# Causal Recommendation: Progresses and Future Directions

Yang Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Wenjie Wang<sup>2</sup>, Peng Wu<sup>3</sup>, Fuli Feng<sup>1</sup>, Xiangnan He<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Science and Technology of China, <sup>2</sup>National University of Singapore, <sup>3</sup>Peking University. zy2015@mail.ustc.edu.cn, wenjiewang96@gmail.com, wupeng@bicmr.pku.edu.cn, {fulifeng93, xiangnanhe}@gmail.com

## **ABSTRACT**

Data-driven recommender systems have demonstrated great successes in various Web applications owing to the extraordinary ability of machine learning models to recognize patterns (i.e., correlation) from the massive historical user behaviors. However, these models still suffer from several issues such as biases and unfairness due to spurious correlations. Considering the causal mechanism behind data can avoid the influences of spurious correlations brought by non-causal relations. In this light, embracing causal recommendation modeling is an exciting and promising direction. Therefore, causal recommendation is increasingly drawing attention in our recommendation community. Nevertheless, there lacks a systemic overview of this topic, leading to difficulties for researchers and practitioners to understand and keep up with this direction.

In this tutorial, we aim to introduce the key concepts in causality and provide a systemic review of existing work on causal recommendation. We will introduce existing methods from two different causal frameworks - the potential outcome framework and the structural causal model. We will give examples and discussions regarding how to utilize different causal tools under these two frameworks to model and solve problems in recommendation. A comparison between the two lines of work will be provided to facilitate understanding the differences and connections between them. Besides, we identify some open challenges and potential future directions for this area. We hope this tutorial could stimulate more ideas on this topic and facilitate the development of causality-aware recommender systems.

## **CCS CONCEPTS**

• Information systems → Recommender systems.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Recommendation, Causality, Structural Causal Model, Neyman-Rubin Causal Model, Potential Outcome

## **ACM Reference Format:**

Yang Zhang, Wenjie Wang, Peng Wu, Fuli Feng an Xiangnan He. 2022. Causal Recommendation: Progresses and Future Directions . In Companion Proceedings of the Web Conference 2022 (WWW '22 Companion), April 25-29, 2022, Virtual Event, Lyon, France. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/xxxxxxxxx

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

WWW '22 Companion, April 25-29, 2022, Virtual Event, Lyon, France

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-xxxx-x/22/04...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/xxxxxxxxx

## 1 TOPIC AND RELEVANCE

## 1.1 Motivation

Recommender systems play an important role to help users alleviate information overload issues in the Web 2.0 era by providing personalized services for seeking information. To date, solving recommendation as a machine learning problem has become the default choice in practice. Learning-based recommender models, especially deep neural networks, have shown great advantages and huge improvements on a wide spectrum of real-world scenarios. Such data-driven models typically learns to forecast user-item interactions from historical records by recognizing the patterns correlated with interactions. However, such data-driven models largely suffer from bias issues (e.g., popularity bias and selection bias) due to the uneven distribution of historical interactions [3], which can not only hurt recommendation accuracy but also causes unfairness [1], filter bubble [13], and echo chamber [5].

To tackle these issues, we embrace causal recommendation modeling, which dives into the cause-effect factors regarding useritem interactions. Generally, causal recommendation methods rank items by estimating the causal effect [14] on a user-item interaction instead of the conditional probability of the interaction<sup>1</sup>. Different from the data-driven methods, causal recommendation considers the causal mechanisms behind data, such as the mechanism regarding the interaction generations process. In light of these mechanisms, we can leverage causal inference techniques to solve the aforementioned issues. For instance, we can recognize confounders [14] to eliminate spurious correlations, enhancing the accuracy and robustness of recommender models. Meanwhile, considering different types of causal effects and utilizing counterfactual inference can identify more reasonable fairness, removing the bad effects of sensitive features.

There are two main lines of research on causal recommendation regarding the underling framework of causality utilized.

