

A (totally non-exhaustive) collection of papers, books, and book chapters that inspired my roundtable talk given at SABER West, 2021.

#### EVOLUTION-CENTERED TEACHING OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

##### **Using the unifying framework for all biology to inform biology education practices.**

- Araújo, L. A. L. (2020). The centrality of evolution in biology teaching: Towards a pluralistic perspective. *Journal of Biological Education*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2020.1757486>
- Burke da Silva, K. (2012). Evolution-centered teaching of biology. *Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics*, 13, 363–380.
- Catley, K. M. (2006). Darwin's missing link — a novel paradigm for evolution education. *Science Education*, 90(5), 767–783.
- Cummins, C. L., Demastes, S. S., & Hafner, M. S. (1994). Evolution: Biological education's under-researched unifying theme. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(5), 445–448.
- Dobzhansky, T. (1973). Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution. *The American Biology Teacher*, 35(3), 125–129.
- Glass, B. (1967). The centrality of evolution in biology teaching. *The American Biology Teacher*, 29(9), 705–715.
- Nehm, R. H., Poole, T. M., Lyford, M. E., Hoskins, S. G., Carruth, L., Ewers, B. E., & Colberg, P. J. (2009). Does the segregation of evolution in biology textbooks and introductory courses reinforce students' faulty mental models of biology and evolution? *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 2(3), 527.
- Scott, E. C. (2010). Dobzhansky was right: Let's tell the students. *Bioessays*, 32(5), 372–374.
- Zook, D. (1995). Confronting the evolution education abyss. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 32(10), 1111–1120.

#### THE DUAL CAUSALITY OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

##### **Proximate and ultimate causality is unique in biological sciences and requires understanding evolutionary principles.**

- Abrams, E., & Southerland, S. (2001). The how's and why's of biological change: How learners neglect physical mechanisms in their search for meaning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 23(12), 1271–1281.
- Bock, W. J. (2017). Dual causality and the autonomy of biology. *Acta Biotheoretica*, 65(1), 63–79.
- Cummins, C., & Remsen Jr, J. (1992). The importance of distinguishing ultimate from proximate causation in the teaching and learning of biology. *History and Philosophy of Science in Science Education: Proceedings of the Second International Conference for History and Philosophy of Science in Science Teaching*, 1, 201–210.
- Dewsbury, D. A. (1999). The proximate and the ultimate: Past, present, and future. *Behavioural Processes*, 46(3), 189–199.
- Mayr, E. (1961). Cause and effect in biology. *Science*, 134(3489), 1501–1506.

## BIOLOGY IS BOOOORING!

**Away from the rote memorization of facts in early postsecondary biology courses.**

Mervis, J. (2009). *Universities begin to rethink first-year biology courses.*

Momsen, J. L., Long, T. M., Wyse, S. A., & Ebert-May, D. (2010). Just the facts? Introductory undergraduate biology courses focus on low-level cognitive skills. *CBE — Life Sciences Education*, 9(4), 435–440.

## STUDENT EXPLANATIONS OF COMPLEX BIOLOGICAL PHENOMENA

**How do students construct explanations about biology and where are they going wrong?**

Chi, M. T., Roscoe, R. D., Slotta, J. D., Roy, M., & Chase, C. C. (2012). Misconceived causal explanations for emergent processes. *Cognitive Science*, 36(1), 1–61.

Coley, J. D., & Tanner, K. (2015). Relations between intuitive biological thinking and biological misconceptions in biology majors and nonmajors. *CBELife Sciences Education*, 14(1), ar8.

Demastes, S. S., Good, R. G., & Peebles, P. (1996). Patterns of conceptual change in evolution. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33(4), 407–431.

Ferrari, M., & Chi, M. T. (1998). The nature of naïve explanations of natural selection. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(10), 1231–1256.

Greene Jr, E. D. (1990). The logic of university students' misunderstanding of natural selection. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 27(9), 875–885.

Jolfaee, S., Zazkis, R., & Sinclair, N. (2014). "It is very, very random because it doesn't happen very often": Examining learners' discourse on randomness. In *Probabilistic thinking* (pp. 397–416). Springer.

Nehm, R. H., & Reilly, L. (2007). Biology majors' knowledge and misconceptions of natural selection. *BioScience*, 57(3), 263–272.

Nehm, R. H., & Schonfeld, I. S. (2008). Measuring knowledge of natural selection: A comparison of the CINS, an open-response instrument, and an oral interview. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(10), 1131–1160.

Scott, E. E., Anderson, C. W., Mashood, K., Matz, R. L., Underwood, S. M., & Sawtelle, V. (2018). Developing an analytical framework to characterize student reasoning about complex processes. *CBE — Life Sciences Education*, 17(3), ar49.

Southerland, S. A., Abrams, E., Cummins, C. L., & Anzelmo, J. (2001). Understanding students' explanations of biological phenomena: Conceptual frameworks or p-prims? *Science Education*, 85(4), 328–348.

Speth, E. B., Shaw, N., Momsen, J., Reinagel, A., Le, P., Taqieddin, R., & Long, T. (2014). Introductory biology students' conceptual models and explanations of the origin of variation. *CBE — Life Sciences Education*, 13(3), 529–539.

## TINBERGEN FRAMEWORK

**Framework for analysis of behavioral adaptations and an application to education.**

- Nesse, R. M. (2013). Tinbergen's four questions, organized: A response to Bateson and Laland. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28(12), 681–682.
- O'Brien, D. T., & Gallup, A. C. (2011). Using Tinbergen's four questions (plus one) to facilitate evolution education for human-oriented disciplines. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 4(1), 107.
- Tinbergen, N. (1963). On aims and methods of ethology. *Zeitschrift Für Tierpsychologie*, 20(4), 410–433.

## TOWARD AN EPISTEMOLOGY OF EVOLUTION

**A smattering of topics: conceptual change theory, threshold concepts, the importance of narrative for understanding, and lessons from physics education research.**

- Bruner, J. S. (2009). *Actual minds, possible worlds*. Harvard University Press.
- Carey, S. (1995). On the origin of causal understanding. In *Causal cognition: A multidisciplinary debate* (pp. 268–308).
- Catley, K., Lehrer, R., & Reiser, B. (2005). Tracing a prospective learning progression for developing understanding of evolution. *Paper Commissioned by the National Academies Committee on Test Design for K-12 Science Achievement*, 67.
- diSessa, A. A. (1993). Toward an epistemology of physics. *Cognition and Instruction*, 10(2-3), 105–225.
- diSessa, A. A., & Sherin, B. L. (1998). What changes in conceptual change? *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(10), 1155–1191.
- Evans, E. M. (2008). Conceptual change and evolutionary biology: A developmental analysis. *International Handbook of Research on Conceptual Change*, 263–294.
- Göransson, A., Orraryd, D., Fiedler, D., & Tibell, L. A. (2020). Conceptual characterization of threshold concepts in student explanations of evolution by natural selection and effects of item context. *CBE — Life Sciences Education*, 19(1), ar1.
- Hammer, D. (1994). Epistemological beliefs in introductory physics. *Cognition and Instruction*, 12(2), 151–183.
- Nehm, R. H. (2018). Evolution. In *Teaching Biology in Schools: Global Research, Issues, and Trends* (pp. 164–177). Routledge.
- Smith, J. P., Disessa, A. A., & Roschelle, J. (1994). Misconceptions reconceived: A constructivist analysis of knowledge in transition. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 3(2), 115–163.