

# NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS - STATIONARY CASE

Find  $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$(NS) \quad \begin{cases} -\nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } T_D \\ -\nu (\nabla u) \hat{n} + p \hat{n} = d & \text{on } T_N \end{cases}$$

weak formulation:

find  $(u, p) \in V \times Q$  with  $u = g$  on  $T_D$  s.t.

$$\begin{cases} a(u, v) + c(u, u, v) + b(v, p) = F(v) & \forall v \in V_0 \\ b(u, q) = 0 & \forall q \in Q \end{cases}$$

where  $c: V \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $c(w, u, v) = \int_{\Omega} (w \cdot \nabla) u \cdot v \, d\Omega$

Good posedness is given by the following conditions:

1)  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  continuous and coercive on  $V_0$

2)  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  continuous on  $V \times Q$

3) inf-sup holds

4)  $c(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  continuous on  $V_0$ :

$$c(w, u, v) \leq C \|\nabla w\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}$$

Proposition:

$\forall w \in V$  s.t.  $\nabla \cdot w = 0$ ,  $c(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is anti-symmetric if  $T_D = \emptyset$  and  $g = 0$

Recall:

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \Rightarrow \tilde{u} = u + w \text{ s.t. } w|_{\partial\Omega} = -g$$

$$\Rightarrow Lu = L\tilde{u} - Lw = f \Rightarrow L\tilde{u} = f + Lw$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = (u + w)|_{\partial\Omega} = g - g = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

Proof:

We need to show that:

$$\forall w \in V \quad \nabla \cdot w = 0 \text{ and } T_N = \emptyset, \quad c(w, u, v) = -c(w, v, u)$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow c(w, u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} (w \cdot \nabla) u \cdot v \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j} w_j \partial_{x_i} u_j \cdot v_j \, d\Omega \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} w_j \partial_{x_i} u_j \cdot v_j \, d\Omega = - \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} u_j \partial_{x_i} (w_i \cdot v_j) \, d\Omega + \end{aligned}$$

by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i,j} \int_{\partial\Omega} u_j w_i v_j n_i d\Gamma = - \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} u_j \partial_{x_i} w_i v_j d\Omega \\
& \quad \text{u} = \nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\
& - \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} u_j w_i \partial_{x_i} v_j d\Omega = - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot w) v \cdot u d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} (w \cdot \nabla) v \cdot u d\Omega \\
& \quad \text{(w is divergent free)} \\
& = -c(w, v, u)
\end{aligned}$$

□

⇒ good posedness is given by:

$\forall f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$   $\exists (u, p)$  sol. of NS with

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|p\|_{L^2} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{-1}},$$

$$\text{where } \|f\|_{H^{-1}} = \sup_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(v) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d\Omega}{\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}}$$

Remark:

The sol. could be NOT unique, sufficient cond. for uniqueness is given by the following:

$$(SD) \quad \frac{C}{\sqrt{2}} \|f\|_{H^{-1}} < 1 \quad (\text{small data hypothesis})$$

In Galerkin approximations, it could happen that  $\nabla \cdot w \neq 0$ . In this case we use a modified trilinear form  $\tilde{C}$ :

$$\tilde{C}(w, u, v) = c(w, u, v) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot w) u \cdot v d\Omega$$

⇒  $\tilde{C}$  is strongly consistent w.r.t. the continuum problem:

$$1) \quad \tilde{C}(u, u, v) = \underbrace{c(u, u, v)}_{=0} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \underbrace{(\nabla \cdot u) u \cdot v}_{=0} d\Omega = 0$$

2)  $\tilde{C}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is anti-symmetric

If  $T_N \neq \emptyset$ ,  $c(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\tilde{C}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  are NOT anti-symmetric anymore!!! In this case we have:

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{C}(u, u, v) = \int_{T_N} |u|^2 u \cdot \hat{n} d\Gamma \neq 0$$

⇒ the energy estimate for  $u$  holds:

$$\|u\|_{L^2} + \int_{T_N} |u|^2 u \cdot \hat{n} d\Gamma \leq C \|f\|_{H^{-1}}$$

⇒ it's required for the boundary conditions and for  $f$  to

be compatible the energy estimate !!! Otherwise the sol.  
does NOT have any physical sense!

