta)\pi_1(\theta)d\theta = \int \mathbf{N}(x|\theta, 1)\mathbf{N}(\theta|\mu_1, 1)d\theta \\ &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-x)^2}{1}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-\mu_1)^2}{1}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-x)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-\mu_1)^2}{1}) d\theta \\ &\stackrel{\text{Hint}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x^2}{1} + \frac{\mu_1^2}{1}) + \frac{1}{2}(x+\mu_1)^2(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1})^{-1}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \underbrace{\int \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}) d\theta}_{=\sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_1^2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + \mu_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}(x+\mu_1)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_1^2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + \mu_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}(x+\mu_1)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(2^2 + 1^2) + \frac{1}{4}(2+1)^2) \end{aligned}$$

because of Hint, $\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{x+\mu_1}{2} = 1$, $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = 1/2$, $x = 2$, $\mu_1 = 0$. Hence,

$$f_1(x=2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-1) \approx 0.10.$$

Also, I compute

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x) &= \int f(x|\theta)\pi_2(\theta)d\theta = \int \mathbf{N}(x|\theta, 1)\mathbf{N}(\theta|\mu_2, 1)d\theta \\ &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-x)^2}{1}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-\mu_2)^2}{1}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-x)^2}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-\mu_2)^2}{1}) d\theta \\ &\stackrel{\text{Hint}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-\hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x^2}{1} + \frac{\mu_2^2}{1}) + \frac{1}{2}(x+\mu_2)^2(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1})^{-1}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \underbrace{\int \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta-\hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2}) d\theta}_{=\sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_2^2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + \mu_2^2) + \frac{1}{4}(x+\mu_2)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{2\pi\hat{\sigma}_2^2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + \mu_2^2) + \frac{1}{4}(x+\mu_2)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(2^2 + (\frac{3}{2})^2) + \frac{1}{4}(2+\frac{3}{2})^2) \end{aligned}$$

because of Hint, and $\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{x+\mu_2}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$, $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = 1/2$, since $x = 2$, $\mu_2 = 1$. Hence,

$$f_2(x=2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) \approx 0.22$$

So

$$\varpi_1^* = \frac{\varpi_1 f_1(x=2)}{\varpi_1 f_1(x=2) + \varpi_2 f_2(x=2)} = \frac{2 \exp(-1)}{2 \exp(-1) + \exp(-1/4)} \approx 0.48$$

$$\varpi_2^* = \frac{\varpi_2 f_2(x=2)}{\varpi_1 f_1(x=2) + \varpi_2 f_2(x=2)} = \frac{\exp(-1/4)}{2 \exp(-1) + \exp(-1/4)} \approx 0.52$$

- The posterior becomes

$$\pi(\theta|x=2) = \varpi_1^* \mathcal{N}(\theta|1, 1/2) + \varpi_2^* \mathcal{N}(\theta|3/2, 1/2)$$

- It is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta > 1|x=2) &= 1 - \pi(\theta \leq 1|x=2) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^1 \pi(\theta|x=2) d\theta \\ &= 1 - \varpi_1^* \int_{-\infty}^1 \pi_1(\theta|x=2) d\theta - \varpi_2^* \int_{-\infty}^1 \pi_2(\theta|x=2) d\theta \\ &= 1 - \varpi_1^* \int_{-\infty}^1 \mathcal{N}(\theta|1, \frac{1}{2}) d\theta - \varpi_2^* \int_{-\infty}^1 \mathcal{N}(\theta|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) d\theta \\ &= 1 - \varpi_1^* \Phi\left(\frac{0}{\sqrt{1/2}}\right) - \varpi_2^* \Phi(-\sqrt{1/2}) \\ &= 1 - \varpi_1^* \Phi(0) - \varpi_2^* \Phi(-\sqrt{1/2}) \\ &\approx 0.63 \end{aligned}$$

The Exercise below has been set as Homework 2

Exercise 52. (★★) Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be observables. Consider a Bayesian model such as

$$\begin{cases} x_i | \lambda & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pn}(\lambda), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ \lambda & \sim \Pi(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

Hint-1 Poisson distribution $x \sim \text{Pn}(\lambda)$ has PMF: $\text{Pn}(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!} \lambda^x \exp(-\lambda) 1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Hint-2 Gamma distribution $x \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$ has PDF: $\text{Ga}(x|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-bx) 1_{(0, \infty)}(x)$, with $a > 0$ and $b > 0$.

