Summer Research School Symposium 2021

Primality Testing

Author:

Emilie Ma University of British Columbia kewbish@gmail.com

Scientific Advisor:

Pressiana Marinova Occado Technology Sofia pressiana.marinova@gmail.com

Abstract

Abstract

1 Introduction

Introduction

1.1 Fermat Primality Test

The Fermat Primality Test is a probablistic primality test based on Fermat's little theorem. Fermat's little theorem, developed by Pierre de Fermat in 1640, states that for any integer a and any prime p, the following holds:

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$$

If a is not divisible by, or *coprime* to, p, the following is equivalent:

$$a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

Proof. Consider $S = \{a, 2a, 3a, \ldots, (p-1) * a\}$. Suppose ra and sa in the set are equal (mod p), so $r \equiv s \pmod{p}$. Therefore, the p-1 multiples of a in S are uniquely distinct, and must be congruent to $1, 2, 3, \ldots, (p-1)$ in some order. Multiply these congruences like so:

$$a * 2a * 3a * \dots * (p-1)a \equiv 1 * 2 * 3 * \dots (p-1) \pmod{p}$$

This gives:

$$a^{(p-1)} * (p-1)! \equiv (p-1)! \pmod{p}$$

Divide by (p-1)! on each side for:

$$a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

To arrive at the alternate form of Fermat's Little Theorem, multiply both sides by a.

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$$

Knowing that $a^{(n-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod n$ holds if n is prime, Fermat's primality test chooses k random integers a coprime to n to test if all a are congruent to 1. Because this holds trivially for $a \equiv 1 \pmod n$ and if n is odd and $a \equiv -1 \pmod n$, a is conventionally chosen such that 1 < a < n-1. Higher values of k indicate a higher probability that the number is prime.

If n passes these k base tests, it is known as a probable prime. However, not all numbers that pass the Fermat primality test are prime - composite numbers n that pass the test are known as Fermat pseudoprimes. There are infinitely many Fermat pseudoprimes, and several forms of composite numbers that pass the test. For example, Carmichael numbers, composite numbers that satisfy the relation $b^{(n-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all integers b coprime to n, all pass Fermat's primality test.

1.2 Euler (Solovay-Strassen) Test

The Solovay-Strassen Test is another probablistic test, utilizing the properties of Euler's theorem. Proposed by Leonhard Euler in 1763, Euler's theorem is a generalization of Fermat's little theorem, stating that if a and p are coprime, then the following holds:

$$a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

The function $\phi(n)$ is Euler's totient function. The totient of some number n is the number of positive integers l in the range $1 \le l \le n$ where l is coprime to n.

Proof. Consider $S = \{1 \le l \le n | gcd(l,n) = 1\} = \{l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots, l_{\phi(n)}\}$. Create a set $aS = \{al_1, al_2, al_3, \dots, al_{\phi(n)}\}$.

All elements of aS are relatively prime to n, so if all elements of aS are distinct, aS = S.

All elements of aS are distinct, as all elements of S are distinct. Therefore, each element of $aS \equiv S \pmod{n}$. Therefore:

$$l_1 * l_2 * l_3 * \dots * l_{\phi(n)} \equiv al_1 * al_2 * al_3 * \dots * al_{\phi(n)} \pmod{n}$$

As $l_1 * l_2 * l_3 * \dots * l_{\phi(n)}$ is relatively prime to n, reducing this gives:

$$a^{\phi(n)} * l_1 * l_2 * l_3 * \dots * l_{\phi(n)} \equiv l_1 * l_2 * l_3 * \dots * l_{\phi(n)} \pmod{n}$$

Therefore, $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Fermat's little theorem is considered a special case of Euler's theorem, because if n is prime, $\phi(n) = n - 1$.