### GALERKIN APPROXIMATION

$$V_h = \text{span} \{ \phi_i \}_{i=1}^{N_u} \subseteq V, Q_h = \text{span} \{ t_k \}_{k=1}^{N_p} \subseteq Q$$

$\Rightarrow$  the weak formulation becomes:

find  $u_h = \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} \hat{u}_i \phi_i, p_h = \sum_{k=1}^{N_p} \hat{p}_k t_k, (u_h, p_h) \in V_h \times Q_h$   
with  $u_h|_{\bar{\Gamma}_D} = g_h$  s.t.:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} \hat{u}_i \alpha(\phi_i, \phi_s) + \sum_{m,i=1}^{N_u} \hat{u}_m \hat{u}_i c(\phi_m, \phi_i, \phi_s) + \sum_{k=1}^{N_p} \hat{p}_k \cdot b(\phi_s, t_k) = F(\phi_s) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} \hat{u}_i b(\phi_i, t_e) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, N_u, \quad \forall e = 1, \dots, N_p \quad \begin{matrix} B^T & F \end{matrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$  introduce  $C(\hat{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_u}$  with  $C(\hat{w})_s = \sum_{m,i=1}^{N_u} \hat{w}_m \hat{u}_i c(\phi_m, \phi_i, \phi_s)$   
 $\Rightarrow$  the problem then becomes:

$$\begin{cases} A\hat{u} + C(\hat{u}) + B^T \hat{p} = F \\ B\hat{u} = \vec{0} \end{cases} \quad \text{non linear !!!}$$

If  $V_h, Q_h$  are inf-sup compatible, then the following convergence estimates hold:

$$\| \nabla(u - u_h) \|_{L^2} + \| p - p_h \|_{L^2} \leq C_1 \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \| \nabla(u - v_h) \| + C_2 \inf_{t_h \in Q_h} \| p - t_h \|_{L^2}$$

with  $C_1, C_2 \propto \frac{1}{\beta_h}$

### RESOLUTION OF THE GALERKIN PROBLEM

#### 1) FIXED POINT ITERATION METHOD:

Consider the Green problem:

$w: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\nabla \cdot w = 0$ . Find  $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$\begin{cases} -\nu \Delta u + (w \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \bar{\Gamma}_D \\ -\nu (\nabla u) \cdot \hat{n} + p \cdot \hat{n} = 0 & \text{on } \bar{\Gamma}_N \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$  let  $w \in V$  with  $\nabla \cdot w = 0$ . Find  $(u, p) \in V_h \times Q_h$  with  $u|_{\bar{\Gamma}_D} = g$   
s.t.:

$$\begin{cases} a(u, v) + c(w, u, v) + b(v, p) = F(v) & \forall v \in V \\ b(v, q) = 0 & \forall q \in Q \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$  This problem is well posed and the sol. is unique  $\forall w \in V$   
s.t.  $\nabla \cdot w = 0$

Remark:

In the Oseen problem we can also use  $\tilde{C}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  instead of  $C(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$

$\Rightarrow$  we can define the functional  $T: V \rightarrow V$  s.t.  $Tw = u$

$\Rightarrow$  then, the sol. of (NS) is a fixed point of  $T$ !!!

$$Tu = u$$

It can be proven that for small data (i.e.  $\frac{\epsilon}{\nu^2} \|f\|_{H^{-1}} \leq 1$ )  
 $T$  is a contraction in  $H^1(\Omega)$ :

$$\|T(w_1 - w_2)\|_{H^1} \leq \rho \|w_1 - w_2\|_{H^1} \quad \forall w_1, w_2 \in V$$

$\Rightarrow$  by the Contraction Thm.  $\exists! u \in V$  fixed point of  $T$

$\Rightarrow$  the succession  $u^{k+1} = Tu^k$  is s.t.  $\{u^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{H^1(\Omega)} u \quad \forall u^0 \in V$

$$\Rightarrow \|u - u^k\|_{H^1} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Moreover, convergence is linear:

$$\|u^k - u^{k+1}\|_{H^1} \leq \rho \|u - u^k\|_{H^1} \leq \dots \leq \rho^k \|u - u^0\|_{H^1}$$

$\rho$  is called convergence rate.

