



Algorithms: COMP3121/3821/9101/9801

Aleks Ignjatović

School of Computer Science and Engineering
University of New South Wales

TOPIC 1: RECURRENCES

Asymptotic notation

- **“Big Oh” notation:** $f(n) = O(g(n))$ is an abbreviation for:

“There exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq f(n) \leq c g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$ ”.

- In this case we say that $g(n)$ is an asymptotic upper bound for $f(n)$.
- $f(n) = O(g(n))$ means that $f(n)$ does not grow substantially faster than $g(n)$ because a multiple of $g(n)$ eventually dominates $f(n)$.
- Clearly, multiplying constants c of interest will be larger than 1, thus “enlarging” $g(n)$.

Asymptotic notation

- **“Omega” notation:** $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ is an abbreviation for:

“There exists positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq c g(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.”

- In this case we say that $g(n)$ is an asymptotic lower bound for $f(n)$.
- $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ essentially says that $f(n)$ grows at least as fast as $g(n)$, because $f(n)$ eventually dominates a multiple of $g(n)$.
- Clearly, multiplying constants c of interest will be smaller than 1, thus “shrinking” $g(n)$ by a constant factor.
- **“Theta” notation:** $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ iff and only if $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$; thus, $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ have the same asymptotic growth rate.

Recurrences

- Recurrences are important to us because they arise in estimations of time complexity of divide-and-conquer algorithms.

MERGE-SORT(A, p, r) *sorting $A[p..r]$ *

- 1 **if** $p < r$
- 2 **then** $q \leftarrow \lfloor \frac{p+r}{2} \rfloor$
- 3 Merge-Sort(A, p, q)
- 4 Merge-Sort($A, q + 1, r$)
- 5 Merge(A, p, q, r)

- Since Merge(A, p, q, r) runs in linear time, the runtime $T(n)$ of Merge-Sort(A, p, r) satisfies

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$$

Recurrences

- Let $a \geq 1$ be an integer and $b > 1$ a real number;
- Assume that a divide-and-conquer algorithm:
 - reduces a problem of size n to a many problems of smaller size n/b ;
 - the overhead cost of splitting up/combining the solutions for size n/b into a solution for size n is $f(n)$,
- then the time complexity of such algorithm satisfies


$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- **Note:** we should be writing

$$T(n) = a T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{b} \right\rceil\right) + f(n)$$

but it can be shown that assuming that n is a power of b is OK, and that the estimate produced is still valid for all n .

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$



- Some recurrences can be solved explicitly, but this tends to be tricky.
- Fortunately, to estimate efficiency of an algorithm we **do not** need the exact solution of a recurrence
- We only need to find:
 - ① the **growth rate** of the solution i.e., its asymptotic behaviour;
 - ② the **sizes of the constants** involved (more about that later)
- This is what the **Master Theorem** provides (when it is applicable).

Master Theorem:

Let:

- $a \geq 1$ and $b > 1$ be integers;
- $f(n) > 0$ be a monotonically increasing function;
- $T(n)$ be the solution of the recurrence $T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$;

Then:

- 1 If $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$;
- 2 If $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log_2 n)$;
- 3 If $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, **and** for some $c < 1$,

$$a f(n/b) \leq c f(n)$$

then $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$;

- 4 If none of these conditions hold, the Master Theorem is NOT applicable (in the form presented).

Master Theorem - a remark

- Note that for any $b > 1$,

$$\log_b n = \log_b 2 \log_2 n;$$

- Since $b > 1$ is constant (does not depend on n), we have for $c = \log_b 2 > 0$

$$\log_b n = c \log_2 n;$$

$$\log_2 n = \frac{1}{c} \log_b n;$$

- Thus,

$$\log_b n = \Theta(\log_2 n)$$

and also

$$\log_2 n = \Theta(\log_b n).$$

- So whenever we have $f = \Theta(g(n) \log n)$ we do not have to specify what base the log is - all bases produce equivalent asymptotic estimates.

