Syntax: Object Algebras

April 2, 2015

Notation

```
N,\ T,\ \overline{N},\ \overline{T}: name, type, list of names, list of types. \&(\overline{T}): intersecting a list of types. (,,)(\overline{E}): merging a list of expressions. [A/B]E: substituting A for B in expression E.
```

1 Object Algebra Interface

1.1 Inheritance \times

1.1.1 Template

```
BEFORE: sig N_{AI}[\overline{T_{AI}}] where \overline{N_{CS}:T_{CS}};

AFTER: type N_{AI}[\overline{T_{AI}}] = \{\overline{N_{CS}:T_{CS}}\};
```

1.1.2 Example: ExpAlg[E]

```
BEFORE: sig ExpAlg[E] where
    lit : Int -> E,
    add : E -> E -> E;
```

```
AFTER: type ExpAlg[E] = {
    lit : Int -> E,
    add : E -> E -> E
};
```

1.2 Inheritance $\sqrt{}$

1.2.1 Template

```
BEFORE: sig N_{AI}[\overline{T_{AI}}] extends \overline{N_{AI_2}[\overline{T_{AI_2}}]} where \overline{N_{CS}:T_{CS}};
```

```
AFTER: type N_{AI}[\overline{T_{AI}}] = &(\overline{N_{AI_2}[\overline{T_{AI_2}}]}) & \{\overline{N_{CS}:T_{CS}}\};
```

NB. How to avoid multiple inheritance from the same interface? Throw an exception if two records with same labels are combined with an "%"?

1.2.2 Example: StatAlg[E, S]

```
BEFORE: sig StatAlg[E, S] extends ExpAlg[E] where
seq : S -> S -> S,
asn : String -> E -> S;
```

```
AFTER: type StatAlg[E, S] = (ExpAlg[E]) & {
    seq : S -> S -> S,
    asn : String -> E -> S
};
```

2 Object Algebra

2.1 Inheritance \times

2.1.1 Template

```
BEFORE: algebra N_A implements \overline{N_{AI}[\overline{T_A}]} where \overline{t@(N_{CS}\ \overline{x})=E};
```

```
AFTER: let N_A = [\overline{T_A}/\overline{T_{AI}}]\{\overline{N_{CS} = \lambda(\overline{x}:\overline{T_{CS}})}.~\{t=E\}\};
```

2.1.2 Example: EvalExpAlg

```
AFTER: type IEval = { eval : Int };
    let EvalExpAlg = {
        lit = \(x : Int) -> { eval = x },
        add = \(x : IEval) -> \(y : IEval) -> { eval = x.eval + y.eval }
    };
```

NB. Note that in F2J, it should be evalExpAlg.

2.2 Inheritance $\sqrt{}$

2.2.1 Template

```
BEFORE: algebra N_A extends \overline{N_{A_2}} implements \overline{N_{AI}[\overline{T_A}]} where \overline{t@(N_{CS}\ \overline{x})=E};
```

```
AFTER: let N_A = \left((,,)\left(\overline{N_{A_2}}\right)\right) ,, \left[\overline{T_A}/\overline{T_{AI}}\right]\left\{\overline{N_{CS}}=\lambda(\overline{x}:\overline{T_{CS}}).\ \{t=E\}\right\};
```

NB. The same for multiple inheritance.

2.2.2 Example: PrintStatAlg

```
BEFORE: type IPrint = { print : String };
    algebra PrintExpAlg implements ExpAlg[IPrint] where
        print@(lit x) = "\{x}",
        print@(add x y) = "\{x.print} + \{y.print}";
    algebra PrintStatAlg extends PrintExpAlg implements StatAlg[IPrint, IPrint] where
        print@(seq x y) = "\{x.print} || \{y.print}",
        print@(asn x y) = "\{x} = \{y.print}";
```

```
AFTER: type IPrint = { print : String };
let PrintExpAlg = {
    lit = \(x : Int) -> { print = "\{x}" },
    add = \(x : IPrint) -> \(y : IPrint) -> { print = "\{x.print} + \{y.print}" }
};
let PrintStatAlg = PrintExpAlg ,, {
    seq = \(x : IPrint) -> \(y : IPrint) -> { print = "\{x.print} | \{y.print}" },
    asn = \(x : String) -> \(y : IPrint) -> { print = "\{x} = \{y.print}" }
};
```

3 Datatype

3.1 Template

```
BEFORE: data N_D[\overline{T_D}] from N_{AI}[\overline{T_{AI}}].S;
```

```
AFTER: type N_D[\overline{T_D}] = { accept : forall (\overline{T_{AI}} \backslash \overline{T_D}) . N_{AI}[\overline{T_{AI}}] \rightarrow S };
```

NB. Usually $\overline{T_{AI}} \setminus \overline{T_D} = S$.

3.2 Example: List[A]

```
BEFORE: sig ListAlg[A, L] where
    nil : L,
    cons : A -> L -> L;
    data List[A] from ListAlg[A, L].L;
```

4 Creating a Structure

4.1 Simple Structures

4.1.1 Template

```
BEFORE: build N_S : N_D[\overline{T}] = E;
```

```
AFTER: let N_S = { accept = \Lambda(\overline{T_{AI}}\backslash \overline{T_D}). \lambda(\text{alg}:N_{AI}[[\overline{T}/\overline{T_D}]\overline{T_{AI}}]). [alg.N_{CS}/\overline{N_{CS}}]E };
```

NB. Potentially there could be name conflicts with alg. Also names of functions and constructors could probably overlap.