Let  $u^0 \in V$  s.t.  $\nabla \cdot u^0 = 0$  and  $u^0|_{\Gamma_D} = g$ . Find  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$   $(u^{k+1}, p^{k+1}) \in V \times Q$  with  $u^{k+1}|_{\Gamma_D} = g$  s.t.:

$$\begin{cases} \stackrel{A}{a}(u^{k+1}, v) + \stackrel{C}{c}(u^k, u^{k+1}, v) + \stackrel{B^T}{b}(v, p^{k+1}) = \stackrel{F}{F}(v) & \forall v \in V \\ \stackrel{B}{b}(u^{k+1}, q) = 0 & \forall q \in Q \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$  as before, we introduce  $C(\hat{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_u \times N_u}$  s.t.  $C(\hat{w})_{is} = \tilde{C}(w_i, \phi_i, \phi_s)$   
the problem becomes:

$$\begin{cases} A \hat{u}^{k+1} + C(\hat{u}^k) \hat{u}^{k+1} + B^T \hat{p}^{k+1} = F \\ B \hat{u}^{k+1} = \vec{0} \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow C$  is anti-symmetric:

$$C(\hat{w})_{is} = \tilde{C}(w_i, \phi_i, \phi_s) = -\tilde{C}(w_i, \phi_s, \phi_i) = -C(\hat{w})_{si}$$

If we take  $V_h = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_i^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_i^3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  then:

$$c(w, \begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_i^3 \end{pmatrix}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{33} \end{pmatrix}, A (+C) = \begin{pmatrix} vK + C_{11} & & 0 \\ & vK + C_{22} & \\ 0 & & vK + C_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

## 2) NEWTON'S METHOD:

Recap:

$f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  s.t.  $f(u) = 0$ . Newton's Method consists in:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{J}(u^K) \delta u = -f(u^K) \\ u^{K+1} = u^K + \delta u \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the Jacobian of  $f$  ( $\mathcal{J}_{ij} = \partial_{u_j} f_i$ ) and  $\mathcal{J}(u^K) \delta u$  is the directional derivative of  $f$  in the  $\delta u$  direction at  $u^K$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists U(u) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $\forall u^0 \in U(u)$  the succession  $\{u^K\}_{K \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{K \rightarrow \infty} u$  quadratically:

$$\begin{cases} \|u - u^K\| \xrightarrow{K \rightarrow \infty} 0 \\ \|u - u^{K+1}\| \leq C \|u - u^K\|^2 \end{cases}$$

For (NS) we have:

$$\mathcal{L}(u, p) - F = 0$$

$$\text{with } \mathcal{L}(u, p) = \begin{pmatrix} -v \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p \\ \nabla \cdot u \end{pmatrix}, F = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$  the tangent problem is:

$$(TNS) \begin{cases} D\mathcal{L}_{(u^K, p^K)}(\delta u, \delta p) = F - \mathcal{L}(u^K, p^K) \\ (u^{K+1}, p^{K+1}) = (u^K, p^K) + (\delta u, \delta p) \end{cases}$$

where  $D\mathcal{L}_{(u^K, p^K)}(\delta u, \delta p)$  is the directional derivative of  $\mathcal{L}(\cdot, \cdot)$  in the direction  $(\delta u, \delta p)$  at  $(u^K, p^K)$ , i.e. it is a Gateaux derivative:

$$D\mathcal{L}_{(u^K, p^K)}(\delta u, \delta p) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \mathcal{L}}{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(u^K + \varepsilon \delta u, p^K + \varepsilon \delta p) - \mathcal{L}(u^K, p^K)}{\varepsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \mathcal{L} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E} (-\nu \Delta \delta u + (\delta u \cdot \nabla) u^k + (u^k \cdot \nabla) \delta u + \nabla \delta p) + \mathcal{E}^2 (\delta u \cdot \nabla) \delta u \\ \mathcal{E} \nabla \cdot \delta u \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \mathcal{L}_{(u^k, p^k)} (\delta u, \delta p) = \begin{cases} -\nu \Delta \delta u + (\delta u \cdot \nabla) u^k + (u^k \cdot \nabla) \delta u + \nabla \delta p \\ \nabla \cdot \delta u \end{cases}$$

So the problem becomes:

$$\begin{cases} -\nu \Delta \delta u + (\delta u \cdot \nabla) u^k + (u^k \cdot \nabla) \delta u + \nabla \delta p = f + \nu \Delta u^k - (u^k \cdot \nabla) u^k - \nabla p^k \\ \nabla \cdot \delta u = -\nabla \cdot u^k \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$  since  $(u^{k+1}, p^{k+1}) = (u^k, p^k) + (\delta u, \delta p)$  we have:

$$\begin{cases} -\nu \Delta u^{k+1} + (u^k \cdot \nabla) u^{k+1} + (u^{k+1} \cdot \nabla) u^k + \nabla p^{k+1} = f + (u^k \cdot \nabla) u^k \\ \nabla \cdot u^{k+1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