- Potential Outcome. In recent years, a serge of attention has been dedicated on the potential outcome framework [2, 16, 17], which are mainly based on two causal inference technologies - inverse propensity scoring (IPS) and doubly robust (DR). It is first used to unbiased learning-to-rank in explicit recommendation [17], then expanded to implicit recommendation [16]. Recently, based on joint learning or data fusion strategy, strands of new approaches are proposed, such as doubly robust joint learning [25], more robust doubly robust method [7], doubly robust targeted learning [32], multi-task (IPS/DR) learning [39], AutoDebias [2], and (IPS/DR)-LTD method [26].
- Structural Causal Model. The second line focuses on the structural causal model, which emerged in the last one to two years. Following the ladder of causation with three layers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that conventional recommender models estimate P(y = 1|u, i) or E(Y|u, i).

of association, intervention and counterfactual [14], these methods [4, 22, 23, 29, 41, 42] model recommendation at the intervention and counterfactual layers above the correlation in observed data. These methods resort to causal graph for analyzing the causal mechanisms of interaction generation and perform and interventions or counterfactual inference over the observed data.

In this tutorial, we would like to introduce the current progress on both lines, discuss connections and differences between them, and identify open challenges and future directions.

Necessity and timely of this tutorial. Causal recommendation is new, but developing vigorously. In year 2021, there are more than 20 relevant publications in the top-tier conferences (e.g., SIGIR and SIGKDD) related to causal recommendation. Moreover, one of them [41] is awarded the SIGIR 2021 Best Paper Honorable Mention award<sup>2</sup>. It is undoubted that these pioneer works will inspire more ideas, and their success will encourage more efforts in this topic, for designing more accurate, responsible, stable, and explainable recommender systems. Given the importance and initial but flourishing development of causal recommendation, we believe it is the right time to conduct a tutorial of this topic, so as to benefit the researchers and practitioners to understand current progress and further works on this topic. Besides, the Nobel Prize in Economic Sciences 2021 is also awarded for methodological contributions to the analysis of causal relationships<sup>3</sup>, which will largely stimulate relevant research topics, including causal recommendation.

To our knowledge, this is the first tutorial on this new but flour-ishing topic. Especially we find existing work are in two lines, which lie in different causal frameworks or philosophies. Our systemic reviews on these two lines of works will help beginners to fast step into these two directions, and keep up with the latest progress. Our comparison between two lines of works could help practitioners to understand the relations and differences between them and then choose suitable direction for themselves. Note that this area is far away mature and has many open problems. We also would like to arise discussions on these problems and propose some new directions, with the ambition of inspiring more new ideas and facilitating the development of this area.

## 1.2 Relevance

The topic of this tutorial is highly related to the World Wide Web, especially to recommender systems, user modeling, log analysis and Web mining in the Web systems. And the topic is becoming an important and flourishing direction in recommendation and may bring inspiration for other related Web applications. Before our tutorial, a workshop [40] on a similar topic was organized in SIGIR 2021 and received much attention. As to the WWW community with overlapped interested with IR community, we believe our tutorial will also attract great attention from the WWW community.

#### 1.3 Outline

This tutorial focuses on causal recommendation. Here we present an outline of the topics to be covered with timing:

• Introduction. (15 Min, Xiangnan He)

