Is ethics in the eye of the beholder?



George Matthews, Pennsylvania College of Technology 2019

facts

facts values

facts values

Factual statements report on how the world *is*.

facts

Factual statements report on how the world *is*.

values

Statements about values express claims about how things *should be*.

facts

Factual statements report on how the world *is*.

values

Statements about values express claims about how things *should be*.

• The big question is whether statements about values are just a matter of opinion.

facts

Factual statements report on how the world *is*.

values

Statements about values express claims about how things *should be*.

- The big question is whether statements about values are just a matter of opinion.
- If they are, there would be little point to ethical debate and discussion.

facts

values

Factual statements report on how the world *is*.

Statements about values express claims about how things *should be*.

- The big question is whether statements about values are just a matter of opinion.
- If they are, there would be little point to ethical debate and discussion.
- If they are not, and they are not statements of fact what *are* they?





According to relativism...



According to relativism...

• Value judgments are personal, rooted in our sense of identity.



According to relativism...

- Value judgments are personal, rooted in our sense of identity.
- They are absorbed from our cultural environment.



According to relativism...

- Value judgments are personal, rooted in our sense of identity.
- They are absorbed from our cultural environment.
- Like cultural norms regarding food, manners and personal affairs they are not subject to critical evaluation.



There is no disputing taste.

-- David Hume



There is no disputing taste.

-- David Hume

QUESTION: are ethical norms like taste in food, subject to disagreement with no way of settling disputes? Either you like sushi or you don't...





Every theory we will look at:



Every theory we will look at:

• Makes certain assumptions: we have to start *somewhere*.



Every theory we will look at:

- Makes certain assumptions: we have to start *somewhere*.
- Has implications: *if it is true*, then we have to accept other stuff too.



Every theory we will look at:

- Makes certain assumptions: we have to start *somewhere*.
- Has implications: *if it is true*, then we have to accept other stuff too.
- Requires support in an argument: none are *obvious* even if they might seem believable at first glance.

If relativism is true...

If relativism is true...

• There are no moral absolutes.

If relativism is true...

• There are no moral absolutes.

But this implies that there is nothing, no matter how repugant it seems, the can truly be called evil, as long as *somebody* thinks of it as acceptable.

If relativism is true...

If relativism is true...

• Moral progress makes no sense.

If relativism is true...

• Moral progress makes no sense.

Since progress assumes that there is a standard to compare one practice or belief with another and relativism denies the existence of any independant standards of moral evaluation, things can never get *better* they can only be *different*.

If relativism is true...

If relativism is true...

• We cannot really discuss ethical issues we people we disagree with.

If relativism is true...

• We cannot really discuss ethical issues we people we disagree with.

If there is no common framework for discussion, we must live in morally distinct universes and can only talk past each other.