Hint-2 Negative Binomial distribution $x \sim \text{Nb}(r, \theta)$ has PMF: $\text{Nb}(x|r, \theta) = \binom{r+x-1}{r-1} \theta^r (1-\theta)^x 1_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$ with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $r \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, and $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

1. Compute the likelihood in the aforesaid Bayesian model.
2. Show that the sampling distribution is a member of the exponential family.
3. Specify the PDF of the conjugate prior distribution $\Pi(\lambda)$ of λ , and identify the parametric family of distributions as $\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$, with $a > 0$, and $b > 0$. While you are deriving the conjugate prior distribution of λ ,

discuss which of the prior hyper-parameters can be considered as the ‘strength of the prior information and which can be considered as summarizing the prior information.

4. Compute the PDF of the posterior distribution of λ , identify the posterior distribution as a Gamma distribution $\text{Ga}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$, and compute the posterior hyper-parameters \tilde{a} , and \tilde{b} .
5. Compute the PMF of the predictive distribution of a future outcome $y = x_{n+1}$, identify the name of the resulting predictive distribution, and compute its parameters.

Solution.

Exercise 53. (★★) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i | \theta & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Mu}_k(\theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in \Theta$, with $\Theta = \{\theta \in (0, 1)^k \mid \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_k = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^k \mid \sum_{j=1}^k x_j = 1\}$.

Hint-1: Mu_k denotes the Multinomial probability distribution with PMF

$$\text{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j} & , \text{ if } x \in \mathcal{X}_k \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hint-2: $\text{Di}_k(a)$ denotes the Dirichlet distribution with PDF

$$\text{Di}_k(\theta|a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(a_j)} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{a_j-1} & , \text{ if } \theta \in \Theta \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1. Derive the conjugate prior distribution for θ , and recognize that it is a Dirichlet distribution family of distributions.
2. Verify that the prior distribution you derived above is indeed conjugate by using the definition.

Solution.

1. There are two alternative ways to derive the conjugate prior here.

(a) [Way (a)] I can factorize the likelihood in a form that the likelihood kernel is a function of a sufficient statistic whose dimension is independent on the sample size n , and then derive the conjugate by substituting the sufficient statistic elements by prior hyper-parameters.

There are $k - 1$ independent parameters in $\text{Mu}_k(\theta)$ because $\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1$. I consider as parameters $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1})$ and the last one is a function of them as $\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j$.

The likelihood is

$$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_{i,j}} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}} = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} \theta_k^{n-x_{*,k}}$$

where $x_{*,j} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j}$. So

$$f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j \right)^{n-x_{*,k}} = \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j \right)^n \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_{*,j} \log \left(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j} \right) \right)$$

and the sufficient statistic is

$$t_n = (n, x_{*,1}, \dots, x_{*,k-1})$$

- (b) [Way (b)] Alternatively, we can observe that the sampling space \mathcal{X}_k does not depend on the parameters. So we can show that the sampling distribution is an exponential family of distributions, identify its components, and then derive the conjugate prior.

There are $k - 1$ independent parameters in $\text{Mu}_k(\theta)$ because $\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j = 1$. I consider as parameters $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1})$ and the last one is a function of them as $\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j$.

It is

$$\text{Mu}_k(x|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{x_j} (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j} = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j) \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j \log\left(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}\right)\right)$$

This is the $k - 1$ exponential family PDF with

$$u(x) = 1; \quad g(\theta) = (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j); \quad c = (1, \dots, 1)$$

$$h(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}); \quad \phi(\theta) = (\log(\frac{\theta_1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}), \dots, \log(\frac{\theta_{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j})),$$

Then either by substituting the sufficient statistics in way (a), or by using the components of the exponential family of distributions in way (b) Let $\tau = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{k-1})$. It is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta|\tau) &\propto (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{\tau_0} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j \log\left(\frac{\theta_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j}\right)\right) \\ &\propto \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{\tau_j} (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j)^{\tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j} \propto \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j^{\tau_j} \theta_k^{\tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j} \end{aligned}$$

Here, I recognize the Dirichlet distribution with $a_j = \tau_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ and $a_k = \tau_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tau_j$.

