Homework 12

Chen Xupeng 2017/12/25

1

(i) construct the test as below:

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \quad H_1: \mu = \mu_1 \ (\mu_1 > \mu_0)$$

we can use NP lemma to have $\lambda(x)$

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)} = \exp\{n\mu_1\bar{x} - n\mu_2\bar{x} + \frac{1}{2}(n\mu_0^2 - n\mu_1^2)\}$$

 $\lambda(x)$ does not involve μ_1 , the test we construct is $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ $H_1: \mu \geq \mu_0$ $\lambda(x)$ is strictly increasing for \bar{X} , so the UMPT's rejection region is $\{X: \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\mu_0) > c\}$

$$E(\phi(x)) = \alpha, \text{ we can have UMPT} \phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, \ \sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \mu_0)/\sigma > \mu_{\alpha/2} \\ 0, \ \sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \mu_0)/\sigma \leq \mu_{\alpha/2} \end{cases}$$

(ii) $\sqrt{n}(\bar{x}-\mu_0)/\sigma=1<1.96,$ we do not reject null hypothesis

we reject the null hypothesis when $\bar{x} > 3.392$

$$\bar{X} \sim N(3.5, 0.04)$$
. So the power function at $\mu = 3.5$ is $1 - \Phi(\frac{3.392 - 3.5}{0.04}) = 0.9965$

 $\mathbf{2}$

(i)
$$\lambda(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)} = \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^{n\alpha} exp\{n(\beta_1 - \beta_2)\bar{x}\}$$

It is plain to see that $\lambda(x)$ increases as $-\bar{x}$ increases, so we let $T=-\bar{X}$ $\lambda(x)$ increases as $-\bar{x}$ increases. Set $T=-\bar{X}$

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, \ T > c \\ 0, \ T \le c \end{cases}$$

(ii) the MGF of gamma distribution is: $M(t) = (1 - \frac{t}{\beta})^{-\alpha}$

the sum of n i.i.d. variables in the form of Gamma distribution:

$$M(t) = (1 - \frac{t}{\beta})^{-n\alpha} \sim Gamma(n\alpha, \beta)$$

(iii) using CLT we can have:

$$\frac{\beta_1 \sqrt{n} (T + \frac{\alpha}{\beta_1})}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

is approximately distributed as standard normal distribution

when
$$z = \frac{\beta_1 \sqrt{n} (T + \frac{\alpha}{\beta_1})}{\sqrt{\alpha}} > u_{\alpha}$$
 we reject the null hypothesis

The power function is:

$$\pi(\theta) = \Phi(\frac{\beta_1 \sqrt{n} (T + \frac{\alpha}{\beta_1})}{\sqrt{\alpha}})$$

3

$$(i)T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{test function of UMPT is } \phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, \ T > C \\ r, \ T = C \\ 0, \ T < C \end{cases}$$

(ii)

$$Let P_{\theta}(T > C) + r P_{\theta}(T = C) = \alpha$$

so we have: $C = 5$, $r = 0.52$

(iii) Rcode:

```
### power at 0.375
1-pbinom(5,size=10,prob=0.375)+0.519*(pbinom(5,size=10,prob=0.375)-pbinom(4,size=10,prob=0.375))
```

[1] 0.2200042

power at 0.5 1-pbinom(5,size=10,prob=0.5)+0.519*(pbinom(5,size=10,prob=0.5)-pbinom(4,size=10,prob=0.5))

[1] 0.5046758

(iv)

$$For \ \theta > 0.5, \ \pi(\theta) = P_{\theta}(X > C) + rP_{\theta}(X = C) = P_{1-\theta}(X \leq n - C - 1) + rP_{1-\theta}(X = n - c) Since \ P_{1-\theta}(X = n - C) = P_{\theta}(X \leq n - C) + rP_{\theta}(X = n - C) = P_{\theta}(X \leq n - C) + rP_{\theta}(X = n - C) = P_{\theta}(X \leq n - C) + rP_{\theta}(X = n - C) = P_{\theta}(X \leq n - C) + rP_{\theta}(X \leq n - C) + rP_{\theta}(X \leq n - C) = P_{\theta}(X \leq n - C) + rP_{\theta}(X \leq n - C) +$$

And
$$P_{1-\theta}(X \le n-C) - P_{1-\theta}(X \le n-C+1) = P_{1-\theta}(X \le n-C+1) - P_{1-\theta}(X \le n-C+1) + P_{1-\theta}(X = n-C) = P_{1-\theta}(X = n-C)$$

(v)

$$\pi(0.625) = 0.787 \ \pi(0.875) = 0.998$$

(vi)

$$n = 62$$
 by using CLT

4

$$(i) \ C(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \ Q(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\theta}$$

supporting set does not rely on θ so it's exponential family

(ii) $when \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i < C, where P_{\theta_0}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i < C) = \alpha$

we reject the null hypothesis

(iii)
$$M_Y(t) = \frac{1}{(1-2t)^{\frac{2n}{2}}} Y \sim \chi_{2n}^2$$

(iv)
$$(iv) C = \frac{\theta_0}{2} \chi^2_{2n;1-\alpha} \quad \pi(\theta) = P(Y < \frac{2C}{\theta})$$

(v)
$$n = 23$$

5

(i) Let
$$Z_i = Y_i - X_i$$
, so $Z_i \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$

 Z_i is distributed as normal and we use the exponential family's property:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{4} Z_i \text{ as the test statistics, and } \phi(z) = \begin{cases} 1, \ C_1 < T < C_2 \\ 0, \ otherwise \end{cases}$$

to determine C_1, C_2

$$\alpha = 0.05 = \Phi(\frac{C_2 - n\theta}{\sqrt{n(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}}) - \Phi(\frac{C_1 - n\theta}{\sqrt{n(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}})\theta = 1 \ and \ -1C_1 = -0.6793, \ C_2 = 0.6793$$

we do not reject null hypothesis

6

Let
$$Z_i = Y_i - X_i$$
, suppose $Z \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$, the test is : $H_0 : \mu = 0$ $H_1 : \mu \neq 0$

the reject region:

$$|T_z| = |\frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{Z}}{S_z}| > t_{n-1}(\alpha/2)$$

$$|T| = 0.4159 < t_7(0.025) = 2.365$$
accept H_0

7

- (i) Rcode
- (ii) Rcode

data<-read.csv("data.csv")
ols<-lm(SalePrice~ Gr_Liv_Area+Central_Air,data=data)
summary(ols)</pre>

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = SalePrice ~ Gr_Liv_Area + Central_Air, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                                3Q
                1Q Median
                                       Max
  -200275 -30225
                     -3438
                             22292 328643
##
## Coefficients:
##
                  Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                -37583.819
                             5837.338
                                       -6.439 1.59e-10 ***
                                       41.402 < 2e-16 ***
## Gr_Liv_Area
                   113.736
                                2.747
## Central_AirY 51726.059
                             5031.497
                                      10.280 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 52940 on 1595 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.5569, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5564
## F-statistic: 1002 on 2 and 1595 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
p value are smaller than 0.01. so we reject null hypothesis in (i) and (ii)
```

(iii) use the previous bootstrapping result, the 95% confidence interval is $[2.612 \times 10^9, 3.001 \times 10^9]$, σ so we do not reject H_0