- Organization of the tutorial.
- Data-driven recommender system.
- The motivations for causal recommendation.
- The classification of causal recommendation methods: potential outcome framework [9] based methods and structural causal model [14] based methods.
- Potential outcome framework for recommendation. (60 Min, Peng Wu)
  - Key elements in potential outcome framework [31].
  - Basic methods, including inverse propensity score (IPS) [17], self-normalized inverse propensity score (SNIPS) [17, 19], error imputation based (EIB) [8, 18] learning, and doubly robust (DR) learning [6, 25].
  - Limitations of Basic Methods [32]. Evaluating the basic methods from five different aspects: doubly robust, robust to small propensities [30], boundedness [12, 21, 33], without extrapolation [12], and low variance.
  - Enhanced DR Methods, including more robust doubly robust (MRDR) [7], doubly robust targeted learning [32], and multi-task learning [39].
  - Uniform data-aware methods [2, 26, 37].
  - Causal analysis framework [31], providing formal causal definitions of various biases in recommender system.
- Q&A. (5 Min)
- Break. (10 Min)
- Structural causal model for recommendation (60 Min, Wenjie Wang and Yang Zhang)
  - A brief introduction to the structural causal model.
  - Dealing with confounding structures in recommendation.
    - \* Confounders in recommendation.
    - \* Strategies for dealing with observed confounders, focusing on backdoor adjustment based methods [22, 41].
    - \* Strategies for unobserved confounders, including front-door adjustment [14] and learning substitutes [27, 43].
  - Considering colliding structures in recommendation.
    - \* Colliders in recommendation and their influences.
    - \* Modeling colliding effect, including generating special causal data [42] and optimizing the retraining of recommender system [4].
  - Counterfactual in recommendation.
    - \* Counterfactual inference for recommendation, focusing on removing path-specific effects to deal with bias problems [23, 29].
    - \* Counterfactual synthesizing in recommendation [28, 36, 38].
    - \* Counterfactual fairness in recommendation [11].
    - $* \ \ Counterfactual \ explanation \ for \ recommendation \ [20].$
- Comparison between potential outcome framework based recommendation and structural causal model based recommendation. (5 Min, Fuli Feng)
  - The connections and differences between them.
- Open problems, future directions and conclusions. (20 Min, Fuli Feng)
  - Causality-aware evaluation methods.
  - Causal discovery in recommendation.
  - Path-specific counterfactual fairness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://sigir.org/sigir2021/awards/.

 $<sup>^3</sup> https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/economic-sciences/2021/press-release/. \\$ 

- Causal graph for environments with many variables and variables that are difficult to define.
- Causal graph and causal methods for recommendation with a feedback loop.
- More automatic causal recommendation.
- Conclusions.
- Q&A. (5 Min)

# 1.4 Qualification of presenters

We have been working on recommendation and causal recommendation for a long time with a series of publications on causal recommendation [2, 22, 23, 29, 41, 42] emerged in several top-tier conferences, such as WWW 2021, SIGIR 2021, and SIGKDD 2021. These researches connect recommendation with structural causal models [22, 23, 29, 41], extend the potential outcome framework in recommender systems [2], and also develop some theoretical causal inference methods [30, 33, 34]. Notably, our work [41] received the SIGIR 2021 Best Paper Honorable Mention award. We are familiar with the two technical lines of this topic and have a systemic review of this topic. We thus believe our tutorial would be systemic and insightful. Moreover, our team has rich experience and has conducted more than 10 tutorials on various conferences including SIGIR, WWW, WSDM, CIKM and RecSys [3, 10, 15, 24, 35]. Specifically, our tutorials on SIGIR 2018 and CIKM 2019 attracted the largest number of audiences among the tutorials at the corresponding conferences.

## 2 TUTORIAL DETAILS

- **Duration**. The tutorial is planned as a 3 hours tutorial.
- Interaction style. This is a lecture-style tutorial. There is no need for the audience members to use any software during the tutorial
- Intended audience and level. This tutorial is intended for the following researchers and practitioners in recommender system and other web areas:
  - who are new to the causal recommendation and look for a tutorial to fast step into this area.
  - who have knowledge of recommender systems and the knowledge of causality but do not know how to combine them or do not know what to do in the near future.
  - who are in other web areas but want to know how to utilize causal inference in real tasks like recommendation task.

Only elementary knowledge on recommendation and basic probability and statistics is required. Attendees are expected to gain a global scope of this area, high-level understanding of different types of causality-aware recommendation methods, the latest progress, and some promising future directions.

- Previous editions. This is the first edition of this tutorial.
- Tutorial materials. The slides will be provided to attendees.
   Organizers can obtain copyright permission.
- Video teaser. We have released the teaser through Dropbox<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>4</sup>https://www.dropbox.com/sh/v0slzvzn40f88ee/AABDIaTcXxj---33UV5IIA51a?dl= 0. Organization details. The tutorial can be conducted online
with the online meeting software. We will also provide a prerecorded lecture if necessary. If allowed, we can also live the
tutorial through popular video streaming platforms.

