DCR and Induced Polarization (day 3)





From Last Time

- DCR injects **static current** into the ground via **electrodes**
- Charges build up on surfaces perpendicular to current flow
- Charge build-up generates a secondary potential
- Measured potentials used to infer Earth's **resistivity structure**

  (pseudo-section or inversion)



From Last Time

- DCR measures potentials during the **on-time**
- Repeated measurements stacked to reduce error





Today's Topics

- Introduction to DCIP
  - What is induced polarization (IP)?
  - Impact of IP
- Physical Properties: Chargeability
- Motivational Problems
- Survey
- Data
- Processing
- Interpretation

Induced Polarization (IP)

- Occurs when ionic charges accumulate within materials under an applied voltage

  → Generates a secondary potential

- Not an instantaneous process!

- Occurs in fluid-filled pore-spaces

Equilibrium State **Voltage Applied** **Separation of +ve and –ve ions**



Induced Polarization (IP)

- **Two types:**

1) Membrane polarization: Ions accumulate at pore throat



2) Electrode polarization: Ions accumulate at metals



Impact on Voltage Measurements

- Charge accumulation on boundaries (change in ρ)
  → Instantaneous change in potential

- Charge accumulation due to IP
  → Non-instantaneous change in potential
  → Reaches a saturation point
  → Measurable voltage during off-time





Impact on Voltage Measurements

1) Voltage applied by transmitter
   → instantaneous (V_σ) increase due to ρ



Impact on Voltage Measurements

1) Voltage applied by transmitter
   → instantaneous (V_σ) increase due to ρ

2) Voltage increases as ions accumulate: $V_{on}(t) = V_\sigma + V_s\left[1 - e^{-t/\tau}\right]$



Impact on Voltage Measurements

1) Voltage applied by transmitter
   → instantaneous (V_σ) increase due to ρ

2) Voltage increases as ions accumulate: $V_{on}(t) = V_\sigma + V_s\left[1 - e^{-t/\tau}\right]$

3) Saturation of ionic charges leads to DC voltage ($V_m = V_\sigma + V_s$)



Impact on Voltage Measurements

1) Voltage applied by transmitter
   → instantaneous (V_σ) increase due to ρ

2) Voltage increases as ions accumulate: $V_{on}(t) = V_\sigma + V_s\left[1 - e^{-t/\tau}\right]$

3) Saturation of ionic charges leads to DC voltage ($V_m = V_\sigma + V_s$)

4) Voltage from transmitter removed
   → instantaneous loss in secondary potential (equal to V_σ)



Impact on Voltage Measurements

1) Voltage applied by transmitter
   → instantaneous (V_σ) increase due to ρ

2) Voltage increases as ions accumulate: $V_{on}(t) = V_\sigma + V_s\left[1 - e^{-t/\tau}\right]$

3) Saturation of ionic charges leads to DC voltage ($V_m = V_\sigma + V_s$)

4) Voltage from transmitter removed
   → instantaneous loss in secondary potential (equal to V_σ)

5) IP voltage discharges during off-time:
   $V_{off}(t) = V_s\, e^{-t/\tau}$



Physical Properties (Chargeability)

- Strength of material's IP signature represented by chargeability

- **Intrinsic Chargeability (over-voltage/DC voltage)**

$$\eta = \frac{V_s}{V_m}$$

(in mV/V)



- **Integrated chargeability**

$$d_{IP} = \frac{1}{V_m}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} V_s(t)dt$$

(in ms)

Chargeability in Frequency Domain

Measure impedance:

$$Z(\omega) = \frac{\Delta V(\omega)}{I(\omega)}$$

Compute resistivity:

$$\rho(\omega) = \frac{Z(\omega)A}{L}$$



Fit Cole-Cole model:

$$\rho(\omega) = \rho_0 \left[1 - \eta\left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + (i\omega\tau)^C}\right)\right]$$

where $$\eta = \frac{\rho_0 - \rho_\infty}{\rho_0}$$



Chargeability of Rocks

- Some rocks are chargeable (sulfides, volcanic tuffs, clays)
- More aren't (igneous, sandstones, limestones etc…)

Material type	Chargeability (msec.)
20% sulfides	2000 - 3000
8-20% sulfides	1000 - 2000
2-8% sulfides	500 - 1000
volcanic tuffs	300 - 800
sandstone, siltstone	100 - 500
dense volcanic rocks	100 - 500
shale	50 - 100
granite, granodiorite	10 - 50
limestone, dolomite	10 - 20

Material type	Chargeability (msec.)
ground water	0
alluvium	1 - 4
gravels	3 - 9
precambrian volcanics	8 - 20
precambrian gneisses	6 - 30
schists	5 - 20
sandstones	3 - 12

Impacts on Chargeability

- Abundance of sulfide mineralization
- Porewater salinity (# ions)
- Clay content
- Tortuosity
- Chargeability strongly correlated with conductivity

Motivational Problems

Exploration for Sulfide Minerals

Landfills

Used when:

1) Insufficient resistivity contrast

2) Sufficient chargeability contrast

Introduction Recap

- DCR → accumulation of charges on boundaries due to ρ
  IP → accumulation of ions in chargeable materials

- DCR → instant change in secondary potential
  IP → non-instant change in secondary potential
  → secondary potential during off-time

- Only some rocks are chargeable (exhibit IP)



Recap: Questions

Q: If the Earth is chargeable, is there a secondary potential when the current electrodes are turned off?

