

The Git Parable

Johan Herland

<johanh@opera.com>



1

Hi,

My name is Johan Herland. I'm a Core developer in the Oslo office. I have worked in Opera for almost 5 years. I have meddled with distributed version control for about 2 years. I'm also the tech. lead for migrating Opera from CVS to Git.

The Git Parable

- Shamelessly stolen from Tom Preston-Werner
<http://tom.preston-werner.com/2009/05/19/the-git-parable.html>
- I'm lazy...
- Also: Best introduction to Git I've found so far

2

The following story is shamelessly stolen from Tom Preston-Werner. He has written this story, and my only contribution is adapting it to this presentation format.

I'm doing this cause I'm lazy, but also because this is the best introduction to Git I have found so far.

The Git Parable

- Git - simple & powerful

3

Git is a simple and powerful system.

The Git Parable

- Git - simple & powerful



4

Often, people try to teach Git by demonstrating a few dozen commands, and then yelling...

The Git Parable

- Git - simple & powerful



TADA!!!

5

The Git Parable

- Git - simple & powerful



TADA!!!

6

I don't believe this is the best way of teaching Git.


Sure, it will let you use Git to perform simple tasks, but the Git commands will still feel like magical incantations, and doing anything out of the ordinary will be terrifying.

Until you understand the concepts upon which Git is built, you'll feel like a stranger in a foreign land.

Instead, let's tell a story...

The Git Parable


- Git - simple & powerful



7

The Git Parable

- Git - simple & powerful




8

In fact, let's tell a parable.

The following parable will take you on a journey through the creation of a Git-like system from the ground up.

The Git Parable

- Git - simple & powerful



9

The Parable

- A simple computer
 - A text editor
 - A few filesystem commands



10

Imagine that you have a simple computer with absolutely nothing but a text editor, and some simple filesystem commands.

Understanding the concepts will be the most valuable thing you can do to fully grok Git.

The concepts themselves are simple, but allow for an amazing wealth of functionality to spring into existence.

After this parable, you have everything you need to easily master the various Git commands, and become a Git power user.

The Parable

- Write a large software program



11

Now, imagine that you have decided to write a large software program on this system.

The Parable

- Write a large software program
- Invent some method to keep track of versions
 - retrieve code that you changed/deleted




12

Because you are a responsible software developer, you decide that you need to invent some method to keep track of versions of your software, so that you can retrieve code that you previously changed or deleted.

What follows is a story about how you might design one such version control system, and the reasoning behind those design choices.

Snapshots

- Alfred, the photographer



13

Alfred is a friend of yours that works as a photographer in one of those "Special Moments" photo boutiques.

Snapshots

- Alfred, the photographer



14

All day long he takes photos of little kids posing awkwardly in front of some tacky jungle backdrop.

Snapshots

- Alfred, the photographer
- Hazel and her daughter



15

Alfred tells you a story about a woman named Hazel, who brings her daughter in for a portrait every year on the same day.

Snapshots

- Alfred, the photographer
- Hazel and her daughter
 - Remember what the daughter was like at each different stage



16

"She likes to remember what her daughter was like at each different stage, as if the snapshots really let her move back and forth in time to those saved memories."

Snapshots

- Alfred, the photographer
 - Hazel and her daughter
 - Remember what the daughter was like at each different stage



17

Snapshots



work

1

18

You start your project in a directory named "working".

As you code, you try to write one feature at a time.

You suddenly see the ideal solution to your version control dilemma: Snapshots, like save point in a video game, are really what you care about in your version control system.

What if you could take snapshots of your codebase at any time, and resurrect that code on demand?

You go back to your computer and start working...

Snapshots



working

snapshot-0

11



Snapshots



1

snapshot-0 snapshot-1



work



When you complete a self-contained portion of a feature, you make sure that all your files are saved, and then make a copy of the entire working directory, giving it the name "snapshot-0".

After you make the copy, you make sure to
NEVER again change the code in
“snapshot-0”.



After the next chunk of work, you perform another copy, only this time the new directory gets the name “snapshot-1”, and so on.



To make it easy to remember what changes you made in each snapshot, you add a special file named “message” to each snapshot directory that contains a summary of the work that you did and the date of completion.


By printing the contents of each message, it becomes easy to find a specific change that you made in the past, in case you need to resurrect some old code.

