Active objects, iteration and introspection

Draft, February 1999.

Copyright Interactive Software Engineering, 1999. Duplication and distribution prohibited.

23.1 OVERVIEW

Objects represent information equipped with operations. Operations and objects are clearly defined concepts; no one would mistake an operation for an object.

For some applications — such as numerical computation, iteration, writing complete assertions, building development environments, and *introspection* (a system's ability to explore its own properties) — the operations may be so interesting on their own as to become information, worthy of representation by objects that can be passed around to arbitrary software elements, which can use them to execute the operations whenever they want. Because this separates the place of an operation's definition from the place of its execution, the definition can be incomplete, since we can provide any missing details at the time of each particular execution.

You can create **active objects** to describe such partially or completely specified computations. Active objects combine the power of higher-level functionals — operations acting on other operations — with the full safety of Eiffel's static typing system.

23.2 A QUICK PREVIEW



Why do we need active objects? The rest of this chapter will present a detailed rationale, but it does not hurt to start with a few example uses. This preview contains few explanations, so if this is your first brush with active objects some of it may look mysterious; it will, however, give you an idea of the mechanism's power, and by chapter end all the details will be clear.



Assume you want to integrate a function g(x: REAL): REAL over the interval [0, 1]. With *your_integrator* of a suitable type *INTEGRATOR* (detailed later) you will simply write the expression



your_integrator• integral ($\sim g$ (?), 0.0, 1.0)

Here $\sim g$ (?), the first argument to *integral*, is an **active expression**, distinguished by a tilde character \sim appearing before the function name, g. The tilde avoids any confusion with a routine call such as g (3.5): at the place we call *integral*, we don't want to compute g yet! Instead, what we pass to *integral* is a "active object" enabling *integral* to call g when it pleases, on whatever values it pleases.

We must tell *integral* where to substitute such values for x, at the places where its algorithm will need to evaluate g to approximate the integral. This is the role of the question mark ?, replacing the argument to g.

You may use the same scheme in



```
your_integrator. integral (\sim h (?, u, v), 0.0, 1.0)
```

to compute the integral $\int_0^I h(x, u, v) dx$, where h is a three-argument function h(x; REAL; a; T1; b; T2): REAL and u and v are arbitrary values. You will use a question mark at the "open" position, corresponding to the integration variable x, and fill in the "closed positions" with actual values u and v. Note the flexibility of the mechanism, allowing you to use the same routine to integrate functions involving an arbitrary number of extra values.

You can rely on a similar structure to provide iteration mechanisms on data structures such as lists. Assume a class *CC* with an attribute



```
intlist: LINKED_LIST [INTEGER]
```

and a function

```
integer_property (i: INTEGER): BOOLEAN
```

returning true or false depending on a property involving i. You may write

```
intlist.for_all (~integer_property (?))
```

to denote a boolean value, true if and only if every integer in the list *intlist* satisfies *integer_property*. This expression might be very useful, for example, in a class invariant. It is interesting to note that it will work for any kind of *integer_property*, even if this function involves attributes or other features of *CC*, that is to say, arbitrary properties of the current object.

Now assume that in *CC* you also have a list of employees:



```
emplist: LINKED_LIST [EMPLOYEE]
```

and that class *EMPLOYEE* has a function *is_married*: *BOOLEAN*, with no argument, telling us about the current employee's marital status. Then you may also write in *CC* the boolean expression

```
emplist• for_all ({EMPLOYEE}~is_married)
```

to find out whether all employees in the list are married. The argument to for_all is imitated from a normal feature call some_employee.is_married, but instead of specifying a particular employee we just give the type {EMPLOYEE}, to indicate where for_all must evaluate is_married for a succession of different targets, taken from the the list of employees. Note again the tilde character, signifying that it's the feature we are passing to for_all, not an expression resulting from evaluating it.



What is remarkable in the last two examples is again the flexibility of the resulting iteration mechanism and its adaptation to the object-oriented form of computation: you can use the same iteration routine, here *for_all* from class *LINKED_LIST*, to iterate actions applying to either:

- The **target** of a feature, as with *is_married*, a feature of class *EMPLOYEE*, with no arguments, to be applied to its *EMPLOYEE* target.
- The **actual argument** of a feature, as with *integer_property* which evaluates a property of its argument *i* and may or may not, in addition, involve properties of its target, an object of type *CC*.



It seems mysterious that a single iterator mechanism can handle both cases equally well We will see how to write *for_all* and other iterators accordingly. The trick is that they work on their "open" operands, and that when we call them we may choose what we leave open: either the argument as in the *is_positive* and *integral* case, where the open position is represented by a question mark, or the target, as in the *is_married* case.

Now assume that you want to pass to some other software component, in the style of STL — the C++ "Standard Template Library" — the mechanisms needed to execute the cursor resetting and advance operations, *start* and *forth*, on a particular list. Here nothing is left open: you fix the list, and the operations have no arguments. You may write



```
other_component.some_feature (your_list~start, your_list~forth)
```

All operands — target and arguments — of the active objects passed to *other_component* are "closed", so *other_component* can execute call operations on such objects without providing any further information.

At the other extreme, you might leave an active expression fully open, as in



```
other_component.other_feature ({LINKED_LIST}~extend (?))
```

so that *other_component*, when it desires to apply a call operation, will have to provide both a linked list, on which to execute *extend*, and an actual argument for *extend*.

You will indeed be able, whenever you have an active object, to execute on it a procedure *call*, whose arguments are the open operands of the original active expression (*call* has no arguments if all operands are closed, as in the next-to-last example). This will have the same effect as an execution of the original feature — *start*, *forth*, *extend* — on a combination of the closed and open arguments.

In the end an expression such as {LINKED_LIST} ~ extend (?), which can in fact be written just {LINKED_LIST} ~ extend without any explicit argument, or even just ~ extend in the text of class LINKED_LIST, denotes a "routine object": a representation of the routine extend from LINKED_LIST, such as could be used by browsing tools or other introspective facilities.

