Homework 11

Xupeng Chen 2017/12/19

1.(i)

We can assume that $X_i \sim B(p)$, p is unknown.

So the number of young adults listening to that program $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim B(n, p)$.

ii.

binomial test: $H_0: p \le p_0, H_1: p > p_0$. Use the program we can get p-value=0.0001 in this test, supports H_1 . So the claim made by the manager is supported.

2

i.

X are counts of the head and $X \sim B(n, \theta)$.

$$L(\theta_0; x) = \binom{n}{x} \theta_0^x (1 - \theta_0)^{n-x}, \quad \theta_{MLE} = \frac{x}{n}, \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta; x) = \binom{n}{x} (\frac{x}{n})^x (\frac{n-x}{n})^{n-x},$$
$$\lambda = \frac{n^n \theta_0^x (1 - \theta_0)^{n-x}}{x^x (n-x)^{n-x}},$$

$$\frac{\partial(-\log\lambda)}{\partial x} = \log x + 1 - \log(n-x) - 1 - \log\theta_0 + \log(1-\theta_0) = \log\left[\frac{x(1-\theta_0)}{\theta_0(n-x)}\right],$$

so we have:
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial(-\log\lambda)}{\partial x} < 0, & x < n\theta_0 \\ \frac{\partial(-\log\lambda)}{\partial x} = 0, & x = n\theta_0 \\ \frac{\partial(-\log\lambda)}{\partial x} > 0, & x > n\theta_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore \lambda < \lambda_0 \Leftrightarrow -\log \lambda > C_1 \Leftrightarrow |x - n\theta_0| > C_2.$$

$$Let P_{\theta_0}(|X - n\theta_0| > C_2) = \alpha, P_{\theta_0}(X > n\theta_0 + C_2) = \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

then find the smallest C_2 s. t. $P_{\theta_0}(X > n\theta_0 + C_2) = \sum_{X=n\theta_0 + C_2}^{n} \binom{n}{X} \theta_0^X (1 - \theta_0)^{n-X} \le \frac{\alpha}{2}$.

$$P_{\theta}(X > 57) = 0.067$$
, and $P_{\theta}(X > 58) = 0.044$, $\therefore C_2 = 8$.

The likelihood ratio test is $\begin{cases} 1, & X > 58 \text{ or } X < 42 \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$

 $\therefore x = 60 > 58, \therefore H_0$ is rejected.

(ii)

According to CLT,
$$\frac{X - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1 - \theta)}} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{d} N(0, 1)$$
.
 $LetP_{\theta_0}(|X - n\theta_0| > C_2) = \alpha$, then $C_2 = z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{n\theta_0(1 - \theta_0)} = 8.224268$.
 $\therefore x = 60 > 58.224268$, $\therefore H_0$ is rejected.

3.

Let X_i be the i-th women's blood pressure difference after and before the usage of the pill.

According to CLT,
$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\mu)}{S} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{d} N(0,1), S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

Assume X_i approximately $i.i.d. \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2; \mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right],$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \log L(\mu, \sigma^2; \mathbf{x})}{\partial \mu} = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu) \\ \frac{\partial \log L(\mu, \sigma^2; \mathbf{x})}{\partial (\sigma^2)} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to get that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = \left[\frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right]^{-n/2} \exp(-\frac{n}{2})$$

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right]^{-n/2} \exp(-\frac{n}{2}), & \bar{x} \ge \mu_0 \\ \left[\frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2 \right]^{-n/2} \exp(-\frac{n}{2}), & \bar{x} < \mu_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta; x)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta; x)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \bar{x} \ge \mu_0 \\ \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2}\right]^{-n/2}, & \bar{x} < \mu_0 \end{cases}.$$

$$\lambda < \lambda_0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \mu_0)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} < C.$$

$$Let P_{\mu_0}(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \mu_0)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} < C) = \alpha, \text{ then } C = -t_{n-1,\alpha}.$$

The likelihood ratio test is
$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \mu_0)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})^2}} < -t_{n-1,\alpha/2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
.

(ii)

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \mu_0)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{90}\bar{x}}{s}, t_{n-1,\alpha/2} = t_{89,0.05}.$$

If $\frac{\sqrt{90}\bar{x}}{s} < -t_{89,0.05}$, then H_0 is rejected. Else, H_0 is accepted.

4.

i.

$$H_0: \mu = 2.5, H_1: \mu \neq 2.5.$$

$$L(\mu_0; \mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2],$$

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2],$$

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\theta_0; \mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta; \mathbf{x})} = \exp[-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2],$$

$$\lambda < \lambda_0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2 > C_1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sqrt{n}|\bar{x} - \mu_0|}{\sigma} > C_2$$

$$\operatorname{Let} P_{\mu_0}(\frac{\sqrt{n}|\bar{x} - \mu_0|}{\sigma} > C_2) = \alpha, \text{ then } C_2 = z_{\alpha/2}.$$
The likelihood ratio test is $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{\sqrt{n}|\bar{x} - \mu_0|}{\sigma} > z_{\alpha/2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}|\bar{x} - \mu_0|}{\sigma} = 0.8 < 1.959964 = z_{\alpha/2}, \therefore H_0 \text{ is accepted.}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{split} \beta(\mu) &= P_{\mu}(\frac{\sqrt{n}|\bar{x} - \mu_0|}{\sigma} > z_{\alpha/2}) \\ &= 1 + \Phi(-z_{\alpha/2} + \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu_0 - \mu)}{\sigma}) - \Phi(z_{\alpha/2} + \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu_0 - \mu)}{\sigma}) \\ &= 1 + \Phi(98.04 - 40\mu) - \Phi(101.96 - 40\mu) \end{split}$$

5.

i.