2. Well, the posterior is Dirichlet too. It is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Mu}_k(x_i|\theta) \text{Di}_k(\theta|a) \propto \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_{*,j}} \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{a_j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_j^{x_j + a_{*,j} - 1} \propto \text{Di}_k(\theta|\tilde{a})$$

where $\tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k)$, with $\tilde{a}_j = a_j + x_{*,j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. So the posterior is $\theta|x_{1:n} \sim \text{Di}_k(\tilde{a})$.

Exercise 54. (★★) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x|\theta & \sim \text{Ga}(a, \theta) \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Ga}(a, \theta)$ is the Gamma distribution with expected value a/θ and density function

$$f(x|a, \theta) = \frac{\theta^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-\theta x) 1(x \geq 0).$$

Specify a Jeffrey's prior density for θ .

Solution.

It is

$$\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta) = \frac{\theta^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-\theta x) 1(x \geq 0) \implies$$

$$\log(\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta)) = a \log(\theta) - \log(\Gamma(a)) + (a-1) \log(x) - \theta x \implies$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \log(\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta)) = \frac{a}{\theta} - x \implies$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log(\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta)) = -\frac{a}{\theta^2} \implies$$

$$\underbrace{-E\left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log(\text{Ga}(x|a, \theta))\right)}_{=I(\theta)} = \frac{a}{\theta^2} \implies$$

so $\pi(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)} \propto \frac{1}{\theta}$.

The Exercise below has been set as Homework 2

Exercise 55. (**) Assume observation x sampled from a Maxwell distribution with density

$$f(x|\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \theta^{3/2} x^2 \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \theta x^2).$$

Find the Jeffreys prior density for the parameter θ .

Solution.

Exercise 56. (**) Consider the trinomial distribution

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, y|\pi, \rho) &= \frac{n!}{x! y! z!} \pi^x \rho^y \sigma^z, \quad (x + y + z = n) \\ &\propto \pi^x \rho^y (1 - \pi - \rho)^{n-x-y}. \end{aligned}$$

Specify a Jeffreys' prior for (π, ρ) .

HINT: It is $E(x) = n\pi$, $E(y) = n\rho$.

Solution.

It is

$$\partial^2 L / \partial \pi^2 = -x/\pi^2 - z/(1 - \pi - \rho)^2$$

$$\partial^2 L / \partial \rho^2 = -y/\rho^2 - z/(1 - \pi - \rho)^2$$

$$\partial^2 L / \partial \pi \partial \rho = -z/(1 - \pi - \rho)^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I(\pi, \rho | x, y, z) &= -E \begin{pmatrix} -x/\pi^2 - z/(1 - \pi - \rho)^2 & -z/(1 - \pi - \rho)^2 \\ -z/(1 - \pi - \rho)^2 & -y/\rho^2 - z/(1 - \pi - \rho)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} n/\pi + n/(1 - \pi - \rho) & n/(1 - \pi - \rho) \\ n/(1 - \pi - \rho) & n/\rho + n/(1 - \pi - \rho) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Because $E(x) = n\pi$, $E(y) = np$, $E(z) = n(1 - \pi - \rho)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \det I(\pi, \rho | x, y, z) &= (n/\pi + n/(1 - \pi - \rho))(n/\rho + n/(1 - \pi - \rho)) - (n/(1 - \pi - \rho))^2 \\ &= \dots \\ &= n\{\pi\rho(1 - \pi - \rho)\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

So the Jeffrey's prior is

$$p(\pi, \rho) \propto \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \pi - \rho)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Exercise 57. (**) Suppose that x has a Pareto distribution $\text{Pa}(\xi, \gamma)$ where ξ is known but γ is unknown, that is,

$$p(x|\gamma) = \gamma \xi^\gamma x^{-\gamma-1} I_{(\xi, \infty)}(x).$$

Use Jeffreys' rule to find a suitable reference prior for γ .