After a bit of time on the project, a release candidate begins to emerge. Late nights at the keyboard finally yield “snapshot-99”. You decide to release this as Version 1.0...




So, “Snapshot-99” is packaged and distributed as Version 1.0 to the eagerly awaiting masses. Stoked by excellent response to your software, you push forward, determined to make the next version an even bigger success.

You keep adding new features, and make 10 new snapshots.




Your VCS has so far been a faithful companion. Old versions of your code are there when you need them and can be accessed with ease.

But not long after the release, bug reports start to come in.




You tell yourself that nobody's perfect, and copy "snapshot-99" to "working" so that your working directory is at exactly the point where Version 1.0 was released. A few swift lines of code and the bug is fixed in the working directory.



It is here that a problem becomes apparent.

The VCS deals very well with linear development, but for the first time ever, you need to create a new snapshot that is not a direct descendent of the preceding snapshot. If you create a "snapshot-110", then you'll be interrupting the linear flow and will have no way of determining the ancestry of any given snapshot.

Clearly, you need something more powerful than a linear system.



Anyways, you have been sitting in front of the monitor for days, so it's time to take a break.

You take a walk through the woods in the brisk Autumn air. Hopefully, being away from the keyboard can help you find an ideal solution to your problem.


The great oak trees that line the trail have always appealed to you. You stop walking and start to look more closely at one of them.




You examine one of the thousands of branch tips, and you idly try to follow it back to the solitary trunk.

This organically produced structure allows for such great complexity, but the rules for finding your way back to the trunk are so simple, and perfect for keeping track of multiple lines of development!

It turns out that what they say about nature and creativity are true.




By looking at your code history as a tree, solving the problem of ancestry becomes trivial.



You need each snapshot to point to the previous snapshot, also known as the "parent" snapshot.


Each snapshot has one parent, except for the very first snapshot.




So how do you store this pointer?

All you need to do is include the name of the parent snapshot in the "message" file you write for each snapshot.

Adding that pointer enables you to easily and accurately trace the history of any given snapshot all the way back to the root.




Your code history is now a tree.




Instead of having a single latest snapshot, you have two: one for each branch.

With a linear system, your sequential numbering system let you easily identify the latest snapshot. Now, that ability is lost.



Creating new development branches has become so simple that you'll want to take advantage of it all the time.


You'll be creating branches for fixes to old releases, for experiments that may not pan out; indeed it becomes possible to create a new branch for every feature you begin!



But like everything good in life, there is a price to be paid.


Each time you create a new snapshot, you must remember that the new snapshot becomes the latest on its branch.

Without this information, switching to a new branch would become a laborious process indeed.




Every time you create a new branch you probably give it a name in your head.

"This will be the Version 1.0 Maintenance Branch," you might say. Perhaps you refer to the former linear branch as the "master" branch.




Think about this a little further, though.

From the perspective of a tree, what does it mean to name a branch? Naming every snapshot that appears in the history of a branch would do the trick, but requires the storage of a potentially large amount of data. Additionally, it still wouldn't help you efficiently locate the latest snapshot on a branch.



The least amount of information necessary to identify a branch is the location of the latest snapshot on that branch.


If you need to know the list of snapshots that are part of the branch you can easily trace the parentage.



Storing the branch names is trivial.


In a file named "branches", stored outside of any specific snapshot, you simply list the name/snapshot pairs that represent the tips of branches.

To switch to a named branch you need only look up the snapshot for the corresponding name from this file.



Because you're only storing the latest snapshot on each branch, creating a new snapshot now contains an additional step:


If the new snapshot is being created as part of a branch, the "branches" file must be updated so that the name of the branch becomes associated with the new snapshot. A small price to pay for the benefit.




After using branches for a while you notice that they can serve two purposes: First, they can act as movable pointers to snapshots so that you can keep track of the branch tips. Second, they can be pointed at a single snapshot and never move.

The first use case allows you to keep track of ongoing development, things like "Release Maintenance".

The second case is useful for labeling points of interest, like "Version 1.0" and "Version 1.0.1".




Mixing both of these uses into a single file feels messy. Both types are pointers to snapshots, but one moves and one doesn't.




For the sake of clarity and elegance, you decide to create another file called "tags" to contain pointers of the second type.