Given that $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, then

$$a^{\phi(n)/2} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{n} & \text{ when there exists } x \text{ such that } a \equiv x^2 \pmod{n} \\ -1 \pmod{n} & \text{ when there is no such integer.} \end{cases}$$

The conditions above form the criteria for the Legendre symbol of a and n. The Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$ is defined like so:

$$(\frac{a}{n}) \begin{cases} 0 & \text{ when } a \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ -1 & \text{ when } a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n} \text{ and there exists } x : a \equiv x^2 \pmod{n} \\ -1 & \text{ when } a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n} \text{ and there is no such integer } x. \end{cases}$$

The Jacobi symbol is the generalization of the Legendre symbol to any odd integer n, and is used in the Solovay-Strassen primality test. It is defined as the product of the Legendre symbols of n's prime factors, such that:

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} * \left(\frac{a}{p_2}\right)^{\alpha_2} * \dots * \left(\frac{a}{p_k}\right)^{\alpha_k}$$

for $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} * p_2^{\alpha_2} * \dots * p_k^{\alpha_k}$.

As with Fermat's primality test, k random bases a are tested. If $a^{(n-1)/2} \equiv (\frac{a}{n}) \pmod{n}$ holds for all k basis, then n is a probable prime.

Similar to Fermat's primality test, the Solovay-Strassen test may pass composite numbers as primes. These are then known as Euler (sometimes Euler-Jacobi) pseudoprimes or liars. All Euler pseudoprimes are also Fermat pseudoprimes.

1.3 Miller-Rabin Primality Test

A third probablistic primality test, the Miller-Rabin primality test was discovered first by Gary Miller in 1976, and subsequently modified by Michael Rabin in 1980. The test relies on two congruence relations that hold when n is an odd prime and rewritten as $2^s * d + 1$, and a is a base such that 0 < a < n:

$$a^d \equiv 1 \pmod n$$

$$a^{(2^r*d)} \equiv -1 \text{ for some } r \text{ such that } 0 <= r < s$$

Because n is written as $2^s * d + 1$, $n - 1 = 2^s * d$. Therefore, if $a^d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$, then n is a strong probable prime.

Proof. Given that:

$$a^{(n-1)} \equiv (a^d)^{2^s} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

for all prime n, and because there are no square roots of 1 other than ± 1 , the repeated squaring with 2^s doesn't affect the congruence.

Otherwise, $a^d \pmod{n}$ is squared, for a^2d . If $a^2d \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, n is composite, because there are different square roots of $a^2m \pmod{n}$ other than ± 1 . If $a^2d \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$, then n is a probable prime for similar reasons as above.

These checks are repeated until $a^{(2^{(s-1)}*d)}$ has been reached. If it is ± 1 , the result is known by the tests above; however, if not, n is composite, by Fermat's little theorem.

2 Methods

Methods

2.1 Base Analysis

Each primality test was analyzed in a standard way over three trials; the raw data is available in Appendix A. Each trial tested a different k value, and consisted of:

- Generating a random set (S) of 10^4 integers such that $10^6 < x < 2 * 10^6$
- Using SageMath's is_prime to check for primality for each integer in S
- Running the primality test with k attempts run on each integer in S with respect to some base a
- Counting all pseudoprimes which passed the primality test but not Sage's primality test
- Repeat for three sub-trials, average results and return lowest number of bases tried (lowest k) that returned the lowest number of pseudoprimes passed

a was a choice of either all random bases, 2, 3, 5, and a pair of 2, 3, or 5. Each trial was timed with the Linux time command, recording the real, or total wall time, elapsed.

3 Results

Simplified tables and synthesized figures

The results over three trials of Fermat's Primality Test are shown in Table 1. The results over three trials of Euler's Primality Test are shown in Table 2.