Solution.

It is $\partial p(x|\gamma)/\partial \gamma = 1/\gamma + \log \xi - \log x$ and $\partial^2 p(x|\gamma)/\partial \gamma^2 = -1/\gamma^2$. So $I(\gamma|x) = 1/\gamma^2$. Hence the Jeffrey's prior is $p(\gamma) \propto 1/\gamma$.

Exercise 58. (**) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i | \theta & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Ga}(\alpha, \beta), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ (\alpha, \beta) & \sim \Pi(\alpha, \beta) \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Ga}(a, \beta)$ is the Gamma distribution with expected value α/β . Specify a Jeffrey's prior for $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Hint-1: Gamma distr.: $x \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$ has pdf $f(x) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-bx) 1_{(0, +\infty)}(x)$, and Expected value $E_{\text{Ga}}(x|a, b) = \frac{a}{b}$

Hint-2: You may also need that the second derivative of the logarithm of a Gamma function is the 'polygamma function of order 1'. I.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad F^{(0)}(\alpha) &= \frac{d}{d\alpha} \log(\Gamma(a)) \\ \bullet \quad F^{(1)}(\alpha) &= \frac{d^2}{d\alpha^2} \log(\Gamma(a)) \end{aligned}$$

Hint-3: You may leave your answer in terms of function $F^{(1)}(\alpha)$.

Solution. It is $\pi(\alpha, \beta) \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathcal{J}(\alpha, \beta))} \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathcal{J}_1(\alpha, \beta))}$ where

$$\mathcal{J}_1(\alpha, \beta) = -E_{F(x|\alpha, \beta)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^2}{d\alpha^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) & \frac{d^2}{d\alpha d\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \\ \frac{d^2}{d\alpha d\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) & \frac{d^2}{d\beta^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ with}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\alpha, \beta) &= \text{Ga}(x|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta x) \implies \\ \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) &= a \log(\beta) - \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) - \beta x + (\alpha - 1) \log(x) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\alpha} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) &= \log(\beta) - \frac{d}{d\alpha} \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) + \log(x) \\ \frac{d}{d\alpha^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) &= -\frac{d^2}{d\alpha^2} \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) = -F^{(1)}(\alpha) \\ \frac{d}{d\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - x \\ \frac{d^2}{d\beta^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) &= -\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \\ \frac{d^2}{d\alpha d\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) &= \frac{1}{\beta}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\text{Ga}(a,b)} \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \right) &= -\frac{d^2}{d\alpha^2} \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) = -F^{(1)}(\alpha) \\ E_{\text{Ga}(a,b)} \left(\frac{d^2}{d\beta^2} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \right) &= -\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \\ E_{\text{Ga}(a,b)} \left(\frac{d^2}{d\alpha d\beta} \log(f(x|\alpha, \beta)) \right) &= \frac{1}{\beta}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{J}_1(\alpha, \beta) = -E_{\text{Ga}(a,b)} \begin{bmatrix} -F^{(1)}(\alpha) & \frac{1}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{\beta} & -\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F^{(1)}(\alpha) & -\frac{1}{\beta} \\ -\frac{1}{\beta} & \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathcal{J}(\alpha, \beta))} \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathcal{J}_1(\alpha, \beta))} = \sqrt{F^{(1)}(\alpha) \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \sqrt{F^{(1)}(\alpha) \alpha + 1}$$

Exercise 59. (★★) Consider the the model of Normal linear regression where the observables are pairs (ϕ_i, y_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n$, assumed to be modeled according to the sampling distribution

$$y_i | \beta, \sigma^2 \sim \text{N}(\phi_i^\top \beta, \sigma^2)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ with unknown $(\beta, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Namely,

$$y | \beta, \sigma^2 \sim \text{N}_n(\Phi \beta, I \sigma^2)$$

where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, and Φ is the design matrix. Here β is d -dimensional. Find the Jeffreys' priors for (β, σ^2) .