4.1.2 Example: Exp and List[Int]

```
BEFORE: data Exp from ExpAlg[E].E;
    data List[A] from ListAlg[A, L].L;
    build exp : Exp = add (lit 3) (lit 5);
    build lst : List[Int] = cons 3 (cons 5 nil);
```

```
AFTER: type Exp = { accept : forall E. ExpAlg[E] -> E };
    type List[A] = { accept : forall L. ListAlg[A, L] -> L };
    let exp = { accept = /\E -> \(alg : ExpAlg[E]) -> alg.add (alg.lit 3) (alg.lit 5) };
    let lst = { accept = /\L -> \(alg : ListAlg[Int, L]) -> alg.cons 3 (alg.cons 5 alg.nil) };
```

4.2 Complicated Structures Created by Functions

4.2.1 Template

```
BEFORE: build N_S (\overline{x}:\overline{T_1 \neq N_D[\overline{T}]}) (\overline{y}:\overline{T_2=N_D[\overline{T}]}):N_D[\overline{T}]=\mathsf{E};

AFTER: let N_S=\lambda(\overline{x}:\overline{T_1}). \lambda(\overline{y}:\overline{T_2}). {
\mathrm{accept}=\Lambda(\overline{T_{AI}}\backslash \overline{T_D}).\ \lambda(\mathrm{alg}:N_{AI}[[\overline{T/T_D}]\overline{T_{AI}}]).\ [\overline{alg.N_{CS}/N_{CS}}][(\overline{y.accept[T_{AI}}\backslash \overline{T_D})\ alg)/\overline{y}]\mathsf{E}
};
```

4.2.2 Example: myAdd and myCons

```
BEFORE: build myAdd (e1 : Exp) (e2 : Exp) : Exp = add e1 e2;
build myCons (x : Int) (xs : List[Int]) : List[Int] = cons x xs;
```

```
AFTER: let myAdd = \(e1 : Exp) -> \(e2 : Exp) -> {
            accept = /\E -> \(alg : ExpAlg[E]) -> alg.add (e1.accept[E] alg) (e2.accept[E] alg)
        };
    let myCons = \(x : Int) -> \(xs : List[Int]) -> {
            accept = /\L -> \(alg : ListAlg[Int, L]) -> alg.cons x (xs.accept[L] alg)
        };
```

- NB. Extension 1: What if there are BigLambdas in those functions?
- NB. Extension 2: Does it make sense if there are two different instantiations from one datatype in a function? Namely $N_D[\overline{T_1}]$ and $N_D[\overline{T_2}]$?
 - NB. Extension 3: Recursive ones?

NB. IMPORTANT: Why don't we generate "add: Exp -> Exp" and "cons: A -> List[A] -> List [A]" automatically for global use? My intuition is that for these two examples it's easy; however there could be non-trivial ones. For instance, a new constructor for ListAlg can be "f: L -> A", in which case some structures like "cons (f nil) nil" also make sense, but how to design a template for "f: List[Int] -> Int"? Instead it's easier to have "alg.cons (alg.f alg.nil) alg.nil".

5 Instantiation

5.1 Template

```
BEFORE: N_S[\overline{T}] < \overline{N_A} >

AFTER: (,,)(\overline{N_S.accept}[\overline{T}] N_A)
```

NB. Furthermore, the types $[[\overline{T}]]$ could potentially be omitted. But since N_A could implement multiple interfaces, it's not easy to infer the types from context.

Another approach is something like "let result : IEval & IPrint = exp<EvalExpAlg, PrintExpAlg>".

5.2 Example: ListAlg[A, L] and List[A]

```
BEFORE:
          type IEval = { eval : Int };
          type IPrint = { print : String };
          sig ListAlg[A, L] where
              nil : L,
              cons : A -> L -> L;
          algebra SumListAlg implements ListAlg[IEval, IEval] where
                               = 0,
              eval@(cons x y) = x.eval + y.eval;
          \textbf{algebra} \ \texttt{PrintListAlg} \ \textbf{implements} \ \texttt{ListAlg} [\texttt{IPrint}, \ \texttt{IPrint}] \ \textbf{where}
              print@(nil)
                                 = "".
              print@(cons x y) = "\\{x.print\} \setminus \{y.print\}";
          data List[A] from ListAlg[A, L].L;
          build lst : List[Int] = cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 nil));
          lst[[IEval, IEval], [IPrint, IPrint]]<EvalListAlg, PrintListAlg>
```

```
AFTER:
         type IEval = { eval : Int };
         type IPrint = { print : String };
         type ListAlg[A, L] = {
            nil : L,
            cons : A -> L -> L
         let SumListAlg = {
            nil = \{ eval = 0 \},
            cons = (x : IEval) \rightarrow (y : IEval) \rightarrow \{ eval = x.eval + y.eval \}
         let PrintListAlg = {
            nil = { print = "" },
            cons = (x : IPrint) \rightarrow (y : IPrint) \rightarrow {print = "\{x.print} \setminus {y.print}"}
         type List[A] = { accept : forall L. ListAlg[A, L] -> L };
         let lst = {
            accept = /\L -> \(alg : ListAlg[Int, L]) -> alg.cons 1 (alg.cons 2 (alg.cons 3 alg.nil))
         (lst.accept[IEval, IEval] SumListAlg) ,, (lst.accept[IPrint, IPrint] PrintListAlg)
```