You may wonder how this can all work in a type-safe fashion. So it is time to stop this preview and cut to the movie.

23.3 NORMAL CALLS



First we should remind ourselves of the basic properties of **feature calls**. \(\int Calls were studied in When programming with Eiffel we rely all the time on this fundamental chapter 21 and their mechanism of object-oriented computation. We write things like

type properties in chap-



[Q]
$$a0. f(a1, a2, a3)$$

to mean: call feature f on the object attached to a0, with actual arguments a1, a2, a3. In Eiffel this is all governed by type rules, checkable statically: f must be a feature of the base class of the type a0; and the types of a1 and the other actuals of the call must all conform to the types specified for the corresponding formals in the declaration of f.

In a frequent special case a0, the **target** of the call, is just *Current*, denoting the current object. Then we may omit the question mark and the target altogether, writing the call as just



[U]
$$f(a1, a2, a3)$$

which assumes that f is a feature of the class in which this call appears. The first form, with the question mark, is a qualified call; the second form is unqualified (hence the names [Q] and [U] given to our two examples).

In either form the call is syntactically an expression if f is a function or an attribute, and an instruction if f is a procedure. If f has been declared with no formals (as in the case of a function without arguments, or an attribute) we omit the list of actuals, (a1, a2, a3).

The effect of executing such a call is to apply feature f to the target object, with the actuals given if any. If f is a function or an attribute, the value of the call expression is the result returned by this application.

To execute properly, the call needs the value of the target and the actuals, for which this chapter needs a collective name:



Operands of a call

The operands of a call include its target (explicit in a qualified call, implicit in an unqualified call), and its arguments if any.

In the examples the operands are a0 (or *Current* in the unqualified version [U]), a1, a2 and a3. Also convenient is the notion of position of an operand:

Operand position

The target of a call (implicit or explicit) has position 0. The *i*-th actual argument, for any applicable i, has position i.

Positions, then, range from 0 to the number of arguments declared for the feature. Position 0, the target position, is always applicable.

23.4 DELAYING A CALL



For a call such as the above, we expect the effect just described to occur as a direct result of executing the call instruction or expression: the computation is immediate. In some cases, however, we might want to write an expression that only describes the calls intended computation, and to execute that description later on, at a time of our own choosing, or someone else's. This is the purpose of active expressions, which may be described as **delayed calls**.

Why would we delay a call in this way? Here are some typical cases:

- A •We might want the call to be applied to all the elements of a certain structure, such as a list. In such a case we will specify the active expression once, and then execute it many times without having to respecify it in the software text. The software element that will repeatedly execute the same call on different objects is known as an **iterator**. Function for all, used earlier, was an example of iterator.
- B •As a form of iterator programming in numerical computation, we might use a mechanism that applies the call to various values in a certain interval, to approximate the integral of a function over that interval. The first example of this chapter relied on such an *integral* function.
- C •We might want the call to be executed by another software element: passing an active object to that element is a way to give it the right to operate on some of our own data structures, at a time of its own choosing. This was illustrated with the calls passing to other element some active expressions representing operations applicable to *your_list*.
- D •We might want the call to be applied as initialization whenever future execution creates a new object of a given type.
- E •Finally, we may be interested in the active object as a way to gain Introspection is also information about the feature itself, whether or not we ever intend to called reflection, but execute the call. This may be part of the more general goal of providing the first term is more accurate. **introspective** capabilities: ways to enable a software system to explore and manipulate information about its own properties.

These examples suggest an important property of active expressions, which is the second principal way they differ from normal calls (the first being, of course, timing): whereas to execute a normal call we need the value of all its operands — target and actuals —, for an active expression we may want to leave some of the operands open for later filling-in. This is clearly necessary for cases A and B, in which the iteration or integration mechanism will need to apply the feature repeatedly, using different operands each time. In an integration

$$\int_{x=a}^{x=b} g(x) dx$$

we will need to apply g to successive values of the interval [a, b].

For an active object we need to distinguish between two moments:



Construction time, call time

The construction time of an active object is the time of evaluation of the active expression defining it.

Its **call time** is when a call to its associated operation is executed.

Since the only way to obtain an active object initially is through active expressions, as specified next, it is meaningful to talk about the "active expression defining it".

For a normal call the two moments are the same. For an active object we \rightarrow A precise definition will have one construction time (zero if the expression is never evaluated), and zero or more call times. At construction time, we may leave some operands unspecified; they they will be called the *open* operands. At call time, however, the execution needs all operands, so the call will need to specify values for the open operands. These values may be different for different executions (different call times) of the same active expression that is to say, for active objects having the same construction time.

of "open" and "closed" operands appears on page 579

There is no requirement that **all** operands be left open at creation time: we may specify some operands, which will be closed, and leave some other open. In the example of computing, for some values u and v, the integral

$$\int_{x=a}^{x=b} h(x, u, v) dx$$

where h is a three-argument function, we pass to the integration mechanism an active object that is closed on its last two operands (u and v) but open on x.

Nothing forces you, on the other hand, to leave any open operand at all. An active object with all operands closed corresponds to the kind of application called C above, in which we don't want to execute the call ourselves but let another software element other element carry it when it is ready. We choose construction time, and package the call completely, including all the information needed to carry it out; other element chooses call time. This style of programming is used by iterators in the C++ STL library.

At the other extreme, an active object with all operands open has no information about the target and actuals, but includes all the relevant information about the feature. This is useful in application E: passing around information about a feature for introspection purposes, enabling a system to deliver information about its own components.

23.5 WHAT IS AN ACTIVE EXPRESSION?

A normal call is a syntactical component — instruction or expression meant only for one thing: immediate execution. If it is an expression (because the feature is a function), it has a value, computed by the execution, and so denotes an object.

DEPINITION

An active expression has a different status. Since construction time is separate from call time, the active expression can only **denote an object**. That object, called an *active object*, contains all the information needed to execute the call later, at various call times. This includes in particular:

- Information about the routine itself and its base type.
- The values of all the closed operands.