# 3 BIOS OF PRESENTERS

Yang Zhang<sup>5</sup> is a Ph.D. student in the School of Information Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China (USTC), supervised by Prof. Xiangnan He. He received his B.E. degree from the USTC. His research interest lies in the recommender system and causal inference. He has two publications in the top conference SIGIR. His work on the causal recommendation has received the Best Paper Honorable Mention in SIGIR 2021. He has served as an invited reviewer for the journal TOIS.

Wenjie Wang<sup>6</sup> is a Ph.D. student in the School of Computing, National University of Singapore. He received the B.E. degree from the School of Computer Science and Technology, Shandong University in 2019. His research interests cover causal recommendation, data mining, and multimedia. He has over 10 publications appeared in several top conferences such as SIGIR, KDD, ACMMM, and WSDM. Moreover, he has been served as the PC member and reviewer for the top conferences and journals including TKDE, TOIS, SIGIR, AAAI, MM, WSDM, ECML/PKDD.

**Dr. Peng Wu** is a postdoctoral student in Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University. His research interests include causal inference, recommender systems, and policy learning. He has over 10 publications appeared in several journals such as IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, Canadian Journal of Statistics, Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering, and Computational Statistics.

**Dr. Fuli Feng**<sup>7</sup> is a Research Fellow in the School of Computing, National University of Singapore (NUS). He received Ph.D. in Computer Science from NUS in 2019. His research interests include information retrieval, data mining, and multi-media processing. He has over 30 publications appeared in several top conferences such as SIGIR, WWW, and KDD, and journals including TKDE and TOIS. His work on the causal recommendation has received the Best Paper Honorable Mention in SIGIR 2021. His work on Bayesian Personalized Ranking has received the Best Poster Award of WWW 2018. Moreover, he has been served as the PC member for several top conferences including SIGIR, WWW, WSDM, NeurIPS, AAAI, ACL, MM, and invited reviewer for prestigious journals such as TOIS, TKDE, TNNLS, TPAMI, and TMM.

**Dr. Xiangnan He**<sup>8</sup> is a professor with the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC). His research interests span information retrieval, data mining, and applied machine learning. He has over 100 publications appeared in top conferences such as SIGIR, WWW, and KDD, and journals including TKDE, TOIS, and TNNLS. His work on recommender system has received the Best Paper Award Honourable Mention in SIGIR (2021, 2016) and WWW (2018). He has rich teaching experience, including presenting the tutorial "Bias Issues and Solutions in Recommender System" in

 $<sup>^{5}</sup> https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=M9NcazMAAAAJ. \\$ 

 $<sup>^6</sup>https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=Ma5DtmoAAAAJ\&hl=en.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>https://scholar.google.com.sg/citations?user=QePM4u8AAAAJ&hl=en.

 $<sup>^8</sup> https://scholar.google.com.sg/citations?user=X45Go24AAAAJ.\\$ 

WWW 2021 and Recsys 2021, and the tutorial "Learning and Reasoning on Graph for Recommendation" in WSDM 2020 and CIKM 2019, and the tutorial "Deep Learning for Matching in Search and Recommendation" in WSDM 2019 and SIGIR 2018.

