## Day 11.

## 1. Purity

The language we've been studying so far is *pure*:

- Pure functions—result of the function determined solely by its input... e.g.,  $\sin x \mapsto x + 1$
- Pure *computations*—results of the computation determined solely by the value of its free variables... e.g.,  $\sin x$ , x + 1
- Pure language—only describes pure computations

Purity is useful in understanding and writing correct programs:

- Avoids interaction between different parts of programs
- Useful in concurrency and parallelism in particular
- Related to e.g. const-correctness in C++

Impurity isn't necessary in languages:

• Haskell, Idris, Agda, etc.

but it is a feature of most programming languages. Regardless, we will need some way to *model* side effects, so we can talk about (e.g.) I/O even in pure languages.

Goals for the next part of the semester:

- 1. Develop *models* of various side-effects in terms of our evaluation relation.
  - Our language will grow impure terms—that is, terms whose behavior is determined by more than the values of their free variables. However, evaluation itself will remain a (pure) mathematical relation. That's why I call this modeling side-effects.
- 2. Discover a common structure in all of these models of side-effects—the monad—allowing us to generalize our implementations of side-effects
  - This is how Haskell (and other such languages) account for side-effects in a pure language
  - We'll need to learn some details about how Haskell handles generalization—called *parametric* and *qualified* polymorphism—to realize this common structure in our implementations.
- 3. Design type and effect systems that capture side-effect behavior in types.
  - We'll use this as a vehicle to talk about *subtyping*; the latter has wide-ranging importance beyond effect systems.

Sorts of side-effects

- Reader—access to ambient data (i.e., operating system environment, hardware parameters)
- Writer—producing values in addition to results (i.e., logging)
- Exceptions—producing values instead of results (i.e., errors, failures)
- State—something like interacting reader and writer
- Non-determinism—producing *multiple* results. (In particular, probabilistic programming produces a *distribution* of results, and is one useful way to talk about a variety of machine learning

problems.)

- Concurrency—multiple communicating threads of computation. (Doesn't imply parallelism.)
- Continuations—a "general-purpose" side effect capable of implementing most others. (No good intuition)

Things we won't consider side-effects (but some might):

- Non-termination
- Parallelism/computation time

## 2. Reader

- Idea: terms have access to some ambient, read-only state.
- Operations:
  - Read the ambient state
  - Run a computation with a different ambient state

Let's extend our term language with these operations:

$$e ::= z \mid e \odot e \mid x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e \mid e \mid ask \mid local \mid e \mid e \mid e \mid ask \mid local \mid e \mid ask \mid local \mid e \mid ask \mid as$$

We'll assume that the ambient state is one of our values—usually an integer, for ease, but could be anything. Values don't include side-effecting terms:

$$v ::= z \mid \lambda x.e$$

but note that values may delay side effects.

Evaluation relation needs to be extended with this ambient value r. We'll write  $t \mid r \downarrow v$  to denote that term t in ambient state r evaluates to v.

The rules for the new terms are "obvious":

$$\frac{}{\mathsf{ask} \mid r \Downarrow r} \quad \frac{e_1 \mid r_1 \Downarrow r_2 \quad e_2 \mid r_2 \Downarrow v}{\mathsf{local} \ e_1 \ e_2 \mid r_1 \Downarrow v}$$

but that's not enough! We also have to account for the remaining constructs of our language in this new evaluation rule. Let's start with the call-by-value version:

$$\frac{e_1 \mid r \Downarrow z_1 \quad e_2 \mid r \Downarrow z_2}{e_1 \odot e_2 \mid r \Downarrow z_1 \odot z_2} \quad \frac{e_1 \mid r \Downarrow \lambda x.e}{\lambda x.e \mid r \Downarrow \lambda x.e} \quad \frac{e_1 \mid r \Downarrow \lambda x.e \quad e_2 \mid r \Downarrow w \quad e[w/x] \mid r \Downarrow v}{e_1 e_2 \mid r \Downarrow v}$$

where ("predictably"):

$$\operatorname{ask}[v/x] = \operatorname{ask} \qquad (\operatorname{local} e_1 e_2)[v/x] = \operatorname{local} e_1[v/x] e_2[v/x]$$

Some patterns emerge:

- New terms interact with new portions of the evaluation relation
- Meaning of old terms stays "relatively" constant... they preserve the ambient state, but don't interact with it
- Using call-by-value function calls (more on this shortly)

Some examples.

$$\frac{\mathsf{ask} \hspace{.08cm} | \hspace{.08cm} 1 \hspace{.04cm} \downarrow \hspace{.08cm} 1}{\mathsf{ask} \hspace{.08cm} + \hspace{.08cm} 1 \hspace{.04cm} | \hspace{.08cm} 1 \hspace{.04cm} \downarrow \hspace{.08cm} 1} \qquad \frac{\mathsf{ask} \hspace{.08cm} | \hspace{.08cm} 14 \hspace{.04cm} \downarrow \hspace{.08cm} 14}{\mathsf{ask} \hspace{.08cm} + \hspace{.08cm} 1 \hspace{.04cm} | \hspace{.08cm} 14 \hspace{.04cm} \downarrow \hspace{.08cm} 1}$$

- Just knowing the term is no longer enough to determine the result
- But knowing the term and the ambient state is enough to determine the result;  $\psi : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{V} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{V}$ Some more examples:

$$\frac{\operatorname{ask} \mid 14 \Downarrow 14}{\operatorname{local} 14 \operatorname{ask} \mid 1 \Downarrow 14} = \frac{\operatorname{ask} \mid 1 \Downarrow 1}{\operatorname{ask} \mid 1 \Downarrow 1}$$

$$\frac{\lambda a.a + \operatorname{ask} \mid 14 \Downarrow \lambda a.a + \operatorname{ask}}{\operatorname{local} 14 (\lambda a.a + \operatorname{ask}) \mid 1 \Downarrow \lambda a.a + \operatorname{ask}} = \frac{1 \mid 1 \Downarrow 1}{(a + \operatorname{ask})[1/a] \mid 1 \Downarrow 2}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{ask} \mid 1 \Downarrow 1}{(\operatorname{local} 14 (\lambda a.a + \operatorname{ask})) \operatorname{ask} \mid 1 \Downarrow 1} = \frac{1}{(a + \operatorname{ask})[1/a] \mid 1 \Downarrow 2}$$

• Functions delay computation—the ask happens when the function body is evaluated, not the  $\lambda$ -term.

$$\frac{\frac{1\mid 14 \Downarrow 1}{(1+\mathsf{ask})\mid 14 \Downarrow 14}}{\frac{\lambda a.\mathsf{local}\,14\,(a+\mathsf{ask})\quad \mathsf{ask}\mid 1 \Downarrow 1}{(\mathsf{a+ask})\mid 1 \Downarrow \lambda a.\mathsf{local}\,14\,(a+\mathsf{ask})}} \frac{\frac{1\mid 14 \Downarrow 1}{(1+\mathsf{ask})\mid 14 \Downarrow 15}}{(\mathsf{local}\,14\,(a+\mathsf{ask}))[1/a]\mid 1 \Downarrow 15}$$

• Arguments are still evaluated at call sites.

But let's talk about call-by-name.

$$\frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \frac{14 \mid 1 \Downarrow_{\mathsf{cbn}} 14}{2 \cdot 2$$

• In CBN, effects in *arguments* as well as functions may be delayed.