Master Theorem - Examples

- Let $T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n$;

$$\text{then } n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 4} = n^2;$$

$$\text{thus } f(n) = n = O(n^{2-\varepsilon}) \text{ for any } \varepsilon < 1.$$

Condition of case 1 is satisfied; thus, $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$.

- Let $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$;

$$\text{then } n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n^1 = n;$$

$$\text{thus } f(n) = cn = \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 2}).$$

Thus, condition of case 2 is satisfied; and so,

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 2} \log n) = \Theta(n \log n).$$

Master Theorem - Examples

- Let $T(n) = 3T(n/4) + n$;
 - then $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_4 3} < n^{0.8}$;
 - thus $f(n) = n = \Omega(n^{0.8+\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon < 0.2$.
 - Also, $af(n/b) = 3f(n/4) = 3/4 n < cn$ for $c = .8 < 1$.
- Thus, Case 3 applies, and $T(n) = \Theta(f(n)) = \Theta(n)$.
- Let $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n \log_2 n$;
 - then $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n^1 = n$.
 - Thus, $f(n) = n \log_2 n = \Omega(n)$.
 - However, $f(n) = n \log_2 n \neq \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$, no matter how small $\varepsilon > 0$.
 - This is because for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every $c > 0$, no matter how small, $\log_2 n < c \cdot n^\varepsilon$ for all sufficiently large n .
 - **Homework:** Prove this.
Hint: Use de L'Hôpital's Rule to show that $\log n/n^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- Thus, in this case the Master Theorem does **not** apply!

Master Theorem - Proof:

Since

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) \quad (1)$$

implies (by applying it to n/b in place of n)

$$T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right) + f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \quad (2)$$

and (by applying (1) to n/b^2 in place of n)

$$T\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b^3}\right) + f\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right) \quad (3)$$

and so on ..., we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \overbrace{a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)}^{(1)} = a \underbrace{\left(a T\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right) + f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \right)}_{(2)} + f(n) \\ &= a^2 \underbrace{T\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right)}_{(3)} + a f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) = a^2 \underbrace{\left(a T\left(\frac{n}{b^3}\right) + f\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right) \right)}_{(3)} + a f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) \\ &= a^3 \underbrace{T\left(\frac{n}{b^3}\right)}_{(3)} + a^2 f\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right) + a f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) = \dots \end{aligned}$$

Master Theorem Proof:

Continuing in this way $\log_b n - 1$ many times we get ...

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \underbrace{a^3 T\left(\frac{n}{b^3}\right)} + a^2 f\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right) + a f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) = \\ &= \dots \\ &= a^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor} T\left(\frac{n}{b^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor}}\right) + a^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} f\left(\frac{n}{b^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1}}\right) + \dots \\ &\quad + a^3 f\left(\frac{n}{b^3}\right) + a^2 f\left(\frac{n}{b^2}\right) + a f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) \\ &\approx a^{\log_b n} T\left(\frac{n}{b^{\log_b n}}\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

We now use $a^{\log_b n} = n^{\log_b a}$:

$$T(n) \approx n^{\log_b a} T(1) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right) \quad (4)$$

Note that so far we did not use any assumptions on $f(n)$.

Master Theorem Proof:

Case 1: $f(m) = O(m^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i O\left(\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}\right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i \left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}\right) = O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} \left(\frac{a}{(b^i)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}}\right)\right) \\ &= O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} \left(\frac{a}{b^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}}\right)^i\right) = O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} \left(\frac{a}{b^{\log_b a} b^{-\varepsilon}}\right)^i\right) \\ &= O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} \left(\frac{a b^\varepsilon}{a}\right)^i\right) = O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} (b^\varepsilon)^i\right) \\ &= O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \frac{(b^\varepsilon)^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor} - 1}{b^\varepsilon - 1}\right); \quad \text{we are using } \sum_{i=0}^m q^m = \frac{q^{m+1} - 1}{q - 1} \end{aligned}$$

Master Theorem Proof:

