Development of a beam-based phase feed-forward demonstration at the CLIC Test Facility (CTF3).

Jack Roberts New College, Oxford

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Oxford

Trinity Term, 2016

Abstract This is the abstract TeX for the thesis and the stand-alone abstract.

Dedication.

Acknowledgements

 ${\bf Acknowledgements.}$

Contents

1	Introduction				
	1.1	Particle Accelerators			
	1.2	Motivation for Future Linear Colliders			
	1.3	FONT 1			
	1.4	CLIC			
	1.5	Phase Feedforward for CLIC			
	1.6	Thesis Overview			
2	CTF3 and the PFF Prototype				
	2.1	CTF3			
	2.2	Goals for PFF at CTF3			
	2.3	Design of the PFF Prototype at CTF3			
	2.4	PFF Hardware			
	2.5	Differences Between PFF at CTF and CLIC			
3	Optics for the PFF Prototype				
	3.1	TL2 Lattice			
	3.2	Optics Requirements			
	3.3	TL2 MADX Model			
	3.4	Matched PFF and Nominal Optics			
4	Pha	se Monitor Performance			
	4.1	Phase Monitor Electronics			
	4.2	Signal Response Measurements			
	4.3	Calibrations			
	4.4	Digitiser Noise			
	4.5	Phase Shifter Noise			
	4.6	Resolution			
	4.7	Linearity and Bandwidth			
	4.8	Dependence on Position			
5	Phase Propagation 5				
	5.1	Characteristics of Uncorrected Phase Jitter			
	5.2	First Order Energy Dependencies			
	5.3	Higher Order Energy Dependencies			
	5.4	Other Sources of Phase Jitter			

	5.5	Long Term Propagation Stability		
6	Simulated PFF Performance			
	6.1	Theoretical Corrected Jitter and Optimal Gain		
	6.2	Simulations Using Beam Data		
	6.3	Effect of Limited Correction Range		
	6.4	Effect of Limited Correction Bandwidth		
7	Cor	nmissioning of the PFF System		
	7.1	Controls		
	7.2	Droop Correction		
	7.3	Constant Kick Tests		
	7.4	Latency Measurements		
	7.5	Kick Output Timing		
	7.6	Slow Correction		
8	Feedforward Results			
	8.1	Gain Scans		
	8.2	Correction at Optimal Gain		
	8.3	Correction on Longer Timescales		
	8.4	Correction with Additional Jitter Source		
\mathbf{A}	This is the first appendix			
	A 1	This is an appendix subsection		

List of Figures

List of Tables

Glossary

Item1 Description.

Item2 Description.

Item3 Description.

Introduction

- 1.1 Particle Accelerators
- 1.2 Motivation for Future Linear Colliders
- 1.3 FONT
- 1.4 CLIC
- 1.5 Phase Feedforward for CLIC
- 1.6 Thesis Overview

CTF3 and the PFF Prototype

- 2.1 CTF3
- 2.2 Goals for PFF at CTF3
- 2.3 Design of the PFF Prototype at CTF3
- 2.4 PFF Hardware
- 2.5 Differences Between PFF at CTF and CLIC

Optics for the PFF Prototype

- 3.1 TL2 Lattice
- 3.2 Optics Requirements
- 3.3 TL2 MADX Model
- 3.4 Matched PFF and Nominal Optics

Phase Monitor Performance

- 4.1 Phase Monitor Electronics
- 4.2 Signal Response Measurements
- 4.3 Calibrations
- 4.4 Digitiser Noise
- 4.5 Phase Shifter Noise
- 4.6 Resolution
- 4.7 Linearity and Bandwidth
- 4.8 Dependence on Position

Phase Propagation

- 5.1 Characteristics of Uncorrected Phase Jitter
- 5.2 First Order Energy Dependencies
- 5.3 Higher Order Energy Dependencies
- 5.4 Other Sources of Phase Jitter
- 5.5 Long Term Propagation Stability

Simulated PFF Performance

- 6.1 Theoretical Corrected Jitter and Optimal Gain
- 6.2 Simulations Using Beam Data
- 6.3 Effect of Limited Correction Range
- 6.4 Effect of Limited Correction Bandwidth

Commissioning of the PFF System

This is the introductory text.

7.1 Controls

7.2 Droop Correction

The droop in the response of the FONT5 ADCs, as most clearly seen in the output of the diode channel in Figure ?? (although it also effects the mixer channel), is not an issue for the work the FONT group does at ATF2 where the signals are well approximated by delta functions separated by ~ 100 ns. Although the droop has been seen previously, its significance for the continuous microsecond length pulse at CTF3 had not been considered because of this.

The droop emerges as a result of the use of AC coupling on the ADC input transformers for electrical isolation. This involves using a capacitor, the current across which is dependent on dV/dt (V being voltage and t time), to remove the DC component from a signal. In particular for the diode channel, which should be a square wave, the output is increasingly well described by a DC signal on the flat top as you move away from the leading edge of the pulse, with the capacitor causing droop in the response as a result.

In the simplest case the droop should be well described by an exponential decay of the form $A \exp(-t/T)$. The droop makes it difficult to perform calibrations and measurements on the data and one way in which it could be removed in offline analysis is by determining the decay constants, T, for each of the ADCs on the FONT5 board. To avoid the influence of beam effects tests were done in Oxford using a generated 10 μ s DC pulse.

Figure 7.1: Attempted exponential fit to the ADC droop.

Unfortunately, as can be seen in Figure ?? which shows an example of an exponential fit for one ADC, although the fits return good R^2 values it is clear that the slope of the exponential curve is not a good match for the slope of the data. This is perhaps not unexpected

as the ferrite cores used in the transformers have many non-linear properties. In fact, by using a fit with two exponential terms it is possible to obtain a perfect match to the data but at this point the complexity of the fit would make any attempt to remove the droop in real beam data in this way spurious.

Instead, changes will be made to the currently in development FONT5a board hardware and firmware to greatly reduce the scale of the droop. Different transformers will be used to reduce the droop rate by up to a factor of fifty and in addition digital filtering will be implemented in firmware to smooth out and reduce the remaining droop component even further. It is expected that after these changes the droop will be small enough to not have a detrimental effect on the performance of the phase feedforward system.

- 7.3 Constant Kick Tests
- 7.4 Latency Measurements
- 7.5 Kick Output Timing
- 7.6 Slow Correction

Feedforward Results

- 8.1 Gain Scans
- 8.2 Correction at Optimal Gain
- 8.3 Correction on Longer Timescales
- 8.4 Correction with Additional Jitter Source

Appendix A

This is the first appendix

Some text repeated many times. Some text repeated many times.

A.1 This is an appendix subsection

Some text repeated many times. Some text repeated many times.