Keeping these two inherently different pointers in separate files will help you from accidentally treating a branch as a tag or vice versa.




Working on your own gets pretty lonely.


Wouldn't it be nice if you could invite a friend to work on your project with you?



Well, you're in luck. Your friend Zoe has a computer setup just like yours and wants to help with the project.



Because you've created such a great version control system, you tell her all about it and send her a copy of all your snapshots, branches, and tags so she can enjoy the same benefits of the code history.



It's great to have Zoe on the team but she has a habit of taking long trips to far away places without internet access.

As soon as she has the source code, she catches a flight to Patagonia and you don't hear from her for a week.



In the meantime you both code up a storm. When she finally gets back, you discover a critical flaw in your VCS.

Because you've both been using the same numbering system, you each have directories named "snapshot-114", "snapshot-115", and so on, but with different contents!

To make matters worse, you don't even know who authored the changes in those new snapshots.

Together, you devise a plan for dealing with these problems.

First, snapshot messages will henceforth contain author name and email.




Second, snapshots will no longer be named with simple numbers. Instead, you'll use the contents of the "message" file to produce a hash.

This hash will be guaranteed to be unique to the snapshot since no two messages will ever have the same date, message, parent, and author.

To make sure everything goes smoothly, you both agree to use the SHA1 hash algorithm that takes the contents of a file and produces a 40 character hexadecimal string.

You both update your histories with the new technique and instead of clashing "snapshot-114" folders, you now have distinct folders named "8ba3441b6b89cad23387ee875f2ae55069291f4b" and "db9ecb5b5a6294a8733503ab57577db96ff2249e".



Of course, you update all the other snapshots as well...



With the updated naming scheme, it becomes trivial for you to fetch all the new snapshots from Zoe's computer and place them next to your existing snapshots.

Because every snapshot specifies its parent, and identical messages (and therefore identical snapshots) have identical names no matter where they are created, the history of the codebase can still be drawn as a tree.

Only now, the tree is comprised of snapshots authored by both Zoe and you.




This point is important, so I'll repeat it:

A snapshot is identified by a SHA1 that uniquely identifies it (and its parent).


These snapshots can be created and moved around between computers without losing their identity or where they belong in the history tree of a project.

What's more, snapshots can be shared or kept private as you see fit. If you have some experimental snapshots that you want to keep to yourself, you can do so quite easily. Just don't make them available to Zoe!




Zoe's travel habits cause her to spend countless hours on airplanes and boats. Most of the places she visits have no readily available internet access. At the end of the day, she spends more time offline than online.

It's no surprise, then, that Zoe raves about your VCS. All of the day to day operations that she needs to do can be done locally.




The only time she needs a network connection is when she's ready to share her snapshots with you.



Now, let's simplify our drawings a little, so that we can focus on the important issues:


We don't need to draw the "working" directory, and the "branches" and "tags" files. We know they're always there, anyway.



Instead we will add labels that point to the branches and tags that we are currently interested in.


Branches are green, and tags are yellow.

There's a "master" branch, and a "v1.0-maint" branch, and two tags - "v1.0" and "v1.0.1".



Finally, let's draw the snapshots as simple dots.

And since the parent pointers always point downwards in this graph, we can use simple lines instead.




Merges

61

Before Zoe left on her trip, you had asked her to start working off of the branch named 'math' and to implement a function that generated prime numbers.

Meanwhile, you were also developing off of the 'math' branch, only you were writing a function to generate magic numbers.



Merges


62

Now that Zoe has returned, you are faced with the task of merging these two separate branches of development into a single snapshot.

Since you both worked on separate tasks, the merge is simple. While constructing the snapshot message for the merge, you realize that this snapshot is special. Instead of just a single parent, this merge snapshot has two parents!

The first parent is your latest on the 'math' branch and the second parent is Zoe's latest on her 'math' branch.

The merge snapshot doesn't contain any changes beyond those necessary to merge the two disparate parents into a single codebase.




Merges

63

Once you complete the merge, Zoe fetches all the snapshots that you have that she does not:

- Your development on the 'math' branch
- Your merge snapshot.

Once she does this, both of your histories match exactly!



Rewriting History


64

Like many software developers you have a compulsion to keep your code clean and very well organized. This carries over into a desire to keep your code history well groomed.