What is the type of a call object? Three Kernel Library classes are used to describe such types: *ROUTINE*, *PROCEDURE* and *FUNCTION*. Their class headers start as follows:

```
deferred class ROUTINE [BASE, OPEN -> TUPLE]

class PROCEDURE [BASE, OPEN -> TUPLE] inherit
ROUTINE [BASE, OPEN -> TUPLE]

class FUNCTION [BASE, OPEN -> TUPLE, RES] inherit
ROUTINE [BASE, OPEN -> TUPLE]
```

A call object will be an instance of *PROCEDURE* if the associated feature is a procedure, of *FUNCTION* if it is a function. The role of the formal generic parameters is:

- *BASE*: type (class + generics if any) to which the feature belongs.
- *OPEN*: tuple of the types of open operands, if any.
- *RES*: result type for a function.

One of the fundamental features of class *ROUTINE* is

```
call (v: OPEN) is
-- Call feature with all its operands, using v for the open operands.
```

In addition, *FUNCTION* has the feature

```
item: RES-- Function result returned by last call to call, if any
```

and, for convenience, the function

Note that the formal generic parameters for *ROUTINE*, *PROCEDURE* and FUNCTION provide what we need to make the active object mechanism statically type-safe. In particular the *OPEN* parameter, a tuple type, gives the exact list of open operand types; since the argument to call and value is of type *OPEN*, the compiler can make sure that at call time the actual arguments to *call* will be of the proper types, conforming to the original feature's formal argument types at the open positions. The actuals at closed positions are set at construction time, again with full type checking. So the combination of open and closed actuals will be type-valid for the feature.



ROUTINE, *PROCEDURE* and *FUNCTION* have more features than \rightarrow Sections A.6.22 to listed above. For a more complete interface specification, see the A.6.24, starting on page corresponding sections in the presentation of Kernel Library classes.

23.6 ACTIVE EXPRESSIONS

How do we produce active objects? We use active expressions for which the basic syntactical rule is very simple: start from a normal feature call; in the qualified case, replace its dot with a tilde; in the unqualified case, add a tilde before the feature name

So if a valid call of the qualified form is



$$a0 \cdot f(a1, a2, a3)$$

you get an active expression by replacing the dot with a tilde:

In the case of a valid unqualified call



```
f(a1, a2, a3)
```

where f is a feature of the enclosing class, you obtain the corresponding call expression by adding a tilde:

```
\sim f(a1, a2, a3)
```

In either case, the new notation is not a call (instruction or expression) any more, but an expression of a new syntactic kind, Active expression, which denotes a call object, of a *PROCEDURE* type if f is a procedure and a *FUNCTION* type if f is a function.

With a call expression, you can do all you are used to with other This example assumes expressions. You can assign it to an entity of the appropriate type; assuming f is a procedure of a class CC, you may write, in class CC itself:

that CC is non-generic, so that it is both a class and a type.



```
x: PROCEDURE [CC, TUPLE]
x := a0 \sim f(a1, a2, a3)
x \cdot call( ]
```

Note that here all operands are closed since we specified the target a0 and all the operands a1, a2, a3, so the second formal generic is just TUPLE, and the call to *call* takes an empty tuple [].

More commonly than assigning a call expression to an entity as here, you will pass it as actual argument to a routine, as in



```
some_other_component \cdot do_something (a0~f(a1, a2, a3))
```

where *do_something*, in the corresponding class, takes a formal x declared as

```
x: PROCEDURE [CC, TUPLE]
```

or just

```
x: PROCEDURE [ANY, TUPLE]
```

presumably to call call on x at some later stage, as we will shortly learn to \leftarrow Scheme C wason do. This is the scheme that was called \mathbb{C} in the presentation of example page 569. applications: passing a completely closed call object to another component of the system, to let it execute the call when it chooses to. For example you can pass your_list~start or your_list~extend (some_value).

23.7 KEEPING OPERANDS OPEN

The examples just seen are still of limited interest because all their operands are closed. What if you want to keep some operands open for latter fillingin at call time, for example by an iteration or integration mechanism?

For arguments (we will see how to handle the target in a short while) the \rightarrow About the target see basic technique is very simple: to keep an actual open, just replace it by a "LEAVING THE TARquestion mark. This yields examples such as

GET OPEN", page 575.



```
w := a0 \sim f(a1, a2, ?)
x := a0 \sim f(a1, ?, a3)
y := a0 \sim f(a1, ?, ?)
z := a0 \sim f(?, ?, ?)
```

The respective types of these call expressions are, assuming that f is a procedure with formals declared of types T1, T2 and T3:

```
w: PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE [T3]]
x: PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE [T2]]
y: PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE [T2, T3]]
z: PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE [T1, T2, T3]]
```

If f were a function, the types would use FUNCTION instead of *PROCEDURE*, with one more actual generic representing the result type.



You will have noted how the generic parameters of *ROUTINE* and its \rightarrow *The role of the first* heirs all provide a full specification of the types involved, enabling static generic parameter, type checking. Consider in particular the role of the second generic BASE, will be discussed in "THE BASE CLASS parameter OPEN in ROUTINE [BASE, OPEN -> TUPLE] and, AND TYPE", 23.13, correspondingly, PROCEDURE and FUNCTION. OPEN represents the page 581. tuple of types of all the open operands. In the first case above, for w, only the last argument, of type T3, is left open; in the last case, for z, all three arguments are open. (But in all examples so far the target a0 is closed.) This immediately indicates what argument types are permissible in calls to *call* (or *value* for a function) on the corresponding call objects:



```
w_{\bullet} call ([e3])
x \cdot call([e2])
y_{\bullet} call ([e2, e3])
z \cdot call([e1, e2, e3])
```

where the types of expressions e1, e2, e3 must conform to T1, T2 and T3respectively. The effect of these calls is the same as what we would obtain through the following normal calls (call time same as construction time):

```
a0.f(a1, a2, e3)
a0.f(a1, e2, a3)
a0.f(a1, e2, e3)
a0. f(e1, e2, e3)
```

