Bayesian Statistics III/IV (MATH3341/4031)

Michaelmas term, 2021

# Handout 4: Exchangeability and the Bayesian model

Lecturer & author: Georgios P. Karagiannis

georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

#### Aim

Get familiar with the concept of exchangeability, and its relation to Subjective probability and Bayesian paradigm.

#### Reading list:

- Bernardo, J. M., & Smith, A. F. (2009, Section 4.3). Bayesian theory (Vol. 405). John Wiley & Sons.
- Berger, J. O. (2013, Section 3.5.7). Statistical decision theory and Bayesian analysis. Springer Science & Business Media.

### 1 Exchangability

As mentioned in Handout 3, one way to specify the Bayesian model is by subjectively specifying the probability distributions  $F(y|\theta)$  and  $\Pi(\theta)$ , or the joint distribution  $P(y,\theta)$ , that enables You to derive the rest distributions.

Alternatively, You can specify a probability distribution on the data generating process  $G(y_{1:n})$  describing the actual sequence of the data  $y_{1:n}=(y_1,...,y_n)$ . One approach is to subjectively set certain invariance assumptions on the observables y involving probabilistic believes of invariant with respect to some aspect of the observable quantities.

A reasonable invariance assumption about  $y_{1:n} = (y_1, ..., y_n)$  (to specify the data generating model  $G(y_{1:n})$ ) is the Exchengeability: The 'labels' identifying the individual observable quantities are 'uninformative', in the sense the information that the  $y_i$ 's provide is independent of the order in which they are collected. Exchangeability, although a simple assumption, it accurately describes a large class of experimental setups.

**Definition 1.** A sequence of random quantities  $y_{1:n} = (y_1, ..., y_n)$  is finitely exchangeable under a probability distribution G if all permutations of  $\{y_1, ..., y_n\}$  have the same joint distribution G. Namely; if

$$G(y_1, ..., y_n) = G\left(y_{\mathfrak{p}(1)}, ..., y_{\mathfrak{p}(n)}\right)$$

for all permutations  $\mathfrak{p}$  defined on the set  $\{1, ..., n\}$ .

**Definition 2.** An infinite sequence of random quantities  $y_1, y_2...$  is infinitely exchangeable under a probability distribution G if every finite sub-sequence is finitely exchangeable under G.

**Example 3.** If a sequence of random quantities  $y_{1:n} = (y_1, ..., y_n)$  is mutually independent, then it is exchangeable.

**Solution.** It is straightforward, since  $G(y_1,...,y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^d G(y_i)$  which is invariant to permutations of the indexes.

## 2 The representation theorem

*Note* 4. The assumption of exhcengeability leads to the following development, which theoretically justifies (to some extend) the existence of the Prior distribution, and the Bayesian paradigm.

**Theorem 5.** (General representation theorem) If  $y_1, y_2, ...$  is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of random quantities with probability distribution F, there exists a probability measure  $\Pi$  over  $\mathcal{F}$ , the space of all distribution functions on

 $\mathcal{Y}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , such that the joint distribution function of  $y_{1:n} = (y_1, ..., y_n)$  has CDF

$$G(y_1, ..., y_n) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \prod_{i=1}^n F(y_i) d\Pi(F)$$
 (1)

where F is an unknown/unobservable distribution function,  $\Pi(F) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\hat{F}_n)$  is a probability distribution on the space of functions  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is defined as a limit distribution on the empirical distribution function  $\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(y_i \leq x)$  defined by  $y_1, ..., y_n$  (as  $n \to \infty$ ), and  $F(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{F}_n(x)$ .

Remark 6. The Representation Theorem shows that if  $y_1, y_2, ...$  is infinitely exchangeable, then the elements of  $y_{1:n} = (y_1, ..., y_n)$  are i.i.d. conditional on the empirical distribution of  $y_{1:n}$ .

Remark 7. (Interpretation) The general representation Theorem 5 says

- $y_{1:n}$  are considered to be an i.i.d. sample generated from an unknown (i.e., random) distribution function F, (conditional on F); i.e.  $y_i|F \sim F(\cdot)$ .
- F is an the unknown CDF, which follows itself a probability distribution  $\Pi$  representing (prior) believes about F
- and F has the operational role of what You believe the empirical distribution function would look like for a large sample.