Last night you came home after having a few too many pints of Guinness at the local brewpub and started coding, producing a handful of snapshots along the way. This morning, a review of the code you wrote last night makes you cringe a little bit.

The code is good overall, but you made a lot of mistakes early on that you corrected in later snapshots.

Rewriting History




65

Let's say the branch on which you did your drunken development is called 'drunk' and you made three snapshots after you got home from the bar.

If the name 'drunk' points at the latest snapshot on that branch, then you can use a useful notation to refer to the parent of that snapshot.


Rewriting History



66

The notation 'drunk[^]' (caret) means the parent of the snapshot pointed to by the branch name 'drunk'.

Rewriting History




67

Similarly 'drunk^{^^}' means the grandparent of the 'drunk' snapshot.

So the three snapshots in chronological order are 'drunk^{^^}', 'drunk[^]', and 'drunk'.


Rewriting History



68

You'd really like those three lousy snapshots to be two clean snapshots. One that changes an existing function, and one that adds a new file.

Rewriting History




69

To accomplish this revision of history you copy 'drunk' to 'working' and delete the file that is new in the series.

Now 'working' represents the correct modifications to the existing function.

Rewriting History




70

You create a new snapshot from 'working' and write the message to be appropriate to the changes.

For the parent you specify the SHA1 of the 'drunk^^' snapshot, essentially creating a new branch off of the same snapshot as last night.

Rewriting History




71

Now you can copy 'drunk' to 'working' and roll a snapshot with the new file addition.

As the parent you specify that snapshot you created just before this one.


Rewriting History



72

As the last step, you change the branch name 'drunk' to point to the last snapshot you just made

Rewriting History




73

The history of the 'drunk' branch now represents a nicer version of what you did last night.

The other snapshots that you've replaced are no longer needed so you can delete them or just leave them around for posterity.

No branch names are currently pointing at them so it will be hard to find them later on, but if you don't delete them, they'll stick around.

Staging Area




74

As much as you try to keep your new modifications related to a single feature or logical chunk, you sometimes get sidetracked and start hacking on something totally unrelated.

Only half-way into this do you realize that your working directory now contains what should really be separated as two discrete snapshots.

Staging Area




75

To help you with this annoying situation, the concept of a "staging" directory is useful. This area acts as an intermediate step between your working directory and a final snapshot.


Each time you finish a snapshot, you first copy it to the "staging" directory, and then create the snapshot from the "staging" directory.

Staging Area




76

If it belongs, you mimic the change inside "staging".



If it doesn't, you can leave it in "working" and make it part of a later snapshot.


77



When you're satisfied with the state of the "staging" directory, you create a new snapshot from it.

This separation of coding and preparing the stage makes it easy to specify what is and is not included in the next snapshot. You no longer have to worry too much about making an accidental, unrelated change in your working directory.

78




You have to be a bit careful, though. Consider a file named "README". You make an edit to this file and then mimic that in "staging". You go on about your business, editing other files. After a bit, you make another change to "README".

79

Now you have made two changes to that file, but only one is in the staging area! Were you to create a snapshot now, your second change would be absent.


The lesson is this: every new edit must be added to the staging area if it is to be part of the next snapshot.



With a working directory, a staging area, and loads of snapshots laying around, it starts to get confusing as to what the specific code changes are between these directories.


A snapshot message only gives you a summary of what changed, not exactly what lines were changed between two files.

80




Using a diffing algorithm, you can implement a small program that shows you the differences in two codebases.

As you develop and copy things from your working directory to the staging area, you'll want to easily see what is different between the two, so that you can determine what else needs to be staged.




It's also important to see how the staging area is different from the last snapshot, since these changes are what will become part of the next snapshot you produce.




There are many other diffs you might want to see.

The differences between a specific snapshot and its parent would show you the "changeset" that was introduced by that snapshot.




The diff between two branches would be helpful for making sure your development doesn't wander too far away from the mainline.



Remember Zoe?


After a few more trips to Namibia, Istanbul, and Galapagos, Zoe starts to complain that her hard drive is filling up with hundreds of nearly identical copies of the software.

You too have been feeling like all the file duplication is wasteful. After a bit of thinking, you come up with something very clever.



You remember that the SHA1 hash produces a short string that is unique for a given file contents.