23.8 EXPLICIT TYPES FOR OPEN OPERANDS

As a variant of the "question mark" specification for open operands, you might want to specify explicitly a certain type for some of the arguments. Instead of the first example



```
w := a0 \sim f(a1, a2, ?)
```

you may write

```
wu := a0 \sim f(a1, a2, \{U3\})
```



to specify that you are leaving open the last argument, and that the corresponding actual must be of type conforming to U3. This will be called the braces convention, complementing the "question mark convention" seen so far. In this case the type of wu is

```
wu: PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE [U3]]
```

Clearly, this is only permitted for a type U3 that conforms to T3, the type of the last formal argument. This is a general rule: where a normal call requires an operand of type T_i , a corresponding active expression may use $\{U_i\}$, for any type U_i conforming to T_i . This means that the argument is left open, and that any corresponding actual at call time must be of a type conforming to U_i .

We may now reinterpret the question mark convention in terms of the braces convention: a question mark at an argument position is simply an abbreviation for $\{T_i\}$, where T_i is the type of the corresponding formal. For example y, defined earlier as $a0 \sim f(a1, ?, ?)$ could instead have been written

```
a0 \sim f(a1, \{T2\}, \{T3\})
```

23.9 LEAVING THE TARGET OPEN

The examples of open operands seen so far were open only for some or all of the arguments; the target was closed. Your may also want to leave the target open. Looking again at our staple example, we see that if we start from a normal call of the qualified form



$$a0 \cdot f(a1, a2, a3)$$

we cannot use the question mark convention to replace the target a0, since we need to identify the class of which f is a feature. But the braces convention will work. You may write



$$s := \{T0\} \sim f(a1, a2, a3)$$

to denote a call object open on its target (of type T0) and closed on all arguments. Of course you can open any or all of the arguments too, as in



```
t := \{T0\} \sim f(a1, a2, ?)
u := \{T0\} \sim f(?, ?, ?)
```

just $\{T0\}\sim f$. See "COMPLETELY OPEN CALL OB-

 \rightarrow The expression for u

can be abbreviated to

where we get with u, for the first time, a call object open on all its operands, JECTS", page 580. target and arguments.

The types for the last call expressions are:

```
s: PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE [T0]]
t: PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE [T0, T3]]
u: PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE [T0, T1, T2, T3]]
```

Note once again how the second generic parameter of the *ROUTINE* classes corresponds to the open operands — target and arguments included.

The next section discusses the role of the first generic parameter, always representing the target type.

The order of the open operands is the one in which they operands would appear in a normal call: target, then first argument and so on. Calls to *call* on the corresponding routine objects will be instructions of the form

```
s. call ([e0])
t. call ([e0, e3])
u. call ([e0, e1, e2, e3])
```

with expressions *e0*, *e1*, *e2*, *e3* of types conforming to *T0*, *T1*, *T2*, *T3*. Note how, when it comes to applying *call* (or *value* for a function) to a call object, the target, if left open in the call expression, must be passed as part of the actual argument tuple, in the same way as an open argument.

These active expressions will have the same effect as the normal calls

```
e0•f(a1, a2, a3)
e0•f(a1, a2, e3)
e0•f(e1, e2, e3)
```

In the unqualified case, a normal call of the form

```
f(a1, a2, a3)
```

may be viewed as an shorthand for the qualified form $Current \cdot f(a1, a2, a3)$. Correspondingly, you may write the open-target active expression as

```
\{CC\}\sim f(a1,a2,a3)
```

CC is the enclosing class, assumed to be non-generic.

but you may also use a question mark for the target:



```
?~f(a1, a2, a3)
```

Here too you may of course leave some of the arguments open, or all of \rightarrow The expression for v can be abbreviated to just them as in

can be abbreviated to just ?~ f. "COMPLETELY OPEN CALL OB-JECTS", page 580.

```
v := ? \sim f(?, ?, ?)
```

23.10 A SUMMARY OF THE POSSIBILITIES

Although you may have the impression that the active object mechanism has many variants, it is in fact very simple. So to avoid any confusion, or impression of confusion, here is an informal description listing all the possibilities:

How active expressions are made

To obtain an active expression, you *must*:

- Start from a valid routine call of any form, qualified or not.
- 2 Replace the dot by a tilde if the call is qualified; otherwise, add a tilde before the routine name.

In addition, to make some operands open, you may:

- 3 Replace any operand (the target, or any argument) by a question mark, called a Placeholder in the syntax, or a type in braces, as in {*YOUR_TYPE*}, called an Explicit_type_descriptor.
- 4 If all the arguments are placeholders, omit the argument list altogether, parentheses included.

That's all there is to it!

In the next sections we continue exploring the details, and study the precise syntax, validity and semantics of active expressions.

23.11 ACTIVE EXPRESSION SYNTAX



We have now seen examples of all the variants of Active_expression, so it If hurried skip to "COMis time to give the syntax. (This section introduces no new concept, so the PLETELY OPEN CALL hurried reader may skip to the next one.)

OBJECTS", 23.12, page 580.

The new construct is Active_expression, a variant of Expression:



```
Active_expression \triangleq [Active_target] Active_unqualified
           Active_target ≜ Entity | Parenthesized | Type_descriptor
         Type descriptor \triangleq Explicit type descriptor | Placeholder
Explicit type descriptor \stackrel{\triangle}{=} "{" Type "}"
              Placeholder \triangleq "?"
      Active unqualified \triangleq "~" Feature name [Active actuals]
```



The major difference between the syntax of an Active_expression and of a Active_actuals reprenormal Call is the tilde of a Active_unqualified, which has no equivalent in sents the actual arguments to the active the corresponding construct, Unqualified call. This guarantees that an active object, if any, and is expression can never be confused for a normal call.

specified next.