#### REFERENCES

- Himan Abdollahpouri, Masoud Mansoury, Robin Burke, and Bamshad Mobasher.
   2020. The connection between popularity bias, calibration, and fairness in recommendation. In Fourteenth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. 726–731.
- [2] Jiawei Chen, Hande Dong, Yang Qiu, Xiangnan He, Xin Xin, Liang Chen, Guli Lin, and Keping Yang. 2021. AutoDebias: Learning to Debias for Recommendation. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 21–30.
- [3] Jiawei Chen, Xiang Wang, Fuli Feng, and Xiangnan He. 2021. Bias Issues and Solutions in Recommender System: Tutorial on the RecSys 2021. In Fifteenth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. 825–827.
- [4] Sihao Ding, Fuli Feng, Xiangnan He, Yong Liao, Jun Shi, and Yongdong Zhang. 2021. Causal incremental graph convolution for recommender system retraining. arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.06889 (2021).
- [5] Yingqiang Ge, Shuya Zhao, Honglu Zhou, Changhua Pei, Fei Sun, Wenwu Ou, and Yongfeng Zhang. 2020. Understanding echo chambers in e-commerce recommender systems. In Proceedings of the 43rd international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval. 2261–2270.
- [6] Alexandre Gilotte, Clément Calauzènes, Thomas Nedelec, Alexandre Abraham, and Simon Dollé. 2018. Offline A/B Testing for Recommender Systems. In Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. 198–206.
- [7] Siyuan Guo, Lixin Zou, Yiding Liu, Wenwen Ye, Suqi Cheng, Shuaiqiang Wang, Hechang Chen, Dawei Yin, and Yi Chang. 2021. Enhanced Doubly Robust Learning for Debiasing Post-Click Conversion Rate Estimation. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 275–284.
- [8] José Miguel Hernández-Lobato, Neil Houlsby, and Zoubin Ghahramani. 2014.
   Probabilistic matrix factorization with non-random missing data, In ICML. ICML.
- [9] Guido W Imbens and Donald B Rubin. 2015. Causal inference in statistics, social, and biomedical sciences. Cambridge University Press.
- [10] Wenqiang Lei, Xiangnan He, Maarten de Rijke, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2020. Conversational recommendation: Formulation, methods, and evaluation. In Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 2425–2428.
- [11] Yunqi Li, Hanxiong Chen, Shuyuan Xu, Yingqiang Ge, and Yongfeng Zhang. 2021. Towards Personalized Fairness Based on Causal Notion. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 1054–1063.
- [12] Geert Molenberghs, Garrett Fitzmaurice, Michael G. Kenward, Anastasios Tsiatis, and Geert Verbeke. 2015. Handbook of Missing Data Methodology. Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- [13] Tien T Nguyen, Pik-Mai Hui, F Maxwell Harper, Loren Terveen, and Joseph A Konstan. 2014. Exploring the filter bubble: the effect of using recommender systems on content diversity. In Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on World wide web. 677–686.
- [14] Judea Pearl. 2009. Causality. Cambridge university press.
- [15] Zhaochun Ren, Xiangnan He, Dawei Yin, and Maarten de Rijke. 2018. Information Discovery in E-commerce: Half-day SIGIR 2018 Tutorial. In The 41st International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research & Development in Information Retrieval. 1379– 1382
- [16] Yuta Saito, Suguru Yaginuma, Yuta Nishino, Hayato Sakata, and Kazuhide Nakata. 2020. Unbiased recommender learning from missing-not-at-random implicit feedback. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. 501–509.
- [17] Tobias Schnabel, Adith Swaminathan, Ashudeep Singh, Navin Chandak, and Thorsten Joachims. 2016. Recommendations as treatments: Debiasing learning and evaluation. In international conference on machine learning. 1670–1679.
- [18] Harald Steck. 2010. Training and testing of recommender systems on data missing not at random, In KDD. KDD.
- [19] Adith Swaminathan and Thorsten Joachims. 2015. The Self-Normalized Estimator for Counterfactual Learning, In NIPS. NIPS.
- [20] Khanh Hiep Tran, Azin Ghazimatin, and Rishiraj Saha Roy. 2021. Counterfactual Explanations for Neural Recommenders. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 1627–1631.
- [21] Mark J. van der Laan and Sherri Rose. 2011. Targeted Learning: Causal Inference for Observational and Experimental Data. Springer.