4 Discussion

Discussion of results

5 Conclusion

Conclusion

References

Appendix A Raw Data for Primality Tests

A.1 Fermat's Primality Test

Table 1: Raw data for Fermat's Primality Test Trials

| | All Random Bases | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 2m45.313s 12 0 | Trial 2 2m46.200s 74 0.33 | Trial 3 2m42.205s 93 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 81 0.33 | | | |
| | Base 2 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 2m28.057s 45 0 | Trial 2 2m29.196s 24 0 | Trial 3 2m31.840s 8 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 37 0 | | | |
| | Base 3 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 2m30.574s 13 0 | Trial 2 2m46.716s 60 0 | Trial 3 2m27.309s 16 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 44 0 | | | |
| | Base 5 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 2m27.032s 4 0 | Trial 2 2m26.897s 27 0 | Trial 3 2m23.043s 7 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 20 0 | | | |
| | Base 2 and Base 3 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 4m40.323s 19 0 | Trial 2 5m13.970s 25 0 | Trial 3 4m51.122s 13 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 12 0 | | | |
| | Base 3 and Base 5 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 5m6.811s 2 0 | Trial 2 5m1.727s 7 0 | Trial 3 4m41.699s 6 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 5 0 | | | |
| | Base 2 and Base 5 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 4m47.168s 6 0 | Trial 2 5m38.154s 11 0 | Trial 3 5m26.986s 10 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 5 0 | | | |

A.2 Euler's Primality Test

Table 2: Raw data for Euler's Primality Test Trials

| | All Random Bases | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 1m50.609s 3 0 | Trial 2 2m4.602s 5 | Trial 3 1m53.909s 2 0 |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 3 0 | | |
| | Base 2 | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 1m45.231s 2 0 | Trial 2 1m41.760s 1 0 | Trial 3 1m37.776s 3 0 |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | $\frac{2}{0}$ | | |
| | Base 3 | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 1m52.067s 2 0 | Trial 2 1m39.540s 2 0 | Trial 3 1m37.529s 1 0 |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 1 0 | | |
| | Base 5 | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 1m51.691s 2 0 | Trial 2 1m42.775s 2 0 | Trial 3 1m52.078s 2 0 |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 0 | | |
| | Base 2 and Base 3 | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 3m32.639s 1 0 | Trial 2 3m8.813s 1 0 | Trial 3 3m3.992s 1 0 |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 0 0 | | |
| | Base 3 and Base 5 | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 3m17.024s 1 0 | Trial 2 2m59.818s 1 0 | Trial 3 3m5.117s 1 0 |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 0 | | |
| | Base 2 and Base 5 | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 3m4.498s 1 0 | Trial 2 3m14.970s 1 0 | Trial 3 2m57.338s 1 0 |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 0 0 | | |

A.3 Miller-Rabin's Primality Test

Table 3: Raw data for Miller-Rabin's Primality Test Trials

| | All Random Bases | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 5m59.978s 1 0 | Trial 2 5m40.792s 1 0 | Trial 3 5m25.613s 3 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | $\frac{2}{0}$ | | | |
| | Base 2 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 5m25.738s 2 0 | Trial 2 6m1.777s 4 0 | Trial 3 5m7.809s 4 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | | | |
| | Base 3 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 5m28.475s 3 0 | Trial 2 5m47.667s 1 0 | Trial 3 5m13.659s 1 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 2 0 | | | |
| | Base 5 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 5m39.310s 3 0 | Trial 2 6m8.393s 1 0 | Trial 3 5m27.674s 1 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 2 0 | | | |
| | Base 2 and Base 3 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 11m31.348s 3 0 | Trial 2 10m57.862s 1 0 | Trial 3 10m36.092s 1 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 2 0 Base 3 and Base 5 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 12m36.687s 1 0 | Trial 2 11m1.823s 1 0 | Trial 3 10m10.577s 1 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 0 0 | | | |
| | Base 2 and Base 5 | | | |
| Running Time Lowest k required Pseudoprimes passed at lowest k | Trial 1 12m2.218s 1 0 | Trial 2 10m46.525s 1 0 | Trial 3 10m17.739s 1 0 | |
| Range of lowest k required Range of number of pseudoprimes passed | 0 0 | | | |