Note that the mechanism is applicable not only to identifier features but $\leftarrow See$ "THE FEAalso to operator features (Infix and Prefix). The technique is very simple: TURE NAME CONSISjust designate the feature by its Feature_name, and add a tilde to it as you 5.14, page 185. would do with an identifier feature. You may remember that the Feature Name Consistency principle allows us, if § is the operator of an infix feature, to treat infix "§" as a normal feature name and use it in any place where a feature identifier would be legal; same thing for prefix "!" if ! is a prefix operator. So you can use active expressions such as

TENCY PRINCIPLE",



```
a \sim \inf x "+" (b)
                      -- All closed
~infix "+" (?)
                      -- Open on argument, closed on target
?~ prefix "+"
                      -- All open (open on target, no argument)
```

Coming back to the general case, the Active_target may be absent, in which case the call will be considered closed on its target: the current object. In this case the associated feature must be a feature of the current class, and the Active expression will start with a tilde and a feature name, as in



```
\sim f(a1, a2, a3)
```

In all other cases the active expression has an explicit Active_target, for which the specification shows three possibilities: Entity, Parenthesized and Type descriptor. Examples of a Active target of each kind are



```
e0
                     -- An Entity
(a \cdot k(x) \cdot l(y) \cdot m) -- A Parenthesized containing a complex expression
{U0}
                     -- A Type_descriptor (explicit)
```



This syntax requires you, if you want to use as target an expression other than a simple entity, to enclose it in parentheses, as in the second example. There is no loss of expressiveness, since the expression you put in parentheses can still be as complicated as you like. The reason for forcing parentheses is a concern for readability. With suitable precedence rules, it would not be hard for a compiler to parse $a \cdot k(x) \cdot l(y) \cdot m \sim f(a1, a2, a3)$. Instead, you must write



```
(a \cdot k(x) \cdot l(y) \cdot m) \sim f(a1, a2, a3)
```

where the parentheses around the target remove any confusion arising from the presence of both dots (part of the multi-level qualified Call serving as target of the active expression) and tildes.

The third possibility for a target includes a Type_descriptor. This may be an Explicit_type_descriptor listing the target type in braces:



$$\{T0\} \sim f(a1, a2, a3)$$

or simply a question mark, or Placeholder, indicating an open target of the current type:



This expression assumes that f is a feature of the enclosed class; it represents an active object that is open on its target.

The part after the tilde is what the syntax productions call Active_unqualified, which resembles the Unqualified_call component of normal calls, but with two more possibilities for a Active_actual:



```
Active_actuals ≜ "(" Active_actual_list ")"

Active_actual_list ≜ {Active_actual "," ...}

Active_actual ≜ Actual | Type_descriptor
```

One of the Active_actual variants is Actual, meaning a normal actual argument for a call (Expression or, for an external routine, Address). The new variant is Type_descriptor, which as we have seen includes Placeholder, a question mark, and Explicit_type_descriptor, a type in braces.

We can define precisely what "open" and "closed" mean for the \leftarrow The operands of a operands of an active expression:

← The operands of a call were defined on page 568 as including its target, and its arguments if any.



Open and closed operands

The open operands of an Active_expression include:

- Its target if there is a Active_target and it is a Type_descriptor (Explicit_type_descriptor or Placeholder).
- Any Active_actual that is a Type_descriptor.

The **closed operands** include all non-open operands.

An earlier definition also introduced the notion of *operand position*, which \leftarrow "Operand position" we can now extend to a definition of open and closed positions: was defined on page 568.



Open and closed operand positions

The **open operand positions** of an Active_expression are the operand positions of its open operands, and the **closed operand positions** those of its closed operands.

← "Operand position" was defined on page 568: the target position is 0, and the argument positions start at 1.

23.12 COMPLETELY OPEN CALL OBJECTS

In some cases it will be useful to write a call expression that denotes a call object closed on all of its arguments, and possibly on its target too.

We have seen how to obtain this effect by using question marks (Placeholder) at every argument position:



```
a0 \sim f(?, ?, ?)
                      -- Qualified, arguments open, target closed
\sim f(?,?,?)
                      -- Unqualified, arguments open, target closed
```

← The first expression was called zon page 573; the second one was called v on page 576.

An abbreviation is permitted for this case: omit the parenthesized argument list (the Active_actuals part) altogether, yielding respectively

```
a0 ~ f
                     -- Qualified, arguments open, target closed
                     -- Unqualified, arguments open, target closed
```

These examples all have closed targets; in the unqualified case the target is the current object. The fully open variants, with open targets, are:

```
-- Qualified, all operands open (target and arguments)
\{T0\} \sim f
          -- Equivalent to previous one if T0 is current type
?~f
```

← The first expression has the same value as **u** as defined on page 575.

The syntax just given explicitly allows all these abbreviated forms. It is the \leftarrow The Argument rule same as the full form if f has no arguments.; but if f has arguments, a normal call without actuals, such as $a0 \cdot f$, or just f in the non-qualified case, would \rightarrow The Active Expresviolate the Argument rule. In contrast, the Active Expression rule, page 589. introduced later in this chapter, explicitly allows you to omit the Active_ actuals, even for a feature with arguments, as an abbreviation for a list of completely open actuals.

was on page 558.

sion rule will appear on



This abbreviated form has the advantage of conveying the idea that the denoted object is not just a call object but a true "feature object", carrying properties of the feature in its virginal state, not tainted by any particular choice of actual argument. The last two variants shown do not even name a target. This is the kind of object that we need for such introspective applications as writing a system that enables its users to browse through its own classes.

"associated feature" of

a call object has not yet

The definition is part of

the Active Expression rule, page 589.

23.13 THE BASE CLASS AND TYPE



Introspection support is also one of the concerns behind the first generic parameter of *ROUTINE*, *PROCEDURE* and *FUNCTION*. The specification

includes, as first generic parameter, the type BASE representing the type $\rightarrow Although intuitively$ (class with generic parameters) to which a call object's associated feature clear, the notion of belongs. This is the type of the target expected by the feature.