Hint: Recall your AMV: $\frac{d}{dx} x^\top A x = 2Ax$, $\frac{d}{dx} (c + Ax) = A$, and $\frac{d}{dx} (A(x))^\top = (\frac{d}{dx} A(x))^\top$.

Hint: If $y | \beta, \sigma^2 \sim \text{N}_n(\Phi \beta, I \sigma^2)$, then $E_{y | \beta, \sigma^2 \sim \text{N}_n(\Phi \beta, I \sigma^2)} \left((y - \Phi \beta)^\top (y - \Phi \beta) \right) = n \sigma^2$.

Solution. Let's set $\xi = \sigma^2$ to simplify notation... The log likelihood is

$$\log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(\xi) - \frac{1}{2\xi} (y - \Phi \beta)^\top (y - \Phi \beta)$$

Let's compute the derivatives

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2} (y - \Phi\beta)^\top (y - \Phi\beta)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) = \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(-\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2} (y - \Phi\beta)^\top (y - \Phi\beta) \right) = \frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^3} (y - \Phi\beta)^\top (y - \Phi\beta)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\beta} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) = -\frac{1}{\xi} \Phi^\top (y - \Phi\beta)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\beta^2} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) = \frac{d}{d\beta} \left(-\frac{1}{\xi} \Phi^\top (y - \Phi\beta) \right) = -\frac{1}{\xi} \Phi^\top \Phi$$

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \frac{d}{d\beta} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) = \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(-\frac{1}{\xi} \Phi^\top (y - \Phi\beta) \right) = \frac{1}{\xi^2} \Phi^\top (y - \Phi\beta)$$

Lets compute the components of the Fisher information. The sampling distribution of y is $y|\beta, \xi \sim N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)$ with $E(y|\beta, \xi) = \Phi\beta$ and $\text{Var}(y|\beta, \xi) = I\sigma^2$. So

$$E_{N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)} \left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) \right) = E_{N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)} \left(\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^3} (y - \Phi\beta)^\top (y - \Phi\beta) \right) = +\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^3} n\xi = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2}$$

$$E_{N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)} \left(\frac{d^2}{d\beta^2} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) \right) = -\frac{1}{\xi} \Phi^\top \Phi$$

$$E_{N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)} \left(\frac{d}{d\xi} \frac{d}{d\beta} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) \right) = E_{N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)} \left(\frac{1}{\xi^2} \Phi^\top (y - \Phi\beta) \right) = \frac{1}{\xi^2} \Phi^\top (E_{N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)}(y) - \Phi\beta) = 0$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= -E_{N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)} \left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log(f(y|\theta)) \right) = -E_{N(\Phi\beta, I\sigma^2)} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) & \frac{d}{d\xi} \frac{d}{d\beta} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) \\ \frac{d}{d\xi} \frac{d}{d\beta} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) & \frac{d^2}{d\beta^2} \log(f(y|\beta, \xi)) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\xi} \Phi^\top \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So the Jeffreys prior for (β, ξ) has density such as

$$\pi^{(JP)}(\beta, \xi) \propto \sqrt{\det(\mathcal{J})} = \sqrt{\det\left(\frac{1}{\xi} \Phi^\top \Phi\right) \det\left(\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\xi^2}\right)} = \left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \sqrt{\det(\Phi^\top \Phi) \det\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \propto \left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$$

Exercise 60. (**) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pn}(\theta), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Pn}(\theta)$ is the Poisson distribution with expected value θ . Specify a Jeffreys' prior for θ .

Hint: Poisson distribution: $x \sim \text{Pn}(\theta)$ has PMF

$$\text{Pn}(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!} 1(x \in \mathbb{N})$$

Solution.

It is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Pn}(x|\theta) &= \exp(-\theta) \frac{\theta^x}{x!} \implies \\ \log(\text{Pn}(x|\theta)) &= -\theta + x \log(\theta) - \log(x!) \implies \\ \frac{d}{d\theta} \log(\text{Pn}(x|\theta)) &= -1 + x \frac{1}{\theta} \implies \\ \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log(\text{Pn}(x|\theta)) &= -x \frac{1}{\theta^2} \implies \\ \underbrace{-E\left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log(\text{Pn}(x|\theta))\right)}_{=I(\theta)} &= \frac{1}{\theta} \implies\end{aligned}$$

so $\pi(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)} \propto \frac{1}{\theta^{1/2}}$.