Starting with the very first snapshot in the project history, you start a conversion process. First, you create a directory named "objects" outside of the code history.




Next, you find the most deeply nested directory in the snapshot.

Additionally, you open up a temporary file for writing.


For each file in the most deeply nested directory, you perform three steps:




Step 1: Calculate the SHA1 of the contents.




Step 2: Add an entry into the temp file that contains the word 'blob' (binary large object), the SHA1 from the first step, and the filename.



Step 3: Copy the file to the objects directory and rename it to the SHA1 from step 1.



Repeat this process for the other two files in that directory.




...




Once finished with all the files, find the SHA1 of the temp file contents and use that to name the temp file, also placing it in the objects directory.


If at any time the objects directory already contains a file with a given name, then you have already stored that file's contents and there is no need to do so again.



Now, move up one directory and start over. Only this time, when you get to the entry for the directory that you just processed, enter the word 'tree', the SHA1 of the temp file from last time, and the directory's name into the new temp file.




In this fashion you can build up a tree of directory object files that contain the SHA1s and names of the files and directory objects that they contain.



...


Eliminating Duplication



Once this has been accomplished for every directory and file in the snapshot, you have a single root directory object file and its corresponding SHA1.

Since nothing contains the root directory, you must record the root tree's SHA1 somewhere.


Eliminating Duplication



An ideal place to store it is in the snapshot message file.

This way, the uniqueness of the SHA1 of the message also depends on the entire contents of the snapshot, and you can guarantee with absolute certainty that two identical snapshot SHA1s contain the same files!


Eliminating Duplication



It's also convenient to create an object from the snapshot message in the same way that you do for blobs and trees.

Since you're maintaining a list of branch and tag names that point to message SHA1s you don't have to worry about losing track of which snapshots are important to you


Eliminating Duplication




With all of this information stored in the objects directory, you can safely delete the snapshot directory that you used as the source of this operation.

If you want to reconstitute the snapshot at a later date it's simply a matter of following the SHA1 of the root tree stored in the message file and extracting each tree and blob into their corresponding directory and file.

Eliminating Duplication



Eliminating Duplication




For a single snapshot, this transformation process doesn't get you much. You've basically just converted one filesystem into another and created a lot of work in the process.

The real benefits of this system arise from reuse of trees and blobs across snapshots. Imagine two sequential snapshots in which only a single file in the root directory has changed. If the snapshots both contain 10 directories and 100 files, the transformation process will create 10 trees and 100 blobs from the first snapshot but only one new blob and one new tree from the second snapshot!

By converting every snapshot directory in the old system to object files in the new system, you can drastically reduce the number of files that are stored on disk. Now, instead of storing perhaps 50 identical copies of a rarely changed file, you only need to keep one.


Compressing Blobs



Eliminating blob and tree duplication significantly reduces the total storage size of your project history, but that's not the only thing you can do to save space.

Source code is just text. Text can be very efficiently compressed using something like the LZW or DEFLATE compression algorithms. If you compress every blob before computing its SHA1 and saving it to disk you can further reduce the total storage size of the project history by a significant amount.

The True Git




TADAA!

The VCS you have constructed is now a reasonable approximation of Git.

The main difference is that Git gives you very nice command line tools to handle such things as creating new snapshots and switching to old ones (Git uses the term "commit" instead of "snapshot"), tracing history, keeping branch tips up-to-date, fetching changes from other people, merging and diffing branches, and hundreds of other common (and not-so-common) tasks.

The True Git



- Keep this parable in mind
- Git is simple and powerful
- One more thing:

git reflog

105

Where to go next?

- Git Community Book:
<http://book.git-scm.com/>

106

Here are some resources that you should follow as your next step.

Now, go, and become a Git master!

As you continue to learn Git, keep this parable in mind. Git is really very simple underneath, and it is this simplicity that makes it so flexible and powerful.

One last thing before you run off to learn all the Git commands: remember that it is almost impossible to lose work that has been committed. Even when you delete a branch, all that's really happened is that the pointer to that commit has been removed. All of the snapshots are still in the objects directory, you just need to dig up the commit SHA1.

In these cases, look up "git reflog". It contains a history of what each branch pointed to and in times of crisis, it will save the day.

Questions?

- Thanks for your attention!
- This presentation is available at:
???
- Reach me at <johanh@opera.com>

107