The joint likelihood function is

$$L(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} (\sigma_{1}^{2})^{-\frac{m}{2}} (\sigma_{2}^{2})^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{1}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_{i} - \mu_{1})^{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{2}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \mu_{2})^{2}],$$

$$\log L(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\frac{m+n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{m}{2} \log \sigma_{1}^{2} - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{1}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_{i} - \mu_{1})^{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{2}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \mu_{2})^{2}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \log L(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mu_{1}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \log L(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial (\sigma_{1}^{2})} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \log L(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial (\sigma_{1}^{2})} = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial (\sigma_{1}^{2})} = 0$$

we can get the only maximum point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \bar{x})^2, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i - \bar{y})^2)$,

$$\therefore \sup_{\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2} L(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right]^{-\frac{m}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \right]^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{m+n}{2}).$$

Similarly,
$$\sup_{\sigma_1=\sigma_2=\sigma} L(\mu_1,\mu_2,\sigma^2;\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \{ \frac{1}{m+n} [\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2] \}^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{m+n}{2}),$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\left\{\frac{1}{m+n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2\right]\right\}^{-\frac{m+n}{2}}}{\left[\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_i - \bar{x})^2\right]^{-\frac{m}{2}}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \bar{y})^2\right]^{-\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

$$\lambda < \lambda_0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2} < C_1 or \frac{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2} > C_2.$$

Under assumption of
$$H_0$$
, $\frac{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \sim F_{m-1,n-1}$,

:.
$$C_1 = F_{m-1,n-1,1-\alpha/2}, C_2 = F_{m-1,n-1,\alpha/2}$$
 make $P(\lambda < \lambda_0) = \alpha$.

e likelihood ratio test is

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}}{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_{i}-\bar{y})^{2}} < F_{m-1,n-1,1-\alpha/2} \text{ or } \frac{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}}{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_{i}-\bar{y})^{2}} > F_{m-1,n-1,\alpha/2} \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Inthisquestion,
$$\frac{s_x^2}{s_y^2} = \frac{4}{9} > 0.2295034 = F_{8,9,0.025}$$
, $\therefore H_0$ is accepted.

(ii)

 $\mathcal{F}_{m-1,n-1}(x)$ is the c.d.f. of F-distribution $F_{m-1,n-1}$.

$$\therefore \frac{(n-1)\sigma_2^2 \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{(m-1)\sigma_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \sim F_{m-1,n-1},$$

$$\beta(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = P_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}(\frac{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^m(x_i-\bar{x})^2}{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^n(y_i-\bar{y})^2} < F_{m-1,n-1,1-\alpha/2}) + P_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}(\frac{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^m(x_i-\bar{x})^2}{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^n(y_i-\bar{y})^2} > F_{m-1,n-1,\alpha/2})$$

$$=P_{\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}}(\frac{(n-1)\sigma_{2}^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}}{(m-1)\sigma_{1}^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(y_{i}-\bar{y})^{2}}<\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}F_{m-1,n-1,1-\alpha/2})+P_{\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}}(\frac{(n-1)\sigma_{2}^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_{i}-\bar{x})^{2}}{(m-1)\sigma_{1}^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_{i}-\bar{y})^{2}}>\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}F_{m-1,n-1,\alpha/2})$$

$$=1+\mathcal{F}_{m-1,n-1}(\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}F_{m-1,n-1,1-\alpha/2})-\mathcal{F}_{m-1,n-1}(\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}F_{m-1,n-1,\alpha/2}).$$

so
$$\beta(2,3) = 1 + \mathcal{F}_{8,9}(\frac{9}{4}F_{8,9,0.025}) - \mathcal{F}_{8,9}(\frac{9}{4}F_{8,9,0.975}) = 0.1838922.$$

6.

i.

The likelihood function is
$$L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1-\theta} I_{\theta \leq x_i \leq 1} = \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^n} I_{\theta \leq x_{(1)}}$$

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(1-x_{(1)})^n},$$

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1-x_{(1)})^n}, & \theta_0 \leq x_{(1)} \\ 0, & \theta_0 > x_{(1)} \end{cases},$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta; \mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta; \mathbf{x})} = \begin{cases} 1, & \theta_0 \leq x_{(1)} \\ 0, & \theta_0 > x_{(1)} \end{cases}.$$
The likelihood ratio test is $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \theta_0 > x_{(1)} \\ 0, & \theta_0 \leq x_{(1)} \end{cases}$

ii.

The p.d.f. of
$$X_{(1)}$$
 is $f(x) = n(1 - \frac{x - \theta}{1 - \theta})^{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \theta} = \frac{n}{(1 - \theta)^n} (1 - x)^{n-1}, \theta < x < 1.$ If $\theta_0 > \theta$, then $P_{\theta}(\theta_0 > X_{(1)}) = \int_{\theta}^{\theta_0} f(x) dx = 1 - (\frac{1 - \theta_0}{1 - \theta})^n.$ If $\theta_0 \le \theta$, then $P_{\theta}(\theta_0 > X_{(1)}) = 0$. The power function is $\beta(\theta) = P_{\theta}(\theta_0 > X_{(1)}) = \begin{cases} 1 - (\frac{1 - \theta_0}{1 - \theta})^n, & \theta < \theta_0 \\ 0, & \theta \ge \theta_0 \end{cases}$.