SGM

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1209.0367.pdf

Regular graph matching: minimize adjacency disagreements from 2 graphs Seeded graph matching: " " from 2 partially-matched graphs

Intro

Informally, graph matching is a bijection between 2 vertex sets that minimizes adj. disagreements. Given graphs G1, G2 with vertex sets V1, V2, |V1|=|V2|, and 2 vertices u,v

- u,v adjacent in G1 but not in G2 -> disagreement
- u,v not adjacent in G1, but are in G2 -> disagreement
- u,v adjacent in both -> agreement
- u,v not adjacent in both -> agreement

It turns out that general graph matching is just the quadratic assignment problem! This is NP-hard and its is proven that there is no approximation algorithm in NP unless P=NP:(. Even the question asking whether there exists a graph isomorphism is hard and intractable:(.

Pero

What about if we already know about a few of the elements? For example, let

W1 in V1, W2 in V2 s.t. |W1|=|W2|, and we have a bijection B1 between the Ws.

We can look at the total graph matching bijection B2 as an <u>extension</u> of B1 (so all matching from B2 on the vertices B1 acts upon are the same). Imagine it as <u>growing</u> B1 out to B2.

The elements of the Ws are **seeds** and B1 is a **seeding**

The paper claims that even a little knowledge about seeds significantly improves our results

Vogelstein et al (2015) developed a Fast Approximate Quadratic Assignment Problem (FAQ), which will be modified to fit the SGM universe with 3 goals

- 1. Use seeds
- 2. Work on differently-sized graphs
- 3. Give each vertex a probability distribution of potential matches

There is a set of quadratic assignment problems from QAPLIB

(http://anjos.mgi.polymtl.ca/qaplib/) which FAQ has worked really well on, so it seems like a good thing to try and use from SGM and is faster than PATH. ALSO under mild conditions, the FAQ algorithm asymptotically almost surely provides the optimal graph matching solution when solved exactly.

All graphs covered are undirected and dont have loops or multiple edges. However, this SGM implementation would work on any crazy graph you want.

Notation

The notation in the paper is slightly modified here to fit the typesetting style of google docs.

We have n, m, and n such that n+m=n.

We have graphs G1, G2 with vertex sets V1, V2 = $\{1,2,...,n\}$.

We have seed vertex subsets W1,W2 = {1,2,...,m} with seeding B1.

When m=0, this is the unseeded graph matching problem.

We have A,B in R¹ which are adjacency matrices for G1,G2 respectively.

Each entry in these adjacency matrices is either 1 (for adjacency) or 0 (not), indexed as aij or bij A useful partitioning of A is into A11, A12, A21, A22, where A11 represents the upper-left quadrant which corresponds to the seed. The same holds for B.

We have the following expression which is the objective of SGM

$$\min_{P\in\Pi_n} \|A - (I_m \oplus P)B(I_m \oplus P)^T\|_F^2,$$

Where Im is the mxm identity matrix and the plus with circle is direct matrix addition (diagonal concatenation with 0s on the off-diagonal blocks. P one element of Pi_n, an nxn permutation matrix. We can see that Im circle-plus P results in a $n \times n$ matrix. This matrix simply represents the reorganization of B. The post-multiply reorganizes the columns of B and the pre-multiply reorganizes the rows of B. Thus, the permutation that minimizes the frobenius norm of the different between A and permuted B would be considered the "optimal" graph match.

This paper also utilizes the notion of a rho-SBM with number of blocks k and vertices *n*, vertex set V, block membership function b (that maps from vertex to block), edge probability matrix Lambda (kxk), and correlation rho. We say that random graphs G1 and G2, each having vertex set V with respective adj matrices A and B, have a rho-correlated SBM with parameters k,b, and Lambda denoted (G1,G2) sim rho-SBM(k,b,Lambda) if:

- 1. Each graph is marginally distributed as a k-SBM denoted G1, G2 sim SBM(k,b,Lambda)
- 2. The random variables Aij and Bkl have correlation rho if i=k,j=l and are otherwise independent

rho=0 implies edge presence is independent across graphs, while rho=1 implies the graphs are almost surely isomorphic. This rho-correlation definition holds for k=1 (rho-correlated ER model) and k=n (rho-correlated Bernoulli random graph).

Generation of a rho-SBM(k,b,Lambda) is discussed:

- 1. Sample G1 sim SBM(k,b,Lambda)
- For G2, sample each Bij sim Bernoulli((1-rho)Lambda_{b(i),b(j)} + rho A_{ij})

xd