Exercise 61. (★★) Consider the Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i|\theta & \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pn}(\theta), \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Pn}(\theta)$ is the Poisson distribution with expected value θ . Specify a Maximum entropy prior under the constrain $E(\theta) = 2$ and reference measure such as $\pi_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}}$. In particular, you also have to state the name of the derived Maximum entropy prior distribution and report the values of its parameters.

Hint-1: Poisson distribution: $x \sim \text{Pn}(\theta)$ has PMF

$$\text{Pn}(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!} 1(x \in \mathbb{N})$$

Hint-2: Gamma distribution: $x \sim \text{Ga}(a, b)$ has PDF

$$\text{Ga}(x|a, b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-\beta x) 1(x > 0)$$

Solution.

It is $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \pi_0(\theta) d\theta = +\infty$. The maximum entropy prior is such that

$$\pi(\theta|\lambda) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} \exp(\lambda\theta) = \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(\lambda\theta)$$

where I can recognize $\theta|\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(\frac{1}{2}, -\lambda)$.

The expected value of the Gamma distribution $\text{Ga}(a, b)$ is

$$E_{\text{Ga}(a,b)}(x) = \int x \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} \exp(-\beta x) 1(x > 0) = \frac{a}{b} \int \frac{b^{a+1}}{\Gamma(a+1)} x^{a+1-1} \exp(-\beta x) 1(x > 0) = \frac{a}{b}$$

Because $2 = E(\theta|\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2\lambda}$, it is $\lambda = -\frac{1}{4}$. Hence,

$$\theta|\lambda \sim \text{Ga}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$$

Part VIII

Decision theory

Exercise 62. (★★) Show that, under the squared error loss, if two unbiased and independent real estimators δ_1 and δ_2 are distinct and satisfy

$$R(\theta, \delta_1) = E_F(\theta - \delta_1(x))^2 = R(\theta, \delta_2) = E_F(\theta - \delta_2(x))^2,$$

the estimator δ_1 is not admissible.

Hint: Consider $\delta_3 = (\delta_1 + \delta_2)/2$ or $\delta_4 = \delta_1^a \delta_2^{1-a}$.

Hint: Jensen's inequality: Let $g(\cdot)$ be a convex function and X be a random variable following a distribution F then

$$g(E_F(X)) \leq E_F(g(X))$$

Extend this result to all strictly convex losses and construct a counter-example when the loss function is not convex.

Solution.

- δ_1 is not admissible if there exists an estimator δ_0 such that $R(\theta, \delta_1) \geq R(\theta, \delta_0)$. Let $\delta_0 = \frac{\delta_1 + \delta_2}{2}$. It is

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta, \delta_0) &\leq E_F(\ell(\theta, \delta_0)) = E_F\left(\theta - \frac{\delta_1(x) + \delta_2(x)}{2}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} E_F((\theta - \delta_1(x)) + (\theta - \delta_2(x)))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (E_F(\theta - \delta_1(x))^2 + E_F(\theta - \delta_2(x))^2 + \underbrace{2E_F(\theta - \delta_1(x))(\theta - \delta_2(x))}_{=0 \text{ (assuming independence \& unbiased)}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (E_F(\theta - \delta_1(x))^2 + E_F(\theta - \delta_2(x))^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (R(\theta, \delta_1) + R(\theta, \delta_2)) = \frac{1}{2} R(\theta, \delta_1) \end{aligned}$$

thus $R(\theta, \delta_1) \geq R(\theta, \delta_0)$.

- Let us consider a strictly convex loss function $\ell(\theta, \delta)$ then

$$R(\theta, \delta_1) = E_F(\ell(\theta, \delta_1)) \geq \ell(\theta, E_F(\delta_1))$$

because of Jensen's inequality. If $\delta' = E_F(\delta_1)$ then $R(\theta, \delta_1) \geq R(\theta, \delta')$ and hence δ_1 is not admissible.