We now pass to the weak formulation:

$u^0 \in U(u)$  with  $\nabla \cdot u^0 = 0$  and  $u^0|_{\Gamma_B} = g$ . Find  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$   
 $(u^{k+1}, p^{k+1}) \in V \times Q$  s.t.  $u^{k+1}|_{\Gamma_B} = g$  and:

$$\begin{cases} a(u^{k+1}, v) + \tilde{c}(u^{k+1}, u^k, v) + \tilde{c}(u^k, u^{k+1}, v) + b(v, p^{k+1}) = \\ b(u^{k+1}, q) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V_0, \forall q \in Q \quad F(v) + \tilde{c}(u^k, u^k, v) \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$  introduce the following matrices:

- 1)  $N(\hat{\omega}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_u \times N_u}$  s.t.  $N(\hat{\omega})_{is} = \tilde{c}(\hat{\omega}, \phi_i, \phi_s)$
- 2)  $M(\hat{\omega}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_u \times N_u}$  s.t.  $M(\hat{\omega})_{is} = \tilde{c}(\phi_i, \hat{\omega}, \phi_s)$
- 3)  $\tilde{F}(\hat{\omega}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_u}$  s.t.  $\tilde{F}(\hat{\omega})_s = F(\phi_s) + \tilde{c}(\hat{\omega}, \hat{\omega}, \phi_s)$

$\Rightarrow$  the problem becomes:

$$\begin{cases} [A + N(\hat{u}^k) + M(\hat{u}^k)] \hat{u}^{k+1} + B^T \hat{p}^{k+1} = \tilde{F}(\hat{u}^k) \\ B \hat{u}^{k+1} = \vec{0} \end{cases}$$

where  $A$  is symmetric,  $N(\hat{\omega})$  is anti-symmetric  $\forall \hat{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_u}$ ,  
 $M(\hat{\omega})$  doesn't have any symmetric property nor any sparsity pattern, indeed:

$$N(\hat{\omega})_{is} = \tilde{c}\left(\hat{\omega}, \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$$

$$M(\hat{\omega})_{is} = \tilde{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{\omega}, \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \neq 0$$

So, finally, the problem in 3D can be written as follows:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} \sqrt{K} + N_{11} + M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} & B_1^T \\ M_{21} & \sqrt{K} + N_{11} + M_{11} & M_{23} & B_2^T \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & \sqrt{K} + N_{11} + M_{11} & B_3^T \\ \hline B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & \vec{0} \end{array} \right] \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \hat{U}_1 \\ \hat{U}_2 \\ \hat{U}_3 \\ \hat{P} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{F}_1 \\ \tilde{F}_2 \\ \tilde{F}_3 \\ \vec{0} \end{array} \right]$$

Remark:

For the (NS) Tangent problem  $\exists U(u) \subseteq V$  s.t.  $\{u^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  (defined by the Tangent problem) converges quadratically to  $u$  sol. of (NS)  $\forall u^0 \in U(u)$ .

$$\|u - u^k\|_{H^1} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\text{if } u^0 \in U(u))$$

$$\|u - u^{k+1}\|_{H^1} \leq C \cdot \|u - u^k\|^2$$

3) SUPG (Streamline Upwind Petrov-Galerkin):

Recap:

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f \\ + \text{B.C.} \end{cases} \quad \text{with } L \text{ a differential operator}$$

$$(\text{e.g. } Lu = -\nu \Delta u + \beta \cdot \nabla u)$$

We study the Péclet local number and stabilize the problem:

$$Pe_h = \frac{h |\beta|}{2 \nu} > 1 \Rightarrow \text{the problem is UNSTABLE}$$

$\Rightarrow$  F.E. UNSTABLE:  $\langle Lu, v \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$

$\Rightarrow$  F.E. STABILIZED:  $\langle Lu, v \rangle + \langle Lv - f, L_{ss} v \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$   
where  $L_{ss}$  is the antisymmetric part of  $L$

Def. (Symmetric / Anti-Symmetric Operator):

$L$  is symmetric if  $\langle Lu, v \rangle = \langle u, Lv \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in V$ .