Case 1 - continued:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right) &= O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \frac{(b^\varepsilon)^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor} - 1}{b^\varepsilon - 1}\right) \\&= O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \frac{\left(b^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor}\right)^\varepsilon - 1}{b^\varepsilon - 1}\right) \\&= O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \frac{n^\varepsilon - 1}{b^\varepsilon - 1}\right) \\&= O\left(\frac{n^{\log_b a} - n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}}{b^\varepsilon - 1}\right) \\&= O\left(n^{\log_b a}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Since we had: $T(n) \approx n^{\log_b a} T(1) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}T(n) &\approx n^{\log_b a} T(1) + O\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) \\&= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Master Theorem Proof:

Case 2: $f(m) = \Theta(m^{\log_b a})$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i \Theta\left(\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right)^{\log_b a}\right) \\&= \Theta\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i \left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right)^{\log_b a}\right) \\&= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} \left(\frac{a^i}{(b^i)^{\log_b a}}\right)\right) \\&= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} \left(\frac{a}{b^{\log_b a}}\right)^i\right) \\&= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} 1\right) \\&= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lfloor \log_b n \rfloor\right)\end{aligned}$$

Master Theorem Proof:

Case 2 (continued):

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \log_b n\right) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \log_2 n\right)$$

because $\log_b n = \log_2 n \cdot \log_b 2 = \Theta(\log_2 n)$. Since we had (1):

$$T(n) \approx n^{\log_b a} T(1) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right)$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\approx n^{\log_b a} T(1) + \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \log_2 n\right) \\ &= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \log_2 n\right) \end{aligned}$$

Master Theorem Proof:

Case 3: $f(m) = \Omega(m^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$ and $a f(n/b) \leq c f(n)$ for some $0 < c < 1$.

We get by substitution:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n/b) &\leq \frac{c}{a} f(n) \\ f(n/b^2) &\leq \frac{c}{a} f(n/b) \\ f(n/b^3) &\leq \frac{c}{a} f(n/b^2) \\ &\dots \\ f(n/b^i) &\leq \frac{c}{a} f(n/b^{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

By chaining these inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(n/b^2) &\leq \frac{c}{a} \underbrace{f(n/b)}_{\leq \frac{c}{a} f(n)} \leq \frac{c}{a} \cdot \frac{c}{a} f(n) = \frac{c^2}{a^2} f(n) \\ f(n/b^3) &\leq \frac{c}{a} \underbrace{f(n/b^2)}_{\leq \frac{c^2}{a^2} f(n)} \leq \frac{c}{a} \cdot \frac{c^2}{a^2} f(n) = \frac{c^3}{a^3} f(n) \\ &\dots \\ f(n/b^i) &\leq \frac{c}{a} \underbrace{f(n/b^{i-1})}_{\leq \frac{c^{i-1}}{a^{i-1}} f(n)} \leq \frac{c}{a} \cdot \frac{c^{i-1}}{a^{i-1}} f(n) = \frac{c^i}{a^i} f(n) \end{aligned}$$

Master Theorem Proof:

Case 3 (continued):

We got
$$f(n/b^i) \leq \frac{c^i}{a^i} f(n)$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i \frac{c^i}{a^i} f(n) < f(n) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c^i = \frac{f(n)}{1-c}$$

Since we had (1):

$$T(n) \approx n^{\log_b a} T(1) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_b n \rfloor - 1} a^i f\left(\frac{n}{b^i}\right)$$

and since $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$ we get:

$$T(n) < n^{\log_b a} T(1) + O(f(n)) = O(f(n))$$

but we also have

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n) > f(n)$$

thus,

$$T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$$

Master Theorem Proof: Homework

Exercise 1: Show that condition

$$f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$$

follows from the condition

$$a f(n/b) \leq c f(n) \text{ for some } 0 < c < 1.$$

Exercise 2: Estimate $T(n)$ for

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n \log n$$

Note: we have seen that the Master Theorem does **NOT** apply, but the technique used in its proof still works! Just unwind the recurrence and sum up the logarithmic overheads.