Q: What type(s) or rocks are chargeable?



DCIP Survey

- DCIP survey same as DCR
- Measured potential difference (ΔV) now time-dependent







DCIP Field Data

DC Data

- Measure during on-time

→ DC voltage (ΔV_m)

→ $\rho_a = \frac{\Delta \mathbf{V}_m}{\mathbf{IG}}$



DCIP Field Data

DC Data

- Measure during on-time

→ DC voltage (ΔV_m)

→ $\rho_a = \dfrac{\Delta \mathbf{V}_m}{\mathbf{IG}}$



IP Data

- Measure during off-time
- Integrate over curve

$$d_{IP} = \frac{1}{V_m}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} V_s(t)dt$$

(integrated chargeability)

- Plot on pseudo-section (geometry accounted for)

DCIP Field Data

DC Data

- Measure during on-time

→ DC voltage (ΔV_m)

→ $\rho_a = \dfrac{\Delta \mathbf{V}_m}{\mathbf{IG}}$



IP Data

- Measure during off-time
- Integrate over curve

$$d_{IP} = \frac{1}{V_m}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} V_s(t)dt$$

(like an apparent integrated chargeability)

- Plot on pseudo-section (geometry accounted for)

Can collect both during same survey!!!

Pseudo-Section



Apparent chargeability pseudo-section (plotted same way)



Q: What does pseudo-section tell us about chargeability distribution?

Processing (Inversion)

Apparent resistivity data (ρ_a) | **Integrated chargeability data (d_{IP})**

Resistivity model (ρ)



Chargeability model (η)



- DCIP data provides 2 models
- One or both can be used for interpretation
- Resistivity model required to recover chargeability model

Interpretation

- Finding margins of an old waste deposit



Recap

- DCIP survey same as DCR survey
- Collects DC and IP data
- DC and IP can be plotted as pseudo-section
- DC and IP data can be inverted
  → produces resistivity and chargeability models

Recap Questions

- Does integrated chargeability data need to be altered before plotting it on pseudo-section?
- By inverting IP data, do we recover true chargeability of the Earth?

Mt. Isa (Setup)

- **Potential ore deposit (lead, zinc, silver, copper, gold?)**
- **Proposed pole-dipole survey**





Simplified (log) conductivity distribution expected at Mount Isa (N:12200m).



Mt. Isa (Properties)



Simplified (log) conductivity distribution expected at Mount Isa (N:12200m).

Q: Do you expect mineralization to be Chargeable?

Rock Unit	Conductivity	Resistivity ($\Omega \cdot m$)	Chargeability
Native Bee Siltstone	Moderate	Moderate (~10)	Low
Moondarra Siltstone	Moderate	Moderate (~10)	Low
Breakaway Shale	Very High	Very Low (~0.1)	Low-None
Mt Novit Horizon	High	Low (~1)	High
Surprise Creek Formation	Low	High (~1000)	None
Eastern Creek Volcanics	Low	High (~1000)	None

Mt. Isa (From last time)



Simplified (log) conductivity distribution expected at Mount Isa (N:12200m).



Mineralization and other units are conductive

→ Hard to differentiate with conductivity

→ Differentiate by chargeability

Mt. Isa (Survey and Data)



Conductivity pseudo-section



Chargeability pseudo-section



Q: What trends seen in data?

Mt. Isa (Processing)

Apparent resistivity data (ρ_a)

Resistivity model (ρ)

Integrated chargeability data (d_{IP})

Chargeability model (η)





Mt. Isa (Interpretation)



A: Surprise creek
(low σ, low η)

Mt. Isa (Interpretation)



A: Surprise creek (low σ, low η)

B: Moondarra and Native Bee siltstones (moderate σ, low η)

Mt. Isa (Interpretation)



A: Surprise creek (low σ, low η)

B: Moondarra and Native Bee siltstones (moderate σ, low η)

C and D: Breakaway shales (high σ, low η)

Mt. Isa (Interpretation)



A: Surprise creek
(low σ, low η)

B: Moondarra and Native Bee siltstones
(moderate σ, low η)

C and D: Breakaway shales
(high σ, low η)

E and F: Mt. Novit horizon
(high σ, high η)

Mt. Isa (Interpretation)



A: Surprise creek
(low σ, low η)

B: Moondarra and Native Bee siltstones
(moderate σ, low η)

C and D: Breakaway shales
(high σ, low η)

E and F: Mt. Novit horizon
(high σ, high η)

G: Possible mineralization
(high σ, high η)

Mt. Isa (Synthesis)

- Chargeability delineates region of interest from background

- Mt. Novit horizon is chargeable

- Chargeability delineates Breakaway shale (high σ, low η) from mineralization (high σ, high η)

Questions About Material?