The examples seen so far do not use *BASE* at all, because procedure *call* been defined precisely. does not need it. If the call object is closed on its target, as in

```
y := a0 \sim f(a1, ?, ?)
```

then it includes, here through a0, the target information that a later call to *call* may require. In the other case — open target — as in

```
t := \{T0\} \sim f(a1, a2, ?)
```

then the target type is specified, here TO, and provides the information needed to determine the right version of f. In this case the BASE generic parameter is in fact redundant, since it is identical to the first component of the tuple type corresponding to *OPEN*; the type of t, for example, is

```
ROUTINE [T0, TUPLE [T0, T3]]
```

where the two tuple components correspond to the two open operands: the target, and the last argument.

In both the closed target and open target cases, then, we don't need the **BASE** generic parameter if all we do with call objects is execute *call* on them.

BASE is useful for other purposes. Without BASE a call closed on its target, as with y above, could not contain any information about the class (and associated type) where the call's associated feature is defined. To open the gate to full "introspection" service — enabling a system to explore its own properties — class *ROUTINE* uses a feature

```
base_type: TYPE
```

that yields the base type of an active object's associated feature. Class TYPE from the Kernel Library provides information about a type and its base class.



Class *TYPE* is, even more fundamentally than *ROUTINE* and its heirs, the starting place for introspection. Example features include:

- *name*: the upper name of the type's base class.
- *generics*: the list of actual generic parameters, if any, used in the type's derivation, each itself an instance of *TYPE*.
- routines: the list of routines of a class, each an instance of *PROCEDURE* or *FUNCTION*.
- attributes: the list of attributes, each an instance of ATTRIBUTE.

Class ANY has a feature

generator: TYPE

→ On ANY and universal features see chapter 28.

which yields an object describing the type of the current object.

So within a class of which f is a feature, generator has the same value as $(\sim f)$ • base_type; if a is of type T and f is a feature of T, then t • generator has the same value as $(\{T\} \sim f) \cdot base_type$.

A more complete interface specification of TYPE appears in the description $\rightarrow On \ class \ TYPE \ see$ of the Kernel Library classes.

A.6.25, page 779.

Thanks to the presence of **BASE** among the generic parameters of **ROUTINE** and its heirs, we can give a proper type to feature base type, and as a result gain access to a whole library of introspection mechanisms.

23.14 USING ACTIVE OBJECTS

All the details of the active object mechanism have now been introduced, $\rightarrow Validity$ and semanalthough we haven't yet taken the trouble to look at the validity rules and tics are in the next secprecise semantics. We should now revisit and extend the examples sketched at the very beginning of this chapter and see how to make them work in practice: not just the client side (integrating a function, iterating an operation) but the suppliers too (the integrator, the iterators).

tion, 23.15, page 588.

The first set of examples was about integration. We assumed functions



```
g(x: REAL): REAL
h (x: REAL; a: T1; b: T2): REAL
```

and wanted to integrate them over a real interval such as [0, 1], that is to say, approximate the two integrals

$$\int_{x=0}^{x=1} g(x) dx$$

$$\int_{x=0}^{x=1} h(x, u, v) dx$$

We declare

```
your_integrator: INTEGRATOR
```

and, with the proper definition of function *integral* in class *INTEGRATOR*, we will obtain the integrals through the expressions



```
your_integrator.integral (~g (?), 0.0, 1.0)
your integrator, integral (\sim h (?, u, v), 0.0, 1.0)
```

The question mark indicates, in each case, the open argument: the place where integral will substitute various real values for x when evaluating g or h.

Note that if we wanted in class D to integrate a real-valued function from class REAL, such as abs which is declared in REAL as

```
abs: REAL is
-- Absolute value
do ... end
```

we would obtain it simply through the expression



```
your_integrator, integral ({REAL}~abs, 0.0, 1.0)
```

Let us now see how to write function *integral* to make all these uses possible. We use a primitive algorithm — this is not a treatise on numerical methods — but what matters is that any integration technique will have the same overall form, requiring it to evaluate *f* for various values in the given interval. Here class *INTEGRATOR* will have a real attribute *step* representing the integration step, with an invariant clause stating that *step* is positive. Then we may write *integral* as:



```
integral
     (f: FUNCTION [ANY, TUPLE [REAL], REAL];
     low, high: REAL): REAL is
               -- Integral of f over the interval [low, high]
     require
          meaningful_interval: low <= high
     local
          x: REAL
     do
          from
               x := low
          invariant
               x \ge low; x \le high + step
               -- Result approximates the integral over
               -- the interval [low, low max(x - step)]
          until x > high loop
               Result := Result + step * | f \cdot value([x])
               x := x + step
          end
     end
```

The boxed expression is where the algorithm needs to evaluate the function *f* passed to *integral*. Remember that *value*, as defined in class *FUNCTION*, calls the associated function, substituting any arguments (here *x*) at the open

positions, and returning the function's result. The argument of *value* is a tuple (of type OPEN, the second generic parameter of FUNCTION); this is why we need to enclose x in brackets, giving a one-argument tuple: [x].

In the first two example uses, $\sim g(?)$ and $\sim h(?, u, v)$, this argument corresponds to the question mark arguments to g and h. In the last example the call expression passed to *integral* was $\{REAL\}\sim abs$, where the open operand is the target, represented by $\{REAL\}$, and successive calls to *value* in *integral* will substitute successive values of x as targets for evaluating abs.

In the case of h the closed arguments u and v are evaluated at the time of the evaluation of the active expression $\sim h$ (?, u, v), and so they remain the same for every successive call to *value* within a given execution of *integral*.

Note the type *FUNCTION* [*ANY*, *TUPLE* [*REAL*], *REAL*] declared in *integral* for the argument *f*. It means that the corresponding actual must be a call expression describing a function from any class (hence the first actual generic parameter, *ANY*) that has one open operand of type *REAL* (hence *TUPLE* [*REAL*]) and returns a real result (hence *REAL*). Each of the three example functions *g*, *h* and *abs* can be made to fit this bill through a judicious choice of open operand position.