*Note* 8. For convenience, hereafter, we will consider the concept of exchangeability by using the parametric form 2 and 3 in Fact 9.

**Fact 9.** Given some additional problem specific invariance assumptions (see Bernardo & Smith (2009, Section 4.3)) via subjunctive judgments regarding the generating process of  $y_1, y_2, ...$ , the unknown sampling distribution  $G(y_i)$  in (1) can be written as a parametric model  $F(y_i|\theta)$  labeled by an unknown parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$ , which is the limit of some function of  $y_{1:n}$  (as  $n \to \infty$ ), and there exists a probability distribution  $\Pi$  for  $\theta$  such that

$$G(y_1, ..., y_n) = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n F(y_i | \theta) d\Pi(\theta)$$
(2)

In PDF/PMF, (2) is written as

$$g(y_1, ..., y_n) = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i | \theta) d\Pi(\theta)$$
(3)

Remark 10. Regarding the Bayesian paradigm, Fact 9 provides a rational for the consideration of the uncertain parameter  $\theta$  as a random variable and the subjective prior  $\Pi(\theta)$ . If  $y_1, y_2, ...$  is an exchangeable sequence of real-valued random quantities, then any finite subset of them is an i.i.d. random sample from parametric model  $F(\cdot|\theta)$  labeled by some uncertain parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and there exists a (prior) probability distribution  $\Pi(\theta)$  for  $\theta$  which has to describe the initially available information about the parameter which labels the model; Hence a rational for the parametric Bayesian model:

$$\begin{cases} y_i | \theta & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F(\cdot | \theta) \ \forall i = 1, ..., n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\cdot) \end{cases}$$

*Note* 11. The following Example 12 of the 'representation Theorem with 0-1 quantities' presents a special case.

**Example 12.** (Representation Theorem with 0-1 quantities). If  $y_1, y_2, ...$  is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of 0-1 random quantities with probability measure G, there exists a distribution function  $\Pi$  such that the joint mass function  $g(y_1, ..., y_n)$  for  $y_1, ..., y_n$  has the form

$$g(y_1, ..., y_n) = \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\theta^{y_i} (1 - \theta)^{1 - y_i}}_{f_{\text{Br}(\theta)}(y_i | \theta)} d\Pi(\theta)$$

where

$$\Pi(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \le t\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \stackrel{\text{as}}{=} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$

aka  $\theta$  is the limiting relative frequency of 1s, by SLLN.

**Solution.** It is given in the Exercise sheet to read; see Exercise 34.

**Example 13.** (cont. Example 12) The representation of exchangeable sequence of 0-1 random quantities  $y_1, ..., y_n$  can be interpreted as follows:

- $y_i$  are considered to be conditionally independent and identically distributed Bernoulli random quantities given the random quantity  $\theta$ ; i.e.  $y_i | \theta \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Br(\theta)$ .
- $\theta$  is itself assigned a probability distribution  $\Pi$  which can be interpreted as its prior distribution,
- by the SLLN,  $\theta$  is defined as  $\theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$ , and hence  $\Pi$  can be interpreted as beliefs about the limiting relative frequency of 1's.

*Note* 14. The following Example 15 can justify the notion of prior, posterior and predictive distribution in the context of the exchangeability.

**Example 15.** Let  $y_1, y_2,...$  be an infinitely exchangeable sequence of random quantities under distribution G admitting a PDF/PMF g. Then from the representation theorem in (2), the conditional distribution  $G(y_{n+1:n+m}|y_{1:n})$  has PDF/PMF

$$g(y_{n+1:n+m}|y_{1:n}) = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_i|\theta) \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|y_{1:n}) \quad \text{where} \qquad \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta|y_{1:n}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\theta) \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\theta) \mathrm{d}\Pi(\theta)}$$

Solution. It can be shown that

$$g(y_{n+1:n+m}|y_{1:n}) = \frac{g(y_{1:n}, y_{n+1:n+m})}{g(y_{1:n})} = \frac{\int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_{i}|\theta) \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_{i}|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_{i}|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}$$

$$= \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(y_{i}|\theta) \underbrace{\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_{i}|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_{i}|\theta) d\Pi(\theta)}}_{=d\Pi(\theta|y_{1n})}$$