$L$  is anti-symmetric if  $\langle Lu, v \rangle = -\langle u, Lv \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in V$ .

Our Problem:

$$L(w)(u, p) = F$$

$$\text{where } L(w)(u, p) = \begin{pmatrix} -\nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p \\ \nabla \cdot u \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Def. (Scalar Product in  $V \times Q$ ):

We define the following:

$$\langle (a, b), (c, d) \rangle := \int_{\Omega} a \cdot c \, d\omega + \int_{\Omega} b \cdot d \, d\omega$$

$$\forall (a, b), (c, d) \in V \times Q$$

$\Rightarrow$  find  $(u, p) \in V \times Q$  s.t.:

$$\langle L(w)(u, p), (v, q) \rangle = \langle F, (v, q) \rangle \quad \forall (v, q) \in V \times Q$$

$$\Rightarrow a(u, v) + \tilde{c}(w, u, v) + b(v, p) - b(u, q) = F(v) \quad \forall (v, q) \in V \times Q$$

We introduce the SUPG method for the Oseen problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L(w)(u, p), (v, q) \rangle + \sum_{\Omega_i \in \tau_i} \delta_{\Omega_i} \langle L(w)(u, p) - F, L(w)_{ss}(v, q) \rangle_{\Omega_i} \\ = \langle F, (v, q) \rangle \quad \forall (v, q) \in V \times Q \end{aligned}$$

Remark:

The stabilizer is strongly consistent w.r.t. the sol.  $(u, p)$  for the residual:

$$L(w)(u, p) - F = 0$$

Consider now a fully Dirichlet B.C. (i.e.  $\Gamma_N = \emptyset$ ). Then:

$$L(w)(u, p) = \begin{bmatrix} -v \Delta u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} (w \cdot \nabla) u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \nabla p \\ \nabla \cdot u \end{bmatrix}$$

$L_1(w) \qquad L_2(w) \qquad L_3(w)$

$\Rightarrow L_2(w)$  is trivially anti-symmetric.

$\Rightarrow L_1(w)$  is symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_1(w)(u, p), (v, q) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} -v \Delta u \cdot v \, d\omega = \int_{\Omega} v \nabla u : \nabla v \, d\omega \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -v \Delta v \cdot u \, d\omega = \langle (u, p), L_1(w)(v, q) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow L_1(w)$  is anti-symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_3(w)(u, p), (v, q) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot v \, d\omega + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot u \, q \, d\omega \\ &= \int_{\Omega} p \nabla v \, d\omega - \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla q \, d\omega = -\langle (u, p), L_3(w)(v, q) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  so we have  $L_{ss}(w) = L_2(w) + L_3(w)$ , then:

$$\sum_{\Omega_i \in \tau_i} \delta_{\Omega_i} \langle L(w)(u, p) - F, L_{ss}(w)(v, q) \rangle =$$

$$= \sum_{\Omega_i \in \mathcal{T}_i} \delta_K \int_{\Omega_i} (-v \Delta u + (\omega \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p - f)(\omega \cdot \nabla) v + \nabla q) d\Omega$$

$$+ \sum_{\Omega_i \in \mathcal{T}_i} \delta_K \int_{\Omega_i} (\nabla \cdot u)(\nabla \cdot v) d\Omega \quad \text{Stabilizer for very high Reynolds numbers}$$

Remarks:

- 1) For (NS) problem the stabilizer is the same one as above except for the fact that one needs to replace  $u$  instead of  $\omega$  !!!
- 2)  $\delta_K \int_{\Omega_i} \nabla p \cdot \nabla q d\Omega$  is the Brezzi-Pitkäranta stabilizer, so SUPG can also use discrete non inf-sup compatible spaces
- 3)  $Re_h = \frac{U_{\Omega_i} \cdot h}{\nu}$
- 4) For inf-sup compatible spaces we have:

$$\delta_K = \delta_{\Omega_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta h_i}{U_i} & Re_h \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For non inf-sup compatible spaces we have:

$$\delta_K = \delta_{\Omega_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta h_i}{U_i} & Re_h \geq 1 \\ \frac{\delta h_i^2}{\nu} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \frac{\delta h_i}{U_K} \min \{ 1, Re_h \}$$


---