Now the iteration examples. In a class *CC* we want to manipulate both a list of integers and a list of employees



```
intlist: LINKED_LIST [INTEGER]
emplist: LINKED_LIST [EMPLOYEE]
```

and apply the same function *for_all* to both cases:



```
if intlist. for_all (~is_positive (?)) then ... end
if intlist. for_all (~over_threshold (?)) then ... end
if emplist. for_all ({EMPLOYEE} ~ is_married) then ... end
```

The function *for_all* is one of the iterators defined in class *TRAVERSABLE* of EiffelBase, and available as a result in all descendant classes describing traversable structures, such as *TREE* and *LINKED_LIST*. This boolean-valued function determines whether a certain property holds for every element of a sequential structure. The property is passed as argument to *for_all* in the form of a call expression with one open argument.

Our examples use three such properties of a very different nature. The first two are functions of the client class *CC*, assessing properties of their integer argument. The result of the first depends only on that argument:



```
is_positive (i: INTEGER): BOOLEAN is
     -- Is i positive?
     do Result := (i > 0) end
```

Alternatively the property may, as in the second example, involve other aspects of *CC*, such as an integer attribute *threshold*:



```
over_threshold (i: INTEGER): BOOLEAfsN is
-- Is i greater than threshold?
do Result := (i > threshold) end
```



Here *over_threshold* compares the value of i to a field of the current object. Surprising as it may seem at first, function for_all will work just as well in this case; the key is that the call expression $\sim over_threshold$ (?), open on its argument, is closed on its target, the current object; so the active object it produces has the information it needs to access the *threshold* field.

In the third case, the argument to *for_all* is {*EMPLOYEE*} ~ *is_married*; this time we are not using a function of *CC* but a function *is_married* from another class *EMPLOYEE*, declared there as



```
is_married: BOOLEAN is do ... end
```

Unlike the previous two, this function takes no argument since it assesses a property of its target; We can still, however, pass it to *for_all*: it suffices to make the target open.

The types of the call expressions are the following:

```
FUNCTION [CC, TUPLE [INTEGER], BOOLEAN]
-- In first two examples (is_positive and over_threshold)

FUNCTION [EMPLOYEE, TUPLE [EMPLOYEE], BOOLEAN]
-- In the is_married example
```

This assumes again that CC is non-generic, so that it is both a class and a type.

You may also apply *for_all* to functions with an arbitrary number of arguments, as long as you leave only one operand (target or argument) open, and it is of the appropriate type. You may for example write the expressions



```
intlist •for_all (~some_criterion (e1, ?, e2, e3))
emplist • for_all ({EMPLOYEE} ~ some_function (e4, e5)
```

assuming in CC and EMPLOYEE, respectively, the functions

```
-- In CC
some_criterion (a1: T1; i: INTEGER; a2: T2; a3: T3)
                                             -- In EMPLOYEE
some function (a4: T4; a5: T5)
```

for arbitrary types T1, ..., T5. Since arguments e1, ..., e5 are closed in the calls, these types do not in any way affect the types of the call expressions, which remain as above: FUNCTION [CC, TUPLE [INTEGER], BOOLEAN] and FUNCTION [EMPLOYEE, TUPLE [EMPLOYEE].

Let us now see how to write the iterator mechanisms themselves, such as *for_all*. They should be available in all classes representing traversable structures, so they must be introduced in a high-level class of EiffelBase, TRAVERSABLE [G]. Some of the iterators are unconditional, such as



```
do_all (action: ROUTINE [ANY, TUPLE [G]]) is
          -- Apply action to every item of the structure in turn.
     require
          ... Appropriate preconditions ...
     do
          from start until off loop
               action.call ([item])
               forth
          end
     end
```

This uses the four fundamental iteration facilities, all declared in the most Descendants of TRAgeneral form possible as deferred features in TRAVERSABLE: start to position the iteration cursor at the beginning of the structure; forth to to provide iteration advance the cursor to the next item in the structure; off to tell us if we have mechanisms on lists, exhausted all items (**not** off is a precondition of forth); and item to return the item at cursor position.

VERSABLE effect these features in various ways hash tables, trees and many other structures.

The argument action is declared as ROUTINE [ANY, TUPLE [G]], meaning that we expect a routine with an arbitrary base type, with an open operand of type G, the formal generic parameter of TRAVERSABLE, representing the type of the elements of the traversable structure. Feature item indeed returns a result of type G (representing the element at cursor position), so that it is valid to pass as argument the one-argument tuple [item] in the call action. call ([item]) that the loop repeatedly executes.



We normally expect *action* to denote a procedure, so its type could be more accurately declared as *PROCEDURE* [ANY, TUPLE [G]]. Using ROUTINE leaves open the possibility of passing a function, even though the idea of treating a function as an action does not conform to the Command-Query Separation principle of the Eiffel method.

Where <u>do_all</u> applies <u>action</u> to all elements of a structure, other iterators provide conditional iteration, selecting applicable items through another call expression argument, *test*. Here is the "while" iterator:



```
while do
    (action: ROUTINE [ANY, TUPLE [G]]
    test: FUNCTION [ANY, TUPLE [G], BOOLEAN]) is
        -- Apply action to every item of the structure up to,
        -- but not including, the first one not satisfying test.
        -- If all satisfy test, apply to all items and move cursor off.
    require
        ... Appropriate preconditions ...
    do
        from start until
            off or else not test. value ([item])
        loop
            action • call ([item])
            forth
        end
    end
```

Note how the algorithm applies *call* to *action*, representing a routine (normally a procedure), and *value* to *test*, representing a boolean-valued function. In both cases the argument is the one-element tuple [item].

The iterators of TRAVERSABLE cover common control structures: while_do; do_while (same as while_do but with "test at the end of the loop", that is to say, apply *action* to all items up to *and including* first one satisfying test); until_do; do_until; do_if.