Remark 16. Subjectively specifying  $G(y_{1:n})$  and then deriving  $F(y_{1:n}|\theta)$  and  $\Pi(\theta)$  is philosophically interesting. It can suggest useful sampling-model & prior  $(F(y_{1:n}|\theta), \Pi(\theta))$  decompositions, that allow the design of new meaningful models. For example, see Bernardo, J. M., & Smith, A. F. (2009, Section 4.4). On the other hand, it is often easier to subjectively specify the Bayesian model by  $F(y_{1:n}|\theta)$  and  $\Pi(\theta)$ .

**Example 17.** Consider the parametric form (2) of the general representation theorem. Let  $y_1, y_2,...$  be an infinitely exchangeable sequence of real valued random quantities with  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for any i.

- 1. Show that  $Corr(y_i, y_i) \ge 0$ , for  $i \ne j$ .
- 2. Find the condition under which (i.) I have  $\operatorname{Corr}(y_i,y_j)>0$ , for  $i\neq j$  (ii.) I have  $\operatorname{Corr}(y_i,y_j)=0$ , for  $i\neq j$  Solution. Since sequence  $y_1,y_2,...$  is infinitely exchangeable, I use the general representation theorem (the parametric form for simplicity). Hence, for a given  $\theta$ , it is  $x_i|\theta \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} dF(\cdot|\theta)$  for all i.
  - 1. So

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Cov}_{G}(y_{i},y_{j}) &= \operatorname{E}_{G}(x_{i}^{\top}x_{j}) - \operatorname{E}_{G}(x_{i})^{\top}\operatorname{E}_{G}(x_{j}) = \operatorname{E}_{G}\left(\operatorname{E}_{F}(x_{i}^{\top}x_{j}|\theta)\right) - \operatorname{E}_{G}\left(\operatorname{E}_{F}(x_{i}|\theta)\right)^{\top}\operatorname{E}_{G}\left(\operatorname{E}_{F}(x_{j}|\theta)\right) \\ &= \operatorname{E}_{\Pi}\left(\operatorname{E}_{F}(x_{i}^{\top}|\theta)\operatorname{E}_{F}(x_{j}|\theta)\right) - \operatorname{E}_{\Pi}\left(\operatorname{E}_{F}(x_{i}|\theta)\right)^{\top}\operatorname{E}_{\Pi}\left(\operatorname{E}_{F}(x_{j}|\theta)\right) = \operatorname{Var}_{\Pi}(\mu(\theta)) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu(\theta) = E_F(x_i|\theta)$  for all i. Also

$$\operatorname{Var}_{G}(y_{i}) = \operatorname{Var}_{\Pi}(\operatorname{E}_{F}(x_{j}|\theta)) + \operatorname{E}_{\Pi}(\operatorname{Var}_{F}(x_{j}|\theta)) = \operatorname{Var}_{\Pi}(\mu(\theta)) + \operatorname{E}_{\Pi}(\sigma^{2}(\theta))$$

where  $\sigma^2(\theta) = \text{Var}_F(x_i|\theta)$  for all i. Lets consider the 1-D case, that is requested;  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for any i. It is

$$\operatorname{Corr}(y_i, y_j) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\Pi}(\mu(\theta))}{\operatorname{Var}_{\Pi}(\mu(\theta)) + \operatorname{E}_{\Pi}(\sigma^2(\theta))} \ge 0$$

2. For  $Var_{\Pi}(E_F(x_i|\theta)) > 0$ , I have  $Corr(y_i, y_j) > 0$ . For  $Var_{\Pi}(E_F(x_i|\theta)) = 0$ , I have  $Corr(y_i, y_j) = 0$ ; NB: correlation does not necessarily imply independence.

Remark 18. Example 17 shows that elements of infinite exchangeable sequence cannot be negatively correlated.

#### 3 Practice

Question 19. For practice try the Exercises 36, 37, 38, and 39 from the Exercise Sheet.