- [22] Wenjie Wang, Fuli Feng, Xiangnan He, Xiang Wang, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2021. Deconfounded Recommendation for Alleviating Bias Amplification. In Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining. 1717–1725.
- [23] Wenjie Wang, Fuli Feng, Xiangnan He, Hanwang Zhang, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2021. Clicks Can Be Cheating: Counterfactual Recommendation for Mitigating Clickbait Issue. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 1288–1297.
- [24] Xiang Wang, Xiangnan He, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2020. Learning and reasoning on graph for recommendation. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. 890–893.
- [25] Xiaojie Wang, Rui Zhang, Yu Sun, and Jianzhong Qi. 2019. Doubly Robust Joint Learning for Recommendation on Data Missing Not at Random. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. 6638–6647.
- [26] Xiaojie Wang, Rui Zhang, Yu Sun, and Jianzhong Qi. 2021. Combating Selection Biases in Recommender Systems with a Few Unbiased Ratings. In Proceedings of the 14th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. 427–435.
- [27] Yixin Wang, Dawen Liang, Laurent Charlin, and David M Blei. 2020. Causal inference for recommender systems. In Fourteenth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. 426–431.
- [28] Zhenlei Wang, Jingsen Zhang, Hongteng Xu, Xu Chen, Yongfeng Zhang, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2021. Counterfactual data-augmented sequential recommendation. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 347–356.
- [29] Tianxin Wei, Fuli Feng, Jiawei Chen, Ziwei Wu, Jinfeng Yi, and Xiangnan He. 2021. Model-agnostic counterfactual reasoning for eliminating popularity bias in recommender system. In Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining. 1791–1800.
- [30] Peng Wu, ShaSha Han, Xingwei Tong, and Runze Li. 2021. Propensity score regression for causal inference with treatment heterogeneity. arxiv.org/abs/2109.07722 (2021)
- [31] Peng Wu, Haoxuan Li, Yuhao Deng, Wenjie Hu, Quanyu Dai, Zhenhua Dong, Jie Sun, Rui Zhang, and Xiao-Hua Zhou. 2022. Causal Analysis Framework for Recommendation. arXiv:2201.06716 (2022).
- [32] Peng Wu, Haoxuan Li, Yan Lyu, and Xiao-Hua Zhou. 2022. Doubly Robust Collaborative Targeted Learning for Recommendation on Data Missing Not at Random. arXiv:2203.10258 (2022).
- [33] Peng Wu, Zhiqiang Tan, Wenjie Hu, and Xiao-Hua Zhou. 2021. Model-Assisted Inference for Covariate-Specific Treatment Effects with High-dimensional Data. arxiv.org/abs/2105.11362 (2021).
- [34] Peng Wu, Xinyi Xu, Xingwei Tong, Qing Jiang, and Bo Lu. 2021. Semiparametric estimation for average causal effects using propensity score-based spline. *Journal* of Statistical Planning and Inference 212 (2021), 153–168.
- [35] Jun Xu, Xiangnan He, and Hang Li. 2018. Deep learning for matching in search and recommendation. In The 41st International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research & Development in Information Retrieval. 1365–1368.
- [36] Mengyue Yang, Quanyu Dai, Zhenhua Dong, Xu Chen, Xiuqiang He, and Jun Wang. 2021. Top-N Recommendation with Counterfactual User Preference Simulation. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management. 2342–2351.
- [37] Bowen Yuan, Jui-Yang Hsia, Meng-Yuan Yang, Hong Zhu, Chih-Yao Chang, Zhen-hua Dong, and Chih-Jen Lin. 2019. Improving ad click prediction by considering non-displayed events. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. 329–338.
- [38] Shengyu Zhang, Dong Yao, Zhou Zhao, Tat-Seng Chua, and Fei Wu. 2021. Causerec: Counterfactual user sequence synthesis for sequential recommendation. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 367–377.
- [39] Wenhao Zhang, Wentian Bao, Xiao-Yang Liu, Keping Yang, Quan Lin, Hong Wen, and Ramin Ramezani. 2020. Large-scale Causal Approaches to Debiasing Post-click Conversion Rate Estimation with Multi-task Learning. In Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020. 2775–2781.
- [40] Yongfeng Zhang, Xu Chen, Yi Zhang, and Xianjie Chen. 2021. CSR 2021: The 1st International Workshop on Causality in Search and Recommendation. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 2677–2680.
- [41] Yang Zhang, Fuli Feng, Xiangnan He, Tianxin Wei, Chonggang Song, Guohui Ling, and Yongdong Zhang. 2021. Causal Intervention for Leveraging Popularity Bias in Recommendation. In Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 11–20.
- [42] Yu Zheng, Chen Gao, Xiang Li, Xiangnan He, Yong Li, and Depeng Jin. 2021. Disentangling User Interest and Conformity for Recommendation with Causal Embedding. In Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021. 2980–2991.
- [43] Hao Zou, Peng Cui, Bo Li, Zheyan Shen, Jianxin Ma, Hongxia Yang, and Yue He. 2020. Counterfactual prediction for bundle treatment. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (2020).