

Figure 1: f0 contours from all recorded elicitation items from 20111208-6-KIY-AP-NPS-VPS.



Figure 2: f0 contours from all recorded elicitation items from 20111208-6-KIY-AP-NPS-VPS.



Figure 3: f0 contours from all recorded elicitation items from 20111208-6-KIY-AP-NPS-VPS, faceted by target and color-coded within the target facets by frame. foo and kee appear to have the most tokens. Across frames, pitch contours for target words look pretty similar.

Let's try looking at just foo, kee, kaza, parai, nabij, siji



Figure 4: f0 contours for just foo, kaza, kee, nabij, parai, siji, no isolation frame. Color by frame.

Compare this to if you don't do a facet plot:



Figure 5: f0 contours for just foo, kaza, kee, nabij, parai, siji, no isolation frame. Not faceted.



Figure 6: f0 contours from all recorded elicitation items from 20111208-6-KIY-AP-NPS-VPS, faceted by frame and color-coded within the target facets by target. Iso and vp-sound show big messes.

Let's work with only the non-adjective frames since they only have two substitution items each.

Let's subset by syllable number and frame, lex.class.



Figure 7: f0 contours from all recorded elicitation items from 20111208-6-KIY-AP-NPS-VPS in the isolation context, color coded by number of syllables



Figure 8: f0 contours for vp frames, faceted by frame and color coded by number of syllables.