Yet another of the iterators of *TRAVERSABLE* is *for all*, which we used in the examples. It is easy to write a *for_all* loop algorithm similar to the preceding ones, but easier yet to define for all in terms of while do:



```
for_all (test: FUNCTION [G, TUPLE, BOOLEAN]): BOOLEAN is
          -- Do all items satisfy test?
     require
          ... Appropriate preconditions ...
     do
          while_do (~do_nothing, test)
          Result := off
     end
```

Procedure do_nothing, from class ANY, has no effect; here we simply apply $\rightarrow do$ nothing is cited it as long as *test* is true of successive items. If we find ourselves off then in 28.6, page 670. for all should return true; otherwise we have found an element not satisfying the *test*.

Assuming a proper definition of *do_until*, the declaration of *exists*, providing the second basic quantifier of predicate calculus, is nicely symmetric with *for_all*:



23.15 VALIDITY AND SEMANTICS



It remains to provide the validity and semantic rules of active expressions, complementing the syntax already given. This will simply be a more precise specification of concepts already studied, so on first reading you can skip to the next chapter.

For ease of reference here is a repetition of the syntax productions:



```
Active_expression 

[Active_target] Active_unqualified

Active_target 
Entity | Parenthesized | Type_descriptor

Type_descriptor 
Explicit_type_descriptor | Placeholder

Explicit_type_descriptor 
"{" Type "}"

Placeholder 
"?"

Active_unqualified 
"~" Feature_name [Active_actuals]

Active_actuals 
"(" Active_actual_list ")"

Active_actual_list 
Active_actual 
Active_actual 
Active_actual 
Active_actual 
Active_actual 
Active_actual 
Active_actual 
Active_actual 
Active_actual 
Active_descriptor
```

← These productions first appeared on pages 577 and 579.

To define the validity of an active expression we need to be able to consider its "target type", explicit or implicit:



Target type of an active expression

The target type of an Active_expression is:

- 1 If there is no Active_target, or a Active_target which is a Type_descriptor of the Placeholder kind, the current type.
- 2 If there is a Active_target and it is an Entity or Parenthesized, its type.
- 3 If there is a Active_target and it is a Type_descriptor of the Explicit_type_descriptor kind, the type that it lists (in braces).

← The "current type" is the enclosing class, with generic parameters added if necessary to make up a type. See "CURRENT CLASS, CURRENT TYPE", 12.17, page 379.

This is enough to introduce the validity rule, which also defines the notion of "associated feature" of an active object:



Active Expression rule

CPAR

An Active_expression appearing in a class C, with a feature identifier fi and target type T0 is valid if and only if it satisfies the following six conditions:

- 1 fi is the name of a feature of T0, called the **associated feature** of the active expression.
- 2 If there is a Active_target, that feature is export-valid for *T0* in *C*.
- 3 If the Active_actuals part its present, the number of elements in its Active_actual_list is equal to the number of formals of *f*.
- 4 Any Active_actual of the Actual kind is of a type conforming to the type of the corresponding formal in *f*.
- 5 Any Active_actual which is a Type_descriptor of the Explicit_ type_descriptor kind lists, between the braces, a type conforming to the type of the corresponding formal in f.
- 6 If *T0* is separate, any non-expanded formal of *f* is separate.

Clause 6 is a consistency condition for concurrent computation, and parallels a similar clause discussed in the chapter on normal calls.



The phrasing of the rule implies that certain forms of the construct are automatically valid:

- If any Active_actual is of the Placeholder kind, represented simply by a question mark, neither clause 4 nor clause 5 applies, so the argument raises no type validity problem. This is as expected, since such an argument is left open for future filling-in.
- If there is no Active_actuals part, clauses 3 to 5 do not apply. If f has no formals, we are calling an argumentless feature with no actuals, as we should. If f has one or more formal arguments, we view the absence of explicit actuals of an abbreviation for actuals that are all of the Placeholder kind (question marks): assuming f takes three arguments, $a0 \sim f$ is simply an abbreviation for $a0 \sim f$ (?, ?, ?). In this case the implicit arguments are all open, and hence automatically valid.

We may formalize the last observation through a definition which will also be useful for the semantics:



Unfolded form of an active expression

The unfolded form of an Active_expression dc is:

- *dc* itself if it includes a Active_actuals part, or if the associated feature has no formals.
- Otherwise, *dc* extended with a Active_actuals part made up of Active_actual components all of the Placeholder (question mark) kind.

We now have enough to define the semantics of an active expression:



Type and value of an active expression

Consider an Active_expression expression d, whose associated feature f has a generating type T0. Let i1, ..., im ($m \ge 0$) be its open operand positions, if any, and let $T_{i1}, ..., T_{im}$ be the types of f's formals at positions i1, ..., im (taking T_{i1} to be T0 if i1 = 0).

The type of d is:

- PROCEDURE [T0, TUPLE $[T_{i1}, ..., T_{im}]$] if f is a procedure;
- *FUNCTION* [*T0*, *TUPLE* [*T_{i1}*, .., *T_{im}*], *R*] if is a function of result type *R*.

Evaluating d at a certain *construction time* yields a reference to an instance D0 of the type of d, containing information identifying:

- f.
- The open operand positions.
- The values of the closed operands at the time of evaluation of *d*.

Although this will be an implicit consequence of the preceding description, it doesn't hurt to state explicitly what some of the information in D0 is good for: enabling calls on active objects.



Effect of executing call on an active object

Let D0 be an active object with associated feature f and open positions i1, ..., im ($m \ge 0$). The information in D0 enables a call to procedure *call*, executed at any **call time** posterior to D0's construction time, with target D0 and (if required) actual arguments $a_{i1}, ..., a_{im}$, to perform the following:

- Produce the same effect as a call to f, using the closed operands at the closed positions and a_{i1} , ..., a_{im} , evaluated at call time, at the open positions.
- In addition, if f is a function, setting the value of query item for D0 to the result returned by such a call.

← "Open operand position" was defined on page 579.

← item from class FUNCTION, intended to hold the result of the last evaluation, was introduced on page 571.