

CSE Exercises - Week 4

- ① In this exercise, we show analytically and computationally that adding random variables is different from adding distributions and so the two operations should not be confused.

Consider two normal distributions, $\text{Normal}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $\text{Normal}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$.

(a) Adding random variables.

Let X and Y be independent random variables such that $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $Y \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$. Let $Z = X + Y$.

(i) Show that $E(Z) = \mu_1 + \mu_2$,
and $V(Z) = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2$.

(ii) Now let $\mu_1 = -2$, $\mu_2 = 2$ and $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$. We will use simulation to show, as expected, that the distribution of Z is $\text{Normal}(0, 2)$:

- Let $n = 10,000$.
- Generate $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Normal}(-2, 1)$.
- Generate $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Normal}(2, 1)$.

- Compute $Z_i = X_i + Y_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- Plot a density histogram for Z_1, \dots, Z_n .
- On the same plot, superimpose the curve for the $\text{Normal}(0, 2)$ density.

(b) Adding distributions.

Let $f(x; \mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ denote the $\text{Normal}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ density and $f(x; \mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ denote the $\text{Normal}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ density. Define a 2-component normal mixture density as

$$g(x) := \omega f(x; \mu_1, \sigma_1^2) + (1-\omega) f(x; \mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$$

where $\omega \in (0, 1)$.

(i) Show that $g(x)$ integrates to 1 (as it should to be a proper density).

(ii) Let X be a random variable with density $g(x)$. Show that

$$E(X) = \omega \mu_1 + (1-\omega) \mu_2$$

and

$$V(X) = \omega \sigma_1^2 + (1-\omega) \sigma_2^2 + \omega(1-\omega)(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2.$$

Notice the differences compared to part (a)(i).

(iii) We can generate a random variable, X , with density $g(x)$ by the following algorithm:

Generate $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
 If $U \leq \omega$
 generate $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$,
 else
 generate $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$.
 Return X .

Now let $\mu_1 = -2$, $\mu_2 = 2$ and $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 1$
 as in part (a)(ii). We will use simulation
 to show that, unlike part (a)(ii), $g(x)$
 is not a normal density.

- Let $n = 10,000$.
- Implement the algorithm given above and use it to generate X_1, \dots, X_n with density $g(x)$.
- Plot a density histogram for X_1, \dots, X_n .
- On the same plot, superimpose the curve for $g(x)$.

② Page 142 Exercise 105.

③ In this exercise, we investigate the approximation of the Binomial(n, θ) distribution by the Poisson(λ) distribution with $\lambda = n\theta$.

(a) Let $n = 20$ and $\theta = 0.1$. Produce a plot of the Binomial($20, 0.1$) PMF, similar to the one given in Figure 6.13. On the same plot, superimpose the Poisson(2) PMF (use a different symbol or colour so that the two PMFs are easily distinguishable).

(b) Repeat part (a) with $\theta = 0.5$.

(c) Repeat part (a) with $\theta = 0.9$.

(d) What can you conclude from the plots from (a), (b) and (c)?

(e) Describe how, for $\theta > 0.5$, the approximation of the binomial distribution by the Poisson distribution can be made as accurate as for $\theta < 0.5$.

④ In this exercise, we investigate the approximation of the Binomial(n, θ) distribution by the Normal(μ, σ^2) distribution with $\mu = n\theta$ and $\sigma^2 = n\theta(1-\theta)$, when n is large and p is not too close to 0 or 1.

It may come as a surprise that a discrete distribution can be approximated by a continuous one but this exercise shows that this is possible.

(a) Let $n = 20$ and $\theta = 0.1$. Produce a plot of the Binomial $(20, 0.1)$ PMF, similar to the one given in Figure 6.13. Compute the Normal $(2, 1.8)$ densities for $x = 0, 1, \dots, 20$. Superimpose these onto the same plot.

(b) Repeat part (a) with $\theta = 0.5$.

(c) Repeat part (a) with $\theta = 0.9$.

(d) What can you conclude from the plots from (a), (b) and (c)?

⑤ The Poisson (λ) distribution can also be approximated by the Normal (μ, σ^2) distribution with $\mu = \lambda$ and $\sigma^2 = \lambda$, when λ is large. To see this,

(a) Let $\lambda = 2$ and plot the Poisson (2) PMF for a range of x values, say $x = 0, 1, \dots, 10$. Compute the Normal $(2, 2)$ densities for the same x values and superimpose them onto the plot.

(b) Repeat part (a) with $\lambda = 20$ and for $x = 0, 1, \dots, 40$.

(c) Repeat part (a) with $\lambda = 100$ and for $x = 70, 71, \dots, 130$.

(d) What can you conclude from the plots?

- ⑥ Like the normal random variables, Poisson random variables have the property that a sum of independent Poisson random variables is also a Poisson random variable. Thus, if X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variables such that $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$, then
- $$X_1 + \dots + X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n).$$

We shall demonstrate this for a sum of two independent Poisson random variables.

- Let $n = 10,000$.
- Generate $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(3)$.
- Generate $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Poisson}(6)$.
- Compute $Z_i = X_i + Y_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- Compare the relative frequencies from the resulting Z_1, \dots, Z_n against the PMF of the Poisson(9) distribution.
- Estimate $E(Z)$ and $V(Z)$ using Z_1, \dots, Z_n and comment on your estimated values.